

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access

Approximation properties for generalized Baskakov-type operators

Çiğdem Atakut^{1*}, Sevilay Kirci Serenbay² and İbrahim Büyükyazıcı¹

Abstract

In this paper, we give a generalization of the Baskakov-type operators introduced by Baskakov (Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 113:249–251, 1957 (in Russian)) and obtain some direct and inverse results for these new operators.

MSC: 41A35, 41A36

Keywords: Baskakov-type operators, Modulus of continuity, Rate of convergence, Inverse theorem

Introduction

For a continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$ with exponential growth, the Szász operators are given by

$$S_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{k,n}(x),$$

where $P_{k,n}(x) = e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}$. In [1], Ditzian proved some important inverse theorems for these operators by using the modulus of continuity defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(f; \delta, A) &= \sup_{h \leq \delta, x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h)| e^{-Ax} \\ &= \sup_{h \leq \delta, x \in [0, \infty)} |\Delta_h^2 f(x)| e^{-Ax}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x)e^{-Ax}| < M$.

In 1992, Guo and Zhou [2] gave similar theorems for the following modified Szász operators defined by Mazhar and Totik in [3]:

$$L_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(n \int_0^{\infty} f(t) P_{k,n}(t) dt \right) P_{k,n}(x). \quad (1)$$

The authors obtained the following results for these operators:

- (1) Let $f \in C[0, \infty)$ be a bounded function. Then, for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$|L_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \left(\frac{x}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^{\alpha/2}$$

holds if and only if

$$\omega_1(f; \delta) = O(\delta^\alpha), \quad (\delta > 0),$$

where

$$\omega_1(f; \delta) = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \sup_{x \geq h/2} |f(x+h/2) - f(x-h/2)|. \quad (2)$$

- (2) For $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\omega_1(f; \delta) = O(\delta^\alpha) \iff |L'_n(f; x)| \leq M (\min\{n^2, n/x\})^{(1-\alpha)/2}$$

$$\omega_2(f; \delta) = O(\delta^\alpha) \iff |L''_n(f; x)| \leq M (\min\{n^2, n/x\})^{(2-\alpha)/2}$$

holds, where $\omega_1(f; \delta)$ is defined by (2) and

$$\omega_2(f; \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta, x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h)|. \quad (3)$$

- (3) For $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$,

$$\|L_n f - f\|_\infty \leq C \left(\omega_\varphi^2(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})_\infty + \omega_1(f; \frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{n} \|f\|_\infty \right)$$

holds, where C is a constant independent of n , and $\omega_\varphi^2(f; \cdot)_\infty$ is the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness [4] defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\varphi^2(f; \delta)_\infty &= \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta, x \in [0, \infty)} \|f(x-h\varphi(x)) - 2f(x) + f(x+h\varphi(x))\|_\infty, \\ x \geq h, \varphi(x) &= \sqrt{x}. \end{aligned}$$

*Correspondence: atakut@ankara.edu.tr

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, Tandoğan, Ankara, Turkey

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

In [5], Baskakov introduced the following sequence of linear operators $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}$ which are generalizations of Bernstein polynomials, Szász operators, and Lupas operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right). \quad (4)$$

Here, $x \in [0, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ($b > 0$, b can be ∞), $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions defined on $[0, b]$ that have the following properties for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (a) φ_n is analytic on the interval $[0, b]$ including the end points,
- (b) $\varphi_n(0) = 1$,
- (c) φ_n is completely monotone, i.e. $(-1)^k \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$,
- (d) there exists a positive integer $m_0 = m_0(n)$, such that

$$-\varphi_n^{(k)}(x) = n\varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k-1)}(x) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

- (e) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{m_0+n} = 1$.

