Identification of Self- incompatibility Alleles in Some Almond Genotypes by Degenerate S-RNase Primers

A. Kamareh¹, Y. Sharafi^{*1}, A. Ghanbari²

¹Department of Horticultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Horticultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

Received: 12 July 2015

Accepted: 26 October 2015

Abstract

The almond, *Prunus dulcis* Miller which belongs to Rosaceae family, is one of the most important commercial and oldest cultivated tree nut crops. Almonds are classified as a 'nut' in which the edible seed is the commercial product. Therefore, pollination and fertilization are necessary in almond. The characteristic of cultivated almond to express gametophytic self- incompatibility discourages self-fertilization and favors cross pollination. Genetic control of pollen-pistil self-incompatibility is through a single gene (S) which exists in a series of alleles S_1 to S_x . Compatibility of pollen-pistil in almond is an important consideration in planning crosses in breeding program and in choosing pollinizers for orchard planting. Identification of self-(in) compatibility in almond carried out by molecular and controlled pollination methods. In this study, identification of S-alleles in 37 Iranian almond cultivars and genotypes was carried out by PCR method with using degenerate primers of EM-PC3consRDEMPC2cons FD, PaconsI-Fand EM-PC1consRD. In this way the size of S -alleles were estimated based on bands which amplified with second intron. The results confirmed self-incompatibility in cultivars and most genotypes. However, the S_r-like allele (in size) was observed in A₉ and A₃₆ genotypes. If these results are confirmed by sequencing the S_f allele, it will be first time to identify self-compatible genotype in Iranian almond genotypes.

Keywords: Almond, Incompatibility, PCR, S-alleles.

Introduction

Almond is one of the most important nut trees in the *Prunus* genus. It belongs to the Rosaceae family. Most almond cultivars and genotypes are selfincompatible andsome are cross-incompatible (Socias I Company and Alonso, 2004). Pollination, fertilization and commercial production require compatible pollen (Socias I company, 1990). Selecting crosscompatible cultivars with high quality pollen is the most important practice in almond orchard establishment (Sharafi, 2014; Kester *et al.*, 1994). This trait is controlled by a single locus with multiple alleles and

is expressed within the styles of flowers as S-RNAs Glycoprotein (Barklay *et al*, 2006; Wiersma *et al*, 2001; Halasz *et al.*, 2007). These glycoproteins are responsible for the inactivation of self-pollen tube growth in most species of *Prunus*, including almond (Socias I Company and Alonso, 2004; Alonso and Socias I Company, 2006), apricot (Hajilou *et al.*, 2006), sweet cherry (Wunsch *et al.*, 2004) and plum (Yamane *et al.*, 1999 Sutherland *et al.*, 2004; Tamura *et al.*,1999).

