

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access

The generalized χ^2 sequence spaces over p - metric spaces defined by Musielak

Subramanian Nagarajan^{1*}, Saivaraju Nallswamy² and Velmurugan Subramanian²

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce generalized χ^2 sequence spaces over p - metric spaces defined by Musielak function $f = (f_{mn})$ and study some topological properties.

Keywords: Analytic sequence; Double sequences; χ^2 space; Difference sequence space; Musielak-modulus function; p - metric space; Duals

MSC: 40A05; 40C05; 40D05

Introduction

Throughout this paper, w , χ , and Λ denote the classes of all, gai, and analytic scalar valued single sequences, respectively. We write w^2 for the set of all complex sequences (x_{mn}) , where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers. Then, w^2 is a linear space under the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication.

Some initial works on double sequence spaces is found in Bromwich [1]. Later on, they were investigated by Hardy [2], Moricz [3], Moricz and Rhoades [4], Basarir and Solankan [5], Tripathy [6], Turkmenoglu [7], and many others. We procure the following sets of double sequences:

$$\mathcal{M}_u(t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : \sup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_p(t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : p - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \text{ for some } \in \mathbb{C} \},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{0p}(t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : p - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_u(t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{bp}(t) := \mathcal{C}_p(t) \cap \mathcal{M}_u(t) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{0bp}(t) = \mathcal{C}_{0p}(t) \cap \mathcal{M}_u(t),$$

where $t = (t_{mn})$ is the sequence of strictly positive reals t_{mn} for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty}$ denotes the limit in the Pringsheim's sense. In the case where $t_{mn} =$

1 for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{M}_u(t), \mathcal{C}_p(t), \mathcal{C}_{0p}(t), \mathcal{L}_u(t), \mathcal{C}_{bp}(t)$, and $\mathcal{C}_{0bp}(t)$ reduce to the sets $\mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{C}_p, \mathcal{C}_{0p}, \mathcal{L}_u, \mathcal{C}_{bp}$, and \mathcal{C}_{0bp} , respectively. Now, we may summarize the knowledge given in some document related to the double sequence spaces. Gökhan and Colak [8,9] have proved that $\mathcal{M}_u(t)$ and $\mathcal{C}_p(t), \mathcal{C}_{bp}(t)$ are complete paranormed spaces of double sequences and gave the $\alpha-, \beta-, \gamma$ -duals of the spaces $\mathcal{M}_u(t)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{bp}(t)$. Quite recently, in her PhD thesis, Zeltser [10] has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely [11], and Tripathy [6] have independently introduced the statistical convergence and Cauchy for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesàro summable double sequences. Altay and Başar [12] have defined the spaces $\mathcal{BS}, \mathcal{BS}(t), \mathcal{CS}_p, \mathcal{CS}_{bp}, \mathcal{CS}_r$, and \mathcal{BV} of double sequences consisting of all double series whose sequence of partial sums is in the spaces $\mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{M}_u(t), \mathcal{C}_p, \mathcal{C}_{bp}, \mathcal{C}_r$, and \mathcal{L}_u , respectively, and also examined some properties of those sequence spaces and determined the α - duals of the spaces $\mathcal{BS}, \mathcal{BV}, \mathcal{CS}_{bp}$, and the $\beta(\vartheta)$ - duals of the spaces \mathcal{CS}_{bp} and \mathcal{CS}_r of double series. Basar and Sever [13] have introduced the Banach space \mathcal{L}_q of double sequences corresponding to the well-known space ℓ_q of single sequences and examined some properties of the space \mathcal{L}_q . Quite recently, Subramanian and Misra [14] have studied the space $\chi_M^2(p, q, u)$ of double sequences and gave some inclusion relations.

