

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access

Nonlinear fuzzy approximation of a mixed type ACQ functional equation via fixed point alternative

Hassan Azadi Kenary

Abstract

Using the fixed point method, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-cubic-quartic functional equation:

$$11f(x + 2y) + 11f(x - 2y) = 44f(x + y) + 44f(x - y) + 12f(3y) - 48f(2y) + 60f(y) - 66f(x)$$

in fuzzy Banach spaces.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, Fuzzy Banach space, Fixed point method

2010 Mathematics subject classification: 39B52; 46S40; 34K36; 47S40; 26E50; 47H10; 39B82.

Introduction

Katsaras [1] defined a fuzzy norm on a vector space to construct a fuzzy vector topological structure on the space. Some mathematicians have defined fuzzy norms as a vector space from various points of view (see [2-4]). In particular, Bag and Samanta [5], following Cheng and Mordeson [6], gave an idea of fuzzy norm in such a manner that the corresponding fuzzy metric is of Karmosil and Michalek type [7]. They established a decomposition theorem of a fuzzy norm into a family of crisp norms and investigated some properties of fuzzy normed spaces [8].

Definition 1. Let X be a real vector space. A function $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy norm on X if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ (Bag and Samanta [5]):

- (N1) $N(x, t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$;
- (N2) $x = 0$ if and only if $N(x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$;
- (N3) $N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0$;
- (N4) $N(x + y, c + t) \geq \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$;
- (N5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$;
- (N6) for $x \neq 0$, $N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Example 1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then

$$N(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t + \beta \|x\|} & t > 0, x \in X \\ 0 & t \leq 0, x \in X \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on X .

Definition 2. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent or converges if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. In this case, x is called the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , and we denote it by $N - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ (Bag and Samanta [5]).

Definition 3. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$ there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $p > 0$, we have $N(x_{n+p} - x_n, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ (Bag and Samanta [5]).

It is well known that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be

Correspondence: azadi@yu.ac.ir
Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Yasouj University, Yasouj, 75914-353, Iran

complete, and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a fuzzy Banach space.

We say that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between fuzzy normed vector spaces X and Y is continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to $x_0 \in X$, then the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x_0)$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous on X (see [8]).

Definition 4. Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on X if d satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$ [9,10]. Then, for all $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty,$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n_0 \geq n$;
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X : d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [11] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [12] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Themistocles M Rassias [13] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference.

The functional equation $f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$ is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*. The Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [14] for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. Cholewa [15] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group. Czerwik [16] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation.

In the study of Eshaghi Gordji et. al [17], they proved that the following functional equation is an *additive-cubic-quartic* functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 11f(x + 2y) + 11f(x - 2y) &= 44f(x + y) + 44f(x - y) \\ &\quad + 12f(3y) - 48f(2y) \\ &\quad + 60f(y) - 66f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (Equation 1) in fuzzy Banach spaces.

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors, and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [18]–[43]).

Methods

Fuzzy stability of the functional equation (Equation 1): an odd case

In this section, using the fixed point alternative approach, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (Equation 1) in fuzzy Banach spaces: an odd case. Throughout this paper, assume that X is a vector space and that (Y, \mathcal{N}) is a fuzzy Banach space.

In the work of Lee et al. [32], they considered the following quartic functional equation:

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4\{f(x+y) + f(x-y)\} + 24f(x) - 6f(y). \quad (2)$$

It is easy to show that the function $f(x) = x^4$ satisfies the functional equation (Equation 2), which is called a quartic functional equation, and every solution of the quartic functional equation is said to be a quartic mapping.

One can easily show that an even mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies Equation 1 if and only if the even mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a quartic mapping, that is,

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4\{f(x+y) + f(x-y)\} + 24f(x) - 6f(y), \quad (3)$$

and an odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies Equation 1 if and only if the odd mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a additive-cubic mapping, that is,

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4\{f(x+y) + f(x-y)\} - 6f(x). \quad (4)$$

It was shown in Lemma 2.2 in the study of Eshaghi Gordji et. al [17] that $g(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x)$ and $h(x) = f(2x) - 8f(x)$ are cubic and additive, respectively, and that $f(x) := \frac{1}{6}g(x) - \frac{1}{6}h(x)$.

