

## Multiplication lattice modules

F. Callialp<sup>1</sup> and U. Tekir<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dogus University, Acibadem, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Ziverbey-Goztepe, Istanbul, Turkey

E-mails: [fcallialp@dogus.edu.tr](mailto:fcallialp@dogus.edu.tr), [utekir@marmara.edu.tr](mailto:utekir@marmara.edu.tr)

### Abstract

Let  $M$  be a lattice module over the multiplicative lattice  $L$ . An  $L$ -module  $M$  is called a multiplication lattice module if for every element  $N \in M$  there exists an element  $a \in L$  such that  $N = a1_M$ . Our objective is to investigate properties of prime elements of multiplication lattice modules.

**Keywords:** Multiplicative lattice; lattice modules; maximal element; prime element

### 1. Introduction

A multiplicative lattice  $L$  is a complete lattice in which there is defined a commutative, associative multiplication which distributes over arbitrary joins and has greatest element  $1_L$  (least element  $0_L$ ) as a multiplicative identity (zero). For  $L$  a multiplicative lattice and  $a \in L$ ,  $L/a = \{b \in L : a \leq b\}$  is a multiplicative lattice with multiplication  $c \circ d = cd \vee a$ . Multiplicative lattices have been studied extensively by E. W. Johnson, C. Jayaram, the current authors, and others, see, for example, [1 – 8].

An element  $a \in L$  is said to be proper if  $a < 1$ . An element  $p < 1$  in  $L$  is said to be prime if  $ab \leq p$  implies  $a \leq p$  or  $b \leq p$ . An element  $m < 1$  in  $L$  is said to be maximal if  $m < x \leq 1$  implies  $x = 1$ . It is easily seen that maximal elements are prime.

If  $a, b$  belong to  $L$ ,  $(a : b)$  is the join of all  $c \in L$  such that  $cb \leq a$ . An element  $e$  of  $L$  is called meet principal if  $a \wedge be = ((a : e) \wedge b)e$  for all  $a, b \in L$ . An element  $e$  of  $L$  is called join principal if  $((ae \vee b) : e) = a \vee (b : e)$  for all  $a, b \in L$ .  $e \in L$  is said to be principal if  $e$  is both meet principal and join principal.

$e \in L$  is said to be weak meet (join) principal if  $a \wedge e = e(a : e)$  ( $a \vee (0_L : e) = (ea : e)$ ) for all  $a \in L$ . An element  $a$  of a multiplicative lattice  $L$  is called compact if  $a \leq \vee b_\alpha$  implies  $a \leq b_{\alpha_1} \vee b_{\alpha_2} \vee \dots \vee b_{\alpha_n}$  for some subset  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . If each element of  $L$  is a join of principal (compact) elements of  $L$ , then  $L$  is called a  $PG$ -lattice ( $CG$ -lattice).

A multiplicative lattice  $L$  is called an  $r$ -lattice if it is modular, principally generated, compactly generated and has  $1_L$  compact.

Let  $M$  be a complete lattice. Recall that  $M$  is a lattice module over the multiplicative lattice  $L$ , or simply an  $L$ -module in case there is a multiplication between elements of  $L$  and  $M$ , denoted by  $lB$  for  $l \in L$  and  $B \in M$ , which satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $(lb)B = l(bB)$  ;
- (ii)  $(\vee_\alpha l_\alpha)(\vee_\beta B_\beta) = \vee_{\alpha,\beta} l_\alpha B_\beta$ ;
- (iii)  $1_L B = B$  ;
- (iv)  $0_L B = 0_M$ ;

for all  $l, l_\alpha, b$  in  $L$  and for all  $B, B_\beta$  in  $M$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. If  $N \in M$  and  $b \in L$ ,  $(N : b)$  is the join of all  $X \in M$  such that  $bX \leq N$ . An element  $e \in L$  is said to be  $M$ -principal if  $A \wedge eB = e((A : e) \wedge B)$  and  $((eA \vee B) : e) = A \vee (B : e)$  for all  $A, B \in M$ . If each element of  $L$  is a join of  $M$ -principal elements of  $L$ , then  $L$  is called  $M$ -principally generated [see, 9].

Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. If  $N, K$  belong to  $M$ ,  $(N : K)$  is the join of all  $a \in L$  such that  $aK \leq N$ . An element  $N$  of  $M$  is called meet principal if  $(b \wedge (B : N))N = bN \wedge B$  for all  $b \in L$  and for all  $B \in M$ . An element  $N$  of  $M$  is called join principal if  $b \vee (B : N) = ((bN \vee B) : N)$  for all  $b \in L$  and for all  $N \in M$ .  $N$  is said to be principal if it is both meet principal and join principal. In a special case an element  $N$  of  $M$  is called weak meet principal (weak join principal) if  $(B : N)N = B \wedge N$  ( $(bN : N) = b \vee (0_M : N)$ ) for all  $B \in M$  and for all  $b \in L$ .  $N$  is said to be weak principal if  $N$  is both weak meet principal and weak join principal.

\*Corresponding author

Received: 13 April 2011 / Accepted: 23 July 2011

Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. An element  $N$  in  $M$  is called compact if  $N \leq \bigvee_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$  implies  $N \leq B_{\alpha_1} \vee B_{\alpha_2} \vee \dots \vee B_{\alpha_n}$  for some subset  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . The greatest element of  $M$  will be denoted by  $1_M$ . If each element of  $M$  is a join of principal (compact) elements of  $M$ , then  $M$  is called a  $PG$ -lattice ( $CG$ -lattice).  $M$  is called an  $R$ -lattice if it is modular, principally generated, compactly generated and has  $1_M$  compact.

Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. An element  $N \in M$  is said to be proper if  $N < 1_M$ . If  $(0_M:1_M) = 0_L$ ,  $M$  is called a faithful  $L$ -module. If  $cm = 0_M$  implies  $m = 0_M$  or  $c = 0_L$  for any  $c \in L$  and  $m \in M$ ,  $M$  is called a torsion-free  $L$ -module.

For various characterizations of lattice modules, the reader is referred to [10 – 14].

## 2. The prime elements in lattice modules

**Definition 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. An element  $N < 1_M$  in  $M$  is said to be prime if  $aX \leq N$  implies  $X \leq N$  or  $a1_M \leq N$ , i.e.  $a \leq (N:1_M)$  for every  $a \in L, X \in M$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. If  $N$  is a prime element of  $L$ -module  $M$ , then  $(N:1_M)$  is a prime element of  $L$  [11, Proposition 3.6].

**Example 1.** Let  $L$  be an  $L$ -module. If  $p \in L$  is a prime element, then  $p$  is also a prime element as an  $L$ -module.

**Example 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. If  $L = \{0_L, 1_L\}$  is a field, then every element of  $M$  is a prime element.

**Definition 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. An element  $N < 1_M$  in  $M$  is said to be primary, if  $aX \leq N$  and  $X \not\leq N$  implies  $a^k 1_M \leq N$ , for some  $k \geq 0$  i.e.  $a^k \leq (N:1_M)$  for every  $a \in L, X \in M$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module and  $N < 1_M$  be an element of  $M$ . If  $(N:1_M)$  is a prime element of  $L$  and  $N$  is primary, then  $N$  is prime.

