

The Social Impact Assessment of Livestock Removal from Forest Areas A case study of rural emigrants of Yanqaq in Galikesh of Golestan province

Mohammad Fazeli

Assistant Prof. of Sociology, Shahid Beheshti University

Mohammad Javad Mohammadi Parsa *

M.Sc. of Sociology, Shahid Beheshti University

Received: 2/2/2014

Accepted: 16/7/2014

Extended Abstract

Introduction

Protecting and preserving the environment like forest ecosystems is a very important public duty. And being concerned about the people forced to migrate and resettle to protect valuable ecosystems is necessary. So finding the ways that can put reasonable and efficient both of these categories together, is only possible through the systematic researches. In recent years, many international requirements and native protests from the environmental activists and higher knowledge of the people and the governments, has forced the governments to more pay attention to forest ecosystems. In this context, the main challenge that governments are always faced with is choose between current needs and economic prosperity and gaining more popularity and giving priority to the protection and maintenance of natural resources and the environment for next generations.

One of the main causes of deforestation is the forest villagers that lived in the forest for many years and use trees for building, fuel and livestock grazing. Native, traditional and local forest exploitation of the natural resources in forest ecosystems

* Responsible Author: mparsa786@yahoo.com

in recent decades, gradually has been changed to the mode of industrial use case, and has become non - standard.

The main mechanism of the development process improvement projects made in the studies about actions that have already taken place and the exposure to a variety of conditions have shown their strengths and weaknesses. In a country like Iran, where every year hundreds of small and large development projects are carried out; study of previous designs is necessary. To be repeated strengths and avoid weaknesses of that projects.

In Iran, Studies have began from 1986 for regulate findings and rules to out rural households from forests and resettle them in new regions. In addition, its operations began in 1990. Failure to cooperate foresters, social, economic and cultural problems in previous migrated shortage of habitable lands, lack of funds allocated, inconsistencies in the agencies, lack sufficient clarity of rules and regulations, Two contrasting economic perspective (using wood, livestock and employment) and environmental use of forests among policymakers and ... shows that more careful researches and planning is necessary. Although the governments were bound to exit all forest villagers until 2010, out of the woods and migrate them to other zones but because of that problems the work is not complete so far (2014).

Because of forest conservation in 1992, four adjacent villages in the forest of outskirts of Galykesh city in Golestan province, about the 50 rural households exited and were resettled in Yanqaq village. Governments gave every family two acres (20000 m²) of farming land (approximately 30% of previous asset) and a house 450 square meters area instead of their farming lands and homes in forest.

This study has the following these purposes. First: describe the significant social changes resulting from migration and resettlement, and its positive and negative effects. Second: Identifying the types and causes of social discontent has emerged because of the impact of this kind of development and Third: Solution to improve the situation and resolve possible defects in future similar projects.

Methodology

This research is formed in the framework of sustainable development outlook and one of its most important branches; social empowerment and using the social impact assessment (SIA) techniques to find out most important beneficial and harmful social impacts in that migration and resettlement. First, social, economic, cultural and political effects has been identified and after consultation with experts in social science and ecology, economic impact specified as most important impact of the project and selected to comprehensive review. Next step was a qualitative research study (Grounded theory method) to descript attitudes about this project and its economical problems. The techniques of this steps were field observation and semi structured interviews with government agents and resettlemented rural people.

Result

The results show that the infrastructure services (like drinking water, safe house, school, hospital, the fire department, police etc) are the most important factor to empowering the resettlement people. And family's new income is relatively sufficient. However, the government's failure to adhere to their promises, particularly on the establish of industrial livestock, rural cooperatives and loss of self-sufficiency and lack of planning for the employment of second-generation have inconvenienced them.

The problems like Lack of participatory management of resettlement, lack of adequate training for entering a new lifestyle and not a Continuous monitoring of resettlement rural people has created "Horror suspense" sense in Newcomers to new location. That sense as the central category can Interpret most Actions of The first generation resettlemented rural people.

