



Solving a Volterra integral equation with weakly singular kernel in the reproducing kernel space

Fazhan Geng^{a,1}, Feng Shen^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu, Jiangsu 215500, China.

^bThe First Middle School of Dingtao, Dingtao Shandong, 274100, China.

Abstract

In this paper, we will present a new method for a Volterra integral equation with weakly singular kernel in the reproducing kernel space. Firstly the equation is transformed into a new equivalent equation. Its exact solution is represented in the form of series in the reproducing kernel space. In the mean time, the n-term approximation $u_n(t)$ to the exact solution $u(t)$ is obtained. Some numerical examples are studied to demonstrate the accuracy of the present method. Results obtained by the method are compared with the exact solution of each example and are found to be in good agreement with each other.

Keywords: Exact solution; Integral equation; Weakly singular kernel; Reproducing kernel

© 2010 Published by Islamic Azad University-Karaj Branch.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following second kind Volterra integral equation with weakly singular kernel in the reproducing kernel space

$$u(t) - \int_0^t \frac{s^{\mu-1}}{t^\mu} u(s) ds = f(t), t \in [0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

¹Corresponding Author. E-mail Address:gengfazhan@sina.com (F.Z. Geng)

Project supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong province (Grant No. ZR2009AQ015).

where $\mu > 0$, $u(t) \in W_2^2[0, T]$, $f(t)$ is a given function and $f(t) \in W_2^2[0, T]$. Equations of this type arise from certain heat conduction problems (see Ref.[1-2]). The distinctive feature of the equation is the presence of a singularity at $t = 0$ for all values of $\mu > 0$ and at $s = 0$ for all values of $t > 0$ for $0 < \mu < 1$. This means that conventional analytical and numerical theory does not apply. In fact, this equation has been the subject of previous analysis in [3-9]. In [3], the authors presented some results on existence, uniqueness and smoothness. In [4], the author gave a spectral approach to an integral equation. In [5], [8], the authors presented product integral methods and Hermite-type collocation method for equation of this type. In [6], [7], extrapolation methods for the equation of this type was presented. In [9], the authors also gave the numerical solution of the equation of this type. It is of interest because of the rather unusual singularity. For values of $\mu > 1$, the singularity at $t = 0$ does not persist for $t > 0$. Thus the solution is quite well-behaved. However, for $0 < \mu < 1$, there are infinitely many solutions to Eq.(1.1). In [5], it was proved that Eq.(1.1) has a unique solution in the continuity class $C^m[0, T]$ if $f(t)$ is in $C^m[0, T]$ and $\mu > 1$. However, if $0 < \mu \leq 1$, then Eq.(1.1) has a family of solutions in $C[0, T]$, of which only one has C^1 continuity (see Ref.[3]). Therefore, in the reproducing kernel space $W_2^2[0, T]$, Eq.(1.1) has a unique solution for $\mu > 0$.

Reproducing kernel theory has important application in numerical analysis, differential equation, probability and statistics and so on [10-19]. Recently, using the RKM, Geng and Cui [14-19] discussed two-point boundary value problems, periodic boundary value problems. For Volterra integral equation with weakly singular kernel, however, this method has not yet been applied. The aim of this paper is to fill this gap.

In this paper, we will give the representation of exact solution to Eq.(1.1) and approximate solution in the reproducing kernel space. The approach is simple and effective. We shall consider the condition of $0 < \mu < 1$. When $\mu \geq 1$, it is easier to solve Eq.(1.1) by similar method.

Multiplication of both sides of Eq.(1.1) by t^μ yields

$$t^\mu u(t) - \int_0^t s^{\mu-1} u(s) ds = t^\mu f(t)$$

Hence differentiation with respect to t gives

$$t^\mu u'(t) + \mu t^{\mu-1} u(t) - \frac{1}{t^{1-\mu}} u(t) = \mu t^{\mu-1} f(t) + t^\mu f'(t),$$

and multiplication by $t^{1-\mu}$ gives

$$tu'(t) + (\mu - 1)u(t) = \mu f(t) + tf'(t)$$

Remark 1.1 Note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \frac{s^{\mu-1}}{t^\mu} u(s) ds = \frac{u(0)}{\mu}$. Therefore, if $u(0) \neq 0$ we have $u(0) \neq f(0)$, more precisely $u(0) = \frac{\mu}{\mu-1} f(0)$.

