



## Chaotically Tuples of Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts Acting On Hilbert Spaces

Mezban Habibi

PhD Student at PNU, Shiraz, Iran  
P.O. Box 7164754818, Shiraz, Iran  
mezbanhabibi@gmail.com

GholamReza RooiTan

PhD Student at YU, Yasouj, Iran  
P.O. Box 7164754818, Shiraz, Iran  
roointan@gmail.com

### Abstract

We investigate characterize the Chaotically Conditions for the Tuples of unilateral weighted backward shifts on some Hilbert Spaces. The Tuple  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_n)$  is chaotic if and only if  $T$  is Hypercyclic and has a non-trivial periodic point if and only if  $T$  has a non-trivial periodic point if and only if the series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=1}^m (e_{k,\lambda})^{-1} e_m \right), \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are convergence.

**Keywords:** Hypercyclicity, periodic point, Chaotically Tuples, Infinity Tuples.

## Introduction

Let  $B$  be an Ordered Banach space and  $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots$  are commutative bounded linear mapping on  $B$ , the infinity Tuple  $T$  is an infinity components  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots)$ , for every  $x \in B$  defined

$$T(x) = T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots (x) = \text{Sup}_n \{T_1 T_2 T_3 \dots T_n(x) | n \in \mathbb{N}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

Infinity-Tuple  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots)$  is said to be hypercyclic infinity-tuple if  $\text{Orb}(T, x)$  is dense in  $B$ , that is  $\overline{\text{Orb}(T, x)} = B$ .

**Definition 1.1** The Tuple  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots)$  is called chaotic tuple, if we have tree below conditions together,

1. It is topologically transitive, that is, for any given open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , there exist sequence of positive integers  $\{\delta_j\}_{j=1}^n$  such that  $T_1^{\delta_1} T_2^{\delta_2} \dots T_n^{\delta_n}(U) \cap (V) \neq \emptyset$ .
2. It has a dense set of periodic points, that is, there is a set  $P$  such that for each  $x$  in  $P$ , we can find  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$  such that  $T_1^{\lambda_1} T_2^{\lambda_2} \dots T_n^{\lambda_n}(x) = x$ .

It has a certain property called sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

## Equations

If the Tuple satisfy the bellow theorem, we say that Tuple satisfy The Hypercyclic Criterion.

**Theorem 1.1 [The Hypercyclicity Criterion]** Let  $B$  be a separable Banach space and  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots)$  is an infinity tuple of commutative continuous linear mappings on  $B$ . If there exist two dense subsets  $Y$  and  $Z$  in  $B$  and strictly increasing sequences  $\{m_{k,2}\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{m_{k,3}\}_{k=1}^\infty, \dots$  such that:

1.  $T_1^{k_{1,j}} T_2^{k_{2,j}} T_3^{k_{3,j}} \dots \rightarrow 0$  on  $Y$  as  $m_{i,j} \rightarrow \infty$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,
2. There exist function  $\{S_k | S_k : Z \rightarrow B\}$  such that for every  $z \in Z$ ,  $S_k z \rightarrow 0$  and  $T_1^{k_{1,j}} T_2^{k_{2,j}} T_3^{k_{3,j}} \dots S_k z \rightarrow z$

Then  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_n)$  is a Hypercyclic Tuple.

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose  $X$  be an F-sequence space whit the unconditional basis  $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and let  $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_n$  are unilateral weighted backward shifts with weight

sequence  $\{e_{1,k}\}_{k \in N}, \{e_{2,k}\}_{k \in N}, \dots, \{e_{n,k}\}_{k \in N}$  and  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_n)$  be a tuple of operators  $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_n$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1.  $T$  is chaotic,
2.  $T$  is Hypercyclic and has a non-trivial periodic point,
3.  $T$  has a non-trivial periodic point,
4. The series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=1}^m (e_{k,i})^{-1} e_m \right)$  are convergence in  $X$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** Proof of the cases  $1 \rightarrow 2$  and  $2 \rightarrow 3$  are trivial, so we just proof  $3 \rightarrow 4$  and  $4 \rightarrow 1$ .

First we proof  $3 \rightarrow 4$ , for this, Suppose that  $T$  has a non-trivial periodic point, and  $x = \{x_n\}_{x_n \in X}$  be a non-trivial periodic point for  $T$ , that is there are positive integers  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  such that  $T_1^{\mu_1} T_2^{\mu_2} \dots T_n^{\mu_n} (x) = x$ . Comparing the entries at positions,  $k \in N \cup \{0\}$ , of  $x$  and  $T_1^{\mu_1} T_2^{\mu_2} \dots T_n^{\mu_n} (x)$ , we will find that

$$x_{j+kM_1} = \prod_{t=1}^{M_1} (a_{j+kN+t} \cdot x_{j+k+1}), x_{j+kM_2} = \prod_{t=1}^{M_2} (a_{j+kN+t} \cdot x_{j+k+1}), \dots, x_{j+kM_n} = \prod_{t=1}^{M_n} (a_{j+kN+t} \cdot x_{j+k+1})$$

so for  $k \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$x_{j+kM_\lambda} = \left( \prod_{t=j+1}^{j+kM_\lambda} a_t \right)^{-1} \cdot x_j = c_\lambda \cdot \left( \prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_{\lambda 1}} a_t \right)^{-1}, \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Where  $c_\lambda = \prod_{t=1}^j (m_{j,\lambda} \cdot x_j)$ ,  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^\infty$  is an unconditional basis for  $X$  and  $x \in X$  it

follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_\lambda} m_{j,\lambda}} \cdot e_{j+M_\lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x_{j+M_\lambda} \cdot e_{j+M_\lambda}), \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

convergence sequences in  $X$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $j \geq N$ . Applying the operators  $T, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_{k-1}$ , where  $k = \text{Min}\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n\}$ , to this series and note that

$$T_1(e_t) = a_{1,t} e_{t-1}, T_2(e_t) = a_{2,t} e_{t-1}, \dots, T_n(e_t) = a_{n,t} e_{t-1}$$

we deduce that



$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_1-\varepsilon_1} m_{1,j}} \right) \cdot e^{(j+kM_1-\varepsilon_1)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_2-\varepsilon_2} m_{2,j}} \right) \cdot e^{(j+kM_2-\varepsilon_2)} \cdots \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_n-\varepsilon_n} m_{n,j}} \right) \cdot e^{(j+kM_n-\varepsilon_n)}$$

convergence sequences in  $X$ . By adding these series, we see that condition 4 holds.

Proof of  $4 \rightarrow 1$ . It follows from theorem (2.1), so under condition 4 the operator  $T$  is Hypercyclic.

Hence it remains to show that  $T$  has a dense set of periodic points. Since  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is an unconditional basis, condition 4 with proposition 2.3 implies that for each  $M_i \in N$  consider the series

$$\varphi_\lambda(j, M_\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_\lambda} m_{k,\lambda}} \cdot e_{j+kM_\lambda} = \prod_{t=1}^j m_{k,\lambda} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{j+kM_\lambda} m_{k,\lambda}} \cdot e_{j+kM_\lambda}, \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

All the series converges and define  $n$  elements in  $X$ . Moreover, if  $M_j \geq 0$  then

$$T_1^{m_{j,1}} T_2^{m_{j,2}} \dots T_3^{m_{j,n}} = \varphi_1(j, M_1) T_1^{M_1} T_2^{M_2} \dots T_3^{M_n} \cdot \varphi_1(j, M_1) = \varpi(j, M_1)$$

if  $N_j \geq 0$  then

$$x_{j+kM_1} = \prod_{t=1}^{M_1} (a_{j+kN+t} \cdot x_{j+k+1}), x_{j+kM_2} = \prod_{t=1}^{M_2} (a_{j+kN+t} \cdot x_{j+k+1}), \dots, x_{j+kM_n} = \prod_{t=1}^{M_n} (a_{j+kN+t} \cdot x_{j+k+1})$$

when  $m_{i,j} \geq M$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . So that each  $\varphi(i, N)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is a periodic point for  $T$ . We

shall show that  $T$  has a dense set of periodic points. Since  $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^{\infty}$  is a basis, it suffices to show that for every element  $x \in \text{span}\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in N\}$  there is a periodic point  $y$  arbitrarily close to it. For this, let

$x = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \cdot e_j$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We can assume without loss of generality that

$$\left| x_1 \cdot \prod_{t=1}^1 a_{1,t} \right| \leq 1, \left| x_2 \cdot \prod_{t=1}^2 a_{2,t} \right| \leq 1, \dots, \left| x_n \cdot \prod_{t=1}^n a_{n,t} \right| \leq 1$$

Since  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an unconditional basis, then condition 4 implies that there are  $M_j \geq m$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=M_1+1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_{1,n} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{\alpha} a_{t,1} e_t} \right) \cdot e_k \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{m_1}, \left\| \sum_{n=M_2+1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_{2,n} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{\alpha} a_{t,2} e_t} \right) \cdot e_k \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{m_2}, \dots, \left\| \sum_{n=M_n+1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_{k,n} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{\alpha} a_{t,n} e_t} \right) \cdot e_k \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{m_n}$$

for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  sequences  $\{\varphi_{\alpha_i}\}$  taking values 0 or 1. By conditions 1 and 2, for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$

the elements  $y_l = \sum_{i=1}^{m_l} x_i$  of  $X$  is a periodic point for  $T$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_\lambda - x\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} (x_i \cdot \psi(i, M_\varphi) - e_i) \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} (x_i \cdot \prod_{t=1}^i d_t, M_\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{i+kM_\lambda} a_t, M_\lambda} + e_i + M_\lambda \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} \left\| \left( x_i \cdot \prod_{t=1}^i d_t, M_\lambda \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{i+kM_\lambda} a_t, M_\lambda} + e_i + M_\lambda \right) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^{i+kM_\lambda} a_t, M_\lambda} + e_i + M_\lambda \right) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

So we find  $|y_\lambda - x| < \varepsilon$ . By this, the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] G. Godefroy, J. H. Shapiro. (1991). Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds. *J. Func. Anal.*, Vol. 98. No. 2. 229-269.
- [2] Mezban Habibi. (2012). Infinity Tuples of Bounded Linear Operators on Banach Space, *Inter. Math. Forum*. Vol. 7. No. 18. 861-866.
- [3] Mezban Habibi. (2012). n-Tuples and Chaoticity, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*. Vol. 6. No. 14. 651-657.
- [4] Mezban Habibi. (2014). On Hilbert-Schmidt Tuples of Commutative Bounded Linear Operators on Separable Banach Spaces, *Inter. Jour. of Mathematics Trends and Technology*. Vol. 8. No. 2. 103-111.
- [5] Mezban Habibi, B. Yousefi. (2010). Conditions for a Tuple of Operators to be Topologically Mixing, *Int. Jour. App. Math.*, Vol. 23 No. 6. 973-976.