

## LIGHTLIKE RULED AND REVOLUTION SURFACES IN $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ \*

A. ALTIN<sup>1</sup>, H. KABADAYI<sup>2\*\*</sup> AND A. SABUNCUOGLU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hacettepe University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 06550 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Ankara University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 06100 Tandogan, Ankara, Turkey

Email: ayse@hacettepe.edu.tr, kabadayi@science.ankara.edu.tr & sabuncuoglu@science.ankara.edu.tr

**Abstract** – In this paper lightlike ruled surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}_1^3=(\mathbb{R}^3,-dx^2+dy^2+dz^2)$  are studied with respect to whether ruling curves are spacelike or null. It is seen that, in the first case the Gaussian curvature of the ruled surfaces vanishes. In the second case the Gaussian curvature of the ruled surfaces are negative. In the second case lightlike ruled surfaces are totally umbilical. Furthermore, lightlike surfaces of revolution are shown to be only cones, and the second type lightlike ruled surface.

**Keywords** – Lightlike surface, lightlike ruled surface, lightlike revolution surface

### 1. INTRODUCTION

From the point of view of physics, lightlike surfaces are of importance because they are models of different types of horizons (event horizons, Cauchy's horizons, Kruskal horizons) studied in relativity theory [1-3]. Lightlike hypersurfaces are also studied in the theory of electromagnetism ([4] chapter 8). In [5], Kilic and Karadag study the geometric properties of two classes of ruled surfaces  $(M, g_{ab})$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1^4$ . They classify the surface  $M$  as type I and type II according to  $g_{11}=0$  or  $g_{22}=0$  respectively. Then they prove that  $M$  of type I (respectively  $M$  of type II when it is cylindrical ruled surface) admit an induced metric connection. In this paper we use the classical notation of surface theory; for this purpose we can give [6] as a general reference. Let  $\varphi(s, t)$  be a local parameterization of surface  $M$  in Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ . For the space  $M$  to be lightlike we showed that one of the  $\varphi_s, \varphi_t$  has to be spacelike vector and the other is null vector. The general theory of lightlike submanifolds [4] uses a non degenerate screen distribution which (due to the degenerate induced metric) is not unique. Therefore, the induced objects of submanifolds depend upon the choice of a screen. We choose screen distribution of  $M$  as  $Sp\{\varphi_s\}$  or as  $Sp\{\varphi_t\}$ . Noting that a ruled surface in  $\mathbb{R}_1^3$  can be expressed as  $\varphi(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t\beta(s)$  in terms of a directrix curve  $\alpha$  and a vector field  $\beta$  pointing along the ruling. We then considered two cases; one of which,  $\varphi_s$ , is a null vector  $\varphi_t$  is a spacelike vector, and in the second case  $\varphi_t$  is a null vector and  $\varphi_s$  is a spacelike vector. In the first case we proved that the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  vanishes, in the second case we showed that the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  is negative and lightlike ruled surfaces are totally umbilical.

Let  $M$  be a hypersurface of a  $(m+2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  of index  $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$ ,  $m > 0$ . For  $u \in M$   $T_u M$  is a hyperplane of the semi-Euclidean space  $(T_u \bar{M}, \bar{g}_u)$ .  $T_u M^\perp$  and  $RadT_u M$  are defined as follows

$$T_u M^\perp = \{V_u \in T_u \bar{M} : \bar{g}_u(V_u, W_u) = 0, \forall W_u \in T_u M\} \text{ and } RadT_u M = T_u M \cap T_u M^\perp$$

We say that  $M$  is a lightlike (null, degenerate) hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$  if  $RadT_u M \neq \{0\}$  at every

\*Received by the editor September 30, 2008 and in final revised form August 11, 2010