For a given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(x, y) &= 11f(x + 2y) + 11f(x - 2y) \\ &\quad - 44\{f(x + y) + f(x - y)\} \\ &\quad - 12f(3y) + 48f(2y) - 60f(y) + 66f(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{8}\varphi(x, y), \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$N(\Phi_f(x, y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)}, \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, and then the limit

$$C(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique cubic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} N(f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x), t) \\ \geq \frac{(264 - 264\alpha)t}{(264 - 264\alpha)t + 17\alpha\varphi(2x, x) + 17\alpha\varphi(0, x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Proof. Putting $x = 0$ in Equation 6, we have the following:

$$N(12f(3y) - 48f(2y) + 60f(y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(0, y)}, \quad (8)$$

for all $y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2y$ in Equation 6, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N(11f(4y) - 56f(3y) + 114f(2y) - 104f(y), t) \\ \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2y, y)}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

By Equations 8 and 9, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(f(4y) - 10f(2y) + 16f(y), \frac{17t}{33}\right) \\ \geq \min\left(N\left(\frac{11f(4y) - 56f(3y) + 114f(2y) - 104f(y)}{11}, \frac{t}{11}\right), \right. \\ \left. N\left(\frac{14(12f(3y) - 48f(2y) + 60f(y))}{33}, \frac{14t}{33}\right)\right) \\ \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2y, y) + \varphi(0, y)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $y := \frac{x}{2}$ and $g(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(g(x) - 8g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{17t}{33}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right)} \\ \geq \frac{\frac{8t}{\alpha}}{\frac{8t}{\alpha} + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Consider the set $S := \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$ and the generalized metric d in S defined by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, g) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+} \left\{ N(g(x) - h(x), \mu t) \right. \\ \left. \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \forall x \in X, t > 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see Lemma 2.1 of [33]).

Now, we consider a linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 8g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ satisfy $d(g, h) = \epsilon$ and then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \epsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), \alpha \epsilon t) &= N\left(8g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 8h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \alpha \epsilon t\right) \\ &= N\left(g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{\alpha \epsilon t}{8}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{\alpha \epsilon t}{8}}{\frac{\alpha \epsilon t}{8} + \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right)} \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{\alpha \epsilon t}{8}}{\frac{\alpha \epsilon t}{8} + \frac{\alpha}{8}\varphi(2x, x) + \frac{\alpha}{8}\varphi(0, x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d(g, h) = \epsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha \epsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from Equation 11 that

$$N\left(g(x) - 8g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{17\alpha t}{264}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}.$$

Thus,

$$d(g, Jg) \leq \frac{17\alpha}{264}.$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying the following:

(1) C is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$C\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{8}C(x), \quad (12)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping C is a unique fixed point of J in the following set: $\Omega = \{h \in S : d(g, h) < \infty\}$.

This implies that C is a unique mapping, satisfying Equation 12, such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N(g(x) - C(x), \mu t) &= N(f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x), \mu t) \\ &\geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n g, C) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the following equality:

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) = C(x),$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(g, C) \leq \frac{d(g, Jg)}{1-\alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the following inequality:

$$d(g, C) \leq \frac{17\alpha}{264 - 264\alpha}.$$

This implies that the inequality (Equation 7) holds.

Since $\Phi_g(x, y) = \Phi_f(2x, 2y) - 2\Phi_f(x, y)$, using Equation 6, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(8^n \Phi_g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right), 8^n t\right) &= N\left(8^n \Phi_f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{y}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2 \cdot 8^n \Phi_f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right), 8^n t\right) \\ &\geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by Equation 5, we have the following:

$$N\left(8^n \Phi_g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right), t\right) \geq \frac{\frac{t}{8^n}}{\frac{t}{8^n} + \frac{\alpha^n}{8^n} \varphi(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t}{8^n}}{\frac{t}{8^n} + \frac{\alpha^n}{8^n} \varphi(x, y)} = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, we deduce that $N(\Phi_C(x, y), t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus, the mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying Equation 1, as desired. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let r be a real number with $r > 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying the following:

$$N(\Phi_f(x, y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)}, \quad (14)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, and then,

$$C(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique cubic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x), t) \geq \frac{33(8^r - 8)t}{33(8^r - 8)t + 17(2^r + 2)\theta\|x\|^r},$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2 by taking $\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$, and then we can choose $\alpha = 8^{1-r}$ and get the desired result. \square

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with the following:

$$\varphi(2x, 2y) \leq 8\alpha\varphi(x, y), \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying Equation 6, and then the limit

$$C(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x) - 2f(2^n x)}{8^n}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique cubic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} N(f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x), t) &\geq \frac{(264 - 264\alpha)t}{(264 - 264\alpha)t + 17\varphi(2x, x) + 17\varphi(0, x)} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined as in the proof of Theorem 2. Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that $Jg(x) := \frac{1}{8}g(2x)$, for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ be such that $d(g, h) = \epsilon$, and

