**Original Article** 

# *In Vitro* Comparison of Microleakage of Two Materials Used as Pit and Fissure Sealants

Zahra Bahrololoomi<sup>1\*</sup> • Aliasghar Soleymani<sup>1</sup> • Zahra Heydari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Pedodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran <sup>2</sup>Dentist, Private Practice, Yazd, Iran \*Corresponding Author; E-mail: Zbahrololoom@yahoo.com

Received: 08 February 2011; accepted: 12 Jun 2011 J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2011; 5(3):83-86 This article is available from: http://dentistry.tbzmed.ac.ir/joddd

© 2011 The Authors; Tabriz University of Medical Sciences

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract

**Background and aims.** Marginal seal of the material is extremely important in fissure sealant therapy. The aim of this study was to investigate microleakage of flowable composite resins and conventional fissure sealants with or without dentin bonding agent.

*Materials and methods.* The occlusal surface of 60 intact extracted human premolars, divided into four groups, were cleaned with pumice/slurry, etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 15 seconds, rinsed and dried. Groups were treated differently: Excite bonding agent followed by Helioseal F fissure sealant in group1; Helioseal F alone in group 2; Excite bonding agent followed by Tetric Flow in group 3; and Tetric Flow alone in group 4. Light-curing was done after each application. After thermocycling, the whole surface of each specimen was coated with nail varnish except for one millimeter around the fissure sealant. The teeth were immersed in 2% basic fuchsin for 24 hours and then sectioned buccolingually. The sections were analyzed for leakage under a stereomicroscope. Data was analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests at a significance level of P < 0.05.

**Results.** There were no statistically significant differences between the study groups in terms of the mean microleakage scores (P > 0.05), except for groups 2 and 4 (P = 0.002) and groups 3 and 4 (P = 0.033).

*Conclusion.* Use of a flowable composite with bonding agent is a good alternative for sealing pits and fissures; however, further *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies are necessary.

Key words: Microleakage, flowable composite, fissure sealant.

#### Introduction

In spite of various prevention methods, dental caries is still highly prevalent all over the world. Fluoridation of drinking water has become one of the most important methods for control of caries in smooth and proximal surfaces.<sup>1</sup> Sealant placement is considered an effective treatment modality for prevention of caries in occlusal pits and fissures.<sup>2</sup> Nevertheless, the preventive benefits of this treatment relies directly upon the ability of the resin sealant to thoroughly fill pits and fissures and/or morphological surface defects and remain completely intact and bonded to enamel for a life time.<sup>3,4</sup>

Adhesive agents have been used as mediating agents between the enamel surfaces and sealants. These materials have been advocated because of their low viscosity properties, which supposedly increase penetrability into occlusal pits and fissures.<sup>5</sup>

Several materials have shown good results when used as sealants for pits and fissures, and flowable composite resin is one of these materials.<sup>2</sup>

The applicability of flowable restorative systems in dentistry has increased, mainly because of their beneficial properties which include low viscosity, low modulus of elasticity and easy handling. Flow-able composite materials have better abrasion resistance and, thus, provide a better retention than a conventional unfilled resin.<sup>6-8</sup>

However, some studies have indicated that increasing viscosity compromises flowable composite penetration into the etched enamel surface, thus, affecting sealing and retention.<sup>2</sup>

The marginal sealing ability of a sealing material is extremely important for success of treatment. Improper sealing can lead to marginal leakage, resulting in progression of caries underneath the restoration. In vitro microleakage studies can predict the marginal integrity of restorative materials.<sup>9,10</sup>

However, there appears to be few research studies comparing microleakage of such materials with that of conventional sealants. Therefore, this study evaluated whether a fissure sealant with a higher percentage of filler content would have less microleakage than a conventional pit and fissure sealant. The purpose of this study was to evaluate microleakage of a conventional resin-based sealant and a flowable composite resin.

## **Materials and Methods**

Sixty intact premolars extracted for orthodontic reasons were included in the study. The teeth were free of cracks, caries and restorations. Periodontal curettes were used for removal of remnants of soft tissues. The teeth were then stored in distilled water.<sup>8,11,20</sup> Prior to the study, the occlusal surfaces of the teeth were cleansed with water/pumice slurry using brushes at low speed. The specimens were randomly divided into four groups (n = 15).