Corresponding author: E-mail: y.sharafi@shahed.ac.ir

In a gametophytic incompatibility system, two genotypes with similar S-alleles cannot fertilize each other, but the presence of different S-alleles in two genotypes will result in successful fertilization. Crossincompatibility will take place when two similar Salleles are presented in pollen and style (Yamane et al., 1999; Sonneveld et al., 2003; Alonso and Socias I Company, 2006). Therefore, identifying crosscompatible cultivars and genotypes with favorable traits will be very beneficial for growers and breeders. However, the identification of S-alleles is essential for almond breeding programs to maximize the efficiency of crosses (Alonso and Socias I Company, 2006). Recently, methods based on DNA techniques to identify S-alleles pattern have been incorporated into fruit breeding programs in order to accelerate and optimize the determination of the (in) compatibility situation and S-alleles of fruit trees cultivars and genotypes (Sanchez-Perez et al., 2004; Lopez et al., 2006). However, controlled field and laboratory pollination are needed to confirm the effects of pollens on fruit quality and for selecting suitable pollinizers of crosscompatible cultivars and genotypes identified by PCR based methods (Lopez et al., 2006). Identification of incompatibility alleles in almond was amplified using degenerate primers which were designed for different species of the Prunus genus. Different combinations of these primers for amplification of S-alleles in almonds (Sharafi et al, 2010 and 2012; Sutherland et al., 2004; Ortega et al., 2005, Mousavi et al., 2011), cherry (Sonneveld et al., 2001; Sutherland et al., 2004) and apricot (Halasz et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008) were introduced. Fallah et al. (2014), studied pollen-pistil compatibility relationships among cultivars Tuono, "Shokofeh", "Sahand" and five improved genotypes obtained from a breeding program based on their S-alleles profiles by PCR amplification using degenerate primers included Pa ConsI-F, EM-PC1consRD, EM-PC2consFD, and EM-PC3consRD. Theoretically, the use of the different degenerate andregular primers developed by Ma and Oliveira (2001a) should allow the amplification of the S_1 and S3self-incompatibility alleles and the selfcompatibilityallele S_f in the present progenies with just three primercombinations. Moreover, it should be mentioned that self-fertile cultivars are very important for establishment mono cultivar orchards to produce uniform nut production. The self (in) compatibility situation of Iranian almond cultivars and genotypes, especially those obtained from breeding programs, has been poorly studied. Therefore, the objective of this study was the assessment of self (in) compatibility alleles in 37 Iranian almond cultivars and genotypes obtained from different regions, based PCR amplification.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and Genomic DNA extraction

The plant materials included two middle bloom almond cultivars including Shahroudi₂₁ and Shahroudi₁₈ as control and 37 Iranian genotypes (obtained from hybridization of Touno \bigcirc cultivar by 230 \bigcirc genotype) planted in Shahed university collection. Genomic DNA was extracted using the procedure described by Doyle and Doyle (1987). The quantity of the DNA samples was determined using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis (Table 1).

Autoclave	Chemical substance	Final concentration	Stock contraction	For 100cc
Yes	Trise-HCl pH 8.0	100mM	2M	5cc
Yes	EDTA	20mM	0.5M	4cc
Yes	NaCl	1.4M	5M	28cc
No	CTAB			1 gr
No	PVP-40			2gr
No	B- mercaptoethanol			2cc

Table 1. DNA extraction buffer informatio	n.
---	----

PCR Primers

A set of four specific degenerate primers were used to amplify S-alleles in the studied cultivars and genotypes. Degenerate primers Pa ConsI-F, EM-PC1consRD, EM-PC2consFD, and EM-PC3consRD were used (Table 2). For amplification, the second intron of the forward primers EM-PC2consFD and reverse primer EM-PC3consR(Sutherland *et al.*, 2004) and amplification of the first intron of the forward primers PaConsI-F (Sonneveld *et al.*, 2003) and reverse primer EM-PC1consRD (Ortega *et al.*, 2005) were used.

Primername	Forward (F)/ Reverse (R)	Intron	Sequence	Annealing temperature
PaConsI-F	F	First	5'(C/A)CTTGTTCTGT(C/G)TTT(T/C)GCTTTCTTC 32	57°C
EM-PC1consRD	R	First	5' GCCA(C/T)TGTTG(A/C)ACAAA(C/T)TGAA 32	57 °C
EM-PC2consFD	F	Second	5' TCAC(A/C)AT(C/T)CATGGCCTAT 32	58 °C
EM-PC3consRD	R	Second	5' A(A/T)(C/G)T(A/G)CC(A/G)TG(C/T)TTGTTCCATTC 32	58 °C

Table 2. Primers information.

S-alleles amplification

Amplification reactions were carried out in 20 μ L volumes containing; 1x PCR buffer (100mMTrisHCl, pH 8, 500 mM KCl), 0.8 μ L MgCl₂, 2 μ L dNTPs, 1.2 μ L of each primer (forward and reverse), 0.2 U Taq polymerase and 3 μ L of genomic DNA. The PCR reaction program consisted of two minutes at 94°C for denaturation primary, in 34 cycles with 10 seconds, temperature 94°C,two minutes at 57°C and two minutes at 68°C, followed by a five minute extension at 72°C (Fallah *et al.*, 2014). After PCR, the products were stored at 4°C (refrigerator) until electrophoresis was performed (Fallah *et al.*, 2014).

