# Determination of PSS Location Based on the Control Structure Design Indices

A. Karimpour<sup>1,2</sup> R. Asgharian<sup>1</sup> N. Pariz<sup>1</sup> H. Khaloozadeh<sup>1</sup> O. P. Malik<sup>2</sup>

1- Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Mashhad University, Mashhad, Iran 2- Dept. of Electrical Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

**Abstract:** Most available control theories assume that a control structure is given at the outset, so they do not use the provisions of control structure design. Control structure design tries to close the gap between theory and practice. In fact control structure design helps the designer to utilize the maximum capability of the system. Control structure design is clearly more important in large-scale systems.

Power systems are large-scale systems that are subject to low frequency oscillations. These oscillations degrade the system performance. Power system stabilizers (PSSs) are used to improve the performance of power systems. Determination of the suitable signal for applying to power system stabilizer and determination of the PSS locations is an important issue in power systems.

Some control structure design measures are introduced in this paper. These measures are used to determine the inputs, outputs and control configuration based on a systematic procedure that reduces the reliance on experiment and the art of the designer. Applicability of these measures in power systems is shown. Selection of a suitable signal for applying to a power system stabilizer and determination of the PSS locations are the major contributions of this paper.

**Keywords:** Power system stabilizer, singular value decomposition, relative gain array and block relative gain.

### **1.Introduction**

Most available control theories assume that a control structure is given at the outset. The first step for an engineer is to determine the variable that should be controlled, the variable that should be measured, and the input that should be manipulated. Control structure design leads to closing the gap between theory and application in this area [1]. Singular value decomposition (SVD), relative gain arrays (RGA) and block relative gains (BRG) are used as some measures in control structure design [2-3].

Power systems as large complex multivariable systems are suitable systems to consider the feasibility of applying control structure design on them. With the increasing interconnection of power systems, electromechanical oscillations restrict the steady-state power transfer limits and affect operational system economics and security. As a consequence these oscillations have become one of the main problems requiring solution in assessing power system stability. Power system stabilizers are used to overcome this problem [4].

Modal analysis offers a special application in power system stabilizers to improve system oscillation stability [5-6]. Singular value decomposition (SVD) has been proposed as a measure of the distance of a controllability and observability matrix from singularity in a state space model [7-8]. This distance is used as an index to compare the ability of inputs to control an oscillation mode.

The abovementioned analysis is based on the conclusions drawn from the eigenvalues and left and right eigenvectors of the full power system state matrix. For large power systems it can hardly be used because of the numerical difficultly in the eigen solution of their huge state matrix. It is also illustrated in [9] that if the system has oscillation modes close to each other, indices according to modal analysis do not work correctly. This paper deals with these difficulties by using suitable

control structure design measures. This method guaranties not only its applicability on systems with modes

مجله انجمن مهندسین برق و الکترونیک ایران - سال اول - شماره سوم- زمستان ۱۳۸۳ ----- 15 -

Journal of Iranian Association of Electrical and Electronics Engineers - Vol.1 - No.3 - Winter 2005

close to each other but also the order of matrices involved in the calculation is no higher than the number of machines in a power system [10-12].

The paper is organized as follows: suitable control structure design measures are briefly described in Section 2; application of control structure design indices on power systems is described in Section 3; and finally results of simulation studies on a multi-machine power system are described in Section 4.

### 2. Indices in control structure design

Singular value decomposition, relative gain array and block relative gain are suitable measures in control structure design and their applicability to power systems seems to be feasible.

### "Singular value decomposition

Consider M is a constant matrix in  $C^{l \times m}$ . Then M can be decomposed into its singular value decomposition according to the following theorem.