Initially, the specimens were gently air-dried. The enamel was acid-etched using 37% phosphoric acid (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein) for 15 seconds. Air and water sprays were used for 10 seconds to completely rinse the acid and dry the teeth. In group 1, Excite bonding agent (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechten-

stein) was applied on the fissure for 15 seconds using a microbrush. Air spray was used for 5 seconds to evaporate the solvent. A halogen light-curing unit (Arialux; Apadana tak, Tehran, Iran) was used for 20 seconds to cure (500 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) the bonding agent. After placing the bonding agent, Helioseal F (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein) was placed on the fissure and left there for 15 seconds. The sealant was then cured for 20 seconds according to manufacturer's instructions. In group 3, after application of Excite bonding agent. Tetric Flow (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein) was applied on the fissures and light-cured for 20 seconds. The applied material and the experimental conditions in groups 2 and 4 were the same as those in groups 1 and 3, except that Excite bonding agent was not applied in the two latter groups. The teeth were thermocycled 500 times at  $5 \pm 2 / 55$  $\pm$  2°C. The surfaces of the specimens were coated with two layers of nail varnish except for one millimeter around the sealant. The specimens were stored in 2% fuchsin solution for 24 hours, rinsed and then sectioned buccolingually and longitudinally to assess dye penetration under ×25 magnification of a stereomicroscope (Sten SV 11-Zeiss, Germany).

A ranked scale was used to score dye penetration: (0) no dye penetration; (1) dye penetration limited to the outer half of the sealant; (2) leakage up to the inner half of the sealant; (3) dye penetration extending to the underlying fissure.

Data was analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests at a significance level of P < 0.05.

## Results

The present study aimed to comparatively assess the microleakage of teeth sealed with Tetric Flow and Helioseal F with and without the application of Excite bonding agent. Table 1 summarizes and Figure 1 illustrates the results of the present study.

The mean microleakage score in group 4 was higher as compared to those of the other groups. However, there were no statistically significant dif-

Table 1. Dye	e penetration	score for	all	the	groups
--------------	---------------	-----------	-----	-----	--------

	D	ye penetr	ation sco			
Group -	0	1	2	3	Mean ± SD	
1	6	5	3	1	$0.93\pm0.96$	
2	10	4	1	0	$0.40\pm0.63$	
3	6	8	1	0	$0.66\pm0.61$	
4	1	11	3	0	$1.1\pm0.51$	

Kruskal-Wallis test P value = 0.022

Group1: Excite bonding agent followed by Helioseal F fissure sealant; Group 2: Helioseal F alone; Group 3: Excite bonding agent followed by Tetric Flow; Group 4: Tetric Flow alone.



Figure 1. Comparative illustration of the groups. Group I: Excite bonding agent followed by Helioseal F fissure sealant; Group II: Helioseal F alone; Group III: Excite bonding agent followed by Tetric Flow; Group IV: Tetric Flow alone.

ferences between the study groups in terms of the mean microleakage scores (P > 0.05), except for groups 2 and 4 (P = 0.002) and groups 3 and 4 (P = 0.033) (Table 2).

#### Discussion

The present study aimed to comparatively assess microleakage of teeth sealed with Tetric flow and Helioseal F with and without the application of Excite bonding agent.

Fissure sealant therapy was introduced as a method to prevent occlusal caries more than 30 years ago. Since then, fissure sealant application has increased steadily and its effectiveness has been proven in many studies.<sup>12-14</sup> Meticulous application procedures have resulted in high retention rates and high in vitro bond strengths.<sup>15</sup>

Sealant retention and integrity of the enamel– sealant interface determine, to a great extent, the caries reduction ability and effectiveness of a resinfilled fissure sealant.<sup>16</sup>

In vitro microleakage tests carried out with dye penetration technique are considered to be stricter

Table 2. Comparison between groups using Man-Whitney test

Groups	P value		
1 and 2	0.099		
3 and 1	0.53		
4 and 1	0.327		
3 and 2	0.19		
4 and 2	0.002		
4 and 3	0.033		

Group1: Excite bonding agent followed by Helioseal F fissure sealant; Group 2: Helioseal F alone; Group 3: Excite bonding agent followed by Tetric Flow; Group 4: Tetric Flow alone. than those performed in the oral cavity.<sup>17</sup> This is most likely due to several factors such as the dye being more easily diffused than bacteria and their by-products and the fact that buildup of proteins in the marginal opening/gap may improve the seal. On this basis, they are likely to respond even better on a clinical level.<sup>18</sup>

The use of pumice prophylaxis followed by acid etching was chosen in this study because it is adopted by most dentists for application of sealants and is also recommended by the manufacturer.<sup>19</sup>

In this study, all the materials were applied without enameloplasty in order to observe the behavior of these materials without removal of tooth substance.