The class of sequences which is strongly Cesàro summable with respect to a modulus was introduced

*Correspondence: nsmaths@yahoo.com

¹Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University, Thanjavur, 613 401, India
 Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



by Maddox [15] as an extension of the definition of strongly Cesàro summable sequences. Connor [16] further extended this definition to a definition of strong A -summability with respect to a modulus, where $A = (a_{n,k})$ is a non-negative regular matrix, and established some connections between strong A -summability, strong A -summability with respect to a modulus, and A -statistical convergence. In [17], the notion of convergence of double sequences was presented by Pringsheim. Also, in [18,19], and [20], the four-dimensional matrix transformation $(Ax)_{k,\ell} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k\ell}^{mn} x_{mn}$ was studied extensively by Hamilton.

We need the following inequality in the sequel of the paper. For $a, b, \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$, we have

$$(a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p. \tag{1}$$

The double series $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$ is called convergent if and only if the double sequence (s_{mn}) is convergent, where $s_{mn} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} x_{ij}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}$). A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is said to be double analytic if $\sup_{m,n} |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty$. The vector space of all double analytic sequences will be denoted by Λ^2 . A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is called double gai sequence if $((m+n)! |x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. The double gai sequences will be denoted by χ^2 . Let $\phi = \{\text{all finite sequences}\}$.

Consider a double sequence $x = (x_{ij})$. The $(m, n)^{\text{th}}$ section $x^{[m,n]}$ of the sequence is defined by $x^{[m,n]} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} x_{ij} \mathfrak{S}_{ij}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathfrak{S}_{ij} denotes the double sequence whose only non-zero term is a $\frac{1}{(i+j)!}$ in the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ place for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

A Fréchet coordinate space (FK-space or a metric space) X is said to have an AK property if (\mathfrak{S}_{mn}) is a Schauder basis for X , or equivalently $x^{[m,n]} \rightarrow x$. An FDK-space is a double sequence space endowed with a complete metrizable space, locally convex topology under which the coordinate mappings $x = (x_k) \rightarrow (x_{mn})$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}$) are also continuous.

Let M and Φ be mutually complementary modulus functions. Then, we have

- (1) For all $u, y \geq 0$,

$$uy \leq M(u) + \Phi(y), \text{ (Young's inequality; see [21]).} \tag{2}$$

- (2) For all $u \geq 0$,

$$u\eta(u) = M(u) + \Phi(\eta(u)). \tag{3}$$

- (3) For all $u \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$M(\lambda u) \leq \lambda M(u). \tag{4}$$

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [22] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For $M(t) = t^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), the spaces ℓ_M coincide with the classical sequence space ℓ_p .

A sequence $f = (f_{mn})$ of modulus function is called a Musielak-modulus function. A sequence $g = (g_{mn})$ defined by

$$g_{mn}(v) = \sup \{ |v|u - (f_{mn})(u) : u \geq 0 \}, m, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-modulus function f . For a given Musielak modulus function f , the Musielak-modulus sequence space t_f and its subspace h_f are defined, respectively, as follows:

$$t_f = \{ x \in w^2 : I_f(|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \}$$

and

$$h_f = \{ x \in w^2 : I_f(|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \},$$

where I_f is a convex modular defined by

$$I_f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn}(|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n}, x = (x_{mn}) \in t_f.$$

We consider that t_f is equipped with the Luxemburg metric

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{mn} \left\{ \inf \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn} \times \left(\frac{|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}}{mn} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If X is a sequence space, we give the following definitions:

- (1) X' = the continuous dual of X ;
- (2) $X^\alpha = \{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}x_{mn}| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \}$;
- (3) $X^\beta = \{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}x_{mn} \text{ is convergent, for each } x \in X \}$;
- (4) $X^\gamma = \{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{mn} \geq 1 \left| \sum_{m,n=1}^{M,N} a_{mn}x_{mn} \right| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \}$;
- (5) let X be an FK-space $\supset \phi$, then $X^f = \{ f(\mathfrak{S}_{mn}) : f \in X' \}$;
- (6) $X^\delta = \{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{mn} |a_{mn}x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \}$,

where X^α , X^β , and X^γ are called α - (or Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of X , β - (or generalized Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of X , γ - dual of X , and δ - dual of X , respectively. X^α is defined

by Kantham and Gupta [21]. It is clear that $X^\alpha \subset X^\beta$ and $X^\alpha \subset X^\gamma$, but $X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$ does not hold since the sequence of partial sums of a double convergent series needs not to be bounded.