**Theorem:** Let  $M \in C^{l \times m}$ , then there exist  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times m}$ and unitary matrices  $U \in C^{l \times l}$  and  $V \in C^{m \times m}$  such that:

 $M = U\Sigma V^{H} \quad (1)$ 

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} , \quad S = diag\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r\}$$

with  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \dots \ge \sigma_r$  and  $r \le \min\{l, m\}$ , and  $V^H$  is complex conjugate transpose of V. The column vector elements of U, identified by  $u_i$ , are orthogonal and of unit length, and represent the output directions. The column vector elements of V, identified by  $v_i$ , are orthogonal and of unit length, and represent the input directions. These input and output directions are related through singular values by:

$$Mv_i = \sigma_i u_i \qquad (2)$$

Journal of Iranian Association of Electrical and Electronics Engineers - Vol.1 - No.3 - Winter 2005

It means that if an input is applied in the direction  $v_i$ , then the output is in the direction  $u_i$  and has a gain of  $\sigma_i$ . Input direction  $v_i$  for i>r corresponds to inputs that do not have any influence on outputs and similarly output direction  $u_i$  for i>r corresponds to the outputs that cannot be accessed by any input. Since the diagonal elements of S are arranged in a descending order, it can be shown that the largest gain for any input direction is equal to the maximum singular value  $\sigma_1$ . So one can write:

$$\sigma_{1} = \max_{d \neq 0} \frac{\|Md\|_{2}}{\|d\|_{2}} = \frac{\|Mv_{1}\|_{2}}{\|v_{1}\|_{2}} \quad (3)$$

where d is any input signal and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the Euclidian norm. Expansion of (1) leads to:

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_k u_k v_k^{H} \quad (4)$$

Singular value decomposition has the ability to find the most effective input and output direction. Controllability and observability of oscillation modes in power systems can be considered by input and output direction corresponding to maximum singular value at neighborhood of oscillation mode. Indeed the peaks of singular values versus frequency plot indicate the frequencies at which the system is likely to exhibit dynamic stability problem. The output direction corresponding to the largest singular value provides an indication of the relative contribution of different outputs at that frequency [12].

## "Relative gain array (RGA)

The relative gain array (RGA) was introduced in [13]. It provides the designer with a quick assessment of interaction among the control loops of a multivariable system. The relative gain array of an matrix G is defined as

$$RGA(G) = \Lambda(G) = G \times (G^{\iota})^{T}$$
 (5)

where  $(.)^{i}$  is pseudo inverse,  $(.)^{T}$  means transpose and × denotes element by element multiplication. The RGA has a number of interesting control properties, of which the most important ones are [14].

-For a non-singular square matrix G, RGA(G) is independent of input and output scaling. For a full row rank matrix, it is independent of output scaling and for a full column rank matrix, it is independent of input scaling. -The sum norm of the RGA matrix is very close to the

minimized condition number  $\gamma^{-}$ . This means that plants with large RGA elements are always ill conditioned.

-The RGA of a matrix can be used to measure diagonal dominance, by the simple equality

$$RGA\_no = \left\| \Lambda(\mathbf{G}) - \mathbf{I} \right\|_{sum}$$
(6)

...

For decentralized control to avoid instability caused by interaction in the crossover, one should prefer pairing for which the RGA number at crossover frequency

- 16 ----- مجله انجمن مهندسین برق و الکترونیک ایران - سال اول - شماره سوم- زمستان ۱۳۸۳

is close to zero. Also, to avoid instability caused by interactions at low frequency, one should avoid pairing with negative steady state RGA elements.

-RGA elements imply sensitivity to element-by-element uncertainty. The non-singular and square matrix **G** becomes singular if one makes a relative change  $1/\lambda_{ij}$  in the ij-th element of **G**. That is, if a single element in **G** is perturbed from  $g_{ij}$  to  $g_{ij}$  in eq. (3), then **G** becomes singular.

$$g'_{ij} = g_{ij} (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_{ij}})$$
 (7)

Thus, large  $\lambda_{ij}$  means that, with small change in  $g_{ij}$ , **G** becomes singular.