For the operators $\mathcal{L}_n(f; x)$ given by (4), we have (see [5]):

$$\mathcal{L}_n(1; x) = 1, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n(t; x) = x, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n(t^2; x) = \frac{n(m_0 + n)}{n^2} x^2 + \frac{x}{n}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_n((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{m_0}{n} x^2 + \frac{1}{n} x.$$

In the present paper, inspired by the operators (1) and (4), we introduce a generalization of the Baskakov operators as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_n^*(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_0^{\infty} f(t) \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) dt \right) \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x), \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma_n = \int_0^{\infty} \varphi_n(t) dt < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and φ_n also

satisfies the following condition:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^k \varphi_n^{(k-1)}(x) = 0, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{n-\nu m_0}}{\gamma_n} = 1, \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3.$$

In this study, we shall give some direct and inverse results for the new operators defined by (8).

Note that if $\varphi_n(x) = e^{-nx}$ in (8), we get the operators $\mathcal{L}_n(f; x)$ defined by (1). Also, very important results were obtained by Mazhar and Totik in [3]. Recently, integral-type modification of some operators based on q -integers have been studied by Gupta et al. [6], Gupta and Kim [7], and Kim [8].

Main results

Now, we give the following lemma which will be used for the proof of theorems:

Lemma 1. *The following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n^*(1, x) &= 1, \\ \mathcal{L}_n^*(t, x) &= \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \left(\frac{nx}{n-m_0} + \frac{1}{n-m_0} \right), \\ \mathcal{L}_n^*(t^2, x) &= \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \left[\frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} x^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4nx}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} + \frac{2}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of operators \mathcal{L}_n^* and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n^*((t-x)^2; x) &= \left(\frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} - \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} + 1 \right) x^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{4n}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} - \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right) x \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in C[0, \infty)$ be a bounded function, and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. If the usual modulus of smoothness $\omega_1(f, t)$ defined by (2) satisfies*

$$\omega_1(f, t) = O(t^\alpha) \quad (t > 0), \quad (10)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_n^*(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq K \left(\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} - \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} + 1 \right) x^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{4n}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} - \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right) x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right)^{\alpha/2} \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. Using the definition of the operators \mathcal{L}_n^* and the equality (9), we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^{\infty} \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t) - f(x)| \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) dt \right) \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^{\infty} \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_1(|t-x|) \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) dt \right) \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \\
 &\leq \omega_1(f, \delta_n) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\int_0^{\infty} \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^{\infty} |t \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - x| \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) dt \right) \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \right\} \\
 &\leq \omega_1(f, \delta_n) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_n} (\mathcal{L}_n^*((t-x)^2, x))^{1/2} \right\} \\
 &= \omega_1(f, \delta_n) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_n} \left(\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} + 1 \right) x^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{4n}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right) x \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right)^{1/2} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose δ_n as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n = &\left[\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} - \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} + 1 \right) x^2 \right. \\
 &+ \left(\frac{4n}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} - \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right) x \\
 &\left. + \frac{2}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right]^{1/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq 2\omega_1 \left(f, \left[\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} + 1 \right) x^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{4n}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right) x \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right]^{1/2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (10) in the above inequality, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq 2K \left(\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n(m_0+n)}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} + 1 \right) x^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{4n}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right) x \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{(n-2m_0)(n-m_0)} \frac{\gamma_{n-2m_0}}{\gamma_n} \right)^{\alpha/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. Let $f \in C[0, \infty)$ be a bounded function, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. If

$$|\mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) - f(x)| \leq K \left(\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2(1+m_0x)} \right)^{\alpha/2}$$

for some positive constant K , then

$$\omega_1(f, t) = O(t^\alpha), \quad (t > 0),$$

where $\omega_1(f, t)$ is the usual modulus of smoothness of f defined by (2).

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, let

$$f_\delta(x) = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\infty f(x+s) ds.$$

For the function $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$, the following inequalities hold (see [9]).

$$\|f_\delta - f\|_\infty \leq \omega_1(f, \delta), \tag{11}$$

$$\|f'_\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \omega_1(f, \delta). \tag{12}$$