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \epsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), \alpha\epsilon t) &= N\left(\frac{g(2x)}{8} - \frac{h(2x)}{8}, \alpha\epsilon t\right) \\ &= N(g(2x) - h(2x), 8\alpha\epsilon t) \\ &\geq \frac{8\alpha t}{8\alpha t + 8\alpha\varphi(2x, x) + 8\alpha\varphi(0, x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d(g, h) = \epsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha\epsilon$. This means that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$, for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from Equation 10 that

$$N\left(\frac{g(2x)}{8} - g(x), \frac{17t}{264}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d(g, Jg) \leq \frac{17}{264}$.

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying the following:

(1) C is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$8C(x) = C(2x), \quad (17)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping C is a unique fixed point of J in the set $\Omega = \{h \in S : d(g, h) < \infty\}$.

This implies that C is a unique mapping, satisfying Equation 17, such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following:

$$N(g(x) - C(x), \mu t) = N(f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n g, C) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the following equality:

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{8^n} = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x) - 2f(2^n x)}{8^n} = C(x),$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(g, C) \leq \frac{d(Jg)}{1-\alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the following inequality: $d(g, C) \leq \frac{17}{264-264\alpha}$. This implies that the inequality (Equation 16) holds.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Corollary 2. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let r be a real number with $0 < r < 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying Equation 14, and the limit

$$C(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x) - 2f(2^n x)}{8^n}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique cubic mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 2f(x) - C(x), t) \geq \frac{132(1 - 8^{-r})t}{132(1 - 8^{-r})t + 17(2^{r-1} + 1)\theta \|x\|^r},$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3 by taking $\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$, and then we can choose $\alpha = 8^{-r}$ and get the desired result. \square

Theorem 4. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with the following:

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\varphi(x, y), \tag{18}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying Equation 6, and then the limit

$$A(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 8f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(66 - 66\alpha)t}{(66 - 66\alpha)t + 17\alpha\varphi(2x, x) + 17\alpha\varphi(0, x)}. \tag{19}$$

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.

Letting $y := \frac{x}{2}$ and $h(x) := f(2x) - 8f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ in Equation 10, we obtain the following:

$$N\left(h(x) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{17t}{33}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right)}. \tag{20}$$

Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jh(x) := 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ be such that $d(g, h) = \epsilon$, and then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \epsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Hence,

$$N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), \alpha \epsilon t) = N\left(2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \alpha \epsilon t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d(g, h) = \epsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha \epsilon$. This means that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$, for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from Equation 20 that

$$N\left(2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h(x), \frac{17\alpha t}{66}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d(g, Jg) \leq \frac{17\alpha}{66}$.

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying the following:

(1) A is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$\frac{1}{2}A(x) = A\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \tag{21}$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping A is a unique fixed point of J in the set $\Omega = \{h \in S : d(g, h) < \infty\}$.

This implies that A is a unique mapping, satisfying Equation 21, such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following:

$$N(h(x) - A(x), \mu t) = N(f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n h, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the following equality:

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 8f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) = A(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(h, A) \leq \frac{d(h, Jh)}{1-\alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the following inequality: $d(h, A) \leq \frac{17\alpha}{66-66\alpha}$. This implies that the inequality (Equation 19) holds. The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Corollary 3. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let r be a real number with $r > 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying Equation 14, and then

$$A(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 8f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{33(2^r - 2)t}{33(2^r - 2)t + 17(2^r + 2)\theta\|x\|^r},$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4 by taking $\varphi(x, y) := \theta (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$, and then we can choose $\alpha = 2^{1-r}$ and get the desired result. \square

Theorem 5. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with the following:

$$\varphi(2x, 2y) \leq 2\alpha\varphi(x, y), \quad (22)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying Equation 6, and then the limit

$$A(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x) - 8f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(66 - 66\alpha)t}{(66 - 66\alpha)t + 17\varphi(2x, x) + 17\varphi(0, x)}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined as in the proof of Theorem 2. Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that $Jh(x) := \frac{1}{2}h(2x)$, for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ be such that $d(g, h) = \epsilon$.