-The i-th row sum of the RGA is equal to the square of the i-th output projection and the j-th column sum of the RGA is equal to the square of the j-th input projection as below:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{ij} = \left\| \mathbf{e}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{r} \right\|_{2}^{2} \quad (8)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{ij} = \left\| \mathbf{e}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{r} \right\|_{2}^{2} \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i = [0,0,\dots,1,\dots,0]^T$  is a vector with a 1 in position *i* and zeros elsewhere,  $\mathbf{u}_r$  and  $\mathbf{v}_r$  respectively are the output and input directions with non-zero gains extracted from singular value decomposition.

So relative gain array can exhibit the interaction of different input/output pairs on the total system. This property can be used in the detection of convolving generators in each oscillation mode.

### "Block relative gain (BRG)

The original definition of the relative gain as a scalar, has limited its applicability exclusively to SISO control loops. The relative gain array concept and its properties were extended from a scalar to a matrix form in [15] by defining it as block relative gain (BRG). It yields a more powerful synthesis framework that can address a broader class of control problems such as the synthesis of decentralized control structures that are not restricted to SISO control loops.

Consider a square  $n \times n$  transfer function matrix G(s) partitioned as below:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix} \bigoplus_{n=m}^{m} m$$
(10)

Outputs  $y_1$  are interconnected with the first *m* inputs  $u_1$  and the last *n*-*m* outputs  $y_2$  are interconnected with the

last n-m inputs u2 .

Relation between  $u_1$  and  $y_1$  when the last *n*-*m* loops are open is:

$$y_1(s) = G_{11}(s)u_1(s)$$
 (11)

If one considers perfect control on the last *n*-*m* loops then relation between  $u_1$  and  $y_1$  is given as [15]:

$$y_1 = (BRG_l)^{-1}G_{11}u_1 \quad (12)$$
  
$$y_1 = G_{11}(BRG_r)^{-1}u_1 \quad (13)$$

where  $BRG_i$  and  $BRG_r$  left and right block relative gains correspondingly, are defined as:

$$BRG_{l} = \left[\frac{\partial y_{1}(s)}{\partial u_{1}(s)}\Big|_{u_{2}=0}\right] \left[\frac{\partial y_{1}(s)}{\partial u_{1}(s)}\Big|_{y_{2}=0}\right]^{-1} (14)$$
$$= G_{11}(s) \left[G^{-1}(s)\right]_{1}$$

$$BRG_{r} = \left[\frac{\partial y_{1}(s)}{\partial u_{1}(s)}\Big|_{y_{2}=0}\right]^{-1} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial y_{1}(s)}{\partial u_{1}(s)}\Big|_{u_{2}=0}\right]$$
(15)
$$= \left[G^{-1}(s)\right]_{1} \cdot G_{11}(s)$$

When  $BRG_i=I$  which implies  $BRG_r=I$ , the performance of the  $m \times m$  block is isolated from the rest of the plant and operates under the influence of only its own control law. According to this property, closeness of  $BRG_i$  or  $BRG_r$  to identity matrix is a measure of interaction.

Abovementioned property of BRG can be used in the detection of convolving generators in each oscillation mode.

#### 3. Determination of PSS Location

In a multi-machine power system it is important to determine the best location for the application of power system stabilizers. Following algorithm can be use to identify generators that contribute in each oscillation mode and the best location for the installation of the PSSs.

1-Consider set points of different exciters as input candidates and speed of different generators as output candidates.

2-Extract transfer function matrix for input and output candidates and do scaling [16].

3-Find the oscillation modes [12].