The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz [23] as follows:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\}$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and ℓ_∞ , where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here, c, c_0 , and ℓ_∞ denote the classes of convergent, null, and bounded scalar valued single sequences, respectively. The difference sequence space bv_p of the classical space ℓ_p is introduced and studied in the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and in the case $0 < p < 1$ by Altay and Bařar in [12]. The spaces $c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta), \ell_\infty(\Delta)$, and bv_p are Banach spaces normed by

$$\|x\| = |x_1| + \sup_{k \geq 1} |\Delta x_k| \text{ and } \|x\|_{bv_p} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

Later on, the notion was further investigated by many others. We now introduce the following difference double sequence spaces defined by

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : (\Delta x_{mn}) \in Z\},$$

where $Z = \Lambda^2, \chi^2$ and $\Delta x_{mn} = (x_{mn} - x_{m+1n}) - (x_{m+1n} - x_{m+1n+1}) = x_{mn} - x_{m+1n} - x_{m+1n} + x_{m+1n+1}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition and preliminaries

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real vector space of dimension w , where $n \leq w$. A real valued function $d_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \|(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p$ on X satisfying the following four conditions:

- (1) $\|(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p = 0$ if and only if $d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n)$ are linearly dependent,
- (2) $\|(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p$ is invariant under permutation,
- (3) $\|(\alpha d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p = |\alpha| \|(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- (4) $d_p((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) = (d_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))^p + d_Y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$; (or)
- (5) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) := \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)\}$, for $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$ which is called the p product metric of the Cartesian product of n metric spaces is the p norm of the n -vector of the norms of the n subspaces.

A trivial example of the p product metric of the n metric space is the p norm space which is $X = \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the following Euclidean metric in the product space:

$$\|(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_E = \sup (|\det(d_{mn}(x_{mn}))|) = \sup \left(\begin{vmatrix} d_{11}(x_{11}) & d_{12}(x_{12}) & \dots & d_{1n}(x_{1n}) \\ d_{21}(x_{21}) & d_{22}(x_{22}) & \dots & d_{2n}(x_{2n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n1}(x_{n1}) & d_{n2}(x_{n2}) & \dots & d_{nn}(x_{nn}) \end{vmatrix} \right),$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the p - metric. Any complete p - metric space is said to be p - Banach metric space.

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm if

- (1) $w(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$;
- (2) $w(-x) = w(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (3) $w(x + y) \leq w(x) + w(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (4) If (σ_{mn}) is a sequence of scalars with $\sigma_{mn} \rightarrow \sigma$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, and (x_{mn}) is a sequence of vectors with $w(x_{mn} - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, then $w(\sigma_{mn}x_{mn} - \sigma x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm w for which $w(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called a total paranorm, and the pair (X, w) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [24], Theorem 10.4.2, p.183).

The notion of λ - double gai and double analytic sequences is as follows: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_{mn})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity, that is,

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \text{ and } \lambda_{mn} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

and that a sequence $x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2$ is λ - convergent to 0, called a the λ - limit of x , if $\mu_{mn}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\mu_{mn}(x) = \frac{1}{\varphi_{rs}} \sum_{m \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{rs}} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{rs}} (\lambda_{m,n} - \lambda_{m,n+1} - \lambda_{m+1,n} + \lambda_{m+1,n+1}) |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}.$$

The sequence $x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2$ is λ - double analytic if $\sup_{uv} |\mu_{mn}(x)| < \infty$. If $\lim_{mn} x_{mn} = 0$ in the ordinary sense of convergence, then

$$\lim_{mn} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_{rs}} \sum_{m \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{rs}} \sum_{n \in \sigma, \sigma \in P_{rs}} (\lambda_{m,n} - \lambda_{m,n+1} - \lambda_{m+1,n} + \lambda_{m+1,n+1}) ((m+n)! |x_{mn} - 0|)^{1/m+n} \right) = 0.$$