**Proof:** Let  $aX \leq N$  and  $X \not\leq N$  for  $a \in L$  and  $X \in M$ . Since  $N$  is primary,  $aX \leq N$  and  $X \not\leq N$  implies  $a^k 1_M \leq N$ , for some  $k \geq 0$  i.e.  $a^k \leq (N:1_M)$ . Since  $(N:1_M)$  is a prime element of  $L$ ,  $a \leq (N:1_M)$ . Consequently,  $N$  is prime element of  $M$ .  
Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module and  $N \in M$ . Then  $M/N = \{B \in M: N \leq B\}$  is an  $L$ -module with multiplication  $c \circ D = cD \vee N$  for every  $c \in L$  and for every  $N \leq D \in M$ . Similarly,  $M/N$  is an  $L/(N:1_M)$ -module with  $a \circ N^* = aN^* \vee N$  for all  $N \leq N^* \in M$  and  $(N:1_M) \leq a$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module and  $N \in M$ . Then  $N$  is a prime element if and only if  $M/N$  is a torsion-free  $L/(N:1_M)$ -module.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $N \in M$  is a prime element. For  $(N:1_M) \leq a$  in  $L$  and  $N < N^*$  in  $M$ , if  $a \circ N^* = aN^* \vee N = N$ , we have  $aN^* \leq N$ . Since  $N$  is prime,  $a = (N:1_M)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $M/N$  is a torsion-free  $L/(N:1_M)$ -module. If  $aX \leq N$  and  $X \not\leq N$  for  $a \in L$  and  $X \in M$ , then  $(a \vee (N:1_M)) \circ (X \vee N) = N$ . Since  $M/N$  is a torsion-free  $L/(N:1_M)$ -module,  $a \leq (N:1_M)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module and let  $B$  be an element of  $M$ . If  $1_M$  is weak principal, then there exists a lattice isomorphism  $M/B \cong L/(B:1_M)$ .

**Proof:** [see 11, Lemma 2.1].

Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. Recall that an element  $N < 1_M$  of  $M$  is called a maximal element if for every element  $B$  of  $M$  such that  $N \leq B$ , then either  $N = B$  or  $B = 1_M$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module and  $N \in M$ . Then,

- (i) If  $(N:1_M)$  is maximal in  $L$ , then  $N$  is prime in  $M$ .
- (ii) If  $a$  is maximal in  $L$  and  $a1_M < 1_M$ , then  $a1_M$  is prime in  $M$ .
- (iii) If  $N$  is maximal in  $M$ , then  $N$  is prime in  $M$ .

**Proof:** (i) If  $(N:1_M)$  is maximal in  $L$ , then  $L/(N:1_M)$  is a field. Then  $M/N$  is a torsion-free  $L/(N:1_M)$ -module and hence  $N$  is prime in  $M$  by Theorem 1.

(ii) Since  $a \leq (a1_M:1_M) < 1_L$  and  $a$  is maximal in  $L$ ,  $a = (a1_M:1_M)$ . This implies that  $a1_M$  is prime in  $M$  by (i).

(iii) Let  $aX \leq N$  and  $X \not\leq N$  for  $a \in L$  and  $X \in M$ . Since  $N$  is maximal,  $N \vee X = 1_M$  and so  $aN \vee aX = a1_M \leq N$ . This implies that  $a \leq (N:1_M)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $L$  be an  $r$ -lattice and  $M$ -principally generated, and  $M$  be an  $R$ -lattice  $L$ -module. If  $p1_M$  is compact for every prime element  $p \in L$ , then every element in  $M$  is compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\Omega = \{K \in M: K \text{ is not compact}\}$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $1_M$  is compact,  $\Omega$  has a maximal element by the Zorn Lemma. Suppose that  $N$  is a maximal in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $p = (N:1_M)$ . We first show that  $p$  is prime. If  $p$  is not prime, there exists  $M$ -principal elements  $a, b \in L$  such that  $a \not\leq p, b \not\leq p$  and  $ab \leq p$ . Hence  $N < N \vee a1_M$ . Therefore  $N \vee a1_M$  is a compact element of  $M$ . Since  $(ab)1_M \leq N, b1_M \leq (N:a)$ . Then  $N < N \vee b1_M \leq (N:a)$ . Hence  $(N:a)$  is also compact. Since  $N = \bigvee C_{\alpha}$  is compactly generated,