Electrophoresis of PCR products

PCR products were separated in 2% agarose gel using 0.5 Tris-buffer-EDTA buffers and were stained with ethidium bromide. The gels were photographed using UV light with UVitec gel documentation. The molecular sizes of the PCR products were estimated based on 3 kbp DNA ladder plus (Fallah *et al.*, 2014).

Results

Degenerate primers used in this study were able to identify self-incompatibility alleles (S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_5 , S_7 , S_9 , S_{12} , S_{22} , S_{24} , and S_f) which reported in Fig. 1 and Fig 2. Fragments size was in the range of 330- 1300 bp in all studied genotypes. An unknown band was observed in some genotypes (Fig.1).



Fig 1. S allele pieces amplified with intron 2 amplification by EM-PC2consFD-EM-PC3consRD.

Number	Genotype	Product size (bp)	Object S allele
1	Shahroudi 18 (B1)	900 and? ⁴	S ₃ , S ₂
2	Shahroudi 21(B2)	1080 and 875	S ₁₃ , S ₂₄
3	A1	400 ¹ and 570	S_{11}, S_6
4	A2	200-350 ^{1,2} and 1300	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{12}}$
5	A3	400^1 and 450	S_{11}, S_2
6	A4	330 ¹ and 800 ^{2,5}	\mathbf{S}_5 , \mathbf{S}_{A}
7	A5	400 ¹ and 620	S_{11}, S_4
8	A6	380 ² and ?	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{S}_{?}$
9	A7	400^1 and 500	S_{11}, S_{21}
10	A9	1200 and 570	S _F , S ₆
11	A10	750 and 1250 ²	S_A, S_1
12	A11	380 ² and?	$S_A, S_?$
13	A12	980 ² and 800	S _A , S ₂
14	A13	500 and 570	$S_{21,}S_{6}$
15	A14	400^1 and 600	S ₁₁ , S ₁₀
16	A15		
17	A16	400 ¹ and 450	S ₁₁ , S ₂
18	A17	620^1 and 550^2	S_4, S_A
19	A18	? and 875	S?, S ₂₄
20	A19	400^1 and 500	S_{11}, S_{21}
21	A20	? and 500	S _? , S ₂₁
22	A21	260 ^{1,2} and 500	$\mathbf{S}_{A}, \mathbf{S}_{21}$
23	A22		
24	A23	330^1 and 530^2	S ₅ , S _A
25	A24	450 ¹ and 700 ⁵	S ₂ , S ₁₁
26	A25	450 ¹ and 530 ²	S_2, S_A
27	A26	450 ¹ and 600	S_2, S_{10}
28	A27		
29	A28		
30	A29	500^1 and 450	S_{21}, S_2
31	A30	1300 and 500	S_{12}, S_{21}
32	A31	450 ¹ and 500	S ₂ , S ₂₁
33	A32	500 ^{5,1} and 1050	S ₂₁ , S ₁₄
34	A33	? and 500	S _? , S ₂₁
35	A34	400^{1} and 600	S_{11}, S_{10}
36	A35	330^{1} and 400	S ₅ , S ₁₁
37	A36	1200 and 380	S_F, S_A

Table 3. Amplified S alleles in studied almond cultivars and genotypes

Alleles amplified with first (1) and second (2) intron primers, A; not amplified S alleles and,?: Shows unknown S- alleles.

Comparison of the allele's size with (Table 3) Salleles in gene banks revealed that the most of the fragments were in the size range of S-alleles of the gene bank. The results showed that the second intron, which was amplified with primers (forward primer EM-pc2consFD and backward EM-pc3consR), identified cultivars and genotypes bands as being in the range 400bp to 1720 bp. Table 3 also shows that no bands were identified in four genotypes A_{15} , A_{22} , A_{27} and A_{28} , but at least one band identified in other 33 genotypes.




Fig 2. Frequency of S- allele's in studied almond cultivars and genotypes.

