Journal of Plant Physiology and Breeding ISSN: 2008-5168 2010, 1(1): 49-56



# Karyotypic Study in Some Iranian Local Onion Populations R Paknia<sup>1</sup> and G Karimzadeh<sup>1\*</sup>

Received: 2 February 2010 Accepted: 6 November 2010

<sup>1</sup>Plant Breeding and Biotechnology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran,

Iran

\*Corresponding author Email: <u>Karimzadeh\_g@modares.ac.ir</u>

#### **Abstract**

A karyotypic study was performed on 12 Iranian local onion (*Allium cepa* L.) populations. A number of mitotic cells at metaphase stage for each population were prepared. Chromosomes of suitable mitotic cells were counted and various parameters, including long arm (L), short arm (S), total length of chromosome (TL), relative length of chromosome (RL), arm ratio (AR), r-value, total chromosome volume (TCV) and centromeric index (CI), were measured. All populations were diploid with 2n=2x=16 and they were differentiated by their karyotype formula and parameters. Chromosome length varied in the populations and the highest quantity of chromatinic material was found in BehdashtShahrud onion. The onion populations under study occupied classes 1A and 2A of Stebbins' karyotype classification, indicating the presence of a primitive symmetrical karyotype in these populations. The mean chromosome length ranged from 8.54 to 11.97 μm. Haploid genome length was in the range of 67.79 to 96.65 μm and mean centromeric index (CI) of complements varied from 41.1 to 43.7%.The chromosome types were detected as mostly metacentrics "m" and some submetacentrics "sm", showing the karyotype formula of 8m (two populations), 7m+1sm (eight populations) and 6m+2sm (two populations). The cluster analysis using chromosomal parameters and based on Ward's minimum variance algorithm assigned the populations into two groups.

**Keywords**: Allium cepa, Centromeric index, Chromosome type, Karyotype, Onion

## Introduction

The genus *Allium* includes agronomically useful species. The taxonomic position of onion and related genera has long been a matter of controversy. In early classifications of angiosperms, *Allium* species were placed in the Liliaceae but later, they were more often included in the Amaryllidaceae on the basis of their florescence structure. *Alliumcepa* is one of the oldest cultivated vegetables, recorded for over 4000 years (Fritsch and Friesen 2002, Phillip and Jenderek 2003). It is a biennial plant

growing vegetatively one year and produces its seeds in the second year after prior exposing to a period of cold temperatures (Kovatch 2003). The *Allium* genus has great economic significance because it includes several important vegetable crops and ornamental species. All plant parts of onions except the seeds may be consumed by human, and wild species are exploited by local inhabitants. Onion is propagated by seeds, bulbs or sets (small bulbs).

Currently, there are different opinions about the number of species in this genus (Xingjin et al. 2000). Estimations indicate about 750 species in the genus Allium (Stearn 1992) and 650 more synonymous species names exist (Gregory et al. 1998). The species of section cepa are diploid (2n=2x=16) occurrence occasional although the individual tetraploid bulbs has been reported contrary to what is found in other Allium groups. The chromosomes are either metacentric or submetacentric which differs somewhat in their length; only the satellite chromosome is subtelocentric pair (subacrocentric) and the satellites being attached to the short arms. Most species of the section cepahave very small dot like satellite, as in other subgroups of this genus (Fritsch and Friesen 2002).