then  $N \vee a1_M = (\vee_{finite} C_\alpha) \vee a1_M$  and we have  $N = (\vee_{finite} C_\alpha) \vee (a1_M \wedge N)$ . Since  $a$  is an  $M$ -principal element of  $L$ ,  $a1_M \wedge N = a(N:a)$ . Since  $(N:a)$  is the finite join of principal elements of  $M$  and  $a$  is  $M$ -principal element in  $L$ ,  $a(N:a)$  is compact [9, Proposition 1 and Proposition 3]. The finite join of compact elements is compact, so  $N$  is compact. This contradiction shows that  $p$  is prime.

Since  $1_M$  is compact,  $1_M$  is a join of finite principal elements  $K_i$ . Then  $p = (N:1_M) = (N:\vee_{finite} K_i) = \wedge_{finite} (N:K_i)$  and  $p = (N:K_j)$  for some  $K_j \not\leq N$ , since  $p$  is prime. Hence  $N < N \vee K_j$  is compact and as is shown in the preceding paragraph,  $N = (\vee_{finite} C_\alpha) \vee (K_j \wedge N)$  and  $K_j \wedge N = (N:K_j)K_j = pK_j$ . Since  $N = (\vee_{finite} C_\alpha) \vee pK_j \leq (\vee_{finite} C_\alpha) \vee p1_M \leq N$ ,  $N = (\vee_{finite} C_\alpha) \vee p1_M$  is compact by hypothesis. This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Omega$  is empty.

### 3. Multiplication lattice modules

In this section we study the concept of multiplication lattice module over a multiplicative lattice and generalize the important results for multiplication modules over commutative rings, obtained by Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith [15], to the lattice modules over multiplicative lattices.

**Definition 3.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. If  $1_M$  is a principal element in  $M$ ,  $M$  is called a cyclic lattice module.

**Definition 4.** An  $L$ -module  $M$  is called a multiplication lattice module if for every element  $N \in M$  there exists an element  $a \in L$  such that  $N = a1_M$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module. Then  $M$  is a multiplication lattice module if and only if  $N = (N:1_M)1_M$  for all  $N \in M$ .

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $M$  be a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module and  $N \in M$ . Then,  $N = a1_M$  for some  $a \in L$ . Hence  $a \leq (N:1_M)$  and so  $N = a1_M \leq (N:1_M)1_M \leq N$ . Therefore  $N = (N:1_M)1_M$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Clear.

It is clear that an  $L$ -module  $M$  is a multiplication lattice module if and only if  $1_M$  is weak meet principal. If  $M$  is a cyclic lattice  $L$ -module, then  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $M$  be a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module. If  $p \in L$  is maximal and  $p1_M < 1_M$ , then  $p1_M$  is maximal element in  $M$ .

**Proof:** Since  $p$  is maximal such that  $p \leq (p1_M:1_M) \neq 1_L$ ,  $p = (p1_M:1_M)$ . Let  $p1_M \leq B$ . Then  $p = (p1_M:1_M) \leq (B:1_M)$ . Since  $p$  is maximal,  $p = (B:1_M)$  or  $(B:1_M) = 1_L$ . Therefore,  $p1_M = (B:1_M)1_M = B$  or  $(B:1_M)1_M = B = 1_M$ . Consequently,  $p1_M$  is maximal element in  $M$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $L$  be a multiplicative lattice with  $1_L$  compact, and  $M$  be a non-zero multiplication  $PG$ -lattice  $L$ -module. Then  $M$  contains a maximal element.