Alleles amplified with first (1) and second (2) intron primers, A; not amplified S alleles and, ?: Shows unknown S alleles.

Discussion

The fragments amplified by the four degenerate primers Pa ConsI-F, EM-PC1consRD, EM-PC2consFD, and EM-PC3consRD were in the size range of 330-1300 bp in all studied genotypes (Table 3). These four primer pairs could not amplify all S alleles in all studied genotypes. An unknown band was observed in some genotypes (Fig.1). However, in this research the primer for a second intron identified all genotypes and corresponded to research (Mousavi *et al.*, 2011 and Mousavi *et al.*, 2011).

Furthermore, according to these results Alonso *et al.*, (2006), studied S-genotype of some almond cultivars using four primer combinations of S3F/S3R2, S3F/ConR, ConF/S3R1 and ConF/S3R2 and amplified the S_3 allele satisfactorily,

Fallah *et al.*, (2014), studied pollen-pistil compatibility relationships among the cultivars Tuono, "Shokofeh", "Sahand" and five improved genotypes obtained from a breeding program based on their Salleles profiles by PCR amplification using the same primers and reported similar results. Similar results have been reported by Mousavi *et al.*, (2011) in other almond cultivars. Based on the results of amplification using degenerate primers alleles S in the first intron and second intron, all of bands obtained corresponded to the bands identified by similar research (Ortega *et al.*, 2005; Mousavi *et al.*, 2011). Mousavi *et al.*, (2011) studied S alleles of 70 Iranian indigenous and foreign almonds using these primers and they could amplify 28 S alleles of studied cultivars.

Alonso et al., (2006), studied S-genotype of some almond cultivars using four primer combinations of S3F/S3R2, S3F/ConR, ConF/S₃R1 and ConF/S3R2 and amplified the S_3 allele satisfactorily. However, their study demonstrated that in S1Sf genotypes, the intensification of the S1 piece usually covered Sf amplification. In addition, the amplification of the piece of the S_3 allele in the S_3S_f genotypes covered or silenced the intensification of the self-compatibility allele (S_f); two very close bands were sometimes seen since the molecular weights of the two fragments are very similar. Also these results show that the S_1S_f and S_1S_3 genotypes cannot be differentiated with the ConF/ConR primers. These two genotypes would not be expected to be found together in the present families, but in plants with those genotypes in which 'Tuono' is the mother plant, S_1S_f and S_fS_f could appear if accidental self-pollination occurred prior to 'Tuono' emasculation.

The specific primer mixture SfF/SfR, on the basis of the S_f intron sequences, suitably detected the selfcompatibility allele (Sf) in many of the seedlings analyzed (Channuntapipat *et al.*, 2003). A band corresponding to a 490-bp size piece was amplified in the self-compatible genotypes. Thus, Sf-allele specific recognition was attained using the SfF/SfR combination; the present work describes a proficient way to specifically recognize the S_3 allele.

Rahemi et al (2010) studied the S-alleles were in 96 wild almonds and related Prunus species from 10 taxonomic groups using six sets of primers including: three degenerate primer pairs (PaConsI-F(FAM)/EMPC1consRD, PaConsI-F(FAM)/EM-PC3consRD, EM-PC2consFD/EM-PC3consRD), one general primer pair AS1II/AmyC5R, one allele specific primer pair (CEBASf/AmyC5R), and one set of multiplex primers (AS1II/CEBASf/AmyC5R). Their results showed that the primers, including the allele specific (CEBASf/AmyC5R), did not amplify any self-compatibility allele (S_f) in the samples and that alleles S9, S2, S13, and S25 had the highest frequencies (12.26, 8.39, 7.74, and 7.74 percent respectively). This result was also reported by Sharafi et al. (2010) and Mousavi et al., (2014) It should be mentioned that primers and genotypes in this study was different in compared with same reported studies and differences in results is acceptable.