\*\*Corresponding author

$u \in M$ . The semi-Riemannian metric  $\bar{g}$  on  $\bar{M}$  induces on  $M$  a symmetric tensor field  $g$  of type  $(0, 2)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a hypersurface of a  $(m+2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ .  $M$  is a lightlike hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$  if and only if

$$RadTM = TM^\perp \setminus \{0\}.$$

The complementary vector bundle  $S(TM)$  of  $RadTM$  in  $TM$  is called screen distribution on  $M$ . i.e.,

$$TM = RadTM \oplus S(TM) \tag{1}$$

$S(TM)$  is a non-degenerate distribution, and the rank of  $RadTM$  is 1. Note that  $S(TM)$  is not unique [4].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(M, g, S(TM))$  be a lightlike hypersurface of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ . Then there exists a unique vector bundle  $tr(TM)$  of rank 1 over  $M$ , such that for any non-zero section  $\xi$  of  $TM^\perp$  on a coordinate neighbourhood  $U \subset M$ , there exists a unique lightlike section  $N$  of  $tr(TM)$  on  $U$  satisfying

$$\bar{g}(N, \xi) = 1 \text{ and } N \perp S(TM) \tag{2}$$

It follows from (2) that  $tr(TM)$  is a lightlike vector bundle such that

$$tr(TM)_u \cap T_u M = \{0\} \text{ for any } u \in M.$$

Moreover, we have the following decompositions of  $T\bar{M}|_M$

$$T\bar{M}|_M = S(TM) \oplus (TM^\perp \oplus tr(TM)) = TM \oplus tr(TM). \tag{3}$$

We called  $tr(TM)$  in theorem 1, the lightlike transversal vector bundle of  $M$  with respect to  $S(TM)$ .

Let  $(M, g)$  be a lightlike hypersurface of a  $(m+2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  be the Levi-Civita connection on  $\bar{M}$ , with respect to  $\bar{g}$ . Because of the decomposition in (3), we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \text{ for any } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM) \tag{4}$$

where  $\nabla_X Y$  belong to  $\Gamma(TM)$  and  $h(X, Y)$  belong to  $\Gamma(tr(TM))$ . It can readily be seen that  $\nabla$  is a torsion-free linear connection on  $M$ , and  $h$  is a  $\Gamma(tr(TM))$ -valued symmetric  $F(M)$ -bilinear form on  $\Gamma(TM)$ . Let  $\{\xi, N\}$  be a pair of section on  $U \subset M$  in theorem 1. We may define a symmetric  $F(M)$ -bilinear form  $B$  given by

$$B(X, Y) = \bar{g}(h(X, Y), \xi), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM|_U). \tag{5}$$

For  $u \in M$ , if we choose a null plane  $H$  of  $T_u M$  directed by  $\xi_u \in T_u M^\perp$ , we define the null sectional curvature of  $H$  with respect  $\xi_u$  and  $\nabla$  as

$$K_{\xi_u}(H) = \frac{g_u(R(W_u, \xi_u)\xi_u, W_u)}{g_u(W_u, W_u)} \tag{6}$$

where  $W_u$  is an arbitrary non-null vector in  $H$ . We define the curvature tensor field  $R$  of type  $(1, 3)$  given by

$$R(X, Y) = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z) - \nabla_Y(\nabla_X Z) - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z \text{ for any } X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM) \tag{7}$$

here  $[ , ]$  is the bracket product [4].

**Definition 1.** Let  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  and  $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$  be two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The cross product of these vectors is [7].

$$v \times w = (v_2 w_3 - v_3 w_2, v_1 w_3 - v_3 w_1, v_2 w_1 - v_1 w_2)$$

**Proposition 2.** The following facts for the standard cross product in  $\mathbb{R}^3_1$  hold.

- i)  $\bar{g}(uxv, u)=0$  and  $\bar{g}(uxv, v)=0$ .
- ii)  $\bar{g}(uxv, uxv)=-\bar{g}(u, u)\bar{g}(v, v)+(\bar{g}(u, v))^2$ ,
- iii) Let  $u$  be a spacelike vector,  $v$  be a null vector.  $\bar{g}(u, v)\neq 0$  if and only if  $uxv$  is spacelike,  $\bar{g}(u, v)=0$  if and only if  $uxv$  is null [8].
- iv) If  $u$  and  $v$  are null vectors then  $uxv$  is a spacelike vector.
- v) If  $u$  is a timelike vector,  $v$  is a null vector, then  $uxv$  is a spacelike vector.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two null vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ . Then  $\bar{g}(u, v)=0$  if and only if  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent.

