Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics Vol. 12, No. 2 (2017), pp 101-109.

DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2017.2.006

Inequalities for the Derivatives of a Polynomial

Abdullah $Mir^{a,*}$, Q. M. Dawood^a and Bilal Dar^b

 $^a\mathrm{Department}$ of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, 190006, India.

^bGovt. Degree College (Boys), Pulwama-192301.

E-mail: mabdullah_mir@yahoo.co.in
 E-mail: qdawood@gmail.com
 E-mail: darbilal85@ymail.com

ABSTRACT. The paper presents an L^r – analogue of an inequality regarding the s^{th} derivative of a polynomial having zeros outside a circle of arbitrary radius but greater or equal to one. Our result provides improvements and generalizations of some well-known polynomial inequalities.

Keywords: Polynomial, Zeros, s^{th} Derivative. **2010 Mathematics subject classification:** 30A10, 30C10, 30C15.

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree at most n and P'(z) be its derivative, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \tag{1.1}$$

Received 26 February 2015; Accepted 05 December 2015 © 2017 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

^{*}Corresponding Author

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le n \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$
(1.2)

Inequality (1.1) is a classical result of Bernstein[6] whereas inequality (1.2) is due to Zygmund[15] who proved it for all trigonometric polynomials of degree n and not only for those which are of the form $P(e^{i\theta})$. Arcstov[1] proved that (1.2) remains true for 0 < r < 1 as well. If $r \to \infty$ in inequality (1.2), we get (1.1).

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zeros in |z| < 1, then both the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) can be sharpened. In fact, If $P(z) \neq 0$ in |z| < 1, then (1.1) and (1.2) can be respectively replaced by

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le nA_r \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{1.4}$$

where
$$A_r = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\alpha}|^r d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{-1}{r}}$$
.

Inequality (1.3) was conjectured by Erdös and later verified by Lax[11], whereas inequality (1.4) was proved by De-Bruijn[7] for $r \geq 1$. Rahman and Schemeisser[13] later proved that (1.4) holds for 0 < r < 1 also. If $r \to \infty$ in (1.4), we get (1.3).

As a generalization of (1.3) Malik[12] proved that if $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \tag{1.5}$$

whereas under the same hypothesis, Govil and Rahman[9] extended inequality (1.4) by showing that

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le nE_r \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}},$$
(1.6)

where
$$E_r = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k + e^{i\alpha}|^r d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{-1}{r}}, \ r \ge 1.$$

In the same paper, Govil and Rahman[9, Theorem 4] extended inequality (1.5) to the s^{th} derivative of a polynomial and proved under the same hypothesis

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P^{(s)}(z)| \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+k^s} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{1.7}$$

Inequality (1.7) was refined by Aziz and Rather [3, Corollary 1] by involving the binomial coefficients C(n,s), $1 \le s < n$ and coefficients of the polynomial P(z). In fact they proved that if $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ does not vanish in |z| < k, $k \ge 1$, then for $1 \le s < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P^{(s)}(z)| \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+\psi_{k,s}} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\psi_{k,s} = k^{s+1} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{C(n,s)} \left| \frac{a_s}{a_0} \right| k^{s-1}}{1 + \frac{1}{C(n,s)} \left| \frac{a_s}{a_0} \right| k^{s+1}} \right). \tag{1.9}$$

In the literature there exist various results regarding the estimates for polynomials and for general analytic functions and also the approximations of polynomials and their derivatives (for example see[8],[14]). In this paper, we prove the following result which refines the inequality (1.8).

Theorem 1.1. If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in |z| < k, $k \ge 1$, and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ then for $1 \le s < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P^{(s)}(z)| \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+\psi_{k,s}} \left(\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{m\psi_{k,s}}{k^n}\right), \tag{1.10}$$

where $\psi_{k,s}$ is defined by (1.9).

The result is best possible for k = 1 and equality holds for $P(z) = z^n + 1$.

Remark 1.2. For s=1 and m=0, Theorem 1.1 reduces to a result of Govil et. al.[10, Theorem 1] and for k=s=1, inequality (1.10) reduces to a result of Aziz and Dawood[2, Theorem A].

Remark 1.3. Note by inequality (2.2) of Lemma 2.1 (stated in section 2) that $\frac{1}{C(n,s)} \left| \frac{a_s}{a_0} \right| k^s \leq 1$, which can easily be shown to be equivalent to $\psi_{k,s} \geq k^s$, $1 \leq s < n$. Using this fact in inequality (1.10), we get the following improvement of inequality (1.7).

Corollary 1.4. If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in |z| < k, $k \ge 1$, and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ then for $1 \le s < n$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P^{(s)}(z)| \le \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+k^s} \left(\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{m}{k^{n-s}}\right).$$
 (1.11)

In order to prove the Theorem 1.1, we prove the following more general result which extends Theorem 1.1 to its corresponding L^r – analogue.