Conclusions

The results showed that 37 studied genotypes were clearly self-incompatible and only four genotypes were unrecognized. . These 4 primer pairs could not amplify all S alleles in all studied genotypes. According to many past studies it was demonstrated that the identification of S-alleles of new cultivars and genotypes, obtained from breeding programs, is very helpful for planning future breeding and orchard establishment programs, especially for speedy selecting of pollinizers. However our results, showed that as seen in many past studies the use of PCR for S-genotype detection in almond germplasm is slowly succeeding, but that more information on the behavior of the primers in different genotypes is required if efficiency is to increase. S-genotype determination by PCR in breeding progenies is most positive when the S-alleles of the parents are known and specific primers for them exist but presently this is not generally the case. However, a S_f-like allele (in size) was observed in A₉

and A_{36} genotypes. If these results are confirmed in the future studies by sequencing S_f allele, it will be the first identification of self-compatible genotype in Iranian almond genotypes.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge research section of Faculty of Agriculture of Shahed University.

References

- Alonso JM, Socias I Company R (2006) Almond Sgenotype identification by PCR using specific and non- specific allele primers. Acta Horticulturae, 726, 321-328.
- Alonso JM, Socias I Company R (2006) Identification of the S3 self-incompatibility allele in almond by specific primers. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 3(3), 296-303
- Barklay KK, Uratsu S, Thomas L, Gradsil M, Dandekar AM (2006) Multidimensional analysis of S-alleles from cross-incompatible groups of California almond cultivars. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 131, 632-636.
- Chanantapipat C, Wirthensohn M, Rameshs A, Batlle I, Arus P, Sedgley M, Collins G (2003) Identification of incompatibility genotypes in almond (*Prunus dulcis* Mill.) using specific primers based on the introns of the S-alleles. Plant Breeding. 122, 164-168.
- Chaychi S, Hassanzadeh N, MashhadiJafarloo M, Bybordi A (2002) Almond Manual: Agricultural Research and Education Organization Ministry of Jihad-e Agriculture. P. 172. [In Persian].
- Dicenta F, Ortega E, Canovas JA, Egea J (2002) Selfpollination vs cross pollination in almond pollen tub growth fruit set and fruit characteristics. Plant Breeding. 121, 163-167.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemistry Bulletin. 19, 11-15.

- Fallah M, Rasouli M, Sharafi, Imani A (2014). Study of compatibility relationships among some almond cultivars and genotypes using of S alleles identification. Journal of Nuts. 5(2), 49-56.
- Hajilou J, Grigorian V, Mohammadi SA, Nazemieh A, Romero C, Vilanova S, Burgos L (2006) Self- and Cross- (in) compatibility between important apricot Cultivars in northwest Iran. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology. 81(3), 513-517. [In Persian].
- Halasz J, Hegedus A, Herman R, Stefanovits-Banyai E,Pedryc A(2005) New self-incompatibility alleles in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) Revealed by stylar ribonuclease assay and S-PCR analysis. Euphytica. 145, 57-66.
- Halasz J, Hegdus A, Szabo Z, Nyeki J, Pedryec A (2007) DNA-based S-genotyping of Japanese plum and pluot cultivars to clarify incompatibility relationships. Hortrticultural Science. 42, 46-50.
- Juan AS, Rasouli M, fatahi Moghaddam R, Zamani Z, Imani A, Martinez-Gomez P (2014) Low-Gost strategies for Development of molecular markers linked to agronomic traits in *Prunus*. Journal of Agricultural Science. 5, 430-439.
- Kester DE, Gradziel TM, Micke WC (1994) Identifying pollen incompatibility groups in California almond cultivars. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 119, 106-109.
- Lopez M, Jose F, Vargas FJ, Battle I (2006) Self-(in) compatibility almond genotypes: a review. Euphytica. 150, 1-16.
- Ma RC, Oliveira MM (2001a) Molecular cloning of the self-incompatibility genes S1 and S3 from almond (*Prunus dulcis* cv. Ferragnès). Journal of Sexual Plant Reproduction. 14, 163-167.