This implies that it yields $\lim_{uv} \mu_{mn}(x) = 0$, and hence, $x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2$ is λ - convergent to 0. Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function, $(X, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p)$ be a p -metric space,

and $q = (q_{mn})$ be double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By $w^2(p - X)$, we denote the space of all sequences as $(X, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p)$. The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 \leq q_{mn} \leq \sup q_{mn} = H, K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, then

$$|a_{mn} + b_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} \leq K \{ |a_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} + |b_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} \} \quad (5)$$

for all m, n and $a_{mn}, b_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, $|a|^{q_{mn}} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the present paper, we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \lim_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \sup_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $f_{mn}(x) = x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \lim_{mn} \left\{ \left[\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \sup_{mn} \left\{ \left[\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $q = (q_{mn}) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^2, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \lim_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right] = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^2, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \sup_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right] < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the present paper, we plan to study some topological properties and inclusion relation between the above defined sequence spaces, $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ and $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$, which we shall discuss in this paper.

Main results

Theorem 1. Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function and $q = (q_{mn})$ be a double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers; the sequence spaces $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ and $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ are linear spaces.

Proof. It is routine verification. Therefore, the proof is omitted. \square

Theorem 2. Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function and $q = (q_{mn})$ be a double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers; the sequence space $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is a paranormed space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty)$.

Proof. Clearly, $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{V_2} \right]$. Since $f_{mn}(0) = 0$, we get $g(0) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $g(x) = 0$, then

$$\inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 = 0. \right.$$

Suppose that $\mu_{mn}(x) \neq 0$ for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows that $\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{V_2} \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \rightarrow \infty$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mu_{mn}(x) = 0$. Let

$$\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1.$$

Then, by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x+y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \\ & \leq \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \\ & \quad + \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) &= \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x+y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \quad + \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y).$$

Finally, to prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous, let λ be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(\lambda x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then,

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ (|\lambda| t)^{q_{mn}/H} : \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $t = \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$. Since $|\lambda|^{q_{mn}} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^{supp_{mn}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x) &\leq \max(1, |\lambda|^{supp_{mn}}) \\ &\quad \times \inf \left\{ t^{q_{mn}/H} : \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(\lambda x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \left. d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. The β -dual space of $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta = \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$.

Proof. First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \\ & \subset \left[\Gamma_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Gamma_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \\ & \subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\left[\Gamma_{f\mu}^{2q} \right]^\beta \subsetneq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Next, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \\ & \subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $y = (y_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta$. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_{mn} y_{mn}$ with

$$x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$$

$$x = [(\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1})]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right] \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0, & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f_{mn} \left(\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & f_{mn} \left(\frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f_{mn} \left(\frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & f_{mn} \left(\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0, & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it converges to zero.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1})] \\
 & \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d((\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1}), 0) = 1$.
 But

$$\begin{aligned}
 |y_{mn}| & \leq \|f\| d((\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1}), 0) \\
 & \leq \|f\| \cdot 1 < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for each m, n . Thus, (y_{mn}) is a p - metric paranormed space of double analytic sequence and, hence, an p - metric double analytic sequence.

In other words, $y \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. But $y = (y_{mn})$ is arbitrary in $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \\
 & \subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (6) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \\
 & = \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. The dual space of $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. In other words, $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^* = \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$.

Proof. We recall that

$$\lambda_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!}$ in the (m, n) th position and zeros elsewhere,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & f \left(\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{\Delta\lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right)^{1/m+n} & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a p - metric of double gai sequence. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] f(x) \\
 = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn} y_{mn}
 \end{aligned}$$

with $x \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ and $f \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^*$, where $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^*$ is the dual space of $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$.

Take $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Then,

$$|y_{mn}| \leq \|f\| d(\varphi_{rs}, 0) < \infty \forall m, n. \tag{8}$$

Thus, (y_{mn}) is a p - metric of the double analytic sequence and an p - metric of double analytic sequence.