Conclusion

In addition, at the end of the study, by using the discovered central category, Suggestions are presented for better implementation of similar projects like: training

villagers about the dangers and opportunities of new lifestyle before migration, Participatory management of migration, Avoiding leaving the forest villagers by money instead of agricultural land, Easy and permanent access to psychological and religious consultation, Supportive and permanent attendance of police specially In the beginning of resettlement and making economical cooperatives with governments for new resettlemented people.

Keywords: Forest villagers, Resettlement, Participatory, Social impact assessment, Grounded theory method.

References

- Aghaee, S., 2004, **UN Sustainable Development Strategies**, Journal of the Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Tehran.
- Alsop, R., Bertelsen, M. & Holland, J., 2006, **Empowerment in Practice from Analysis to Implementation**, Washington DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/ THE WORLD BANK.
- Anderson, R., English, R. & Brusberg, E., 2002, **Handbook for Preparing a Resettlement Action Plan**, Washington, DC: The International Finance Corporation (IFC).
- Azimi, N., Amirilamar, M., 2009, **Impact Assessment of Livestock Removal from Forest Areas in Gilan province (case study of the Rezvanshahr Central part)**, Geographical Research.
- Becker, H., Vanclay, F., 2010, **The International Handbook of Social Impact Assessment Conceptual and Methodological advances**, Translator: H. Jalili, Jameeshenasan Publisher, Tehran.
- Bridge, R.J., 2009, Translator: M. Ramezani, M. Ghelich, **A Community Guide to Social Impact Assessment**, Jameeshenasan Publisher, Tehran.
- E.N.N., 2009, **Environmental Management, Sustainable Development and Human Health**, London: CRC Press/Balkema.
- Eftekhari, A.R., Badri S., 2002, Villages Economic Impact Assessment After the Earthquake Integration from the Perspective of Sustainable Development (**Case Study the villages of Upper Tarom Balkur and Jamal Abad city**), Journal of Science Teacher.

- Heydari, GH., Khlilian, S., Arzani H., 2002, **Analysis of Economic and Social Problems, Organizing the Livestock Removal from northern forests (Case Study in Savadkuh Lafur)**, Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources of the Caspian.
- Oruonye, E., 2011, **The Socio-Economic Impact of the Lake Chad Resettlement Scheme, Nigeria**, African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, PP. 238-245.
- Purmohammadi, M., Jahanbin R., 2010, **Informal Settlement Survival and Empowerment as the most Innovative Strategy to Deal with it (Case study of the city of Tabriz)**, Geographical Journal Zagros Landscape.
- Roche, Chris, 2009, **Impact Assessment Development Agencies: Learning to Value Change**, Translator: H. Chavoshian, Akhtaran Publisher, Tehran.
- Saunders, V., 2010, **Empowerment Research Program (ERP)**, Australia: Collaborative **Research on Empowerment & Wellbeing (C.R.E.W)**, North Queensland Health Equalities Promotion Unit.
- Shaditalab, J., 2003, **Development and Challenges of Iranian Women**, Ghatreh Publisher, Tehran.
- Shahsavari, M., Esmaeeli Gholzom H., 2010, **Handbook of Resettlement in Development Projects**, Islamic Development Bank.
- Strauss, A., Corbin, M., 2012, **Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Grounded Theory**, Translator: A. Afshar, Ney Publisher, Tehran.
- Trepp, E., 2010, **Chandoli National Park and Resettlement: Impacts on Local Communities in Maharashtra, India**.
- Woube, M., 2005, **Effects of Resettlement Schemes on the Biophysical and Human Environments: The Case of the Gambela Region, Ethiopia**, Florida: Universal Publishers Boca Raton.

<http://www.worldbank.org/depweb/english/sd.html> (January 2014)

<http://maps.google.com> (January 2014)