In an attempt to improve the retention of sealants and decrease microleakage, especially in conditions with unsatisfactory control of moisture, the use of adhesive systems with fissure sealant has been proposed.<sup>20</sup> Therefore, in this study, the association of an adhesive system, Excite, with a flowable composite resin, Helioseal F, was chosen.

The findings of this study indicated that there were no statistically significant differences (P > 0.05) between the materials except in groups 2 and 4 and groups 3 and 4. Therefore, it is recommended that Tetric flow should not be used without a dentin adhesive.

On the basis of the results of the study and considering the importance of saving time in dental management of children, application of a fissure sealant is better than application of a sealant composite.

The superior results of Helioseal F seem to be related to its higher flowability rate, which is consistent with the results of previous studies that demonstrated sealant composites were similar to other conventional sealants and even showed more microleakage.<sup>21,22</sup>

Comparing classical sealants, flowable composite and flowable compomers has revealed that classical sealants show significantly lower microleakage than both flowable composite and flowable compomers.<sup>8</sup> The reasons for greater microleakage are the nature of shrinkage that might affect the quality of sealing and also the use of bonding systems in combination with flowable composite, which might be another crucial step toward influencing the bond quality of sealants, thus affecting microleakage. High-modulus composites generally produce high shrinkage stresses during polymerization.

However, according to the results of a previous study, Tetric Flow sealant resin is superior in sealing deep caries-free fissures.<sup>23</sup> The study results suggested the use of Helioseal F in special clinical situations with high caries risk and for instant fissure preservation in challenging situations for isolation of the tooth. Dental sealing in such conditions is temporary and they should be changed after clinical evaluation has been completed.<sup>23</sup>

Some authors have reported that use of a dentin bonding agent under sealants significantly decreases microleakage.<sup>24,25</sup> These results were not confirmed by this study. Comparison of groups 1 and 2 in the present study showed that application of dentin adhesive is associated with less microleakage but is not significant. These results are similar to the findings of studies by Sirinvasan et al<sup>26</sup> and Dukić and Glavina.<sup>22</sup> However, Sirinvasan et al<sup>26</sup> reported that the use of a bonding agent results in microleakage similar to that in samples restored without a bonding agent.

One of the limitations of this study was the fact that our study was an *in vitro* evaluation and moisture control was easy to achieve. These facts might explain the statistically similar behavior of the tested materials. Therefore, we recommend that similar studies with saliva contamination be performed. Further studies with other flowable restoration systems and different preparations must be carried out. In addition, *in vivo* investigations are necessary. In this context, parameters such as long-term retention and shear bond strength of flowable composite resin sealing must be considered.

#### References

- 1. Newbrun E. Topical fluorides in caries prevention and management: a North American perspective. *J Dent Educ* 2001;65:1078-83.
- Aguilar FG, Drubi-Filho B, Casemiro LA, Watanabe MG, Pires-de-Souza FC. Retention and penetration of a conventional resin-based sealant and a photochromatic flowable composite resin placed on occlusal pits and fissures. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2007;25:169-73.
- 3. Simonsen RJ. Retention and effectiveness of dental sealant after 15 years. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1991;122:34-42.
- Tulunoğlu O, Bodur H, Uçtaşli M, Alaçam A. The effect of bonding agents on the microleakage and bond strength of sealant in primary teeth. *J Oral Rehabil* 1999;26:436-41.
- 5. Marks D, Owens BM, Johnson WW. Effect of adhesive agent and fissure morphology on the *in vitro* microleakage and penetrability of pit and fissure sealants. *Quintessence Int* 2009;40:763-72.
- Garcia-Godoy F, Carranza F. Clinical evaluation of flowrestore used as a fissure sealant. *J Dent Res* 2001;80:200. (Abstract 1319)
- Autio-Gold JT. Clinical evaluation of a medium-filled flowable restorative material as a pit and fissure sealant. *Oper Dent* 2002;27:325-9.
- 8. Chaitra TR, Subba Reddy VV, Devarasa GM, Ravishankar

TL. Flowable resin used as a sealant in molars using conventional, enameloplasty and fissurotomy techniques: an *in vitro* study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2010;28:145-50.