4-Find convolving generators in each oscillation mode by one of the following indices.

and Electronics Engineers - Vol.1 - No.3 - Winter 2005

Journal of Iranian Association of Electrical

"Singular value decomposition index: The proposed technique depends upon distinguishing the contribution of various generators to each oscillation mode by finding the minimum number of generators for which the corresponding reduced transfer function has the maximum singular value near to the largest singular value of the whole system at that frequency. To do this, the row and column corresponding to each generator is omitted and its effect on the maximum singular value is examined. If the reduction in the maximum singular value is small, one understands that this generator does not contribute in this mode. Otherwise, this generator is contributing in this mode. Repeating this method for all generators and omitting the non-contributing ones, it is possible to find the minimum number of generators whose corresponding reduced transfer function has maximum singular value near to the largest singular value of the real system at that frequency [12].

"Relative gain array index: RGA elements are considered in the frequency of oscillation mode. The system has large sensitivity of element-by-element uncertainty for large elements in RGA matrix. Each mode of oscillation is due to contribution of many generators that have large interaction among them. Large RGA elements are a measure of interaction, so one can find generators that contribute in each oscillation mode through RGA elements [12].

"Block relative gain index: The contribution of various generators to each oscillation mode can be extracted by considering their BRG. Block relative gain of contributing generators in each oscillation mode must be close to identity matrix. This property is used to distinguish the contribution of various generators to each oscillation mode [2].

5-Delete from the transfer function matrix generators that do not contribute to the oscillation mode. The best location to apply PSS can be found by one of the following indices. The attention is focused on the use of local controllers. Because of practical reasons, signals of distant generators are generally avoided for application to the PSS.

"Use of reduction in maximum singular value:By deleting the column and row corresponding to each generator in turn, and checking the maximum singular value of the remaining system, best PSS location for improving each oscillation mode can be found. A generator, the deletion of which leads to the smallest magnitude of the maximum singular value of the remaining system, is the most effective generator for that mode and is thus the most appropriate location for a PSS to damp this mode. This procedure combines the controllability and observability measures in one step [2]. "Use of input and output direction from SVD and their product: The input and output directions of transfer function matrix and their products at the neighborhood of oscillation mode are equivalent to controllability, observability, and residue correspondingly [12]. This property is used to select the suitable unit to which a PSS should be applied.

6-Repeat steps 2-5 for different operating points. Try to find the best location of PSS for each oscillation mode that is suitable for the whole operating points.

7-If one cannot find a local decentralized PSS for some oscillation mode that is viable for the whole operating point, the following steps are suggested.

"Signals from other generators: Use additional signals from distant generators as PSS input.

*"Other type of controller:* Use other types of control equipment, such as FACTs, that change the effective parameters of the transmission line.

Steps 4 and 5 of the proposed algorithm are illustrated in the next Section to show the applicability of the proposed procedure

## 4. Case studies

### "4-machine system

A 4-machine system is shown in Fig. 1. Parameters of the system are given in [9]. It is illustrated in [9] that if the oscillation modes are close to each other, the indices according to modal analysis do not work correctly and one must make minor variation in some system parameters (inertia of all machines of one area in [9]) to find suitable results for applying PSSs according to the eigenvector methods. The method proposed here is applicable to systems with oscillation modes that are close to each other.

Considering the exciters as inputs and generator speeds as outputs, transfer function of the system is extracted. To scale



Fig. 1: Example of a 4-machine power system



Fig. 2: Singular values of 4-machines system

the variables, the maximum expected deviation from the normal value should be chosen. Dividing each variable by its maximum value scales all variables.

# a) Identifying generators that contribute in each oscillation mode

According to step 4 of Section 3 there are three methods to distinguish the convolving generators in each oscillation mode.

#### "Singular value decomposition:

Singular value plots of the system are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that there are two peaks in the singular values plot. The largest singular value obtained by deleting each generator in turn at 3.36 rad/s is shown in Table 1. For the peak at 3.36 rad/s the set of all generators has the maximum singular value comparable with the total system, so all generators contribute in this mode.