**Proof:** There exists a non-zero principal element  $X$  in  $M$ . Let  $p \in L$  be a maximal element such that  $(0_M:X) \leq p$ . We show that  $p1_M < 1_M$ . Suppose that  $p1_M = 1_M$ . Since  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module,  $X = a1_M$  for some  $a \in L$ . Then  $pX = ap1_M = a1_M = X$  and so  $1_L = (pX:X) = p \vee (0_M:X) = p$ . This is a contradiction. Since  $p$  is maximal and  $p1_M < 1_M$ ,  $p1_M$  is maximal in  $M$  by proposition 4.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $L$  be a  $PG$ -lattice with  $1_L$  compact, and  $M$  be a  $PG$ -lattice  $L$ -module. Then  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module if and only if for every maximal element  $q \in L$ ,

- (i) For every principal element  $Y \in M$ , there exists a principal element  $q_Y \in L$  with  $q_Y \not\leq q$  such that  $q_Y Y = 0_M$  or
- (ii) There exists a principal element  $X \in M$  and a principal element  $b \in L$  with  $b \not\leq q$  such that  $b1_M \leq X$ .

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $M$  be a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module. We have two cases.

Case 1. Let  $q1_M = 1_M$  where  $q$  is a maximal element of  $L$ . For every principal element  $Y \in M$ , there exists an element  $a \in L$  such that  $Y = a1_M$ . Then  $Y = a1_M = aq1_M = qY$ . Therefore,  $1_L = (qY:Y) = q \vee (0_M:Y)$ . Hence  $(0_M:Y) \not\leq q$ . There exists a principal element  $q_Y$  such that  $q_Y \leq (0_M:Y)$  and  $q_Y \not\leq q$ . Consequently,  $q_Y Y = 0_M$  and  $q_Y \not\leq q$ .

Case 2. Let  $q1_M < 1_M$ . There exists a principal element  $X \in M$  such that  $X = j1_M \not\leq q1_M$ , with  $j \in L, j \not\leq q$ . There exists a principal element  $b \in L$  with  $b \leq j$  and  $b \not\leq q$ . We obtain  $b1_M \leq j1_M = X$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Let  $N \in M$ . Put  $a = (N:1_M)$ . Clearly  $a1_M = (N:1_M)1_M \leq N$ . Take any principal element  $Y \leq N$ . We will show that  $(a1_M:Y) = 1_L$ .

Suppose there exists a maximal element  $q \in L$  such that  $(a1_M:Y) \leq q$ . We have two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that (i) is satisfied. There exists a principal element  $q_Y \in L$  with  $q_Y \not\leq q$  such that  $q_Y Y = 0_M$  for every principal element  $Y \in M$ . Then  $q_Y \leq (0_M:Y) \leq (a1_M:Y) \leq q$ . This is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that (ii) is satisfied. There exists a principal element  $X \in M$  and a principal element  $b \in L$  with  $b \not\leq q$  such that  $b1_M \leq X$ . Then  $bN \leq b1_M \leq X$  for any  $N \in M$ . Since  $X$  is a principal element of  $M$ ,  $bN = (bN:X)X$ . Then  $b(bN:X)1_M \leq (bN:X)X = bN \leq N$  and so  $b(bN:X) \leq a = (N:1_M)$ . Therefore,  $b^2Y \leq b^2N = b(bN:X)X \leq aX \leq a1_M \Rightarrow b^2 \leq (a1_M:Y) \leq q$ . Since  $q$  is maximal (and so, the prime) element of  $L$ ,  $b \leq q$ . This is a contradiction.

Recall that a multiplicative lattice  $L$  is called local if it contains precisely one maximal element.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $L$  be a multiplicative lattice with  $1_L$  compact. Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $PG$ -lattice  $L$ -module. If  $(L, p)$  is a local  $PG$ -lattice, then  $M$  is a cyclic  $L$ -module.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $M \neq \{0_M\}$ . First, assume that there exists a principal element  $q_Y \in L$  with  $q_Y \not\leq p$  such that  $q_Y Y = 0_M$  for every principal element  $Y \in M$ . Since  $(L, p)$  is a local lattice,  $q_Y = 1_L$ . Then every principal element  $Y = 0_M$ . This is a contradiction.