### 2. LIGHTLIKE SURFACES

We use the classical notation of surface theory; for this purpose we can give [6] as a general reference. Let  $\varphi(s, t)$  be a local parameterization of surface  $M$  in Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ . Then the coefficients  $E, F, G$  of the first fundamental form of this surface are given by

$$E=\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_s), F=\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_t), G=\bar{g}(\varphi_t, \varphi_t). \tag{8}$$

We know that  $TM=Sp(\varphi_s, \varphi_t)$  and  $\{\varphi_s, \varphi_t\}$  are linearly independent. From Proposition 2 we have

$$\bar{g}(\varphi_s \times \varphi_t, \varphi_s \times \varphi_t)=-\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_s)\bar{g}(\varphi_t, \varphi_t)+\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_t)^2=-EG+F^2.$$

In order for the surface to be lightlike it is necessary that  $\varphi_s \times \varphi_t$  be a null vector. This means that  $-EG+F^2=0$ . Since  $\varphi_s \times \varphi_t$  is perpendicular to both  $\varphi_s$  and  $\varphi_t$ , we have  $\varphi_s \times \varphi_t \in TM^\perp$ . Note that  $TM^\perp=RadTM$  and the rank of  $RadTM$  is 1. Hence  $RadTM=Sp\{\varphi_s \times \varphi_t\}$ . Since  $\varphi_s \times \varphi_t$  is null, from proposition 2, then  $\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_t)=0$  and one element of the set  $\{\varphi_s, \varphi_t\}$  is null and the other is spacelike.

(i) Suppose that  $\varphi_s$  is a null vector. From proposition 3,  $\varphi_s$  and  $\varphi_s \times \varphi_t$  are linearly dependent. Therefore,  $RadTM=Sp\{\varphi_s\}$  and  $TM=Sp\{\varphi_s\} \perp Sp\{\varphi_t\}$ . Thus we may choose  $S(TM)=Sp\{\varphi_t\}$  as a screen distribution of  $M$ .

(ii) If  $\varphi_t$  is a null vector then for the same reasons  $RadTM=Sp\{\varphi_t\}$  and  $S(TM)=Sp\{\varphi_s\}$ .

### 3. LIGHTLIKE RULED SURFACES

$M$  stands for the ruled surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ . A ruled surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3_1$  can be expressed as  $\varphi(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t\beta(s)$  in terms of a directrix curve  $\alpha$  and a vector field  $\beta$  pointing along the ruling. According to Section 2, one element of the set  $\{\varphi_s, \varphi_t\}$  has to be null and the other spacelike.

If  $\varphi_s$  is a null vector and  $\varphi_t$  is a spacelike vector or vice versa then

$$\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_t)=0 \text{ implies that } \bar{g}(\alpha', \beta)=0. \tag{9}$$

**Case 1.** Let  $\varphi_s$  be a null vector and  $\varphi_t$  be a spacelike vector. We may take  $\varphi_t$  as a unit vector. Hence  $\bar{g}(\varphi_t, \varphi_t)=\bar{g}(\beta, \beta)=1$ . From Section 2 we have

$$RadTM=Sp\{\varphi_s\}, S(TM)=Sp\{\varphi_t\}=Sp\{\beta\}.$$

Since  $\alpha' \in \Gamma(TM)$  then  $\alpha'=a\varphi_s+b\varphi_t$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers. From (9) we get,  $\bar{g}(\alpha', \beta)=b=0$  i.e,

$$\alpha'=a\varphi_s=a(\alpha'+t\beta') \tag{10}$$

Here, either  $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$  is linearly dependent or  $\beta'=0$ .