The largest singular value obtained by deleting each generator in turn at 6.4 rad/s is shown in Table 2. Table 2 shows that for the oscillation mode of frequency 6.4 rad/s both sets of  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  and  $\{G_3, G_4\}$  have the maximum singular value comparable with the total system. So there are two oscillation modes corresponding to 6.4 rad/s.

### "Relative gain array:

Elements of RGA versus frequency are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that there are two peaks in the RGA elements. Absolute values of RGA at 3.35 red/s and 6.7 rad/s are shown by :

Table 1: 3.36 rad/s oscillation mode

Number of	Max singu-
omitted	lar value
Generator	
No omission	117.8816
1	102.5896
2	102.6965
3	68.8785
4	73.9812
1,2	84.7918

Table 2: 6.4 rad/s oscillation mode

Number of	Max singu-
omitted	lar value
Generator	
No omission	21.9891
1	19.6972
2	19.6950
3	21.8219
4	21.8342
1,2	19.6503
3,4	21.7861

	0.7169	1.0937	0.3551
$\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ ( :2.25)	0.7529	0.4311	0.3633
$\Lambda(33.35) =$	0.3709	0.4676	5.8485
	0.4002	0.5991	6.2690

	2.7723	2.4357	0.0146	0.0198
$\hat{\lambda}(36.7) =$	2.4054	2.7594	0.0098	0.0180
$\Lambda(j0.7) =$	0.0351	0.0337	1.6323	1.6771
	0.0370	0.0390	1.6918	1.5789

Relative values of RGA elements at 3.35 rad/s show that all generators contribute in this frequency. Relative values of RGA elements at 6.7 rad/s show that at this frequency there is interaction only between the sets  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  and  $\{G_3, G_4\}$ . Thus there are two local oscillation modes

- 19 مجله انجمن مهندسین برق و الکترونیک ایران - سال اول - شماره سوم- زمستان ۱۳۸۳ ----- 19



Fig. 3: RGA elements of a 4-machine system

1+2	<u>0.31</u>	<u>0.84</u>	0.71	0.98
1+3	0.85	1.07	<u>5.80</u>	6.24
1+4	<u>0.98</u>	0.86	6.40	<u>5.64</u>
2+3	0.99	<u>0.84</u>	<u>5.78</u>	6.28
2+4	0.87	<u>1.00</u>	6.43	<u>5.60</u>
3+4	0.75	1.03	<u>0.58</u>	<u>0.62</u>
1+2+3	<u>0.65</u>	<u>0.85</u>	<u>5.77</u>	6.34
1+2+4	<u>0.63</u>	<u>0.70</u>	6.50	<u>5.65</u>
1+3+4	<u>1.20</u>	0.97	<u>0.70</u>	<u>0.65</u>
2+3+4	1.13	<u>1.43</u>	<u>0.72</u>	<u>0.69</u>
1+2+3+4	<u>1.00</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>1.00</u>

 Table 3: Absolute value of diagonal elements of right

 block relative gain at 3.36 rad/s

corresponding to each set at 6.7 rad/s.

# "Block relative gain:

The absolute values of diagonal elements of right block relative gain at 3.36 rad/s are shown in Table 3. This table shows that just the  $4 \times 4$  block has diagonal elements near one. So it shows that all generators contribute in this oscillation mode.

The absolute values of diagonal elements of right block relative gain at 6.4 rad/s are shown in Table 4. This table shows that at this frequency BRG of  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  and  $\{G_3, G_4\}$  is near to identity matrix. Thus there are two local oscillation modes corresponding to each set at 6.4 rad/s.

All three above mentioned measures confirm that there is one inter-area mode around 3.36 rad/s and there are two local modes around 6.4 rad/s. Two local modes correspond to  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  and  $\{G_3, G_4\}$ . Inter-area mode corresponds to oscillation of all generators. Now one must choose suitable PSS location for each mode.

# b) Determination of the best PSS location for each oscillation mode

There are two methods to determine the PSS locations according to step 5 of Section 3.