- Ma RC, Oliveira MM (2001b) Molecular identification of S-genotypes of almond (*Prunus dulcis*). Acta Horticulturae. 546, 575-580.
- Martinez Gomez P, Alonso JM, Lopez M, Battle I, Ortega E, Sanchez-perez R, Disenta F(2003) Identification of self-incompatibility alleles in almond and related *Prunus* species using PCR. Journal of Genetics and Genomics.123, 397-401.
- Mousavi A, Fatahi R, Zamani Z, Imani A, Dicenta F, Ortega E (2011) Identification of selfincompatibility genotypes in Iranian almond cultivars. Acta Horticulturae. 912, 303-311.
- Mousavi A, Fatahi R, Zamani Z, Imani A, Dicenta F, Ortega E (2014) Genetic variation and frequency of S-alleles in Iranian Almond Cultivars. Acta Horticulture.1028, 45-48.
- Ortega E, Dicenta F (2004) Effective pollination period in almond cultivars. Hortrticultural Science. 39, 19-22.
- Ortega E, Egea J, Cánovas JA, Dicenta F (2002) Pollen tube dynamics following half- and fullycompatible pollinations in self-compatible almond cultivars. Journal of Sexual Plant Reproduction. 15, 47-51.
- Ortega E, Sutherland BG, Dicenta F, Boskovic R, Tobutt KR (2005) Determination of incompatibility genotypes in almond using first and second intron consensus primers detection of new S alleles and correction of reported S genotypes. Plant Breeding. 124, 188-196.
- Rahemi A, Fatahi R, Ebadi A, Taghavi T, Hassani D, Gradziel T, Chaparro José (2010) Genetic variation of S-alleles in wild almonds and their related *Prunus* species. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 4(8), 648-456.
- Rasouli M, Mousavi A, Mohammadparast B, Martínez-Gómez P (2012) Optimization of the Analysis of Almond DNA Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) Through Submarine Electrophoresis Using Different Agaroses and

Staining Protocols. International Journal of Nuts and Related Sciences. 3(2), 17-20.

- Sanchez-Perez R, Dicenta F, Martinez-Gomez P (2004) Identification of S-alleles in almond using multiplex PCR. Euphytica. 138, 263-269.
- Sharafi Y (2014) Effects of copper and lead on pollen germination traits in almond cultivars. Journal of Nuts. 5(2), 67-73.
- Sharafi Y, Hajilou J, Mohammadi SA, Dadpour MR, Eskandari S (2010) Pollen-pistil compatibility relationships in some Iranian almond (*Prunus dulcis*, Batch) genotypes as revealed by PCR analysis. African Journal of Biotechnology. 9(23), 3338-3341.
- Sharafi Y, Kafshnochi M, Naji AM (2012). Self and cross incompatibility traits analysis in some diseases tolerant almond genotypes by PCR. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 6(2), 361-364.
- Sheikhalyan A, Vezvaei A, Ebadi A, FattahiMghaddam MR, Sarkhosh A (2010) Identification of S alleles in Iranian and foreign almond cultivars and genotypes by PCR. Iranian Journal of Horticultural Science. 41(3), 247-252. [In Persian].
- Socias I Company R and Alonso JM (2004) Crossincompatibility of Ferralis and Ferragnes and pollination efficiency for self-compatibility transmission in almond. Euphytica. 135, 333-338.
- Socias I Company R (1990) Breeding self-compatible almonds. Plant Breeding Review. 8, 313-338.
- Sonneveld T, Robbins TP, Boskovic R, Tobutt KR (2001) Cloning of six cherry selfincompatibility alleles and development of allele-specific PCR detection. Theorical and Applied Genetics. 102, 1046-1055.

