

Shahriar Savadkoohi (MD)^{*1}
Javad Shokri (MD)¹
Hesam Savadkoohi (MD)²

1- Department of Internal
Medicine, Shahid Beheshti
Hospital, Babol University of
Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran.
2- Department of Internal
Medicine, Islamic Azad
University of Tehran, Tehran,
Iran.

*** Correspondence:**
Shariar Savadkoohi,
Department of Internal
Medicine, Shahid Beheshti
Hospital, Babol University of
Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran.

E-mail: shsavad56@yahoo.com
Tel: 0098 111 2252071-3
Fax: 0098 111 2197667

Received: 18 Jun 2011
Revised: 25 Sep 2011
Accepted: 4 Oct 2011

Evaluation of guidewire cannulation in reduced risk of post - ERCP pancreatitis and facilitated bile duct cannulation

Abstract

Background: Pancreatitis is most common complication of post-ERCP and needs to admission at least for one day. The purpose of this study was to assess the efficacy of guide wire for better common bile duct (CBD) cannulation for reducing post-ERCP pancreatitis.

Methods: From April 2010 through March 2011, the patients who needed ERCP and referred to Shahid Beheshti and Rouhani Teaching Hospital were entered into the study. Guidewire cannulation (65 subjects) as case group and 78 cases with standard cannulation as control group were performed on them randomly. Data from these cases were collected and analyzed.

Results: One hundred eighteen (82.5%) patients were females and 28 (17.5%) were males. The mean age of these patients was 56.5 ± 16.8 years. Post- ERCP pancreatitis rate in guidewire group was 6 (9.2%) and in the standard group was 12 (15.4%) ($p=0.269$). Successful cannulation in these two groups was 67.7% and 67.9%, respectively ($p=0.974$).

Conclusion: The results show that post- ERCP pancreatitis rate in both groups are similar. Other studies with large number of cases are required to confirm our results.

Key words: ERCP, Pancreatitis, Standard, Guidewire.

Caspian J Intern Med 2012; 3(1): 368-371

Acute pancreatitis is the most common complication of post – ERCP and occurred in the expected rate of ERCP pancreatitis ranges from 1%–7% to as high as 12%–31% (1, 2). Post- ERCP pancreatitis is defined as abdominal pain with elevated amylase levels of more than three fold of the upper limit of normal following ERCP and they need admission at least for one night (1). When the abdominal pain is not significant or amylase level is less than three fold, pancreatitis is not considered. Post ERCP – pancreatitis is divided to three stages. In the mild form abdominal pain with hyper- amylasemia of more than three times of normal levels is seen and needs admission of less than 3 days. In the moderate form pancreatitis needs to admission of 4-10 days and in the severe form pancreatitis that need to be admitted for more than 10 days and associated with pseudocyst or needs to intervention as percutaneous drainage or surgery (3).

Many factors may predispose to post- ERCP pancreatitis, such as sphincter of Oddi dysfunction (SOD), young age, normal bilirubin, history of post- ERCP pancreatitis, difficult cannulation, injection to pancreatic duct, pancreatic sphinctrotomy, biliary ballon dilation, precut sphinctrotomy, female gender, acinarization, no CBD stone, low ERCP cases (3). Probable factors cause post- ERCP pancreatitis includes biliary sphinctrotomy, manometry, and normal CBD diameter (4, 5). Several studies were done to find out modality to reduce post ERCP-pancreatitis. Non-steroid anti inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), octreotide and pancreatitis duct stent can reduce post- ERCP pancreatitis (6, 7). Recently, CBD cannulation guided by wire was recommended from some extents (2, 8-10).

This study was designed to evaluate using CBD cannulation with guidewire for reduction of post ERCP-pancreatitis.

Methods

From April 2010 through April 2011, the patients who need ERCP and referred to Shahid Beheshti and Rouhani Teaching Hospital were entered into the study. These patients were randomly divided into two groups. In the first group (78 cases), and in the second group 65 cases were underwent standard cannulation and guidewire cannulation, respectively. Before performing these procedures, abdominal sonography, and liver function tests were done for all cases and in selected cases MRCP and CT scan were done for them.

The patients with no successful cannulation or sphinctrotomy were excluded from this study. This study was approved by the internal medicine group and the local Ethics Committee approved this study. These procedures were explained to all the patients. All cases gave their informed consent. After the procedure, all the patients stayed in the hospital overnight. Those who had abdominal pain, liver function test and serum amylase were assessed. The data were collected and analyzed. T-test was used to compare the rate of pancreatitis in these two groups as well as successful cannulation rates.

Results

One hundred eighteen (82.5%) cases were females and 25 (17.5%) cases were males with mean age of 56.5 ± 16.8 years (ranged 19 to 84 years). The mean age of these two groups were similar ($p=0.547$) (table 1). Icter was seen in 46.2% cases in guidewire group and in 75% patients in standard cannulation group ($p=0.001$).

Successful cannulation was seen in 67.7% of the guidewire group and in 67.9% of the standard cannulation group ($p=0.974$). Standard sphinctrotomy was 67.7% and 67.9% in guidewire and standard cannulation group ($p=0.97$). The other characteristics of the patients in both groups are shown in table 1. Hyperamylasemia was seen in 49.2% cases in guidewire group and in 42.9% in standard cannulation group ($p=0.6$). The patients with post ERCP-pancreatitis in guidewire group and in control group were seen in 6 (9.2%) and in 12 (15.4%) patients ($p=0.269$).