"Use of reduction in maximum singular value:

1+2	<u>0.97</u>	<u>1.00</u>	0.02	0.03
1+3	2.63	2.54	<u>1.37</u>	1.62
1+4	<u>2.69</u>	2.55	1.65	<u>1.34</u>
2+3	2.58	2.68	<u>1.36</u>	1.62
2+4	2.52	2.65	1.65	<u>1.33</u>
3+4	0.04	0.03	<u>0.99</u>	<u>0.98</u>
1+2+3	<u>0.97</u>	<u>1.03</u>	<u>1.35</u>	1.61
1+2+4	<u>1.00</u>	<u>0.97</u>	1.66	<u>1.35</u>
1+3+4	<u>2.65</u>	2.54	<u>1.00</u>	<u>0.99</u>
2+3+4	2.56	2.68	<u>0.99</u>	<u>0.99</u>
1+2+3+4	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

 Table 4: Absolute value of diagonal elements of right

 block relative gain at 6.4 rad/s

 Table 5: Singular values of 4-machine system

Frequency rad/s	3.36	6.63	6.63
Convolving generators	#1,#2,#3,#4	#1,#2	#3,#4
Omitted generator	Maximum	singular	value
No omission	117.88	21.79	19.65
#1	102.59	12.09	**
#2	102.70	9.84	**
#3	68.88	**	11.18
#4	73.98	**	7.60

By deleting the column and row corresponding to each generator in turn check the maximum singular value of the remaining system. A generator that leads to the maximum reduction in the largest singular value is the most effective generator for damping of that mode. The largest singular values obtained by deleting each generator in turn for all of the modes are shown in Table 5. According to Table 5 omitting G3 reduces the singular values corresponding to 3.36 rad/s the most, so it is the best position to apply PSS for improving the performance of the system, and G2 and G4 respectively are best positions for improving the local modes. A rank between generators for improving this oscillation mode is also shown in Table 5.

"Use of input and output direction from SVD and their product:

For choosing the best input-output pair for improving the damping of inter-area mode one must find the SVD of the system at  $s = p + \varepsilon$ . The SVD of the system at the inter-area mode is:

$$G_{all} \cong 41.6 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0.1578 - 0.0578 \mathrm{i} \\ 0.1117 - 0.0581 \mathrm{i} \\ -0.6039 - 0.3962 \mathrm{i} \\ -0.5487 - 0.3648 \mathrm{i} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0.4794 \\ 0.4904 + 0.0239 \mathrm{i} \\ -0.5028 + 0.0004 \mathrm{i} \\ -0.5251 - 0.0242 \mathrm{i} \end{array} \right]^H$$

Absolute values of  $u_1$ ,  $v_1$  and  $u_1v_1^H$  as residue of the system are shown by  $\hat{u}_1$ ,  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $\hat{R}$ , respectively:

$$\hat{u}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1680\\ 0.1259\\ 0.7223\\ 0.6589 \end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{v}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4794\\ 0.4910\\ 0.5028\\ 0.5256 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.0806 & 0.0825 & 0.0845 & 0.0883 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{-}$	0.0603	0.0618	0.0633	0.0662
κ =	0.3463	0.3547	0.3632	0.3797
	0.3159	0.3236	0.3313	0.3464

Relative magnitude of  $\hat{v}_1$  shows that exciter of generator four is the best input candidate, relative magnitude of  $\hat{u}_1$  shows that speed of generator three is the best measurement signal. Residue matrix  $\hat{R}$  considers both controllability and observability and suggests generator four for the application of PSS and speed of generator three as a suitable signal to apply to the PSS. But the need for local controller suggests generator three for applying a local PSS.

