Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 1, N0. 1, (2006), 1-9

A Look at $P(X > Y)$ in the Binomial Case

J. Behboodian

Islamic Azad University - Shiraz Branch

Abstract: In this article we consider $P(X > Y)$ for two independent random variables $X \sim B(n + m, p_1)$ and $Y \sim B(n, p_2)$. This is a useful measure in biomedical studies and engineering reliability. The calculation of this probability is discussed by using a combinatorial identity and the approximate value of that is given when n is large. Finally some special cases are discussed.

AMS Subject Classification: 62F10.

Keywords and Phrases: Binomial variable, combinatorial identities, conditional probability, central limit theorem.

1. Introduction

A Look at $P(X > Y)$ in the Binomial Case
 J. Behboodian

Islamic Azad University - Shiraz Branch
 Abstract: In this article we consider $P(X > Y)$ for two inde-

pendent random variables $X \sim B(n, n, \hat{p}_1)$ and $\overline{Y} \sim B(n$ There are some interesting problems in probability and statistics regarding two independent random variables X and Y . One of them is about the exact value, or parametric estimate, or non-parametric estimate of $P(X > Y)$. This is a useful measure, for example, in biomedical studies where X represents the result of an old treatment and Y the result of a new treatment. This probability is also useful for measuring the reliability of engineering systems ([6; pp 27-30]).

Suppose that f and F are the density and distribution of X , respectively and g and G of Y . Since X and Y are independent, conditioning on Y , we have easily

$$
P(X > Y) = 1 - P(X \le Y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(z)g(z)dz & \text{(continuous case)}\\ 1 - \sum_{z} F(z)g(z) & \text{(discrete case)} \end{cases}
$$

For example, if $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ are independent, then

$$
P(X > Y) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\sigma\sqrt{2}}\right),
$$

where Φ is the standard normal distribution. As another example, if $X \sim Exp(\theta_1)$ and $Y \sim Exp(\theta_2)$ are independent, then

$$
P(X > Y) = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}.
$$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{aligned} P(X > Y) &= 1 - P(X \leqslant Y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(z) g(z) dz & \text{(continuous case} \\ 1 - \sum\limits_{z}^{\infty} F(z) g(z) & \text{(discrete case)} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{For example, if } X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2) \text{ and } Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2) \text{ are independent} \\ \text{then} \\ P(X > Y) &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\sigma \sqrt{2}}\right), \\ \text{where } \Phi \text{ is the standard normal distribution. As another$ However, when X and Y are discrete, the calculation of $P(X > Y)$ is not always straightforward or the result is not so simple. The purpose of this article is to study $P(X > Y)$ when $X \sim B(n + m, p_1)$ and $Y \sim B(n, p_2)$ are independent.

In Section 2, a simple example is given to show the method of calculation. In Section 3, a general case is considered and the complexity of the problem is discussed. In Section 4, an approximate value for $P(X > Y)$ is suggested when n is large by using the Bernoulli representation of X and Y and the Central Limit Theorem.

2. A Simple Example

We first look at the following simple example before we discuss about the general case.

Example 1. Let $X \sim B$ ¡ $4, \frac{1}{2}$ $\overline{2}$ ¢ and $Y \sim B$ ¡ $3, \frac{1}{2}$ $\overline{2}$ ¢ be two independent Bernoulli variables. We can easily find the joint probability table of X and Y as follows:

the general case.
\nExample 1. Let
$$
X \sim B(4, \frac{1}{2})
$$
 and $Y \sim B(3, \frac{1}{2})$ be two independent
\nBernoulli variables. We can easily find the joint probability table of 2
\nand Y as follows:
\n $y \times 0$ 1 2 3 4 $P(Y = y)$
\n 0 1 $\frac{1}{138}$ $\frac{12}{128}$ $\frac{12}{188}$ $\frac{12}{188}$ $\frac{1}{128}$
\n1 $\frac{1}{138}$ $\frac{12}{128}$ $\frac{12}{188}$ $\frac{128}{128}$ $\frac{128}{128}$ $\frac{128}{128}$
\n2 $\frac{3}{128}$ $\frac{128}{128}$ $\frac{128}{168}$ $\frac{128}{168}$ $\frac{128}{168}$ $\frac{128}{168}$
\n $P(X = x)$ $\frac{1}{16}$ $\frac{4}{16}$ $\frac{8}{16}$ $\frac{4}{16}$ $\frac{1}{16}$ $\frac{1}{16}$
\nUsing this table, we find all the events for which $X > Y$ and the
\nprobabilities (marked by *). For example, $P(X = 3, Y = 1) = 12/128$
\nTherefore, we obtain
\n $P(X > Y) = \frac{4^*}{128} + \frac{6^*}{128} + ... + \frac{1^*}{128} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Using this table, we find all the events for which $X > Y$ and their probabilities (marked by *). For example, $P(X = 3, Y = 1) = 12/128$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
P(X > Y) = \frac{4^*}{128} + \frac{6^*}{128} + \dots + \frac{1^*}{128} = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Actually, we have