- Corona SA, Borsatto MC, Garcia L, Ramos RP, Palma-Dibb RG. Randomized, controlled trial comparing the retention of a flowable restorative system with a conventional resin sealant: one-year follow up. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2005;15:44-50.
- 10. Pérez-Lajarín L, Cortés-Lillo O, García-Ballesta C, Cózar-Hidalgo A. Marginal microleakage of two fissure sealants: a comparative study. *J Dent Child (Chic)* 2003;70:24-8.
- Pardi V, Sinhoreti MA, Pereira AC, Ambrosano GM, Meneghim Mde C. *In vitro* evaluation of microleakage of different materials used as pit-and-fissure sealants. *Braz Dent J* 2006;17:49-52.
- 12. Locker D, Jokovic A, Kay EJ. Prevention. Part 8: The use of pit and fissure sealants in preventing caries in the permanent dentition of children. *Br Dent J* 2003;195:375-8.
- 13. Papacchini F, Goracci C, Sadek FT, Monticelli F, Garcia-Godoy F, Ferrari M. Microtensile bond strength to ground enamel by glass-ionomers, resin-modified glass-ionomers, and resin composites used as pit and fissure sealants. *J Dent* 2005;33:459-67.
- 14. Ripa LW. Sealants revisted: an update of the effectiveness of pit-and-fissure sealants. *Caries Res* 1993;27:77-82.
- 15. Ansari G, Oloomi K, Eslami B. Microleakage assessment of pit and fissure sealant with and without the use of pumice prophylaxis. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2004;14:272-8.
- 16. Hannig M, Gräfe A, Atalay S, Bott B. Microleakage and SEM evaluation of fissure sealants placed by use of selfetching priming agents. *J Dent* 2004;32:75-81.
- 17. Jacobs MS, Windeler AS. An investigation of dental luting cement solubility as a function of the marginal gap. *J Prosthet Dent* 1991;65:436-42.
- Baldissara P, Comin G, Martone F, Scotti R. Comparative study of the marginal microleakage of six cements in fixed provisional crowns. *J Prosthet Dent* 1998;80:417-22.
- 19. Qadri GW, Noor SN, Mohamad D. Microleakage assessment of a repaired, nano-filled, resin-based fissure sealant. *Pediatr Dent* 2009;31:389-94.
- 20. Youssef MN, Youssef FA, Souza-Zaroni WC, Turbino ML, Vieira MM. Effect of enamel preparation method on *in vitro* marginal microleakage of a flowable composite used as pit and fissure sealant. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2006;16:342-7.
- 21. Kwon HB, Park KT. SEM and microleakage evaluation of 3 flowable composites as sealants without using bonding agents. *Pediatr Dent* 2006;28:48-53.
- 22. Dukić W, Glavina D. [Clinical evaluation of three different materials for fissure sealing after 12 months]. *Acta Med Croatica* 2006;60:209-14. [In Croatian]
- 23. Gillet D, Nancy J, Dupuis V, Dorignac G. Microleakage and penetration depth of three types of materials in fissure sealant: self-etching primer vs etching: an *in vitro* study. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2002;26:175-8.
- 24. Tulunoğlu O, Bodur H, Uçtaşli M, Alaçam A. The effect of bonding agents on the microleakage and bond strength of sealant in primary teeth. *J Oral Rehabil*;26:436-41.
- 25. Borem LM, Feigal RJ. Reducing microleakage of sealants under salivary contamination: digital-image analysis evaluation. *Quintessence Int* 1994;25:283-9.
- Srinivasan V, Deery C, Nugent Z. *In-vitro* microleakage of repaired fissure sealants: a randomized, controlled trial. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2005;15:51-60.