Then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \epsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), \alpha\epsilon t) &= N\left(\frac{g(2x)}{2} - \frac{h(2x)}{2}, \alpha\epsilon t\right) \\ &= N(g(2x) - h(2x), 2\alpha\epsilon t) \\ &\geq \frac{2\alpha t}{2\alpha t + \varphi(4x, 2x) + \varphi(0, 2x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d(g, h) = \epsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha\epsilon$. This means that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$, for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from Equation 10 that

$$N\left(\frac{h(2x)}{2} - h(x), \frac{17t}{66}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus,

$$d(g, Jg) \leq \frac{17}{66}.$$

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying the following:

(1) A is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$2A(x) = A(2x), \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping A is a unique fixed point of J in the set $\Omega = \{h \in S : d(g, h) < \infty\}$.

This implies that A is a unique mapping, satisfying Equation 24, such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following:

$$N(h(x) - A(x), \mu t) = N(f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n h, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the following equality:

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(2^n x)}{2^n} = N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x) - 8f(2^n x)}{2^n} = A(x),$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(h, A) \leq \frac{d(h, Jh)}{1-\alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the following inequality: $d(h, A) \leq \frac{17}{66-66\alpha}$.

This implies that the inequality (Equation 23) holds. The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Corollary 4. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let r be a real number with $0 < r < 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping, satisfying Equation 14, and then the limit

$$A(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x) - 8f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} N(f(2x) - 8f(x) - A(x), t) \\ \geq \frac{33(2^r - 1)t}{33(2^r - 1)t + 17 \cdot 2^r(2^{r-1} + 1)\theta\|x\|^r}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 5 by taking $\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and then we can choose $\alpha = 2^{-r}$ and get the desired result. \square

Fuzzy stability of the functional equation (Equation 1): an even case

Throughout this section, using the fixed point alternative approach, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (Equation 1) in fuzzy Banach spaces: an even case.

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with the following:

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{16}\varphi(x, y), \tag{25}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping, satisfying the following:

$$N(\Phi_f(x, y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)}, \tag{26}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, and then the limit

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(352 - 352\alpha)t}{(352 - 352\alpha)t + 13\alpha\varphi(x, x) + 13\alpha\varphi(0, x)}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Putting $x = 0$ in Equation 26, we have the following:

$$N(12f(3y) - 70f(2y) + 148f(y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(0, y)}, \tag{28}$$

for all $y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Substituting $x = y$ in Equation 26, we obtain the following:

$$N(f(3y) - 4f(2y) - 17f(y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(y, y)}, \tag{29}$$

for all $y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

By Equations 28 and 29, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(f(2y) - 16f(y), \frac{13t}{22}\right) \\ \geq \min\left(N\left(\frac{12f(3y) - 70f(2y) + 148f(y)}{22}, \frac{t}{22}\right), N\left(\frac{6(f(3y) - 4f(2y) - 17f(y))}{22}, \frac{6t}{11}\right)\right) \\ \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(y, y) + \varphi(0, y)}, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. By replacing $y := \frac{x}{2}$ for all $x \in X$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(f(x) - 16\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{11t}{22}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)} \\ \geq \frac{\frac{16t}{\alpha}}{\frac{16t}{\alpha} + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Consider the set $S := \{g : X \rightarrow Y\}$, and the generalized metric d in S defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(f, g) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+} \left\{ N(g(x) - h(x), \mu t) \right. \\ \left. \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \forall x \in X, t > 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d^*) is complete (see Lemma 2.1 in [33]).

Now, we consider a linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that $Jg(x) := 16g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$, for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ satisfy $d^*(g, h) = \epsilon$, and then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \epsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), \alpha \epsilon t) &= N\left(16g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 16h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \alpha \epsilon t\right) \\ &= N\left(g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{\alpha \epsilon t}{16}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{\alpha t}{16}}{\frac{\alpha t}{16} + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right)} \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{\alpha t}{16}}{\frac{\alpha t}{16} + \frac{\alpha}{16}\varphi(x, x) + \frac{\alpha}{8}\varphi(0, x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d^*(g, h) = \epsilon$ implies that $d^*(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha\epsilon$. This means that $d^*(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d^*(g, h)$, for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from Equation 31 that

$$N\left(f(x) - 16\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{13\alpha t}{352}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}.$$

Thus, $d^*(f, Jf) \leq \frac{13\alpha}{352}$. By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying the following:

(1) Q is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{16}Q(x), \tag{32}$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the following set: $\Omega = \{h \in S : d^*(g, h) < \infty\}$. This implies that Q is a unique mapping, satisfying Equation 32, such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following:

$$N(f(x) - Q(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

(2) $d^*(J^n f, Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the following equality: $N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = Q(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d^*(f, Q) \leq \frac{d^*(f, Jf)}{1-\alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the following inequality: $d^*(f, Q) \leq \frac{13\alpha}{352-352\alpha}$. This implies that the inequality (Equation 27) holds.