Theorem 1.5. If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in |z| < k, $k \ge 1$, and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$, then for every complex number β with $|\beta| \le 1$ and $1 \le s < n$, we have

$$\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + \frac{\beta m n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1) \psi_{k,s}}{k^n (1+\psi_{k,s})} \right|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
\leq n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1) C_r \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad (1.12)$$

where
$$C_r = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\psi_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha}|^r d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{-1}{r}}, \ r > 0 \ and \ \psi_{k,s} \ is \ defined \ by \ (1.9).$$

Remark 1.6. Using the fact that $\psi_{k,s} \geq k^s$ and take $\beta = 0$ in inequality (1.12), we obtain a result of Aziz and Shah[5].

2. Lemmas

We need the following lemmas for the proofs of Theorems. Here, throughout this paper we write $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{z})}$.

Lemma 2.1. If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n which does not vanish in $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, then for $1 \le s < n$ and |z| = 1,

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \ge \psi_{k,s} |P^{(s)}(z)|,$$
 (2.1)

and

$$\frac{1}{C(n,s)} \left| \frac{a_s}{a_0} \right| k^s \le 1, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\psi_{k,s}$ is defined by (1.9).

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Rather[3].

Lemma 2.2. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, then for each α , $0 \le \alpha < 2\pi$ and r > 0, we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P'(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{r} d\theta d\alpha \le 2\pi n^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta. \tag{2.3}$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Shah[4].

Lemma 2.3. If $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n which does not vanish in $|z| < k, k \ge 1$, then for $1 \le s < n$ and |z| = 1,

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \ge \psi_{k,s} |P^{(s)}(z)| + \frac{mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{k^n} \psi_{k,s},$$
 (2.4)

where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$.

Proof. Since $m \leq |P(z)|$ for |z| = k, we have for every β with $|\beta| < 1$,

$$\left| \frac{m\beta z^n}{k^n} \right| < |P(z)| \ for \ |z| = k.$$

Therefore by Rouche's theorem $P(z) + \frac{m\beta z^n}{k^n}$ has no zero in $|z| < k, \ k \ge 1$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the polynomial $P(z) + \frac{m\beta z^n}{k^n}$, we get for $1 \le s < n$ and |z| = 1,

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \ge \psi_{k,s} |P^{(s)}(z) + \frac{mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)\beta}{k^n}|.$$
 (2.5)

Choose the argument of β so that

$$\left| P^{(s)}(z) + \frac{mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)\beta z^{n-s}}{k^n} \right| = \left| P^{(s)}(z) \right| + \frac{mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)|\beta z^{n-s}|}{k^n},$$
 it follows from (2.5) that for $|z| = 1$

it follows from (2.5) that for |z| = 1,

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \ge \psi_{k,s} |P^{(s)}(z)| + \frac{mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)|\beta z^{n-s}|}{k^n} \psi_{k,s}.$$
 (2.6)

Letting $|\beta| \to 1$ in inequality (2.6), we get

$$|Q^{(s)}(z)| \ge \psi_{k,s} |P^{(s)}(z)| + \frac{mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{k^n} \psi_{k,s}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. If A, B, C are non-negative real numbers such that $B + C \leq A$. Then for every real α

$$\left| (A - C) + e^{i\alpha} (B + C) \right| \le \left| A + e^{i\alpha} B \right|. \tag{2.7}$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Shah[4].

3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of the Theorem 1.5. Since P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, and $Q(z) = z^n P(\frac{1}{z})$. Therefore, for each $\alpha, 0 \leq 1$ $\alpha < 2\pi, F(z) = Q(z) + e^{i\alpha}P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n and we have

$$F^{(s)}(z) = Q^{(s)}(z) + e^{i\alpha}P^{(s)}(z),$$

which is clearly a polynomial of degree $n-s, 1 \leq s < n$. By the repeated application of inequality (1.2), we have for each r > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta
\leq (n - s + 1)^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q^{(s-1)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P^{(s-1)}(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta
\leq (n - s + 1)^{r} (n - s + 2)^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q^{(s-2)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P^{(s-2)}(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta
\cdot
\cdot
\leq (n - s + 1)^{r} (n - s + 2)^{r} \dots (n - 1)^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P'(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta.$$
(3.1)

Integrating inequality (3.1) with respect to α over $[0, 2\pi]$ and using inequality (2.3) of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{r} d\theta d\alpha
\leq 2\pi (n - s + 1)^{r} (n - s + 2)^{r} \dots (n - 1)^{r} n^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{r} d\theta.$$
(3.2)

Now, from inequality (2.4) of Lemma 2.3, it easily follows that

$$\psi_{k,s} \left\{ \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})} \right\}$$

$$\leq \left| Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| - \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})}.$$
 (3.3)

Taking $A = |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|$, $B = |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|$, $C = \frac{mn(n-1)...(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})}$ and noting that $\psi_{k,s} \ge k^s \ge 1, 1 \le s < n$, so that by (3.3),

$$B+C \le \psi_{k,s}(B+C) \le A-C \le A$$
,

we get from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\left| \left\{ |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})} \right\} + e^{i\alpha} \left\{ |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})} \right\} \right| \\
\leq \left| |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|.$$