Now assume that there exists a principal element  $X \in M$  and a principal element  $b \in L$  with  $b \not\leq p$  such that  $b1_M \leq X$ . Since  $b \not\leq p$ ,  $b = 1_L$ . Therefore,  $1_M = X$  is principal.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $L$  be a  $PG$ -lattice with  $1_L$  compact, and  $M$  be a  $PG$ -lattice and  $CG$ -lattice  $L$ -module. Suppose that  $1_M = \bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i$  for some principal elements  $Y_i$  in  $M$ . Then  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module if and only if there exist  $a_i \in L$  such that  $Y_i = a_i 1_M$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$ : Clear.

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose that there exist  $a_i \in L$  such that  $Y_i = a_i 1_M$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $q$  be a maximal element in  $L$ . We have two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that  $a_i \leq q$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $1_M = \bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a_i 1_M) = (\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i) 1_M \leq q 1_M$ . Hence  $1_M = q 1_M$  and  $Y_i = q Y_i$ . Therefore, there exists a principal element  $q_{Y_i} \not\leq q$ , with  $q_{Y_i} Y_i = 0_M$  for all  $i \in I$  as is shown in the theorem. Let  $X$  be any principal element in  $M$ . Since  $X \leq 1_M = \bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i$  and  $X$  is principal,  $X$  is compact and so  $X \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n Y_i$  [13, Corollary 2.2]. Put  $t = q_{Y_1} q_{Y_2} \dots q_{Y_n}$ . Then  $tX \leq t(\bigvee_{i=1}^n Y_i) = 0_M$  and  $t \not\leq q$ . Since, finite product of principal elements is principal,  $t$  is principal. So  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module by theorem.

Case 2. Suppose that  $a_j \not\leq q$  for some  $j \in I$ . Then there exists a principal element  $b_j \in L$  with  $b_j \leq a_j$  and  $b_j \not\leq q$  such that  $b_j 1_M \leq a_j 1_M = Y_j$ . Therefore,  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module by theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $L$  be a  $PG$ -lattice with  $1_L$  compact, and  $M$  be a faithful multiplication  $PG$ -lattice  $L$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $1_M$  is a compact element of  $M$ .
- (ii) If  $a, c \in L$  such that  $a1_M \leq c1_M$ , then  $a \leq c$ .
- (iii) For each element  $N$  of  $M$  there exists a unique element  $a$  of  $L$  such that  $N = a1_M$ .
- (iv)  $1_M \neq a1_M$  for any proper element  $a$  of  $L$ .
- (v)  $1_M \neq p1_M$  for any maximal element  $p$  of  $L$ .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose  $1_M$  is compact. Let  $a$  and  $c$  be elements of  $L$  such that  $a1_M \leq c1_M$ . We will show that  $(c:a) = 1_L$ . Suppose that  $(c:a) \neq 1_L$ . Then there exist a maximal element  $p$  of  $L$  such that  $(c:a) \leq p$ . We have two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that  $1_M = p1_M$ . Then  $Y = a'1_M = a'p1_M = pa'1_M = pY$  for any principal element  $Y \in M$ . Then  $1_L = (pY:Y) = p \vee (0_M:Y)$  for all principal elements  $Y \in M$ . Since  $1_M$  is a compact element of  $M$ ,  $1_M = \bigvee_{i=1}^k Y_i$  for some principal elements  $Y_i$  of  $M$ . For any principal elements  $Y_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ ,  $1_L = (pY_i:Y_i) = p \vee (0_M:Y_i)$  and so  $(0_M:Y_i) \not\leq p$ . Therefore, there exist  $q_{Y_i} \leq (0_M:Y_i)$  such that  $q_{Y_i} \not\leq p$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Hence  $q_{Y_i} Y_i = 0_M$  and so  $(\prod_{i=1}^k q_{Y_i}) 1_M = 0_M$ . Since  $M$  is a faithful  $L$ -module,  $\prod_{i=1}^k q_{Y_i} = 0_L \leq p$ , and  $p$  is a prime element of  $L$ , so  $q_{Y_i} \leq p$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . This is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that  $p1_M < 1_M$ . There exists a principal element  $X \in M$  and a principal element  $s \in L$  with  $s \not\leq p$  such that  $s1_M \leq X$ .