In the case of  $\beta'=0$ , we have  $\beta=\text{constant}$ , and then the surface is a cylinder.

In the case  $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$  is linearly dependent: From (10) we may take  $RadTM = Sp\{\alpha'\}$ .

From theorem 1, there exists a basis  $\{\alpha', N, \beta\}$  of  $T\mathbb{R}^3|_M$  such that

$$\bar{g}(\alpha', \alpha') = \bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \Gamma(S(TM)) \text{ and } \bar{g}(\alpha', N) = 1$$

From (6), the Gaussian curvature of  $M$  with respect to  $\alpha'$  and  $\nabla$  is

$$K_{\alpha'} = \frac{g(R(\beta, \alpha')\alpha', \beta)}{g(\beta, \beta)}. \tag{11}$$

(7) leads to

$$R(\beta, \alpha')\alpha' = \nabla_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha'}\alpha') - \nabla_{\alpha'}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha') - \nabla_{[\beta, \alpha']}\alpha'. \tag{12}$$

Readily we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha'}\alpha' &= \Gamma_{11}^1\alpha', \\ \nabla_{\beta}\alpha' &= \Gamma_{12}^1\alpha' + \Gamma_{12}^2\beta, \\ \nabla_{\alpha'}\beta &= \Gamma_{21}^1\alpha' + \Gamma_{21}^2\beta, \\ \nabla_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha'}\alpha') &= \Gamma_{11}^1(\Gamma_{12}^1\alpha' + \Gamma_{12}^2\beta), \\ \nabla_{\alpha'}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha') &= (\Gamma_{12}^1\Gamma_{11}^1 + \Gamma_{12}^2\Gamma_{12}^1)\alpha' + (\Gamma_{12}^2)^2\beta, \\ \nabla_{[\beta, \alpha']}\alpha' &= \frac{\partial s}{\partial \alpha'}\nabla_{\alpha'}\alpha' = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \alpha'}\Gamma_{11}^1\alpha' \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{\Gamma_{11}^1, \Gamma_{12}^1, \Gamma_{12}^2, \Gamma_{21}^1, \Gamma_{21}^2\}$  are the coefficients of the induced linear connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  with respect to the frame field  $\{\alpha', \beta\}$ ; Here  $\Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{21}^2, \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{21}^1 + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \alpha'}$ , [4]. Inserting these values in (11) we get

$$K_{\alpha'} = \Gamma_{12}^2(-\Gamma_{12}^2 + \Gamma_{11}^1). \tag{13}$$

Note that  $\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'}\beta = \beta'$ . Since  $\{\alpha', \beta'\}$  is linearly dependent (or  $\beta' = 0$ ), we have  $\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'}\beta = \beta' = \lambda\alpha', \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'}\beta = \nabla_{\alpha'}\beta + h(\alpha', \beta) = \lambda\alpha'$ , i.e.  $h(\alpha', \beta) = 0$  and since  $\nabla_{\alpha'}\beta = \Gamma_{21}^1\alpha' + \Gamma_{21}^2\beta = \lambda\alpha'$  we have  $\Gamma_{21}^2 = 0$  and  $\Gamma_{21}^1 = \lambda$ . Plugging this value in (13) we obtain  $K_{\alpha'} = 0$ .

**Case 2.** Let  $\varphi_t = \beta$  is a null vector,  $\varphi_s$  is a spacelike vector. In this case  $M$  is called a second type lightlike surface. From Section 2, we have  $RadTM = Sp\{\beta\}, S(TM) = Sp\{\varphi_s\}$ . We know that  $TM = Sp\{\beta, \varphi_s\}$ . Since  $\alpha' \in \Gamma(TM)$  we write  $\alpha' = c_1\beta + c_2\varphi_s, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $g(\alpha', \alpha') = c^2g(\varphi_s, \varphi_s)$ . Since  $\varphi_s$  is a spacelike vector, then  $\alpha'$  is a spacelike vector. Hence we may choose  $S(TM) = Sp\{\alpha'\}$  as a screen bundle. We may take  $\alpha'$  as a unit vector. Therefore there exist an  $N$  such that  $\bar{g}(\beta, N) = 1, \bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, X) = 0$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$  and  $\{\beta, N, \alpha'\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3|_M$ . The Gaussian curvature of  $M$  with respect to  $\beta$  and  $\nabla$  is

