

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access

Positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$ via Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham fixed point theorem

Maher Berzig^{1*}, Xuefeng Duan² and Bessem Samet¹

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to study the existence and uniqueness of a positive definite solution to the nonlinear matrix equation $X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$, which is a special stochastic rational Riccati equation arising in stochastic control theory.

Methods: Our technique is based on the Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham coupled fixed point theorem.

Results: A new result on the existence of a unique positive definite solution is derived. An iterative method is constructed to compute the unique positive definite solution. Finally, some numerical examples are used to show that the iterative method is feasible.

Conclusion: Coupled fixed point theory on ordered metric spaces can be a useful tool to solve some classes of nonlinear matrix equations.

Keywords: Nonlinear matrix equation, Positive definite solution, Sufficient condition, Iterative method, Error estimation, Coupled fixed point

MSC: 15A24, 65H05

Introduction

We consider the matrix equation:

$$X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B, \quad (1)$$

where Q is an $n \times n$ Hermitian positive definite matrix, and A and B are arbitrary $n \times n$ matrices. Equation (1) is a special stochastic rational Riccati equation arising in stochastic control theory, and it can be described below. Some stochastic control problems lead to computing the positive definite solution of the following stochastic rational Riccati equation [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} &C^*XC - X + S + \Pi_1(X) \\ &- (L + C^*XP + \Pi_{12}(X))(R + P^*XP + \Pi_2(X)) \\ &+ (L + C^*XP + \Pi_{12}(X))^* = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where Z^+ stands for the Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix Z and C, P, S, R and L are given matrices of size $n \times n, n \times m, n \times n, m \times m$, and $n \times m$, respectively, such that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} S & L \\ L^* & R \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Hermitian matrix, and the operator

$$\Pi(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_1(X) & \Pi_{12}(X) \\ \Pi_{12}(X)^* & \Pi_2(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive, i.e. $X \geq 0$ implies $\Pi(X) \geq 0$. Consider the following case: C is the identity matrix, P is an $n \times n$ non-singular matrix, S is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix, L is the zero matrix, and $\Pi_{12}(X) = \Pi_2(X) = 0$, $\Pi_1(X) = (R + P^*XP)^{-1}$, where $R + P^*XP$ is positive definite for all positive semidefinite matrices X . Meanwhile, the stochastic rational Riccati Equation (2) has the form

$$S + (R + P^*XP)^{-1} - XP(R + P^*XP)^{-1}P^*X = 0. \quad (3)$$

Set

$$Y = R + P^*XP, \quad (4)$$

*Correspondence: maher.berzig@gmail.com

¹Department of Mathematics, Tunis College of Sciences and Techniques, University of Tunisia, Bab Mnara Tunis, 1008, Tunisia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

then

$$P^{-*}(Y - R) = XP. \quad (5)$$

By Equations 3 to 5, we have

$$S + Y^{-1} - P^{-*}(Y - R)Y^{-1}(Y - R)P^{-1} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$Y + R^*Y^{-1}R - P^*Y^{-1}P = 2R + P^*SP.$$

Set

$$Q = 2R + P^*SP, \quad A = R, \quad B = P,$$

then Equation 3 can be equivalently written as Equation 1. Therefore, Equation 1 is a special stochastic rational Riccati equation (Equation 2). Moreover, some special cases of Equation 1 are also problems of practical importance, such as the matrix equation $X + M^*X^{-1}M = Q$ that arises in the control theory, ladder networks, dynamic programming, stochastic filtering, statistics, and so on [2-4]. The matrix equation $X - M^*X^{-1}M = Q$ arises in the analysis of stationary Gaussian reciprocal processes over a finite interval [5,6].

Since 1993, the matrix equations $X + M^*X^{-1}M = Q$ and $X - M^*X^{-1}M = Q$ have been extensively studied, and the research results mainly concentrated on the following:

- sufficient conditions and necessary conditions for the existence of a (unique) positive definite solution [2,6-8];
- numerical methods for computing the (unique) positive definite solution [4-6,9-13];
- properties of the positive definite solution [2,4]; and
- perturbation bound for the positive definite solution [3,14].