□ In other words, $y \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^* \\
 & = \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 5. (1) If the sequence (f_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha \\ &= \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{uv}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If the sequence (g_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha \\ &= \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let the sequence (f_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition; we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

To prove the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha \\ & \subset \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right], \end{aligned}$$

let $a \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha$.

Then, for all $\{x_{mn}\}$ with $(x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn} a_{mn}| < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Since the sequence (f_{mn}) satisfies the uniform Δ_2 -condition and then

$$(y_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right],$$

we get $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\varphi_{rs} y_{mn} a_{mn}}{\Delta \lambda_{mn} (m+n)!} \right| < \infty$. by (10). Thus,

$$(\varphi_{rs} a_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha$$

= $\left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$, and hence, $(a_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha \\ & \subset \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We are granted with (9) and (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha \\ &= \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Similarly, one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\alpha \\ & \subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \end{aligned}$$

if the sequence (g_{mn}) satisfies the uniform Δ_2 -condition. \square

Proposition 1. If $0 < q_{mn} < p_{mn} < \infty$ for each m and n , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2p}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. We have

$$\sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] < 1$$

for sufficiently large value of m and n . Since f_{mn} s are non-decreasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2p}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \leq \sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2p}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. \square

Proposition 2. (1) If $0 < \inf q_{mn} \leq q_{mn} < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^2, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $1 \leq q_{mn} \leq \sup q_{mn} < \infty$, then $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^2, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$.

Proof. Let $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Since $0 < \inf q_{mn} \leq 1$, we have

$$\sup_{uv} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \leq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right],$$

and hence

$$x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

(3) Let q_{mn} for each (m, n) and $\sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty$.

Let $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Then, for each $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a positive integer \mathbb{N} such that

$$\sup_{uv} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \leq \epsilon < 1,$$

for all $m, n \geq N$. This implies that

$$\sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \leq \sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

Thus, $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. \square

Proposition 3. Let $f' = (f'_{mn})$ and $f'' = (f''_{mn})$ be sequences of Musielak functions; we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f'\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \times \bigcap \left[\Lambda_{f''\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \times \subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f'+f''\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is easy, so we omit it. \square

Proposition 4. For any sequence of Musielak functions $f = (f_{mn})$ and $q = (q_{mn})$ be double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is easy, so we omit it. \square

Proposition 5. The sequence space $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is solid.

Proof. Let $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$, i.e.,

$$\sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] < \infty.$$

Let (α_{mn}) be double sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_{mn}| \leq 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(\alpha x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ & \leq \sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 6. The sequence space $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is monotone.

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 5. \square

Proposition 7. If $f = (f_{mn})$ is any Musielak function, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*} \right] \\ & \subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $\sup_{r,s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} < \infty$.

Proof. Let $x \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*} \right]$ and $N = \sup_{r,s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} < \infty$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} \right] \\ & = N \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi_{rs}^*} \right] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}} \right]$. Conversely, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*} \right] \\ & \subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and $x \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*} \right]$.

Then, $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*} \right] < \infty$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\sup_{r,s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} = \infty$, then there exists a sequence of members $(r_{s_{jk}})$ such that $\lim_{j,k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_{jk}^*}{\varphi_{jk}^{**}} = \infty$. Hence, we have $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi_{r_{s_{jk}}}^*} \right] = \infty$. Therefore, $x \notin \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}} \right]$, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 8. If $f = (f_{mn})$ is any Musielak function, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $\sup_{r,s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} < \infty, \sup_{r,s \geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^{**}}{\varphi_{rs}^*} > \infty$.

Proof. It is easy to prove, so we omit it. \square

Proposition 9. The sequence space $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is not solid.