For study on local oscillation modes, there are two

local modes for sets  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  and  $\{G_3, G_4\}$ . For local mode of set  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  one must extract transfer function corresponding to these generators. The SVD of this transfer function at  $s = p + \varepsilon$  is:

$$G_{all} \cong 22.51 \begin{bmatrix} 0.6213 - 0.0422i \\ -0.7807 + 0.0522i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.7143 \\ -0.6995 - 0.0236i \end{bmatrix}^{H}$$

Absolute values of  $u_1$ ,  $v_1$  and  $u_1v_1^H$  as residue of the system are shown by  $\hat{u}_1$ ,  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $\hat{R}$  respectively:

$$\hat{u}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6227\\ 0.7825 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \hat{v}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7143\\ 0.6999 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4448 & 0.4358\\ 0.5589 & 0.5476 \end{bmatrix}$$

Relative magnitude of  $\hat{v}_1$  shows that exciter of generator one is the best input candidate, relative magnitude of  $\hat{u}_1$  shows that speed of generator two is the best measurement signal. Residue matrix  $\hat{R}$  suggests generator two for applying a local PSS. The same procedure for the set  $\{G_3, G_4\}$  suggests that exciter of generator four is the best input candidate and speed of generator four is the best measurement signal. So generator four is the best candidate for applying a local PSS. This result is exactly the same as the result of [9].

### " 5-machine system

A single line diagram of the 5-machine 8-bus system [17] is shown in Fig. 4 as another case study. Parameters of the model and operating conditions are given in [17].

The singular value plot of the 5-machine system versus frequency is shown in Fig. 5. Examination of the singular values shows that the gain of the system around 4 rad/s(0.64 Hz), 6.4 rad/s(1.02Hz) and 8.5 rad/s(1.40 Hz) is large, so there are oscillation modes near these frequencies.

It is found that at 8.5 rad/s, the set {G2, G3, G5} has the maximum singular value of 12.727 and the maximum singular value of the real system is 12.734. By referring to the elements of output direction corresponding to maximum singular value at this frequency shown in Fig. 6(a) one can see that this is a local mode between generators 2,3 and 5. The same procedure

Archive of SID



Fig. 4: Example of a 5-machine power system



Fig. 5: Singular values of the 5-machine system

for the frequency of 6.4 rad/s (Fig. 6b) shows that there is a local mode between generators 1 and 4. At 4 rad/s the combination of all generators gives the suitable maximum singular value and the elements of output direction corresponding to the maximum singular value at this frequency (Fig. 6c) show that it is an inter-area mode.

The next step is to find the best position to apply PSSs. Consider that the aim is to improve the damping of inter-area mode.

The largest singular values obtained by deleting each generator in turn for all of the modes are shown in Table 6. According to Table 6 omitting G2 reduces the singular values corresponding to 4 rad/s the most, so it is the best position to apply PSS for improving the performance of the system. The best position for improving oscillation mode of frequency 6.4 rad/s is generator G4 and generator G2 is again the most suitable place for improving the corresponding 8.5 rad/s mode, as shown in Table 6.

Results of nonlinear simulation when one PSS (16) is applied on each of the large generators, i.e. 1, 2 and 4 in turn, and a 200 ms 3 phase short circuit on bus 3 are



Fig. 6: Output direction corresponding to maximum singular values

Frequency rad/s	4	6.4	8.5
Convolving generators	#1,#2,#3,#4, #5	#1,#4	#2,#3,#5
Omitted generator	Maximum	singular	value
No omission	97.44	32	17.73
#1	92.61	17.43	**
#2	45.44	**	8.89
#3	86.04	**	11.13
#4	77.63	15.32	**
#5	85.63	**	10.72

 Table 6: Singular values of 5-machine system

shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) and for a short circuit on bus 2 are shown in Figs. 7(c) and 7(d). It shows that applying PSS on generator 2 leads to the best performance of the system for the damping of the interarea mode as determined in Table 6.

$$F(s) = 0.5 \frac{10s}{1+10s} \frac{1+0.2s}{1+0.04s} \frac{1+0.2s}{1+0.04s}$$
(16)

### 5. Conclusions

A systematic procedure based on control structure design measures is proposed to find the generators contributing in each oscillation mode and also the best location for applying PSSs is determined. The advantages of the proposed algorithm are:

" No computation involving the right (left) eigenvectors and eigen sensitivity analysis is required.