Now, we find the derivative of $\mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x)$ with respect to x . From the definition of the operators \mathcal{L}_n^* , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) &= \frac{n}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty f(t) \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) dt \right) \\ &\quad \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) + x \left(1 - \frac{\varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x)}{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)} \right) \right\} \\ &= n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty f(t) (p_{n,k+1}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p_{n,k}(t)) dt \right) \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the properties of the operators (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_\delta - f, x) \right| &= \frac{n}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty |f_\delta(t) - f(t)| \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(t) dt \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \left[\left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) + x \left(1 - \frac{\varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x)}{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{n}{x} \|f_\delta - f\|_\infty \{ \mathcal{L}_n(|t - x|, x) \\ &\quad + x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) \left(1 - \frac{\varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x)}{\varphi_n^{(k)}(x)} \right) \} \\ &= \frac{n}{x} \|f_\delta - f\|_\infty \left(\frac{m_0}{n} x^2 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{n}{x} \omega_1(f; \delta) \left(\frac{m_0}{n} x^2 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have used the inequality,

$$\mathcal{L}_n(|t - x|, x) \leq (\mathcal{L}_n(t - x)^2, x)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{m_0}{n} x^2 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_\delta - f, x) \right| \\ &= \left| n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty |f_\delta(t) - f(t)| \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times (p_{n,k+1}(t) - p_{n,k}(t)) dt \right) \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x) \right| \\ &\leq 2n \|f_\delta - f\|_\infty \varphi_{n+m_0}(0) \\ &\leq 2n \omega_1(f; \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Using the two estimates of $\left| \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_\delta - f, x) \right|$ obtained above, we get

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_\delta - f, x) \right| \leq 2\omega_1(f; \delta) \min \left\{ \left(nm_0 + \frac{n}{x} \right)^{1/2}, n \right\}.$$

Also, one can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_\delta, x) \right| &= \left| \frac{n}{m_0 - n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty f_\delta(t) (p'_{n-m_0, k+1}(t)) dt \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \frac{n}{n-m_0} \omega_1(f; \delta). \end{aligned}$$

For any $t > 0$ and $0 < h \leq t, t \in (0, \infty)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+h) - f(x)| &\leq |f(x+h) - \mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x+h)| + |f(x) - \mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x)| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^h \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_\delta, x+u) du \right| + \left| \int_0^h \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f - f_\delta, x+u) du \right| \\ &\leq 2K (\delta(n, m_0, x, h))^\alpha + \int_0^h \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \omega_1(f; \delta) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^h 2\omega_1(f; \delta) \min \left\{ \left(nm_0 + \frac{n}{x+u} \right)^{1/2}, n \right\} du \\ &= 2K (\delta(n, m_0, x, h))^\alpha + \frac{h}{\delta} \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \omega_1(f; \delta) \\ &\quad + 2\omega_1(f; \delta) \int_0^h \min \left\{ \left(nm_0 + \frac{n}{x+u} \right)^{1/2}, n \right\} du \\ &\leq 2K (\delta(n, m_0, x, h))^\alpha + \frac{h}{\delta} \frac{2n}{n-m_0} \frac{\gamma_{n-m_0}}{\gamma_n} \omega_1(f; \delta) \\ &\quad + 6\omega_1(f; \delta) \frac{h}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2(1+m_0(x+h))}}} \\ &\leq 2K (\delta(n, m_0, x, h))^\alpha + 6h\omega_1(f; \delta) \left[\frac{n}{n-m_0} \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta(n, m_0, x, h)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(n, m_0, x, h) = \left(\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2(1 + m_0(x + h))} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta(n, m_0, x, h) \leq \delta(n + 1, m_0, x, h) \leq \delta(n, m_0, x, h).$$

Hence, for $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\delta(n, m_0, x, h) < \delta \leq 2\delta(n, m_0, x, h).$$

For sufficiently large n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+h) - f(x)| &\leq 2K(\delta(n, m_0, x, h))^\alpha + 6h\omega_1(f; \delta) \left[\frac{2}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta(n, m_0, x, h)} \right] \\ &\leq 2K\delta^\alpha + 24\frac{h}{\delta}\omega_1(f; \delta) \\ &\leq \max\{2K, 24\} \left(\delta^\alpha + \frac{h}{\delta}\omega_1(f; \delta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From last inequality, for $0 < h \leq t$, we get

$$\omega_1(f; t) \leq K' \left(\delta^\alpha + \frac{t}{\delta}\omega_1(f; \delta) \right)$$

which implies $\omega_1(f; t) = O(t^\alpha)$, as desired. \square

Theorem 4. For $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(f, t) = O(t^\alpha) &\iff \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) \right| \\ &\leq M \min \left\{ \frac{2n}{x} + 4n^2 + 6nm_0, 4n(m_0 + n) \right\}^{(2-\alpha)/2}, \quad (n > 2m_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_2(f, \cdot)$ is the modulus of smoothness of f defined by (3).