In addition, other nonlinear matrix equations such as $AX^2 + BX + C = 0$ [15], $X^s \pm A^*X^{-t}A = Q$ [16,17], $X + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^*X^{-1}A_i = I$ [18,19], $X \pm A^*X^{-q}A = Q$ [3,20-27], $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^*X^{\delta_i}A_i = Q$ [28], $X + A^*F(X)A = Q$ [29,30] have been investigated by many authors. However, results on the general nonlinear matrix equation (Equation 1) are few as far as we know.

In this paper, we first use the the Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham fixed point theorem to study the positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation (Equation 1). A new sufficient condition for the existence of a unique positive definite solution to Equation 1 is derived. An iterative method is constructed to compute the unique Hermitian positive definite solution, and the error estimation formal is also given. In the end, we use some numerical examples to illustrate that the iterative method is feasible to compute the unique positive definite solution of Equation 1.

Methods

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(N)$ and $\mathcal{H}(N)$ the set of $N \times N$ complex and $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices, respectively. For $A, B \in \mathcal{H}(N)$, $A \geq 0$ ($A > 0$) means that A is positive semi-definite (positive definite). Moreover, $A \geq B$ ($A > B$) means that $A - B \geq 0$ ($A - B > 0$), and $X \in [A, B]$ means $A \leq X \leq B$. A^* and $r(A)$ denote the complex conjugate transpose and the spectral radius of A , respectively. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the spectral norm, i.e., $\|A\| = \sqrt{\lambda^+(A^*A)}$, where $\lambda^+(A^*A)$ is the largest eigenvalue of A^*A . The $N \times N$ identity matrix will be written as I . We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\text{tr}}$ the trace norm. Recall that this norm is given by

$$\|A\|_{\text{tr}} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j(A),$$

where $\sigma_j(A)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ are the singular values of A .

The following lemmas will be useful later.

Lemma 2.1 (See [31]). *Let $A \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$ be $N \times N$ matrices, then $0 \leq \text{tr}(AB) \leq \|A\| \text{tr}(B)$.*

Lemma 2.2 (See [32]). *If $0 < \theta \leq 1$, and P and Q are positive definite matrices of the same order with $P, Q \geq bI > 0$, then for every unitarily invariant norm $\| \cdot \|$ $\|P^\theta - Q^\theta\| \leq \theta b^{\theta-1} \|P - Q\|$ and $\|P^{-\theta} - Q^{-\theta}\| \leq \theta b^{-(\theta+1)} \|P - Q\|$.*

Lemma 2.3 (See [32]). *Let $A \in \mathcal{H}(N)$ satisfying $-I < A < I$, then $\|A\| < 1$.*

Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that F has the mixed monotone property if for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad x_1 \preceq x_2 &\implies F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y), \\ y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad y_1 \preceq y_2 &\implies F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

We say that (x, y) is a coupled fixed point of F if $x = F(x, y)$ and $y = F(y, x)$.

The proof of our main result is based on the following two fixed point theorems.

Theorem 2.1 ([33]). *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set endowed with a metric d such that (X, d) is complete. Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on X . Assume that there exists a $\delta \in [0, 1)$, such that*

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} [d(x, u) + d(y, v)],$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ with $x \succeq u$ and $y \preceq v$. We suppose that there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$, such that $x_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$. Then,

- F has a coupled fixed point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times X$; and
- the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$ and $y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$ converge respectively to \bar{x} and \bar{y} .

In addition, suppose that every pair of elements has a lower bound and an upper bound, then

- (c) F has a unique coupled fixed point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times X$;
- (d) $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$; and
- (e) we have the following estimate:

$$\max\{d(x_n, \bar{x}), d(y_n, \bar{y})\} \leq \frac{\delta^n}{2(1-\delta)} [d(F(x_0, y_0), x_0) + d(F(y_0, x_0), y_0)].$$

For other results concerning fixed point theorems on ordered sets, we refer to [34-37].

Theorem 2.2 (Schauder Fixed point theorem). *Let S be a nonempty, compact, convex subset of a normed vector space. Every continuous function $f : S \rightarrow S$ mapping S into itself has a fixed point.*

Results and discussion

There exist $a > 0$, $b > 0$ (real numbers), such that the following assumptions were considered:

1. $a^{-1}A^*A + aI \leq Q \leq bI$
2. $bA^*A - aB^*B \leq ab(Q - aI)$
3. $bB^*B - aA^*A \leq ab(bI - Q)$
4. $A^*A < \frac{a^2}{2}I$, $B^*B < \frac{a^2}{2}I$.