In spite of the cytological similarities between the species of section cepa, there are barriers strong crossing between preventing interspecific gene flow even where sympatric distribution of two species occurs. Although in modern breeding programs, many classical cultivar groups have been crossed, the boundaries between the different taxa are becoming blurred, making it difficult to place materials within the scheme (Fritsch and Friesen 2002). To expand the genetic variation of onion, onion has been crossed with other Allium species, e.g.A. sativum (Yamashitaet al. 2002). Since onion is regarded as an important crop worldwide, for decades there have been well-established onion breeding and seed production programs in the world, developing short intermediate and long-day cultivars. Today, almost 90% of the cultivars used come from local breeding programs (Martinez et al. 2000, Paknia et al. 2007). Chromosome identification is essential for biotechnological studies including genome analysis, somatic hybridization and ploidy manipulation (Yamamoto and Tominaga 2004). Karyotype features allow individual species to be distinguished. Thus, chromosome variation, although not always large, has accompanied evolutionary divergence of the taxa studied, a general phenomenon observed in both the plant and animal kingdoms (Acosta et al. 2005). Knowledge of karyotype relationships is an important prerequisite for effective plant genetic and breeding studies (Martinez-Gomez et al. 2003) and also provides valuable information related to the mechanism of genome evolution (Wilkinson 1994). Widely different karyotypes have been found among plants with the same chromosome number, and the relationships between karyotypes are not easily inferred from the morphology of the chromosomes (Brighton 1976). Distinguishing chromosomes based on the length may be charged with significant error due to variation chromosome contraction within chromosome complement in either one or different cells (Bajer 1959).

Hybrids obtained from the pollination of *Allium cepa*by other species were easily identified due to the presence of acrocentric chromosomes characteristic for the paternal genotypes (Keller *et al.* 1996). The onion breeding is slow process primarily due to the biennial nature of this outcrossing species (Alan *et al.* 2003). Alteration in chromosome

number and structure raises question about the origin, extent and evolutionary relationships of chromosome variants. Cytological variation also needs to be taken into account when conservation strategies are planned for the restoration of depleted populations, or the establishment of new ones, as the mixing of cytotypes can lead to hybrids (Murray and Young 2001). Cytotaxonomic studies provide taxonomic insight, at different hierarchical levels, not only through the determination of chromosome numbers, but also through the elaboration and comparison of karyotypes, in addition to the analysis of interphase nuclei and of chromatinic condensation standards in prophase. Cytotaxonomic studies can also contribute to discussions on evolutionary trends through chromosome changes.

The aims of this study were i) to quantify the cytological variation among 12 Iranian local onion populations, using karyotype analysis and ii) to establish karyologic relationship among the populations.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Seeds of 12 Iranian local onion populations (Table 1) obtained from Seed and Plant Improvement Institute (SPII), Karaj, Iran, were germinated on damp filter paper in petri dishes at room temperature. For the analysis of somatic chromosomes, 1-1.5 cm long fresh root tips were collected from rapidly growing germinating seeds. Different protocols for pretreatment were tested and the best result was obtained from 8 mM of 8-hydroxyquinoline in darkness at room temperature for 2.5 h. Sample

roots were subsequently washed three times distilled water (each 5 min) at room temperature. They were then fixed in Carnoy's fixative (glacial acetic acid: ethanol; 3:1) for overnight at 4°C. After thorough washing with distilled water, accesses transferred to 70% (v/v) aqueous ethanol and stored in a refrigerator until use. Hydrolysis was carried out with 1 M HCl for 8-12 min at 60°C. Thereafter, root tips were stained in 2% (w/v) aceto-carmine for 7-8 h at 20°C according to Ostergren and Haneen (1962). The stained root tips were squashed in a drop of 45% (v/v) acetic acid. The slides were frozen in liquid nitrogen to permit coverslip removal and permanently mounted.

At least five well-spread metaphase plates from different individuals were analyzed per population. The best metaphasic plates were photographed, using a camera attached to the BX50 Olympus microscope and then scanned at 200-resolution and loaded in Photoshop 8.0. Chromosome morphology was described using nomenclatures proposed by Levan *et al.* (1964). For numerical characterization, arm ratio (AR) of each pair, relative length of chromosome (RL), ratio between the longest and the shortest chromosome pair (r-value), form percentage (%F) and total form percentage (%TF) were calculated.. AR, RL, r-value, %F and %TF were calculated as follows:

$$AR = \frac{L}{S}; \quad RL = \frac{TL}{\sum TL}; \quad r - value = \frac{S}{L};$$
$$\%F = \frac{S}{TL} \times 100; \% \text{ TF} = \frac{\sum S}{\sum TL} \times 100$$