Proof. (\implies) We assume that $\omega_2(f, t) \leq Mt^\alpha$. For $g \in C_B[0, \infty)$, we get the second-order derivative of the operator $\mathcal{L}_n^*(g, x)$ with respect to x as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(g, x) &= n(m_0 + n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty g(t) (p_{n,k}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2p_{n,k+1}(t) + p_{n,k+2}(t)) dt \right) \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x) \\ &= n(m_0 + n) x^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty f(t) p_{n,k}(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(g, x) \right| \leq 4n(m_0 + n) \|g\|_\infty$$

and

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(g, x) \right| \leq \left(\frac{2n}{x} + 4n^2 + 6nm_0 \right) \|g\|_\infty.$$

Now for $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$, let us define the Steklov function as

$$f_d(x) = \frac{4}{d^2} \int_0^{d/2} \int_0^{d/2} (2f(x+u+v) - f(x+2u+2v)) dudv.$$

Then,

$$\|f - f_d\| \leq \omega_2(f, d)$$

and

$$\|f_d''\| \leq \frac{9}{d^2} \omega_2(f, d)$$

For f_d , one can verify

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_d, x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^\infty \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^\infty f_d''(t) p_{n+2m_0, k+2}(t) dt \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n(m_0 + n)} \frac{9}{d^2} \omega_2(f, d). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $d = \min \left\{ \frac{2n}{x} + 4n^2 + 6nm_0, 4n(m_0 + n) \right\}^{-1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f, x) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f_d, x) \right| + \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^*(f - f_d, x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n(m_0 + n)} \frac{9}{d^2} \omega_2(f, d) + \min \left\{ \frac{2n}{x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4n^2 + 6nm_0, 4n(m_0 + n) \right\} \omega_2(f, d), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the necessity part of the theorem. (\Leftarrow): Now,

in order to prove the sufficiency part of the theorem, we define the combination of $\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^*$ as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^*(f, x) = a_0(n) \mathcal{L}_{n_0}^*(f, x) + a_1(n) \mathcal{L}_{n_1}^*(f, x),$$

where $|a_0(n)| + |a_1(n)| \leq B$, $n = n_0 < n_1 \leq An$ with A and B as absolute constants having the property

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^*(t^i, x) = x^i, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Using the methods in [9,10] for $f \in C[0, \infty) \cap L_\infty[0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{L}_{n,1}^*(f, x) - f(x) \right| &\leq M\omega_2 \left(f, \sqrt{\delta_n(x)} \right), \delta_n(x) \\ &= \frac{2(m_0x + 1)((n + m_0)x + 1)}{(n - 2m_0)(n - m_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, $0 < h \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{L}_m^*(f, x) - 2\mathcal{L}_m^*(f, x+h) + \mathcal{L}_m^*(f, x+2h) \right| \\ & \leq 4M\omega_2 \left(\mathcal{L}_m^* f, \sqrt{\delta_n(x+2h)} \right) \\ & \quad + \int_0^h \int_0^h \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^* (\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* f, x+u+v) \right| dudv. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now, we shall estimate the second term on the right-hand side of the above inequality. Firstly, we have

$$\left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* (f, x) \right| \leq 4B(An)^{2-\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* (f, x) \right| & \leq B \left(\frac{An}{x} + 6(An)^2 \right)^{(2-\alpha)/2} \\ & \leq MBAn^{(2-\alpha)/2} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{(2-\alpha)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From which, we can write

$$\left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{\alpha/2-1} \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* (f, x) \right| \leq MBAn^{(2-\alpha)/2}.$$