We denote by Ω the set of matrices defined by

$$\Omega = \{X \in \mathcal{H}(N) : X \geq aI\}.$$

Our main result is discussed below:

Theorem 3.1. *Under the assumptions 1 to 4, we have*

- (I) Equation 1 has a unique solution $\bar{X} \in \Omega$
- (II) $\bar{X} \in [Q + b^{-1}B^*B - a^{-1}A^*A, Q + a^{-1}B^*B - b^{-1}A^*A]$
- (III) the sequences $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} X_0 = aI \\ X_{n+1} = Q - A^*X_n^{-1}A + B^*Y_n^{-1}B \\ Y_0 = bI \\ Y_{n+1} = Q - A^*Y_n^{-1}A + B^*X_n^{-1}B \end{cases}$$

converge to \bar{X} , that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X_n - \bar{X}\|_{\text{tr}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Y_n - \bar{X}\|_{\text{tr}} = 0,$$

and the error estimation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|X_n - \hat{X}\|_{\text{tr}}, \|Y_n - \hat{X}\|_{\text{tr}}\} &\leq \frac{\delta^n}{1-\delta} \\ \max\{\|X_1 - X_0\|_{\text{tr}}, \|Y_1 - Y_0\|_{\text{tr}}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$.

Proof. For all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(N)$, let

$$F(X, Y) = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*Y^{-1}B.$$

We claim that $F(\Omega \times \Omega) \subset \Omega$. Indeed, let $X, Y \in \Omega$, that is, $X \geq aI$ and $Y \geq aI$. This implies that

$$Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*Y^{-1}B \geq Q - A^*X^{-1}A \geq Q - a^{-1}A^*A.$$

On the other hand, from assumption 1, we have

$$Q - A^*X^{-1}A \geq aI.$$

Thus, we have

$$F(X, Y) = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*Y^{-1}B \geq aI,$$

which implies that $F(X, Y) \in \Omega$. Then, our claim holds.

Now, the mapping $F : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is well defined. Let $X, Y, U, V \in \Omega$, such that $X \geq U$ and $Y \leq V$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(X, Y) - F(U, V)\|_{\text{tr}} &= \|A^*(U^{-1} - X^{-1})A + B^*(Y^{-1} - V^{-1})B\|_{\text{tr}} \\ &\leq \|A^*(U^{-1} - X^{-1})A\|_{\text{tr}} + \|B^*(Y^{-1} - V^{-1})B\|_{\text{tr}} \\ &= \text{tr}(A^*(U^{-1} - X^{-1})A) + \text{tr}(B^*(Y^{-1} - V^{-1})B) \\ &= \text{tr}(AA^*(U^{-1} - X^{-1})) + \text{tr}(BB^*(Y^{-1} - V^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U^{-1} - X^{-1} \geq 0$ and $Y^{-1} - V^{-1} \geq 0$, using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(X, Y) - F(U, V)\|_{\text{tr}} &\leq \|AA^*\|_{\text{tr}}(U^{-1} - X^{-1}) + \|BB^*\|_{\text{tr}}(Y^{-1} - V^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $X, Y, U, V \geq aI$, using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\text{tr}(U^{-1} - X^{-1}) \leq a^{-2}\text{tr}(X - U)$$

and

$$\text{tr}(Y^{-1} - V^{-1}) \leq a^{-2}\text{tr}(V - Y).$$

Thus, we get

$$\|F(X, Y) - F(U, V)\|_{\text{tr}} \leq \frac{\|AA^*\|}{a^2}\|X - U\|_{\text{tr}} + \frac{\|BB^*\|}{a^2}\|V - Y\|_{\text{tr}}.$$

This implies that

$$\|F(X, Y) - F(U, V)\|_{\text{tr}} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} (\|X - U\|_{\text{tr}} + \|V - Y\|_{\text{tr}}),$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{2}{a^2} \max\{\|AA^*\|, \|BB^*\|\}.$$

From condition 4 and Lemma 2.3, we can easily show that $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Now, taking $X_0 = aI$ and $Y_0 = bI$, from conditions 2 and 3, we can easily show that $X_0 \leq F(X_0, Y_0)$ and $Y_0 \geq F(Y_0, X_0)$. On the other hand, for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(N)$, there is a greatest lower bound and a least upper bound. Note also that F is a continuous mapping. Now, (I) and (III) follow immediately from Theorem 2.1. Let \bar{X} be the unique solution to Equation 1 in Ω .