(c) Different speed of (d) Diferent speed of G2 and G4 G1 and G2

Fig. 7: Result for the 5-machine system

"This method can be used by information of simulation of a system or modeling the system.

"This method has the applicability to apply on systems with modes close to each other.

"The order of all matrices involved in the calculation is not higher than the number of machines in a power system.

Test results on two case study systems show that the proposed method is reliable and straightforward.

### References

[1] A. S. Foss, "Critique of chemical process control theory", AICHE Journal, Vol. 19, 1973, pp. 209-214.

[2] A. Karimpour, "Power system stability improvement by use of systematic methods of control structure design", PHD. Thesis, University of Mashhad, 2003, Mashhad, Iran.

[3] S. Skogestad and I. Postletwaite, Multivariable Feedback Control, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1997.

[4] Yao-nan, Yu. Electric Power System Dynamics; 1st edn, Academic Press: New York, 1983.

[5] Y. Y. Hsu and C. L. Chen, "Identification of optimum location for stabilizer applications using participation factors", Proc. IEE, Vol. 134, Pt.C, No.3, pp. 238-244, 1987.

[6] E. Z. Zhou, O. P. Malik and G. S. Hope, "Theory and method for selection of power system stabilizer location", IEEE Trans. on Energy Conversion, Vol. EC-6, pp. 170-176, 1991.

[7] A. M. A. Hamdan, "An investigation of the significance of singular value decomposition in power system dynamics", International Journal of Electric Power and Energy Systems, Vol. 21, No.6, pp. 417-427, 1999.

[8] A. M. A. Hamdan, "Use of SVD for power system stabilizer signal selection", Electric Machines and Power Systems, Vol. 21, No.2, p 229-240, 1993.

[9] M. Klein, G. J. Rogers, S. Moorty and P. Kundur, "Analytical investigation of factors influencing power system stabilizers performance", IEEE Trans. on Energy Conversion, Vol. 7, 1992, pp. 382-390.

[10] R. Asgharian, A. Karimpour, "New Concepts of Control Structure Design in Determination of PSS Location", 11th Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering, 2003, ICEE2003, Shiraz, Iran, Vol. 3, pp. 431-439.

[11] A. Karimpour, R. Asgharian, O.P.Malik, "Singular value decomposition as a measure for control structure design in power systems", Electric Power Components and Systems. Vol. 32, No. 3, 2004, pp. 295-307

[12] A. Karimpour, R. Asgharian, O.P.Malik, "Relative Gain Array and Singular Value Decomposition in Determination of PSS location", to be published in European Transaction on Electric power.

[13] E. H. Bristol, "On a new measure of interactions for multivariable process control" IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. 11, 1966, pp. 133-134.

[14] S. Skogestad and I. Postletwaite, "Multivariable Feedback Control", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.

[15] V. Manousiouthakis, R. Savage, and Y. Arkun, "Synthesis of decentralized process control structures using the concept of block relative gain", AICHE Journal, 32, 1986, pp.991-1003.

[16] A. Karimpour, R. Asgharian, and O. P. Malik, "PSS Design in Conjunction With Scaling Effect", 17th International Power System conference 2002, PSC2002, Tehran, Iran, Oct 28-30, Vol. 1, 2002, pp. 1-7.

[17] Payman Shamsollahi and O. P. Malik, Application of neural adaptive power system stabilizer in a multi-machine power system", IEEE Trans. On Energy Conversion, Vol. 14(3), 1999, pp. 731-736.

23 مجله انجمن مهندسین برق و الکترونیک ایران - سال اول - شماره سوم- زمستان ۱۳۸۳ ــــــــ