Suppose that  $a$  is any principal element of  $L$  such that  $a \leq a$ . Then,  $a1_M \leq a1_M \leq c1_M$ . Therefore,  $saX \leq sa1_M \leq sa1_M \leq sc1_M \leq cX$ . Since  $X$  is a principal element of  $M$ ,  $sa \vee (0_M:X) = (saX:X) \leq (cX:X) = c \vee (0_M:X)$ . Hence  $s^2 a \vee s(0_M:X) \leq sc \vee s(0_M:X)$ . But  $s(0_M:X) = 0_L$ . Indeed, let  $r \leq (0_M:X)$ . Since  $s1_M \leq X$ ,  $rs1_M \leq rX = 0_M$  and so  $rs \leq (0_M:1_M)$ . Since  $M$  is faithful,  $(0_M:1_M) = 0_L$ . This implies that  $s(0_M:X) = 0_L$ . Then  $s^2 a \leq sc \leq c$  for any principal element  $a \leq a$  and so  $s^2 a \leq c$ . Then  $s^2 \leq (c:a) \leq p$ . Since  $p$  is a prime element of  $L$ ,  $s \leq p$ . This is a contradiction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Clear.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose  $1_M \neq p1_M$  for every maximal element  $p$  of  $L$ . Let  $q$  be a maximal element of  $L$ . Since  $q1_M < 1_M$ , there is a principal element  $Y_q \not\leq q1_M$ . Since  $M$  is a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module,  $(Y_q:1_M) \not\leq q$ . There is not a maximal element such that  $\bigvee_{q \max} (Y_q:1_M) \leq q$ . This implies that  $\bigvee_{q \max} (Y_q:1_M) = 1_L$ . Since  $1_L$  is compact, we have finitely maximal elements  $q_i$

such that  $1_L = \bigvee_{i=1}^k (Y_{q_i} : 1_M)$ . Since  $Y_{q_i} = (Y_{q_i} : 1_M)1_M$ ,  $1_M = \bigvee_{i=1}^k Y_{q_i}$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $L$  be a  $PG$ -lattice with  $1_L$  compact and  $M$  be a  $PG$ -lattice  $L$ -module. Let  $M$  be a multiplication lattice  $L$ -module. Suppose that  $p$  is a prime element in  $L$  with  $(0_M : 1_M) \leq p$ . If  $aX \leq p1_M$  where  $a \in L, X \in M$ , then  $X \leq p1_M$  or  $a \leq p$ .

**Proof:** We may suppose that  $X$  is principal in  $M$ . Suppose that  $aX \leq p1_M$  with  $a \not\leq p$ . We will show that  $(p1_M : X) = 1_L$ . Suppose that there exists a maximal element  $q \in L$  such that  $(p1_M : X) \leq q$ . We have two cases.

Case 1. If there exists a principal element  $q_X \in L$  with  $q_X \not\leq q$  such that  $0_M = q_X X$ , then  $q_X \leq (0_M : X) \leq (p1_M : X) \leq q$ . This is a contradiction.