- Sonneveld T, Tobutt KR, Robbins TP (2003) Allelespecific PCR detection of sweet cherry selfincompatibility (S) alleles S1 to S16 using consensus and allele-specific primers. Theorical and Applied Genetics. 107, 1059-1070.
- Sutherland BG, Robbins TP, Tobutt KR (2004) Primers amplifying a range of *Prunus* S-alleles. Plant Breeding. 123, 582-584.
- Tamura M, Ushijima K, Gradziel TM, Dandekar AM (1999) Cloning of genomic DNA sequences encoding almond (*Prunus dulcis*) S-Rnase genes. Journal of Plant Physiology. 120, 1206-1210.
- ValizadehKaji B, Ershadi A, Gholami M (2007) Identification of self-incompatibility alleles in some Irania and forign almond (*Prunus dulcis* M.) Cultivars using PCR. Iranian journal of Horticultural science and Technology. 8(4), 249-258. [In Persian].
- Wiersma PA, Zhou L, Hampson C, Kappel F (2001) Identification of new self-incompatibility alleles in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) and clarification of incompatibility groups by PCR and sequencing analysis. Theorical and Applied Genetics. 102, 700-708.
- Wunsch A, Hormoze JI (2004) S-allele identification by PCR analysis in sweet cherry cultivars. Plant Breeding. 123, 1-6.
- Yamane H, Tao R, Sugiora A (1999) Identification and cDNA cloning for S-RNases in selfincompatible Japanese plum (*Pruns salisina* cv, sordum). Plant Biotechnology. 16, 386-396.
- Zhang L, Chen X, Chen X, Zhang C, Liu XCZ, Zhang HWC, Liu C (2008) Identification of selfincompatibility (S-) genotypes of Chinese apricot cultivars. Euphytica. 160, 241-248.

مطالعه أللهای ناسازگاری تعدادی از ارقام و ژنوتیپهای بادام با استفاده از آغازگرهای S-Rnase

ع. کمره^۱، ی. شرفی^۱، ع.ر. قنبری^۲ ۲.گروه علوم باغبانی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه شاهد، تهران، ایران ۲.گروه علوم باغبانی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه محقق اردبیلی، اردبیل، ایران مسئول مکاتبات: Email: y.sharafi@shahed.ac.ir تاریخ دریافت: ۲۱ تیر ۱۳۹۴

چکیدہ

بادام (Prunus dulic. Miller) از خانواده Rosaceae یکی از مهمترین گونههای تجاری میوههای خشک بوده و بذر خوراکی آن محصول تجاری محسوب می گردد. ارقام تجاری بادام دارای خودناسازگاری گامتوفیتیکی بوده، که مانع از خود تلقیحی شده و باعث دگر تلاقی می گردد. بنابراین، گردهافشانی و لقاح در بادام ضروری است. ژنتیک خود ناسازگاری گرده با مادگی بادام از نوع گامتوفیتیک بوده و توسط یک مکان ژنی یا یک ژن بنام S با چندین آلل _IS تا *S* کنترل می گردد و ارقام خودسازگار بادام دارای ژن S میباشند. سازگاری بین گرده و مادگی بادام هم در برنامههای اصلاحی جهت انتخاب والدین برای انجام تلاقی و هم در گزینش ارقام گردهدهنده برای کاشت در باغهای تجاری، ضروری است. در بادام تعیین خود(نا)سازگاری با روشهای متعددی انجام می گیرد. در این بررسی، ۲۷ رقم و ژنوتیپ بادام موجود در EM-PC1consRD, PaconsI-F,EM یا سنته از آغازگرهای واسرشتهی PCR، خودناسازگاری اغلب ارقام و ژنوتیپها را نمان داد. و PC2consFD و EM-PC1consRD, از آن خانگرهای واسرشتهی PCR، خودناسازگاری اغلب ارقام و ژنوتیپها را نشان داد. اگرچه در دو ژنوتیپ وA و GasA آلل هم اندازه SF (آلل خودسازگاری) بطور مشکوک تکثیر داده شد. اگر با توالی یابی این نوار وجود آلل-S اثریه در دو ژنوتیپ وA و آماله می اندازه SF (آلل خودسازگاری) بطور مشکوک تکثیر داده شد. اگر با توالی این نوار وجود آلل-S اثابت شود اولین باری است که ژنوتیپ خودباروری در بادامهای ایرانی گزارش می مود.

واژههای کلیدی: Sf ، Prunus dulcis، خود ناسازگاری، واکنش زنجیرهای پلیمراز.