The karyotype symmetry classes of Stebbins (1971) were further quantitatively differentiated into finer karyotype evolutionary gradations, the parameter of chromosome-dispersion (Lavania and Srivastava 1999). The values of Dispersion Index (DI) for a given karyotype were estimated from the following equations:

$$CG = \frac{S\overline{x}}{TL\overline{x}} \times 100$$

Where CG is the centromeric gradient,  $S\overline{x}$  is the length of median short arm and  $TL\overline{x}$  is the total length of median chromosome.

$$CV = \frac{SD}{\overline{X}} \times 100$$

Where SD is standard deviation,  $\overline{X}$  is mean chromosome length and CV is coefficient of variation for chromosome length.

DI = Proportionate measure of CG with respect to CV

Total volume of chromosome (TVC) was estimated, using the following formula:

$$TVC = \pi r^2 \times TL$$

Where  $\pi$  is the ratio of a circle's circumference (= 3.14), "r" and TL are the average chromosome radius and total chromosome length, respectively.

To estimate karyotype asymmetry, two numerical parameters were used according to Romero-Zarco (1986) method as follows:

$$A1 = \frac{\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{S\overline{x}}{L\overline{x}}}{n}$$

Where  $S\overline{x}$  and  $L\overline{x}$  are the mean length of the short and long arms of each pair of homologs,

respectively, n is the number of homologs and A1 is intrachromosomal index.

$$A2 = \frac{S}{\overline{X}}$$

Where S and  $\overline{X}$  are standard deviation and mean chromosome length, respectively and A2 is interchromosomal index.

The resultant data were first examined for normality test and then analyzed according to a completely randomized design with five replications of metaphase cells. Tukey's test was carried out for population mean comparisons (Coulaud et al. 1999, Guillermo Seijo and Fernandez 2003; Mahdavi and Karimzadeh 2010, Karimzadeh et al. 2011). Cluster analysis was performed using Ward's method and squared minimum variance Euclidean distance coefficient. **Principal** component analysis (PCA) was carried out to differentiate the studied populations based on karyotype parameters (Jolliffe 1986).

## **Results and Discussion**

Karyotype formula and parameters for 12 Iranian local onion populations are summarized in Table 2. Figure 1 illustrates the mitotic metaphases and Figure 2 demonstrates their respective idiograms. All populations were diploid with 2n=2x=16 chromosomes. The chromosome number (2n=2x=16) identified for Iranian local populations in the present study was in agreement with the number reported by Xingjin *et al.* (2000) and Fritsch and Friesen (2002). In our best knowledge, this is the first published cytological work n Iranian local population.

Analysis of variance indicated significant differences among populations for most of the karyological parameters (Table 3). Means are shown in Table 4. Chromosome variation among populations of the same species has been observed in many plant groups (Maffei et al. 1999). Among the populations studied, the highest total chromosomes length, the longest chromosomes, shortest chromosome, centromeric index (CI) and total chromosome length were observed in BehdashtShahrud population  $(11.97, 6.93, 5.046, 0.442 \text{ and } 96.65 \mu m,$ respectively) and the lowest values were detected in White Khomein. White Sary onion had higher total volume (34.5 µm<sup>3</sup>) and White Ghom possessed the largest dispersion index (6.974) among the populations examined. Karyotype asymmetry was determined for all populations in which TF%, S% and Romero-Zarco (1986) indices showed higher degree of symmetry; the karyotypes of BehdashtShahrud population were the most asymmetric. Total analysis showed that symmetrical karyotype had median to nearly median chromosome with a moderate fluctuation in TF% values (from 40.51% in Red Ray to 43.46% in Red Neyshabur). The maximum asymmetry value is 50% where chromosomes are metacentric (Huziwara 1962). The gradual alterations to shifting of TF% values may be due to the chromosomal abnormalities. The structural alterations in chromosome morphology, as well as the variations of secondary constricted chromosomes may be due to chromosome duplication translocations between chromosomes with or without secondary

constrictions at a very early stage of evolution (Das 1991, Das *et al.* 1998, Mohanty *et al.* 2004).