To prove (II), we shall use the Schauder fixed point theorem. We define the mapping $G : [F(aI, bI),$

□

$F(bI, aI) \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$G(X) = F(X, X), \text{ for all } X \in [F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)].$$

We claim that $G([F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]) \subseteq [F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]$. Let $X \in [F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]$, that is,

$$F(aI, bI) \leq X \leq F(bI, aI).$$

Using the mixed monotone property of F , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)) &\leq F(X, X) \\ &= G(X) \leq F(F(bI, aI), F(aI, bI)). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, from conditions 2 and 3, we have

$$aI \leq F(aI, bI) \quad \text{and} \quad bI \geq F(bI, aI).$$

Again, using the mixed monotone property of F , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(F(bI, aI), F(aI, bI)) &\leq F(bI, aI) \\ \text{and} \\ F(F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)) &\geq F(aI, bI). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From Equations 7 and 8, it follows that

$$F(aI, bI) \leq G(X) \leq F(bI, aI).$$

Thus, our claim that $G([F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]) \subseteq [F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]$ holds.

Now, G maps the compact convex set $[F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]$ into itself. Since G is continuous, it follows from Schauder fixed point theorem (see Theorem 2.2) that G has at least one fixed point in this set. However, fixed points of G are solutions of Equation 1, and we proved already that Equation 1 has a unique solution in Ω . Thus, this solution must be in the set $[F(aI, bI), F(bI, aI)]$, that is,

$$\bar{X} \in [Q + b^{-1}B^*B - a^{-1}A^*A, Q + a^{-1}B^*B - b^{-1}A^*A].$$

Thus, we proved (II). This makes end to the proof. \square

The following results are immediate consequences of our Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. Consider Equation 1 with $Q = I$. Suppose that

- (1) $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}, b \geq 1 + \frac{a}{2}$; and
- (2) $A^*A < \frac{a^2}{2}I, B^*B < \frac{a^2}{2}I$.

Then, items I to III of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Theorem 3.3. Consider Equation 1 with A and B which are unitary matrices. Suppose that

- (1) $\sqrt{2} < a < b$; and
- (2) $(a^{-1} + a)I \leq Q \leq (b + b^{-1} - a^{-1})I$.

Then, items I to III of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Theorem 3.4. Consider Equation 1 with $A = 0$. Suppose that

- (1) $aI \leq Q \leq bI$;
- (2) $B^*B \leq a(bI - Q)$; and
- (3) $B^*B < \frac{a^2}{2}I$.

Then, items I to III of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Theorem 3.5. Consider Equation 1 with $B = 0$. Suppose that

- (1) $a^{-1}A^*A + aI \leq Q \leq bI$;
- (2) $A^*A \leq a(Q - aI)$; and
- (3) $A^*A < \frac{a^2}{2}I$.

Then, items I to III of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Numerical experiments

All programs are written in MATLAB version 7.1.

Example 1

In this example, we consider Equation 1 with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -0.1 & 1 \\ -0.1 & 7 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2.11 & 0.01 & 0.01 \\ -0.05 & 1.98 & -0.18 \\ 0.1 & 0.19 & 2.38 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -3.09 & 0.01 & 0.01 \\ -0.01 & -3.15 & -0.09 \\ 0.04 & 0.1 & -2.94 \end{pmatrix}.$$

All the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with $a = 5$ and $b = 14$. We consider the sequences $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ defined in item III of Theorem 3.1 with $X_0 = aI$ and $Y_0 = bI$. For each iteration k , we consider the errors

$$R(X_k) = \|X_k - (Q - A^*X_k^{-1}A + B^*X_k^{-1}B)\|,$$

$$R(Y_k) = \|Y_k - (Q - A^*Y_k^{-1}A + B^*Y_k^{-1}B)\|$$

and

$$R_k = \max\{R(X_k), R(Y_k)\}.$$

After 23 iterations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} \approx X_{23} = Y_{23} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 7.68020112227005 & 0.02950633669680 & 0.88917486612500 \\ 0.02950633669680 & 7.79693817383459 & 0.92560452577454 \\ 0.88917486612500 & 0.92560452577454 & 8.34452699090856 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$R_{23} = 2.42861287e - 017.$$

Example 2

In this example, we consider Equation 1 with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.01 & 0.01 \\ 0 & 0.28 & -0.02 \\ 0.02 & 0.03 & 0.34 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -0.34 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.34 & 0 \\ 0.01 & 0.01 & -0.32 \end{pmatrix}.$$