On the other hand, by Equation 26, we obtain the following:

$$N\left(16^n \Phi_f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right), 16^n t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)},$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$N\left(16^n \Phi_f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right), t\right) \geq \frac{\frac{t}{16^n}}{\frac{t}{16^n} + \frac{\alpha^n}{16^n} \varphi(x, y)},$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{t}{16^n}}{\frac{t}{16^n} + \frac{\alpha^n}{16^n} \varphi(x, y)} = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, we deduce that $N(\Phi_Q(x, y), t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus, the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$, satisfying Equation 1, as desired. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let r be a real number with $r > 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping, satisfying Equation 14, and then the limit

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{352(16^r - 1)t}{352(16^r - 1)t + 39\theta\|x\|^r},$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 6 by taking $\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and then we can choose $\alpha = 16^{-r}$ and get the desired result. \square

Theorem 7. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with the following:

$$\varphi(2x, 2y) \leq 16\alpha\varphi(x, y), \tag{33}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping, satisfying Equation 26, and then the limit

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(352 - 352\alpha)t}{(352 - 352\alpha)t + 13\varphi(x, x) + 13\varphi(0, x)}. \tag{34}$$

Proof. Let (S, d^*) be the generalized metric space defined as in the proof of Theorem 6. Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{16}g(2x),$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ be such that $d^*(g, h) = \epsilon$, and then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \epsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), \alpha\epsilon t) &= N\left(\frac{g(2x)}{16} - \frac{h(2x)}{16}, \alpha\epsilon t\right) \\ &= N(g(2x) - h(2x), 16\alpha\epsilon t) \\ &\geq \frac{16\alpha t}{16\alpha t + 16\alpha\varphi(x, x) + 16\alpha\varphi(0, x)} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d^*(g, h) = \epsilon$ implies that $d^*(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha\epsilon$. This means that $d^*(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d^*(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from Equation 30 that

$$N\left(\frac{f(2x)}{16} - f(x), \frac{13t}{352}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$. Thus, $d^*(g, Jg) \leq \frac{13}{352}$.

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) Q is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$16Q(x) = Q(2x), \tag{35}$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the set $\Omega = \{h \in S : d^*(g, h) < \infty\}$.

This implies that Q is a unique mapping, satisfying Equation 35, such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following:

$$N(f(x) - Q(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(0, x)},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

(2) $d^*(J^n f, Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the following equality: $N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n} = Q(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d^*(f, Q) \leq \frac{d^*(f, Jf)}{1-\alpha}$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the following inequality: $d(f, Q) \leq \frac{13}{352-352\alpha}$. This implies that the inequality (Equation 34) holds. The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Corollary 6. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let r be a real number with $0 < r < 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping, satisfying Equation 14, and then the limit

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{352(16 - 16^r)t}{352(16 - 16^r)t + 624\theta \|x\|^r},$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 7 by taking the following: $\varphi(x, y) := \theta (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and then we can choose $\alpha = 16^{r-1}$ and get the desired result. \square

Results and discussion

We linked here three different disciplines, namely fuzzy Banach spaces, functional equations, and fixed point theory. We established the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional Equation 1 in fuzzy Banach spaces by fixed point method.

Conclusions

Throughout this paper, using the fixed point method, we proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a mixed type ACQ functional equation in fuzzy Banach spaces.

Competing interests

The author declares that there are no competing interests.