In our study, the mean chromosome length ranged from 8.54 to 11.97 µm. Haploid genome length varied from 67.79 to 96.65 µm (Table 4). The mean centromeric index in the complement differed from 41.1% to 44.2% (Table 4). DI has found as a useful parameter to differentiate quantitatively the closely related karyotypes belonging to the same class of symmetry (Lavania and Srivastava 1999). In this study, the higher values of DI indicated higher levels of karyotype specialization. The estimated for the homology chromosome/chromosome arms, spread over populations, is considered to serve as a general guiding parameter to detect the extent of gradual variation (Table 2). As a whole, karyotypes of the populations examined had predominance of either "m" (centromere at median region) or "sm" (sub-metacentric) chromosome types (Table 2). In other words, the most common haploid formula among Iranian local Allium cepa populations was 7m+1sm (eight populations), followed by 6m+2sm, (two populations) and 8m (two populations) (Table 2). Unal et al. (1997) with the analysis of *Allium enginii* karyotypes identified 16 somatic chromosomes (2n=2x=16) with total haploid chromosome length of 80.29 µm. The chromosome length was changed from 8.43 to 11.83 µm and the arms ratio was ranged between 1.02 and 1.46. All of the eight haploid chromosomes of Allium enginii were metacentrics (Unal et al. 1997),

2010, 1(1): 49-56

while in our study, the *Allium cepa* populations showed differed chromosome types.

Stebbins (1971) found that increased karyotype asymmetry is associated with increased morphological specialization. In general, the karyotypes of onion populations in our study were mostly symmetrical and fell in Stebbins 1A category of symmetry, except for Ramhormoz, Red Ray and White Sary populations which fell in 2A category (Table 2). Romero-Zarco (1986) indices permitted the detection of slight differences populations. These indices (A1 and A2) showed small ranges of between-population variations (Table 1). The karyotype asymmetry of the studied populations was very similar, in particular the values of the A1 intrachromosomal index parameter, the highest value (0.317) was found in the Red Ray population. The diversity was found in the presence, or in the absence, of chromosomes with satellites on either short or long arm. Satellites were detected in one or two chromosome pairs in the karyotype of onion populations tested. Most of populations possessed a satellite on 6L, except in GholyGesehZanjan in which the satellite was not detected (Table 2, Figure 2).

Genetic relationship among populations was assessed by cluster analysis. Grouping based on Ward's minimum variance algorithm and squared Euclidean distance coefficient assigned the populations into two clusters (Figure 3). Cluster I consisted of nine populations (Dorche Isfahan, holyGesehZanjan, Ramhormoz, White Ghom, Red AzarShahr, White Khomein, White Neyshabur, Red Ray, RedNeyshabur) and Cluster II contained three

populations (White Kashan, BehdashtShahrud, White Sary). This clustering,based on cytogenetic data, showed close relationship between three populations (White Kashan, White Sary, BehdashtShahrud), supporting morphological characteristics of the genus (Paknia *et al.* 2007). These populations appeared to be very similar, locating closely to each other in a single cluster, while other cluster showed to be distant.

The principal component analysis (PCA) based on karyotypic parameters showed that the first two principal components account for the 84.7% of the total variations and they were projected in a two-dimensional graphic (Figure 4). The first component (46.7%) emphasized the position of the centromer, while the second component (38%) accentuated variation in complement length. The arrangement of populations based on PCA was fully agreed with the result of cluster analysis. Differences in karyotype formula and asymmetry indices found among onion populations suggest that structural changes may have contributed to the diversification of the studied populations.