Case 2. If there exists a principal element  $Y \in M$  and a principal element  $b \in L$  with  $b \not\leq q$  such that  $b1_M \leq Y$ , then  $bX \leq b1_M \leq Y$ . Since  $Y$  is principal,  $bX = (bX : Y)Y$ . Put  $(bX : Y) = s$ . Then  $abX = asY$ . Since  $Y$  is join principal,  $(asY : Y) = as \vee (0_M : Y)$ . Since  $Y$  is meet principal,  $abX = (abX : Y)Y$ . Put  $c = (abX : Y)$ . Since  $cY = abX \leq bp1_M \leq pY$ ,  $c \vee (0_M : Y) = (cY : Y) \leq (pY : Y) = p \vee (0_M : Y)$ . Since  $b(0_M : Y)1_M = (0_M : Y)b1_M \leq (0_M : Y)Y = 0_M$ ,  $b(0_M : Y) \leq (0_M : 1_M) \leq p$ . Hence  $bc \vee b(0_M : Y) \leq bp \vee b(0_M : Y) \leq p$ . Therefore,  $bc \leq p$ . On the other hand,  $c = (abX : Y) = (asY : Y) = as \vee (0_M : Y)$  and so  $abs \leq abs \vee b(0_M : Y) = bc \leq p$ . If  $b \leq p$ , then  $b \leq p \leq (p1_M : X) \leq q$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $b \not\leq p$ . Since  $p$  is prime,  $s \leq p$ . Therefore,  $bX = sY \leq pY \leq p1_M$  and so  $b \leq (p1_M : X) \leq q$ . This is a contradiction.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $L$  be a  $PG$ -lattice with  $1_L$  compact. Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $PG$ -lattice  $L$ -module and  $N < 1_M$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $N$  is a prime element in  $M$ ,
- (ii)  $(N : 1_M)$  is a prime element in  $L$ ,
- (iii) There exists a prime element  $p$  in  $L$  with  $(0_M : 1_M) \leq p$  such that  $N = p1_M$ .

The authors wish to thank the referee for his assistance in making this paper accessible to a broader audience.

## References

- [1] Jayaram, C. & Johnson, E. W. (1995). Some results on almost principal element lattices, *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 31, 33-42.
- [2] Jayaram, C. & Johnson, E. W. (1995).  $s$ -prime elements in multiplicative lattices, *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 31, 201-208.
- [3] Jayaram, C. & Johnson, E. W. (1997). Dedekind lattices. *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 63, 367-378.
- [4] Jayaram, C. & Johnson, E. W. (1997). Strong compact elements in multiplicative lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 47(122), 105-112.
- [5] Jayaram, C. & Johnson, E. W. (1998).  $\sigma$ -elements in multiplicative lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 48(123), 641-651.
- [6] Jayaram, C. (2002). Prime elements in multiplicative lattices. *Algebra Universalis*, 48, 117-127.
- [7] Jayaram, C. (2003). Laskerian lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 53(128), 351-363.
- [8] Jayaram, C. (2004). Almost  $\pi$ -lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 54(129), 119-130.
- [9] Johnson, E. W. & Johnson, J. A. (2003). Lattice Modules over Principal Element Domains. *Comm. in Algebra*, 7, 3505-3518.
- [10] Scott Culhan, D. (2005). Associated Primes and Primal Decomposition in modules and Lattice modules, and their duals, Thesis, University of California Riverside.
- [11] Al-Khouja, E. A. (2003). Maximal Elements and Prime elements in Lattice Modules. *Damascus University for Basic Sciences*, 19, 9-20.
- [12] Nakkar, H. M. (1974). Localization in multiplicative lattice modules, *Mat. Issled.*, 2(32), 88-108.
- [13] Nakkar, H. M. & Al-Khouja, I. A. (1989). Multiplication elements and Distributive and Supporting elements in Lattice Modules. *R. J. of Aleppo University*, 11, 91-110.
- [14] Nakkar, H. M. & Al-Khouja, I. A. (1985). Nakayama's Lemma and the principal elements in Lattice Modules over multiplicative lattices. *R. J. of Aleppo University*, 7, 1-16.
- [15] El-Bast, Z. A. & Smith, P. F. (1985). Multiplication modules. *Comm. Algebra*, 16, 755-799.