Table 1. Characteristics and ERCP results in these two groups

Variable	Group	GW	SC	pvalue
Sex				
Female		55 (84.6)	63 (80.8)	0.290
Male		10 (15.4)	15 (19.2)	
Age (mean \pm SD)		57.78 \pm 13.87	54.79 \pm 18.84	0.290
Icter		30 (46.2)	59 (75.6)	0.001
Successful deep cannulation		44 (67.7)	53 (67.9)	0.974
Standard sphinctrotomy		44 (67.7)	53 (67.9)	0.974
Precut		21 (32.3)	25 (32.1)	0.974
Hyperamylasemia		32 (49.2)	35 (44.9)	0.603
Pancreatitis		6 (9.2)	12 (15.4)	0.269

GW, guidewire SC, standard cannulation

Discussion

In this study, we found that overall post- ERCP pancreatitis rate was 18 (12.6%). The rate of post- ERCP pancreatitis in wire guidewire group was 9.2% and in standard cannulation group was 15.4%. We found no statistical difference regarding the development of post-ERCP pancreatitis in both groups. Our findings were similar to the report of Everson et al. on 300 cases in Brazil (9). The rates of pancreatitis in their study in guidewire group were 8.6% and in standard cannulation was 16.6%. Pancreatitis in their study was mild compared to 3 of our cases who had moderate to severe pancreatitis. Other studies also showed no significant differences regarding post-ERCP pancreatitis both in meta-analysis and researched studies (8, 11, 12). But in an Italian meta-analysis study showed that the wire-guided technique (83.3%) increased the primary cannulation rate and reduced the risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis compared with the standard contrast-injection method (74.9%) (12). In a study in Greece on 217 patients who underwent ERCP with guidewire cannulation and standard cannulation showed that post ERCP pancreatitis significantly was lower in guidewire cannulation (13). Several other studies which compared post-ERCP pancreatitis following guidewire cannulation versus standard cannulation showed no differences for the development of post-ERCP pancreatitis (2, 6, 9, 14, 15).

Even experts believe that the use of a sphincterotome with guidewire increases the success rate of selective bile duct cannulation in cases that this has not been accomplished

with a standard catheter (16). Park et al. believe that the effect of ERCP depends on high success rates and low complication rates (17). Despite several randomized, controlled trials and meta-analyses that showed a WGC can prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis, conflicting data still exist (18, 19).

This discrepancy in our study might be due to several factors such as low number of our cases, early pre-cut compare a with late pre-cut which were not determined.

In conclusion, the results of our study show that post ERCP –pancreatitis rate in both groups are similar. Other studies with large number of cases are required to confirm our results.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the personnel of the Department of Endoscopy and ERCP for their help.

Conflict of interest: There was no conflict of interest.

References

1. Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335: 909–18.
2. Lee TH, Park do H, Park JY, et al. Can wire – guided cannulation prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis? A prospective randomized trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; 69: 444-9.
3. Freeman ML. Complication of ERCP: prediction, prevention and management. In: Baron TH, Kozarek R, Carr-Locke EDN. ERCP. USA: Sanders Co. 2008; pp: 51-9.
4. Cheng C, Sherman S, Watkins JL, et al. Risk factors for post ERCP pancreatitis: a prospective multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101: 139-47.
5. Vandervort J, Soetikno RM, Tham TC, et al. Risk factor for complications after performance of ERCP. *Gastrointest endosc* 2002; 56: 652-6.
6. Lella F, Bagnolo F, Colombo E, et al. A simple way of avoiding post-ERCP pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; 59: 830-4.
7. Testoni P. Simple measures to prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis? *Gut* 2008; 57: 1197-8.
8. Shao LM, Chen QY, Chen MY, Cai JT. Can wire-guided cannulation reduce the risk of post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; 24: 1710-5.
9. Artifon EL, Sakai P, Cunha JE, et al. Guide wire cannulation reduces risk of post – ERCP pancreatitis and facilitates bile duct cannulation. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; 102: 2147-53.
10. Katsinelos P, Paroutoglou G, Kountoras J, et al. A comparative study of standard ERCP catheter and hydrophilic guide wire in the selective cannulation of the common bile duct. *Endoscopy* 2008; 40: 302-7.
11. Trifan A, Sfarti C, Cretu M, et al. Guide-wire versus conventional contrast cannulation of the common bile duct for the prevention of post-ERCP pancreatitis in patients with choledocholithiasis. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2011; 20: 149-52.
12. Cennamo V, Fuccio L, Zagari RM, et al. Can a wire-guided cannulation technique increase bile duct cannulation rate and prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis? a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; 104: 2343–50.
13. Kouklakis G, Gatopoulou A, Lirantzopoulos N, Efraimidou E, Manolas K. Evaluation of guide wire cannulation technique in elderly patients with choledocholithiasis, *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2009; 18: 185-8.
14. Manes G, Giorgio PD, Repici A, et al. An analysis of the factors associated with the development of complications in pre-cut sphincterotomy: A prospective, controlled, randomized, multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; 104: 2412-17.
15. Cheung J, Tsoi KK, Quan WL, Lau JY, Sung JJ. Guidewire versus conventional contrast cannulation of the common bile duct for the prevention of post-ERCP pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; 70: 1211-9.
16. Karamenolis G, Katsikkani A, Viazis N, et al. Prospective cross-over study using a sphinctrotome and a guide wire to increase the success rate of common bile duct cannulation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11: 1649-52.
17. Park DH, Lee SS, Seo DW, Lee SK, Kim MH. Is the rate of post – ERCP pancreatitis not reduced by guide-wire cannulation? *Endoscopy* 2008; 40: 296-301.
18. Fuccio L, Cennamo V. Wire-guided biliary cannulation should be considered to be standard practice. *Endoscopy* 2010; 42: 239.

19. Nambu T, Ukita T, Shigoka H, Omuta S, Maetani I. Wire-guided selective cannulation of the bile duct with a sphincterotome: a prospective randomized comparative study with the standard method. Scand J Gastroenterol 2011; 46: 109–15.