Hence Eq.(1.1) can be converted into the following equivalent form

$$\begin{cases} tu'(t) + (\mu - 1)u(t) = \mu f(t) + tf'(t), 0 < t \leq T \\ u(0) = \frac{\mu}{\mu-1} f(0), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Using change of variable $\bar{u}(t) = u(t) - \frac{\mu}{\mu-1} f(0)$, put $L\bar{u}(t) = t\bar{u}'(t) + (\mu - 1)\bar{u}(t)$ and rewrite $g(t) = \mu f(t) + tf'(t) - \mu f(0)$ simply, then Eq.(1.1) can further be converted into the following form

$$\begin{cases} L\bar{u}(t) = g(t), 0 < t \leq T \\ \bar{u}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $g \in W_2^1[0, T]$, $\bar{u} \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$. From the uniqueness of solution to Eq.(1.1) in the space $W_2^2[0, T]$, it is easy to see Eq.(1.3) has a unique solution in the space $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$. $W_2^1[0, T]$, $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ and $W_2^2[0, T]$ are defined in the following section.

2 Several Reproducing Kernel Spaces

1 The reproducing kernel space $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$

The inner product space $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ is defined as $W_2^{2,0}[0, T] = \{u(x) \mid u, u' \text{ are}$

absolutely continuous real valued functions, $u, u', u'' \in L^2[0, T], u(0) = 0$. The inner product in $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ is given by

$$(u(y), v(y))_{W_2^{2,0}} = \int_0^1 (4uv + 5u'v' + u''v'')dy, \tag{2.1}$$

and the norm $\| u \|_{W_2^{2,0}}$ is denoted by $\| u \|_{W_2^{2,0}} = \sqrt{(u, u)_{W_2^{2,0}}}$, where $u, v \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$.

Theorem 2.1. *The space $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ is a reproducing kernel space. That is, for any $u(y) \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ and each fixed $x \in [0, T]$, there exists $R_x(y) \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T], y \in [0, T]$, such that $(u(y), R_x(y))_{W_2^{2,0}} = u(x)$. The reproducing kernel $R_x(y)$ can be denoted by*

$$R_x(y) = \begin{cases} c_1e^y + c_2e^{-y} + c_3e^{2y} + c_4e^{-2y}, & y \leq x, \\ d_1e^y + d_2e^{-y} + d_3e^{2y} + d_4e^{-2y}, & y > x. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

The coefficients of the reproducing kernel $R_x(y)$ and the proof of Theorem 2.1 are given in appendix A, B.

2 The reproducing kernel space $W_2^2[0, T]$

The inner product space $W_2^2[0, T]$ is defined as $W_2^2[0, T] = \{u(x) \mid u, u'$ are absolutely continuous real valued functions, $u, u', u'' \in L^2[0, T]\}$. The inner product in $W_2^2[0, T]$ is given by

$$(u(y), v(y))_{W_2^2} = \int_0^1 (4uv + 5u'v' + u''v'')dy, \tag{2.3}$$

and the norm $\| u \|_{W_2^2}$ is denoted by $\| u \|_{W_2^2} = \sqrt{(u, u)_{W_2^2}}$, where $u, v \in W_2^2[0, T]$.

Similarly, we can prove that $W_2^2[0, T]$ is a reproducing kernel space and obtain its reproducing kernel.

3 The reproducing kernel space $W_2^1[0, T]$

The inner product space $W_2^1[0, T]$ is defined by $W_2^1[0, T] = \{u(x) \mid u$ is absolutely continuous real value function, $u, u' \in L^2[0, T]\}$. The inner product and norm in $W_2^1[0, T]$ are given respectively by

$$(u(x), v(x))_{W_2^1} = \int_0^T (uv + u'v')dx, \quad \| u \|_{W_2^1} = \sqrt{(u, u)_{W_2^1}},$$

where $u(x), v(x) \in W_2^1[0, T]$. In Ref.[10], the authors proved that $W_2^1[0, T]$ is a complete reproducing kernel space and its reproducing kernel is

$$\bar{R}_x(y) = \frac{1}{2 \sinh(T)} [\cosh(x + y - T) + \cosh(|x - y| - T)].$$

3 The solution of Eq.(1.3)

In this section, the solution of Eq.(1.3) is given in the reproducing kernel space $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$.