This implies for each r > 0,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| F(\theta) + e^{i\alpha} G(\theta) \right|^r d\alpha \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left| Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + e^{i\alpha} \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| \right|^r d\alpha, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$F(\theta) = \left| Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| - \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})}$$

and

$$G(\theta) = \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})}$$

Integrating inequality (3.4) with respect to θ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and using inequality (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |F(\theta) + e^{i\alpha} G(\theta)|^{r} d\alpha d\theta$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\alpha d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\alpha d\theta$$

$$\leq (n - s + 1)^{r} (n - s + 2)^{r} \dots (n - 1)^{r} n^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{r} d\theta.$$
(3.5)

Now for every real number α and $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq 1$, we have

$$|t_1 + e^{i\alpha}| \ge |t_2 + e^{i\alpha}|.$$

which implies for every r > 0,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |t_1 + e^{i\alpha}|^r d\alpha \ge \int_0^{2\pi} |t_2 + e^{i\alpha}|^r d\alpha.$$

If $G(\theta) \neq 0$, we take $t_1 = \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} \right|$ and $t_2 = \psi_{k,s}$, then from (3.3) and noting that $\psi_{k,s} \geq 1$, we have $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq 1$, hence

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| F(\theta) + e^{i\alpha} G(\theta) \right|^{r} d\alpha = |G(\theta)|^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{r} d\alpha$$

$$= |G(\theta)|^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} \right| + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{r} d\alpha$$

$$\ge |G(\theta)|^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \psi_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{r} d\alpha$$

$$= \left\{ \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^{n}(1+\psi_{k,s})} \right\}^{r}$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \psi_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{r} d\alpha. \tag{3.6}$$

For $G(\theta) = 0$, this inequality is trivially true. Using this in (3.5), it follows for each r > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^{n}(1+\psi_{k,s})} \right\}^{r} d\theta$$

$$\leq \frac{(n-s+1)^{r}(n-s+2)^{r}\dots(n-1)^{r}n^{r}}{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \psi_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^{r} d\alpha} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{r} d\theta. \tag{3.7}$$

Now using the fact that for every β with $|\beta| \le 1$

$$\left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + \frac{\beta mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})} \right| \le \left| P^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| + \frac{mn(n-1)\dots(n-s+1)\psi_{k,s}}{k^n(1+\psi_{k,s})}$$

the desired result follows from (3.7)

Proof of the Theorem 1.1 Making $r \to \infty$ and choosing the argument of β suitably with $|\beta| = 1$ in (1.12), Theorem 1.1 follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions regarding the paper.

References

- 1. V. V. Arestov, On Integral Inequalities for Trigonometric Polynomials and Their Derivatives, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, **45**, (1981), 3-22.
- A. Aziz, Q. M. Dawood, Inequalities for a Polynomial and its Derivative, J. Approx. Theory, 54, (1988), 306-313.
- 3. A. Aziz, N. A. Rather, Some Zygmund Type L^p Inequalities for Polynomials, J.Math. Anal. Appl., **289**, (2004), 14-29.
- A. Aziz, W. M. Shah, L^p Inequalities for Polynomials with Restricted Zeros, Glas. Mate., 32, (1997), 247-258.

- A. Aziz, W. M. Shah, L^p Inequalities for Polynomials with Restricted Zeros, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 108, (1998), 63-68.
- S. Bernstein, Lecons Sur Les Proprietes Extremals et la Meilleure Approximation des Fonctions Analytiques d'une Fonctions Reelle, Gauthier-villars Paris, 1926.
- N. G. De-Bruijn, Inequalities Concerning Polynomials in the Complex Domain, Nederl. Akad. Wetnesch Proc., 50, (1947), 1265-127.
- M. R. Eslahchi, Sonaz Amani, The Best Uniform Polynomial Approximation of Two Classes of Rational Functions, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, 7, (2012), 93-102.
- 9. N. K. Govil, , Q. I. Rahman, Functions of Exponential Type not Vanishing in a Half-Plane and Related Polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**, (1969), 501-517.
- N. K. Govil, Q. I. Rahman, G. Schemeisser, On the Derivative of a Polynomial, *Illinois J. Math.*, 23, (1979), 319-330.
- 11. P. D. Lax, Proof of a Conjecture of P. Erdös on the Derivative of a Polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **50**, (1944), 509-513.
- 12. M. A. Malik, On the Derivative of a Polynomial, J. London Math. Soc., 1, (1969), 57-60.
- 13. Q. I. Rahman, G. Schemeisser, L^p Inequalities for Polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 53, (1988), 26-32.
- 14. Rasoul Aghalary, Applications of the Norm Estimates for Univalence of Analytic Functions, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 9, (2014), 101-108.
- A. Zygmund, A Remark on Conjugate Series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34, (1932), 392-400.