$$K_{\beta} = \frac{g(R(\alpha', \beta)\beta, \alpha')}{g(\alpha', \alpha')}. \tag{14}$$

Since  $\beta$  is a geodesic curve,  $\bar{\nabla}_{\beta}\beta = \nabla_{\beta}\beta + h(\beta, \beta) = 0$ . Thus

$$\nabla_{\beta}\beta = 0 \text{ and } h(\beta, \beta) = 0. \tag{15}$$

We easily obtain the following results

$$\nabla_{\alpha'}\beta = \Gamma_{21}^2\beta + \Gamma_{21}^1\alpha', \nabla_{\beta}\alpha' = \Gamma_{12}^2\beta + \Gamma_{12}^1\alpha', \nabla_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha'}\beta) = \Gamma_{21}^1\Gamma_{12}^2\beta + (\Gamma_{21}^1)^2\alpha'. \tag{16}$$

Inserting this equality in (7) we get

$$R(\alpha', \beta)\beta = \nabla_{\alpha'}(\nabla_{\beta}\beta) - \nabla_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha'}\beta) - \nabla_{[\alpha', \beta]}\beta$$

and

$$R(\alpha', \beta)\beta = -\Gamma_{21}^1 \Gamma_{12}^2 \beta - (\Gamma_{21}^1)^2 \alpha' \tag{17}$$

Inserting (17) into (14) we obtain  $K_{\beta} = -(\Gamma_{21}^1)^2$

Now let us calculate the second fundamental form of second type lightlike ruled surfaces.

From (5) for the pair  $\{\beta, N\}$  we have

$$B(X, Y) = \bar{g}(h(X, Y), \beta), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Since  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then  $X = \lambda_1 \beta + \lambda_2 \alpha', Y = \mu_1 \beta + \mu_2 \alpha'$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence

$$B(X, Y) = \lambda_1 \mu_1 \bar{g}(h(\beta, \beta), \beta) + \lambda_2 \mu_1 \bar{g}(h(\alpha', \beta), \beta) + \lambda_1 \mu_2 \bar{g}(h(\beta, \alpha'), \beta) + \lambda_2 \mu_2 \bar{g}(h(\alpha', \alpha'), \beta) \tag{18}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'}\beta = \nabla_{\alpha'}\beta + h(\alpha', \beta), \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'}\beta = \beta' \text{ and } \bar{g}(\beta', \beta) = 0$$

Using (16) we get

$$\bar{g}(h(\alpha', \beta), \beta) = \bar{g}(h(\beta, \alpha'), \beta) = 0 \tag{19}$$

Setting (15) and (19) in (18) we get,

$$B(X, Y) = \lambda_2 \mu_2 \bar{g}(h(\alpha', \alpha'), \beta) \tag{20}$$

Note that

$$\bar{g}(X, Y) = \lambda_2 \mu_2 \tag{21}$$

Note also that  $\rho = \bar{g}(h(\alpha', \alpha'), \beta)$  is a smooth function of  $M$ . From (20) and (21) we have

$$B(X, Y) = \rho \bar{g}(X, Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

This shows that second type lightlike ruled surfaces are totally umbilical.

#### 4. SURFACES OF REVOLUTION

Let the profile curve of the revolution surface  $M$  be  $\gamma$ .

1) We can suppose that  $\gamma$  is represented by

$$\gamma(u) = (f(u), 0, g(u)), u \in I$$

Where  $f$  and  $g$  are real functions on the open interval  $I$ .