Proof. The result follows from the following example. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} x = (x_{mn}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\alpha_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} -1^{m+n} & -1^{m+n} & \dots & -1^{m+n} \\ -1^{m+n} & -1^{m+n} & \dots & -1^{m+n} \\ \vdots & & & \\ -1^{m+n} & -1^{m+n} & \dots & -1^{m+n} \end{pmatrix},$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\alpha_{mn}x_{mn} \notin \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Hence, $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is not solid. \square

Proposition 10. The sequence space $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is not monotone.

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 9. \square

Generalized four-dimensional infinite matrix sequence spaces

Let $A = (a_{k\ell}^{mn})$ be a four-dimensional infinite matrix of complex numbers. Then, we have $A(x) = (Ax)_{k\ell} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k\ell}^{mn} x_{mn}$ which converges for each k, ℓ .

In this section, we introduce the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \lim_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \sup_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $f_{mn}(x) = x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \lim_{mn} \left\{ \left[\left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \sup_{mn} \left\{ \left[\left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $q = (q_{mn}) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2A}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \lim_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right] = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2A}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \sup_{mn} \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p \right) \right] < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6. For a Musielak-modulus function, $f = (f_{mn})$. Then, the sequence spaces $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ and $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

Proof. It is routine verification. Therefore, the proof is omitted. \square

Theorem 7. For any Musielak-modulus function $f = (f_{mn})$ and a double analytic sequence $q = (q_{mn})$ of strictly positive real numbers, the space $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is a topological linear space paranormed by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty)$.

Proof. Clearly, $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{V_2} \right]$. Since $f_{mn}(0) = 0$, we get $g(0) = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $g(x) = 0$, then $\inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} = 0$. Suppose that $A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x) \neq 0$ for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \rightarrow \infty. \tag{12}$$

It follows that $\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{V_2} \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \rightarrow \infty$ which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x) = 0$. Let $\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1$ and $\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1$. Then, by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x+y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \\ & \leq \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \\ & \quad + \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) &= \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x+y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \quad + \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y).$$

Finally, to prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous, let λ be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(\lambda x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then,

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ (|\lambda| t)^{q_{mn}/H} : \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $t = \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$. Since $|\lambda|^{q_{mn}} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^{\sup_{mn} q_{mn}})$, we have

$$g(\lambda x) \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^{\sup_{mn} q_{mn}}) \inf \left\{ t^{q_{mn}/H} : \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(\lambda x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

□

Theorem 8. The β -dual space of $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta = \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$.

Proof. First, we observe that

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \subset \left[\Gamma_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\left[\Gamma_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta.$$

But

$$\left[\Gamma_{f\mu}^{2qA} \right]^\beta \subsetneq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

Hence,

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta.$$

Next, we show that

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

Let $y = (y_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta$. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_{mn} y_{mn}$

with

$$x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$$

$$x = [(\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1})] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left[f_{mn} \left(\|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right) \right]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f_{mn} \left(\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & f_{mn} \left(\frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f_{mn} \left(\frac{-\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & f_{mn} \left(\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, converges to zero.

Therefore,

$$[(\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1})] \times \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right].$$

Hence, $d(a_{k\ell}^{mn}(\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1}), 0) = 1$. However, $|y_{mn}| \leq \|f\| d(a_{k\ell}^{mn}(\lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{mn+1}) - (\lambda_{m+1n} - \lambda_{m+1n+1}), 0) \leq \|f\| \cdot 1 < \infty$ for each m, n . Thus, (y_{mn}) is a p - metric paranormed space of double analytic sequence and, hence, an p - metric double analytic sequence.

In other words, $y \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. However, $y = (y_{mn})$ is arbitrary in $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta$. Therefore,

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \tag{13}$$

From (12) and (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^\beta \\ &= \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 9. The dual space of $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$ is $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^* \\ &= \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall that

$$\lambda_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!}$ in the (m, n) th position and zero elsewhere,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & f \left(\frac{\varphi_{rs}}{a_{k\ell}^{mn} \Delta \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right)^{1/m+n} & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which is a p - metric of double gai sequence. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right] f(x) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn} y_{mn} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$x \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$$

and

$$f \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^*,$$

where

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^*$$

is the dual space of $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$.