Received: 28 July 2012 Accepted: 25 September 2012
 Published: 24 October 2012

References

- Katsaras, AK: Fuzzy topological vector spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* **12**, 143–154 (1984)
- Felbin, C: Finite-dimensional fuzzy normed linear space. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* **48**, 239–248 (1992)
- Krishna, SV, Sarma, KKM: Separation of fuzzy normed linear spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* **63**, 207–217 (1994)
- Park, C: Fuzzy stability of a functional equation associated with inner product spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* **160**, 1632–1642 (2009)
- Bag, T, Samanta, SK: Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces. *J Fuzzy Mathematics.* **11**, 687–705 (2003)
- Cheng, SC, Mordeson, JN: Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **86**, 429–436 (1994)
- Karnosil, I, Michalek, J: Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika.* **11**, 326–334 (1975)
- Bag, T, Samanta, SK: Fuzzy bounded linear operators. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* **151**, 513–547 (2005)
- Cădariu, L, Radu, V: Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**(1), 4 (2003)
- Diaz, J, Margolis, B: A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74**, 305–309 (1968)
- Ulam, SM: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1964)
- Hyers, DH: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **27**, 222–224 (1941)
- Rassias, ThM: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72**, 297–300 (1978)
- Skof, F: Local properties and approximation of operators. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano.* **53**, 113–129 (1983)
- Cholewa, PW: Remarks on the stability of functional equations. *Aequationes Mathematicae.* **27**, 76–86 (1984)
- Czerwik, S: On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hambourg.* **62**, 239–248 (1992)
- Eshaghi-Gordji, M, Kaboli-Gharetapeh, S, Park, C, Zolfaghri, S: Stability of an additive-cubic-quartic functional equation. *Advances in Difference Equations.* **2009**, 395693 (2009)
- Agarwal, RP, Cho, YJ, Saadati, R, Wang, S: Nonlinear L-fuzzy stability of cubic functional equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2012**, 77 (2012)
- Azadi Kenary, H: Non-Archimedean stability of Cauchy-Jensen type functional equation. *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **1**(2), 1–10 (2010)
- Azadi Kenary, H: Stability of a Pexiderial functional equation in random normed spaces. *Rend. Del Circolo Math. Di Palermo.* **60**(1), 59–68 (2011)
- Azadi Kenary, H, Shafaat, Kh, Shafei, M, Takbiri, G: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Appollonius quadratic mapping in RN-spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **4**(1), 110–119 (2011)
- Eshaghi-Gordji, M, Abbaszadeh, S, Park, C: *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009**, 153084 (2009)
- Eshaghi Gordji, M, Khodaei, H, Rassias, JM: Fixed point methods for the stability of general quadratic functional equation. *Fixed Point Theory.* **12**(1), 71–82 (2011)
- Eshaghi Gordji, M, Park, C, Savadkouhi, MB: The stability of quartic functional equation with the fixed point alternative. *Fixed Point Theory.* **11**(2), 265–272 (2010)
- Eshaghi Gordji, M: Stability of a functional equation deriving from quartic and additive functions. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **47**(3), 491–502 (2010)
- Eshaghi Gordji, M, Ebadian, A, Zolfaghari, S: Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quartic functions. *Abstract and Appl. Anal.* **2008**, 801904 (2008)
- Eshaghi Gordji, M, Khodaei, H: Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis-TMA.* **71**, 5629–5643 (2009)
- Găvruta, P: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184**, 431–436 (1994)
- Jun, K, Cho, Y: Stability of generalized Jensen quadratic functional equations. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **29**, 515–523 (2007)

30. Jung, S: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis. Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor (2001)
31. Khodaei, H, Eshaghi Gordji, M, Kim, SS, Cho, YJ: Approximation of radical functional equations related to quadratic and quartic mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **397**, 284–297 (2012)
32. Lee, SH, Im, SM, Hwang, IS: Quartic functional equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **307**(2), 387–394 (2005)
33. Mihet, D, Radu, V: On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343**, 567–572 (2008)
34. Park, C: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275**, 711–720 (2002)
35. Park, C: Modified Trif's functional equations in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra and approximate algebra homomorphism. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278**, 93–108 (2003)
36. Park, C, Moradlou, F: Stability of homomorphisms and derivations on C^* -ternary rings. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **13**, 1985–1999 (2009)
37. Rassias, ThM: On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its application. *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai.* **XLIII**, 89–124 (1998)
38. Rassias, ThM: On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251**, 264–284 (2000)
39. Rassias, ThM, Šemrl, P: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173**, 325–338 (1993)
40. Saadati, R, Park, C: Non-Archimedean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy normed spaces and stability of functional equations. *Journal Computers & Mathematics with Applications.* **60**, 2488–2496 (2010)
41. Saadati, R, Park, JH: On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.* **27**, 331–44 (2006)
42. Saadati, R, Vaezpour, M, Cho, YJ: *J. Ineq. Appl.* **2009**, 214530 (2009)
43. Saadati, R, Zohdi, MM, Vaezpour, SM: Nonlinear L-random stability of an ACQ functional equation. *J. Ineq. Appl.* **2011**, 194394 (2011)

doi:10.1186/2251-7456-6-54

Cite this article as: Kenary: Nonlinear fuzzy approximation of a mixed type ACQ functional equation via fixed point alternative. *Mathematical Sciences* 2012 **6**:54.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com