In Eq.(1.3), it is clear that $L : W_2^{2,0}[0, T] \rightarrow W_2^1[0, T]$ is a bounded linear operator. Put $\varphi_i(t) = \bar{R}_{t_i}(t)$ and $\psi_i(t) = L^* \varphi_i(t)$ where L^* is the adjoint operator of L . The orthonormal system $\{\bar{\psi}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ can be derived from Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process of $\{\psi_i(t)\}_{i=1}^\infty$,

$$\bar{\psi}_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} \psi_k(t), (\beta_{ii} > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1. For Eq.(1.3), if $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is dense on $[0, T]$, then $\{\psi_i(t)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is the complete system of $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$ and $\psi_i(t) = L_y R_t(y)|_{y=t_i}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(t) &= (L^* \varphi_i)(t) = ((L^* \varphi_i)(y), R_t(y)) \\ &= (\varphi_i(y), L_y R_t(y)) = L_y R_t(y)|_{y=t_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The subscript y by the operator L indicates that the operator L applies to the function of y .

Clearly, $\psi_i(t) \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$.

For each fixed $u(t) \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$, let $(u(t), \psi_i(t)) = 0, (i = 1, 2, \dots)$, which means that,

$$(u(t), (L^* \varphi_i)(t)) = (Lu(\cdot), \varphi_i(\cdot)) = (Lu)(t_i) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is dense on $[0, T]$, hence, $(Lu)(t) = 0$. It follows that $u \equiv 0$ from the existence of L^{-1} . So the proof of the Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

Theorem 3.2. *If $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is dense on $[0, T]$, then the solution of Eq.(1.3) is*

$$\bar{u}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} f(t_k) \bar{\psi}_i(t). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.1, it is easy to know that $\{\bar{\psi}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is the complete orthonormal basis of $W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$.

Note that $(v(t), \varphi_i(t)) = v(t_i)$ for each $v(t) \in W_2^1[0, T]$, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\bar{u}(t), \bar{\psi}_i(t)) \bar{\psi}_i(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} (\bar{u}(t), L^* \varphi_k(t)) \bar{\psi}_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} (L\bar{u}(t), \varphi_k(t)) \bar{\psi}_i(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} (g(t), \varphi_k(t)) \bar{\psi}_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} g(t_k) \bar{\psi}_i(t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

Therefore, the solution to Eq.(1.1) in the space $W_2^2[0, T]$ is

$$u(t) = \bar{u}(t) + \frac{\mu}{\mu - 1} f(0). \tag{3.5}$$

Now, the approximate solution $u_n(t)$ to Eq.(1.1) can be obtained by the n-term intercept of the exact solution $u(t)$ and

$$u_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} g(t_k) \bar{\psi}_i(t) + \frac{\mu}{\mu - 1} f(0). \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $u(t)$ is the solution of Eq.(1.1) and $r_n(t)$ is the error between the approximate $u_n(t)$ and the exact solution $u(t)$. Then the error $r_n(t)$ is monotone decreasing in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^2}$.*

Proof. From (3.5), (3.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_n\|_{W_2^2} &= \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} f(t_k) \bar{\psi}_i(t) \right\|_{W_2^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i \beta_{ik} f(t_k) \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

(3.7) shows that the error r_n is monotone decreasing in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^2}$.

The proof is complete. □

4 Numerical example

In this section, some numerical examples are studied to demonstrate the accuracy of the present method. The examples are computed using Mathematica 4.2. Results obtained by the method are compared with the exact solution of each example and are found to be in good agreement with each other.

Example 1

Considering equation

$$u(t) - \int_0^t \frac{s^{\mu-1}}{t^\mu} u(s) ds = f(t), t \in [0, 1]$$

where $f(t) = t+1$. For any $0 < \mu < 1$, we can find the true solution is $\frac{\mu}{\mu-1} + \frac{\mu+1}{\mu}t + \alpha t^{1-\mu}$, where α is a arbitrary constant. However, the true solution that is in $W_2^2[0, 1]$ is $\frac{\mu}{\mu-1} + \frac{\mu+1}{\mu}t$. For $\mu = 0.5$, using our method, we choose 100 points and 200 points on $[0, 1]$ respectively. The numerical results are given in the following table 1, 2. For $\mu = 0.4$, using our method, we choose 100 points and 200 points on $[0, 1]$ respectively. The numerical results are given in the following table 3, 4.