Hence, using the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{\alpha/2-1} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_m^* (\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* f, x) \right| \\ & = \left| \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{\alpha/2-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\int_0^{\infty} \varphi_n(t) dt} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* (f, t) p_{n+2m_0, k+2}(t) dt \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \varphi_{n+m_0}^{(k)}(x) \right| \\ & \leq MBAn^{(2-\alpha)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

After making some arrangements and then taking the integral of both sides of the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^h \int_0^h \left| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_n^* (\mathcal{L}_{n,1}^* f, x+u+v) \right| \\ & \quad dudv \leq MBAn^{(2-\alpha)/2} \int_0^h \int_0^h \left| \frac{1}{x+u+v} \right|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} dudv \\ & \leq MBAn^{(2-\alpha)/2} h^2 \left(\frac{n}{x+2h} \right)^{(2-\alpha)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now, substituting (15) into (14), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{L}_m^*(f, x) - 2\mathcal{L}_m^*(f, x+h) + \mathcal{L}_m^*(f, x+2h) \right| \\ & \leq 4M\omega_2 \left(\mathcal{L}_m^* f, \sqrt{\delta_n(x+2h)} \right) + M_1 h^2 (M_n(x+2h))^{(2-\alpha)}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $M_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}$. Choosing $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{t}{2C} \leq \max \left\{ \sqrt{\delta_n(x+2h)}, M_n(x+2h) \right\} \leq \frac{t}{C},$$

we obtain from (16) by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(\mathcal{L}_m^* f, t) & \leq 4M\omega_2 \left(\mathcal{L}_m^* f, \frac{t}{C} \right) + (2C)^{2-\alpha} M_2 t^\alpha \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \leq t^2 (4M)^k C^{-2k} \left\| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathcal{L}_m^* f \right\| + (2C)^{2-\alpha} M_2 t^\alpha \frac{C^\alpha}{C^\alpha - 4M}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

If we take $C = (1 + 4M)^{1/\alpha}$ and let $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\omega_2(\mathcal{L}_m^* f, t) \leq \frac{1}{C^\alpha - 4M} 4C^2 M_2 t^\alpha$$

which implies that $\omega_2(f, t) = O(t^\alpha)$, where $\frac{1}{C^\alpha - 4M} 4C^2 M_2$ is independent of m . So, the proof is completed. \square

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

ÇA, SKS, and İB equally contributed to the making of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referee for carefully reading the manuscript which contributed to its improvement.

Received: 7 February 2013 Accepted: 3 April 2013

Published: 4 May 2013

References

- Ditzian, Z: On global inverse theorems of Szász and Baskakov operators. *Can. J. Math.* **31**(2), 255–263 (1979)
- Guo, Z-R, Zhou, D-X: Approximation theorems for modified Szász operators. *Acta Sci. Math.* **56**, 311–321 (1992)
- Mazhar, SM, Totik, V: Approximation by modified Szász operators. *Acta Sci. Math.* **49**, 257–269 (1985)
- Ditzian, Z, Totik, V: *Moduli of Smoothness*. Springer, Berlin (1987)
- Baskakov, VA: An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*. **113**, 249–251 (1957). in Russian
- Gupta, V, Sharma, H, Kim, T, Lee, S-H: Properties of q-analogue of Beta operator. *Adv. Difference Equ.* **86**, 16 (2012)
- Gupta, V, Kim, T: On the rate of approximation by q modified beta operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **377**(2), 471–480 (2011)

8. Kim, T: Some formulae for the q -Bernstein polynomials and q -deformed binomial distributions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **14**(5), 917–933 (2012)
9. Becker, M, Nessel, RJ: A global approximation theorem for Meyer-König and Zeller operators. *Math. Z.* **160**, 195–206 (1978)
10. Becker, M: Global approximation theorems for Szász-Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27**, 127–141 (1978)

doi:10.1186/2251-7456-7-21

Cite this article as: Atakut *et al.*: Approximation properties for generalized Baskakov-type operators. *Mathematical Sciences* 2013 **7**:21.

Archive of SID

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