In conclusion, the main object of the present report was to select onion populations with the most homology in chromosomal variations for the purpose of crossing in plant breeding programs. Crosses of Dorche Isfahan, White Ghom, White Khomein, Red Ray and White Kashan populations by GholyGesehZanjan Ramhormoz, or Red AzarShahr, White Neyshabur, RedNeyshabur and White Saryare, therefore suggested for obtaining the higher genetic variation. This type of analysis can help breeders choosing diverse

parents for heterosis breeding programs aimed at varietal improvement.

# Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by a grant of the Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

### References

- Acosta MC, Bernardello G, Guerra M and Moscone EA, 2005. Karyotype analysis in several South American species of *Solanum* and *Lycianthesrantonnei* (Solanaceae). Taxon 54: 713–723.
- Alan AR, Mutschler MA, Brants A, Cobb E and Earle ED, 2003. Production of gynogenic plants from hybrids of *Allium cepa* L. and *A. roylei* stern. Plant Science 165: 1201-1211.
- Bajer A, 1959. Change in length and volume of mitotic chromosomes in living cells. Heredity 45: 579-596.
- Brighton CA, 1976. Cytological problems in the genus *Crocus* (Iridaceae) I.*Crocus vernus* aggregate. KewBulletin 31: 33-46.
- Coulaud J, Barghi N, Lefebvre C and Siljak-Yakovlev S, 1999. Cytogenetic variation in populations of *Armeria maritime* (Mill) wild in relation to geographical distribution and soil stress tolerances. Canadian Journal of Botany77: 673-685.
- Das AB, 1991.Chromosomal variability in relation with 4C DNA content in the subtribeCarinae. Cytologia 56: 627-632.
- Das AB, Rai S and Das P, 1998. Karyotype analysis and 4C DNA content in some cultivars of ginger (*Zingiberofficinale* Ross). Cytobios 93: 175-184.
- Fritsch RM and Friesen N, 2002. Evolution, domestication and taxonomy of *Allium* genus. In: Rabinowitch HD (Ed). *Allium* Crop Science: Recent Advances. CABI Publishing, UK, Pp. 5-30.
- Fry JC, 1993. Biological Data Analysis.Oxford IRL Press, UK.
- Gregory M, Fritsch RM, Friesen N, Khassanov FO and Mc-Neal DW, 1998. Nomenclature *Allium*. *Allium* Names and Synayms- a World Guide. Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, UK.
- Guillermo Seijo J and Fernandez A, 2003. Karyotype analysis and chromosome evolution in South American species of *Latyrus*(Leguminosae). American Journal of Botany 90: 980-987.
- Huziwara Y, 1962. Karyotype analysis in some genera of Composite. VIII. Further studies on the chromosome of aster. American Journal of Botany49: 116-119.
- Jolliffel, 1986. Principal Component Analysis. Springer Verlag Inc., New York, USA.
- Karimzadeh G, Danesh-GilevaeiM and Aghaalikhani M, 2011. Karyotypic and nuclear DNA variations in Lathyrussativus (Fabaceae).Caryologia, 64(1): (In Press).
- Keller ERJ, Schubert I, Fuchs J and Meister A, 1996. Interspecific crosses of onion with distant *Allium* species and characterization of the presumed hybrids by means of flow cytometry, karyotype analysis and genomic *in situ* hybridization. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 92: 417-424.
- Kovatch JT, 2003. Onion (*Allium cepa*). *Allium cepa* var. Aggregatum. Master Gardeners: Multiplier Onion. <a href="http://www.co.ozaukee.wi.us/MasterGardener/Journal/MultOnion.PDF">http://www.co.ozaukee.wi.us/MasterGardener/Journal/MultOnion.PDF</a>.
- Lavania UC and Srivastava S, 1999. Quantitative delineation of karyotype variation in *Papaver* as a measure of phylogenetic differentiation and origin. Current Science 77: 429-435.
- Levan A, FredgaK and Sandberg A, 1964. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosome. Hereditas, 52: 201-220.
- Maffei EMD, Marin-Morales MA, RuasCF and Matzenacher NL, 1999. Chromosomal polymorphism in 12 populations of *Mikaniamicrantha*(Composite). Genetics and Molecular Biology 22: 433-444.
- Mahdavi S and Karimzadeh G, 2010. Karyological and nuclear DNA content variation in some Iranian endemic *Thymus* species (Lamiaceae). Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology (JAST), 12: 447-458.
- http://www.jast.ir/?\_action=showPDF&article=356&\_ob=f6268c4564db6f9dbd1cad004d69ab5d&fileName=full\_text.pdf.