Table 1: Numerical results for $\mu = 0.5$ ($n = 100$).

t	True solution u(t)	Approximate solution u_{100}	Relative error
0.001	-0.997	-0.997001	1.1E-06
0.08	-0.76	-0.760166	2.1E-04
0.16	-0.52	-0.52028	5.3E-04
0.24	-0.28	-0.280376	1.3E-03
0.48	0.44	0.439391	1.3E-03
0.64	0.92	0.919261	8.0E-04
0.80	1.40	1.39914	6.1E-04
0.96	1.88	1.87904	5.1E-04
1.00	2.00	1.99901	4.9E-04

Table 2: Numerical results for $\mu = 0.5$ ($n = 200$).

t	True solution u(t)	Approximate solution u_{200}	Relative error
0.001	-0.997	-0.997001	9.4E-07
0.08	-0.76	-0.760051	6.7E-05
0.16	-0.52	-0.520083	1.6E-04
0.24	-0.28	-0.28011	3.9E-04
0.48	0.44	0.439824	3.9E-04
0.64	0.92	0.919788	2.3E-04
0.80	1.40	1.39976	1.7E-04
0.96	1.88	1.87973	1.4E-04
1.00	2.00	1.99972	1.4E-04

Table 3: Numerical results for $\mu = 0.4$ ($n = 100$).

t	True solution u(t)	Approximate solution u_{100}	Relative error
0.001	-0.663167	-0.663171	3.9E-06
0.08	-0.386667	-0.387055	1.0E-03
0.16	-0.106667	-0.107323	6.1E-03
0.24	0.173333	0.172451	5.0E-03
0.48	1.01333	1.01189	1.4E-03
0.64	1.57333	1.57158	1.1E-03
0.80	2.13333	2.13129	9.5E-04
0.96	2.69333	2.69102	8.5E-04
0.99	2.79833	2.79598	8.4E-04

Table 4: Numerical results for $\mu = 0.4$ ($n = 200$).

t	True solution u(t)	Approximate solution u_{200}	Relative error
0.001	-0.663167	-0.663168	1.3E-06
0.08	-0.386667	-0.386788	3.1E-04
0.16	-0.106667	-0.106868	1.8E-03
0.24	0.173333	0.173066	1.5E-03
0.48	1.01333	1.0129	4.2E-04
0.64	1.57333	1.57281	3.3E-04
0.80	2.13333	2.13272	2.8E-04
0.96	2.69333	2.69265	2.5E-04
0.99	2.79833	2.79763	2.5E-04

5 Appendix

A The proof of Theorem 2.1

Through several integrations by parts for (2.1), then

$$(u(y), R_x(y))_{W_2^{2,0}} = \int_0^1 u(y)(4R_x(y) - 5R_x^{(2)}(y) + R_x^{(4)}(y))dy + u(y)(5R_x'(y) - 3R_x^{(3)}(y))|_0^T + u'(y)R_x^{(2)}(y)|_0^T. \tag{A.1}$$

Since $R_x(y) \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$, it follows that

$$R_x(0) = 0. \tag{A.2}$$

Since $u \in W_2^{2,0}[0, T]$, $u(0) = 0$. If

$$5R_x'(T) - 3R_x^{(3)}(T) = 0, R_x^{(2)}(0) = 0, R_x^{(2)}(T) = 0, \tag{A.3}$$

then (A.1) implies that

$$(u(y), R_x(y))_{W_2^{2,0}} = \int_0^1 u(y)(4R_x(y) - 5R_x^{(2)}(y) + R_x^{(4)}(y))dy.$$

For $\forall x \in [0, T]$, if $R_x(y)$ also satisfies

$$4R_x(y) - 5R_x^{(2)}(y) + R_x^{(4)}(y) = \delta(y - x), \tag{A.4}$$

then

$$(u(y), R_x(y))_{W_2^{2,0}} = u(x).$$

Characteristic equation of (A.4) is given by

$$\lambda^4 - 5\lambda^2 + 4 = 0,$$

then we can obtain characteristic values $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1, \lambda_3 = 2, \lambda_4 = -2$. So, let

$$R_x(y) = \begin{cases} c_1 e^y + c_2 e^{-y} + c_3 e^{2y} + c_4 e^{-2y}, & y \leq x, \\ d_1 e^y + d_2 e^{-y} + d_3 e^{2y} + d_4 e^{-2y}, & y > x. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for (A.4), let $R_x(y)$ satisfy

$$R_x^{(k)}(x + 0) = R_x^{(k)}(x - 0), k = 0, 1, 2. \tag{A.5}$$

Integrating (A.4) from $x - \varepsilon$ to $x + \varepsilon$ with respect to y and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have the jump degree of $R_x^{(3)}(y)$ at $y = x$

$$R_x^{(3)}(x + 0) - R_x^{(3)}(x - 0) = 1. \tag{A.6}$$

Applying (A.2),(A.3), (A.5), (A.6), the unknown coefficients of (2.2) can be obtained.