Take $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Then,

$$|y_{mn}| \leq \|f\| d(\varphi_{rs}, 0) < \infty \forall m, n. \tag{14}$$

Thus, (y_{mn}) is a p - metric of double analytic sequence and, hence, an p - metric of double analytic sequence. In other words, $y \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]^* \\ &= \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qA}, \|A_{mn}\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally in introducing gai-2 sequence spaces generalized over p -metric defined by Musielak modulus function and in studying topological properties. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University, Thanjavur, 613 401, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Sri Angalamman College of Engineering and Technology, Trichirappalli, 621 105, India.

Received: 1 April 2013 Accepted: 5 May 2013

Published: 12 August 2013

References

- Bromwich, TJI'A: An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series. Macmillan, New York (1965)
- Hardy, GH: On the convergence of certain multiple series. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **19**, 86–95 (1917)
- Moricz, F: Extensions of the spaces c and c_0 from single to double sequences. Acta. Math. Hung. **57**(1-2), 129–136 (1991)
- Moricz, F, Rhoades, BE: Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **104**, 283–294 (1988)
- Basarir, M, Solancan, O: On some double sequence spaces. J. Indian Acad. Math. **21**(2), 193–200 (1999)
- Tripathy, BC: On statistically convergent double sequences. Tamkang J. Math. **34**(3), 231–237 (2003)
- Turkmenoglu, A: Matrix transformation between some classes of double sequences. J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci. Math. Ser. **12**(1), 23–31 (1999)
- Gökhan, A, Çolak, R: The double sequence spaces $c_2^p(p)$ and $c_2^{PB}(p)$. Appl. Math. Comput. **157**(2), 491–501 (2004)
- Gökhan, A, Çolak, R: Double sequence spaces ℓ_2^∞ . ibid. **160**(1), 147–153 (2005)
- Zeltser, M: Investigation of double sequence spaces by soft and hard analytical methods. Dissertationes Mathematicae Universitatis Tartuensia 25, Tartu University Press, University of Tartu (2001)
- Mursaleen, M, Edely, OHH: Statistical convergence of double sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **288**(1), 223–231 (2003)
- Altay, B, Başar, F: Some new spaces of double sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **309**(1), 70–90 (2005)
- Başar, F, Sever, Y: The space \mathcal{L}_p of double sequences. Math. J. Okayama Univ. **51**, 149–157 (2009)
- Subramanian, N, Misra, UK: The semi normed space defined by a double gai sequence of modulus function. Fasciculi Math. **45**, 111–120 (2010)
- Maddox, IJ: Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **100**(1), 161–166 (1986)

16. Connor, J: On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **32**(2), 194–198 (1989)
17. Pringsheim, A: Zurtheorie der zweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen. *Math. Ann.* **53**, 289–321 (1900)
18. Hamilton, HJ: Transformations of multiple sequences. *Duke Math. J.* **2**, 29–60 (1936)
19. Hamilton, HJ: A generalization of multiple sequences transformation. *Duke Math. J.* **4**, 343–358 (1938)
20. Hamilton, HJ: Preservation of partial limits in multiple sequence transformations. *Duke Math. J.* **4**, 293–297 (1939)
21. Kamthan, PK, Gupta, M: *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Lecture notes, Pure and Applied Mathematics. 65 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1981)
22. Lindenstrauss, J, Tzafriri, L: On Orlicz sequence spaces. *Israel J. Math.* **10**, 379–390 (1971)
23. Kizmaz, H: On certain sequence spaces. *Cand. Math. Bull.* **24**(2), 169–176 (1981)
24. Wilansky, A: *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, Vol. 85. North-Holland Mathematical Studies, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam (1984)

doi:10.1186/2251-7456-7-39

Cite this article as: Nagarajan *et al.*: The generalized χ^2 sequence spaces over p - metric spaces defined by Musielak. *Mathematical Sciences* 2013 **7**:39.

Archive of SID

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