All the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied with $a = 0.5$ and $b = 5$. After 20 iterations, we get

$$\bar{X} \approx X_{20} = Y_{20} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.02444745949421 & -0.003561623099836826 & -0.01296282338345968 \\ -0.003561623099836826 & 1.034823675282171 & -0.008218578980308637 \\ -0.01296282338345968 & -0.008218578980308639 & 0.9861513844061653 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$R_{20} = 2.09918957e - 016.$$

Example 3

We consider Equation 1 with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 5 & 3.4 \\ 5 & 10 & 6.7 \\ 3.4 & 6.7 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0591 & 0.0737 & 0.0328 \\ 0.0737 & -0.0328 & -0.0591 \\ 0.0328 & -0.0591 & 0.0737 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.591 & 0.737 & 0.328 \\ 0.737 & -0.328 & -0.591 \\ 0.328 & -0.591 & 0.737 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, A and B are unitary matrices. All the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied with $a = 1.514$ and $b = 101.5$. After 7 iterations, we get

$$\bar{X} \approx X_7 = Y_7$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 10.06412689941009 & 5.013263723550349 & 3.345079324929884 \\ 5.013263723550349 & 10.13999944657551 & 6.719887939894802 \\ 3.345079324929884 & 6.719887939894802 & 10.29931432720346 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$R_7 = 1.77635684e - 015.$$

Example 4

We consider Equation 1 with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 100 & 50 & 34 \\ 50 & 100 & 67 \\ 34 & 67 & 100 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

All the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied with $a = 3.5$ and $b = 300$. After 3 iterations, we get

$$\bar{X} \approx X_3 = Y_3$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 100.0104629987089 & 50.00450680062249 & 34.00435076795997 \\ 50.00450680062249 & 100.0105221759655 & 66.99538011209222 \\ 34.00435076795997 & 66.99538011209222 & 100.0407917033456 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$R_3 = 3.00990733e - 014.$$

Example 5

We consider Equation 1 with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 5 & 3.4 \\ 5 & 10 & 6.7 \\ 3.4 & 6.7 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.75 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

All the hypotheses of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied with $a = 2$ and $b = 100$. After 10 iterations, we get

$$\bar{X} \approx X_{10} = Y_{10}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 9.973738915336433 & 4.988761264228204 & 3.388819129012571 \\ 4.988761264228204 & 9.973542675753565 & 6.712061714363009 \\ 3.388819129012571 & 6.712061714363009 & 9.89541012219485 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$R_{10} = 1.32107728e - 014.$$

Conclusion

Fixed point theory on ordered metric spaces can be a useful tool to solve various classes of nonlinear matrix equations. In this work, to solve the nonlinear matrix equation $X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$, we suggested an iterative method based on a coupled fixed point theorem of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham for mixed monotone mappings. The numerical experiments demonstrated that the proposed method is satisfactory.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The second author acknowledges the supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.: 11101100) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Province (grant no.: 2012GXNSFBA053006).

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Tunis College of Sciences and Techniques, University of Tunisia, Bab Mnara Tunis, 1008, Tunisia. ²Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin, 541004, People's Republic of China.