B The coefficients of the reproducing kernel $R_x(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{4e^{3T} - 7e^{3x} - 9e^{2T+x} + 7e^{6T+x} + 9e^{4T+3x} - 4e^{3T+4x}}{6e^{2x}(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \\ c_2 &= \frac{-4e^{3T} + 7e^{3x} + 9e^{2T+x} - 7e^{6T+x} - 9e^{4T+3x} + 4e^{3T+4x}}{6e^{2x}(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \\ c_3 &= \frac{-9e^{4T} - 7e^{6T} + 7e^{4x} - 8e^{3(T+x)} + 8e^{3T+x} + 9e^{2T+4x}}{12e^{2x}(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_4 &= \frac{9e^{4T} + 7e^{6T} - 7e^{4x} + 8e^{3(T+x)} - 8e^{3T+x} - 9e^{2T+4x}}{12e^{2x}(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \\
 d_1 &= \frac{-((-1+e^{2x})(4e^{3T}+7e^x-9e^{4T+x}+4e^{3T+2x}))}{6e^{2x}(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \\
 d_2 &= \frac{e^{2T-2x}(-1+e^{2x})(4e^T-9e^x+7e^{4T+x}+4e^{T+2x})}{6(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \\
 d_3 &= \frac{(-1+e^{2x})(7+9e^{2T}+7e^{2x}+9e^{2(T+x)}-8e^{3T+x})}{12e^{2x}(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})} \\
 d_4 &= \frac{-(e^{3T-2x}(-1+e^{2x})(9e^T+7e^{3T}-8e^x+9e^{T+2x}+7e^{3T+2x}))}{12(-7-9e^{2T}+9e^{4T}+7e^{6T})}
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Bartoshevich M.A. (1975) "On a class of watson transform," Dokl.Akad.Nauk USSR, 220,761-764.
- [2] Sub-Sizonenko J.A. (1979) "Inversion of an integral operator by the method of expansion with respect to orthogonal watson operators," Siberian Math. J., 20, 318-321.
- [3] Han W. (1994) "Existence, uniqueness and smoothness results for second-kind Volterra equation with weakly singular kernel," J. Int. Equa. Appl., 9, 365-384.
- [4] Lamb W. (1985) "A spectral approach to an integral equation," Glasgow Math. J., 26, 83-89.
- [5] Tang T., McKee S., Diogo T. (1992) "Product integration methods for an integral equation with logarithmic singular kernel," Appl. Numer. Math., 9, 259-266.
- [6] Lima P., Diogo T. (1997) "An extrapolation method for a Volterra integral equation with weakly singular kernel," Appl. Numer. Math., 24, 131-149.
- [7] Lima P., Diogo T. (2002) "Numerical solution of a non-uniquely solvable Volterra integral equation using extrapolation method," Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 140, 537-557.
- [8] Diogo T., McKee S., Tang T. (1991) "A Hermite-type collocation method for the solutions of an integral equation with a certain weakly singular kernel," IMA J. Numer. Anal., 11, 595-605.

- [9] Diogo T., John T. Edwards, Neville J. Ford, Sophy M. Thomas (2005) "Numerical analysis of a singular integral equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 167, 372-382.
- [10] Li C.L., Cui M.G. (2003) "The exact solution for solving a class nonlinear operator equations in the reproducing kernel space," *Appl. Math. Compu.*, 143, 393-399.
- [11] Cui M.G., Lin Y.Z., *Nonlinear Numerical Analysis in Reproducing Kernel Space*, Nova Science Pub Inc, 2009.
- [12] Berlinet A., Thomas-Agnan c., *Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space in Probability and Statistics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [13] Daniel A., *Reproducing Kernel Spaces and Applications*, Springer, 2003.
- [14] Geng F.Z. (2009) "A new reproducing kernel Hilbert space method for solving nonlinear fourth-order boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 213, 163-169.
- [15] Geng F.Z., Cui M.G. (2007) "Solving singular nonlinear second-order periodic boundary value problems in the reproducing kernel space," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 192, 389-398.
- [16] Geng F.Z., Cui M.G. (2009) "New method based on the HPM and RKHSM for solving forced Duffing equations with integral boundary conditions," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 233, 165-172.
- [17] Cui M.G., Geng F.Z. (2007) "Solving singular two-point boundary value problem in reproducing kernel space," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 205, 6-15.
- [18] Geng F.Z., Cui M.G. (2007) "Solving a nonlinear system of second order boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 327, 1167-1181.
- [19] Du J., Cui M.G. (2009) "Constructive approximation of solution for fourth-order nonlinear boundary value problems," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 32, 723-737.