Martinez LE, Aguero CB, Lopez ME and Galmarini CR, 2000. Improvement of *in vitro*gynogenesis induction in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) using polymines. Plant Science 156: 221-226.

Martinez-Gomez P, Vaknin Y, GradzielTM and Dicenta F, 2003. Karyotype analysis in Almond. ISHS ActaHorticulturae 622. <a href="http://www.actahort.org/books/622/">http://www.actahort.org/books/622/</a>.

Mohanty IC, Mahapatra D, MohantyS and DasAB, 2004. Karyotype analyses and studies on the nuclear DNA content in 30 genotypes of potato (*Solanumtuberosum* L.). Cell Biology International 28: 625-633.

Murray BG and Young AG, 2001. Widespread chromosome variation in the endangered grassland herb *Rutidosisleptorrhynchoides* F. Muell. (Asteraceae: Gnaphalieae). Annals of Botany 87: 83-90.

Ostergren G and Haneen KW, 1962.A squash technique for chromosome morphological studies. Heredity 48: 332-341.

Paknia R, Karimzadeh G and Khodadadi M, 2007. Studies of morphological variation and path analysis in some Iranian native onion (*Allium cepa* L.) populations. Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 38-1(1): 131-140 (In Farsi with English Abstract)

Phillip NS and Jenderek M, 2003.Flowering, seed production, and the genetics of garlic breeding. Plant Breeding 23: 211-244.

Rohlf, FJ, 2000. NTSYS-pc: Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System. Version 2.1. Exeter Publications, New York, USA.

Romero-Zarco CA, 1986. A new method for estimating karyotype asymmetry. Taxon 35: 526-530.

Ryan B and Joiner BL, 2001. Minitab Handbook, Fourth edition. Duxbury Press, California, USA.

Srivastava MS, 2002. Methods of Multivariate Statistics. John Wiley and Sons, USA.

Stearn WT, 1992. How many species of Alliumare known? Kew Magazine 9: 180-182.

Stebbins GL, 1971. Chromosomal Evaluations in Higher Plants. Edward Arnold Publisher, London, UK.

Ünal F, DumanH and Özhatay N, 1997. Karyotypic study in *Allium enginii*N. Özhatay and B. Mathew.GaziÜniv Fen, BilimDergCilt 10(4): 515-521.

Wilkinson MJ, 1994. Genome Evolution in Potatoes. In: Bradshaw JE and MacKay GR (Eds). Potato Genetics. Pp. 56-57. Cab International, Wallingford.

Xingjin HE, Song GE, JiemeiXU and Deyun H, 2000. Phylogeny of Chinese *Allium* using PCR-RFLP analysis. Science in China 43: 454-463.

Yamamoto M and Tominaga S, 2004. Chromosome identification in haploid clementne (*Citrus clementina* hort. Ex Tanaka) by fluorescent staining. Scientia Horticulturae 101: 201-206.

Yamashita K-I, Hisatsune Y, Sakamoto T, Ishizuka K and Tashiro Y, 2002. Chromosome and cytoplasm analyses of somatic hybrids between onion (*Allium cepa* L.) and garlic (*A. sativum* L.). Euphytica 125(2): 163-167.