Received: 29 May 2012 Accepted: 1 August 2012

Published: 30 August 2012

References

- Yong, JM, Zhou, ZY: Stochastic Controls, Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York (1999)
- Engwerda, JC, Ran, ACM, Rijkeboer, AL: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-1}A = Q$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **186**, 255–275 (1993)
- Hasanov, VI: Positive definite solutions of the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-q}A = Q$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **404**, 166–182 (2005)
- Zhan, XZ: Computing the extreme positive definite solutions of a matrix equation. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **17**, 632–645 (1996)
- Benner, P, Fabbender, H: On the solution of the rational matrix equation $X = Q + LX^{-1}L^*$. *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Pro.* **1**, 1–10 (2007)
- Ferrante, A, Levy, BC: Hermitian solutions of the equations $X = Q + NX^{-1}N^*$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **247**, 359–373 (1996)
- Engwerda, JC: On the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^T X^{-1}A = I$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **194**, 91–108 (1993)
- Zhan, XZ, Xie, JJ: On the matrix equation $X + A^T X^{-1}A = I$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **247**, 337–345 (1996)
- Fital, S, Guo, CH: A note on the fixed-point iteration for the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = I$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429**, 2098–2112 (2008)
- Guo, CH, Lancaster, P: Iterative solution of two matrix equations. *Math. Comput.* **68**, 1589–1603 (1999)
- Ivanov, IG, Hasanov, VI, Uhlig, F: Improved methods and starting values to solve the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-1}A = I$ iteratively. *Math. Comput.* **74**, 263–278 (2004)
- Monsalve, M, Raydan, M: A new inversion-free method for a rational matrix equation. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **433**, 64–71 (2010)
- Meini, B: Efficient computation of the extreme solutions of $X + A^*X^{-1}A = Q$ and $X - A^*X^{-1}A = Q$. *Math. Comput.* **71**, 1189–1204 (2001)
- Xu, SF: Perturbation analysis of the maximal solution of the matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-1}A = P$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **336**, 61–70 (2001)
- Bai, ZZ, Guo, XX, Yin, JF: On two iteration methods for the quadratic matrix equation. *Inter. J. Numer. Anal. Mod.* **2**, 114–122 (2005)
- Duan, XF, Liao, AP: On the existence of Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429**, 673–687 (2008)
- Liu, XG, Gao, H: On the positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s \pm A^*X^{-t}A = I_n$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **368**, 83–97 (2003)
- He, YM, Long, JH: On the Hermitian positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation $X + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{-1} A_i = I$. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **216**, 3480–3485 (2010)
- Long, JH, Hu, XY, Zhang, L: On the Hermitian positive definite solution of the nonlinear matrix equation $X + A_1^* X^{-1} A_1 + A_2^* X^{-1} A_2 = I$. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **222**, 645–654 (2008)
- Duan, XF, Liao, AP: On the nonlinear matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-q}A = Q (q \geq 1)$. *Math. Comput. Mod.* **49**, 936–945 (2009)
- Hasanov, VI, El-Sayed, SM: On the positive definite solutions of the nonlinear matrix equations $X + A^*X^{-\delta}A = Q$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **412**, 154–160 (2006)
- Ivanov, IG: On positive definite solutions of the family of matrix equations $X + A^*X^{-n}A = Q$. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **193**, 277–301 (2006)
- Ivanov, IG, El-Sayed, SM: Properties of positive definite solutions of the equation $X + A^*X^{-2}A = I$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **279**, 303–316 (1998)
- Peng, ZY, El-Sayed, SM: On positive definite solution of a nonlinear matrix equation. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* **14**, 99–113 (2007)
- Peng, ZY, El-Sayed, SM, Zhang, XL: Iterative methods for the extremal positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-\alpha}A = Q$. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **200**, 520–527 (2007)
- Berzig, M: Comment to: Perturbation estimates for the nonlinear matrix equation $X - A^*X^qA = Q (0 < q < 1)$ by G. Jia and D. Gao. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* (2012). doi:10.1007/s12190-012-0593-5
- Berzig, M, Samet, B: Solving systems of nonlinear matrix equations involving Lipschitzian mappings. *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* **89** (2011)
- Duan, XF, Liao, AP, Tang, B: On the nonlinear matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429**, 110–121 (2008)
- El-Sayed, SM, Ran, ACM: On an iterative method for solving a class of nonlinear matrix equations. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **23**, 632–645 (2001)
- Berzig, M: Solving a class of matrix equations via Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham coupled fixed point theorem. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**, 1638–1643 (2012)
- Ran, ACM, Reurings, MCB: A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132**, 1435–1443 (2004)
- Bhatia, R: Matrix Analysis. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 169. Springer-Verlag, New York (1997)
- Bhaskar, TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1379–1393 (2006)
- Berzig, M, Samet, B: Positive solutions to periodic boundary value problems involving nonlinear operators of Meir-Keeler-type. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.* **61**, 279–296 (2012)
- Berzig, M, Samet, B: Positive fixed points for a class of nonlinear operators and applications. *Positivity* (2012). doi:10.1007/s11117-012-0162-z
- Berzig, M, Samet, B: An extension of coupled fixed point's concept in higher dimension and applications. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**, 1319–1334 (2012)
- Samet, B: Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 4508–4517 (2010)

doi:10.1186/2251-7456-6-27

Cite this article as: Berzig et al.: Positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$ via Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham fixed point theorem. *Mathematical Sciences* 2012 **6**:27.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com