(i) We suppose that the revolution axis of  $M$  is the  $x$ -axis of our coordinate system. A parameterization of the surface is given by

$$\varphi(s, u) = (f(u), g(u)\sin s, g(u)\cos s), 0 \leq s < 2\pi, u \in I$$

Hence

$$\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_s) = g^2, \bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_u) = 0, \bar{g}(\varphi_u, \varphi_u) = -(f')^2 + (g')^2$$

Since  $M$  is lightlike and since  $\varphi_s$  is a spacelike vector, then, From Section 2,  $\varphi_u$  is a null vector. Since  $\varphi_u$  is a null vector then  $(f')^2 = (g')^2$  implies that

$$g = \pm f + c,$$

where  $c$ =constant. Hence  $\gamma$  is a straight line in the  $xoz$  plane. Therefore,  $M$  is a cone.

If  $c=0$ , then  $M$  is a null cone.

If  $c \neq 0$ , then

$$\varphi(s, u) = (0, csins, ccoss) + f(u) (1, sins, coss)$$

Let  $f(I)=J$ . Hence we have

$$\varphi(s, t) = (0, csins, ccoss) + t(1, sins, coss), t \in J$$

This is a second type lightlike ruled surface with

$$\alpha(s) = (0, csins, ccoss), \beta(s) = (1, sins, coss).$$

(ii) We suppose the revolution axis of  $M$  is the  $z$ -axis of our coordinate system. A parameterization of surface is given by,

$$\varphi(s, u) = (f(u) \cosh s, f(u) \sinh s, g(u))$$

After similar calculations to that of (i) we obtain a second type lightlike ruled surface with

$$\alpha(s) = (c \cosh s, c \sinh s, 0), \beta(s) = (\cosh s, \sinh s, 1).$$

2) We may suppose that  $\gamma$  is represented by  $\gamma(u) = (f(u), g(u), 0), u \in I$ .

Similar to the previous examinations. Supposing the revolution axis of  $M$  is the  $x$ -axis or  $y$ -axis of our coordinate system we obtain a second type lightlike ruled surface.

3) Let  $\gamma(u) = (0, f(u), g(u))$ . Suppose the axis of revolution of  $M$  is the  $y$ -axis of our coordinate system. Hence we have

$$\varphi(s, u) = (g(u) \sinh s, f(u), g(u) \cosh s)$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_s) = -g^2 \cosh^2 s + g^2 \sinh^2 s = -g^2, \bar{g}(\varphi_s, \varphi_u) = 0, \bar{g}(\varphi_u, \varphi_u) = (f')^2 + (g')^2 > 0,$$

From Section 2 there is no lightlike surface.

If the revolution axis of  $M$  is the  $z$ -axis of our coordinate system, then there exists no lightlike revolution surface.

## REFERENCES

1. Akivis, M. A. & Goldberg, V. V. (2004). *Differential Geometry of Varieties with Degenerate Gauss Maps*. Canadian Mathematical Society, Springer.
2. Chandrasekhar, S. (1983). *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, International Series of Monographs on Physics. Oxford University Press, 69.
3. Misner, C. W., Thorpe, K. S. & Wheeler, J. W. (1973). *Gravitation*. San Francisco, CA, W. H. Freeman and Co.
4. Duggal, K. I. & Bejancu, A. (1996). *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group.
5. Kılıc, E. & Karadag, H. B. (2006). Lightlike Ruled Surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4_1$ . *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* 11(2), 88-100.
6. Struik, D. J. (1961). *Differential Geometry*, Reading MA: Addison-Wesley.
7. Akutagawa, K. & Nishikawa, S. (1990). The Gauss map and Spacelike Surfaces with Prescribed Mean Curvature in Minkowski 3-Space. *Tōhoku Math. J.* 42, 67-82.

8. Altin, A. (2000). On the Gauss Map of Surfaces of Revolution in  $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ . *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica*. 28(2), 131-140.