$$
P(X > Y) = \sum_{y=0}^{3} \sum_{x=1}^{4-y} P(Y = y, X = y + x)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{y=0}^{3} \sum_{x=1}^{4-y} \binom{3}{y} \binom{4}{y+x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^7 = \frac{1}{2}
$$

In Section 3 we find a general formula for $P(X > Y)$.

3. A General Case

Let $X \sim B(n + m, p_1)$ and $Y \sim B(n, p_2)$ be two independent binomial random variables. Following the pattern of the above simple example, we obtain:

Let
$$
X \sim B(n + m, p_1)
$$
 and $Y \sim B(n, p_2)$ be two independent binomiz
\nrandom variables. Following the pattern of the above simple example
\nwe obtain:
\n
$$
P(X > Y) = \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n-y} P(Y = y, X = y + x)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n-y} P(Y = y)P(X = y + x)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n-y} \binom{n}{y} p_2^y q_2^{n-y} \binom{m+n}{y+x} p_1^{y+x} q_1^{m+n-y-x}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n-y} \binom{n}{y} \binom{m+n}{y+x} p_2^y q_2^{n-y} p_1^{y+x} q_1^{m+n-y-x}
$$
\nThis double sum is too complicated and it cannot be simplified. W
\nconsider some special cases.
\n(I) For $p_1 = q_1 = p_2 = q_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, we have:
\n
$$
P(X > Y) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n+m} \sum_{x=1}^{n} \sum_{y=0}^{m+n-y} \binom{n}{y} \binom{m+n}{y+x}
$$

This double sum is too complicated and it cannot be simplified. We consider some special cases.

(1) For
$$
p_1 = q_1 = p_2 = q_2 = \frac{1}{2}
$$
, we have:
\n
$$
P(X > Y) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n+m} \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n-y} {n \choose y} {m+n \choose y+x}
$$

Here, we can reduce the double sum to a single sum. For this purpose,

we use the fact that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}N\\k\end{array}\right)=0\qquad \qquad ;\qquad \qquad k>N
$$

and we write

$$
\sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n-y} \binom{n}{y} \binom{m+n}{y+x} = \sum_{y=0}^{n} \sum_{x=1}^{m+n} \binom{n}{y} \binom{m+n}{y+x}.
$$

Now, we are able to interchange the summation signs and to have

$$
\sum_{x=1}^{m+n} \sum_{y=0}^{n} \binom{n}{y} \binom{m+n}{y+x}
$$

.

Next,we use the following combinatorial identity Number (10), given in

[5], page 217:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{M} \binom{M}{K} \binom{N}{R+K} = \binom{M+N}{M+R}.
$$

Now, we are able to interchange the summation signs and to have
 $\sum_{x=1}^{m+n} \sum_{y=0}^{n} {n \choose y} {m+n \choose y+x}$.

Next, we use the following combinatorial identity Number (10), given is

[5], page 217:
 $\sum_{k=0}^{M} {M \choose K} {n \choose R+K} = {$ This identity can be proved easily by the usual box-and-balls argument if we replace $\begin{pmatrix} M \\ N \end{pmatrix}$ K \int by $\int M$ $M - K$ \mathbb{R}^+ . Thus, we have: $P(X > Y) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ 2 $\sqrt{2n+m}$ $m+n$ $x=1$ \overline{a} $2n + m$ $n + x$ \mathbf{r} .

(II) It is interesting to observe that for $m=1$ and any integer $n\geqslant 1$, we have $P(X > Y) = \frac{1}{2}$. This follows from the two identities

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} N \\ K \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} N \\ N-K \end{array}\right) , \quad \sum_{K=0}^{N} \left(\begin{array}{c} N \\ K \end{array}\right) = 2^{N}
$$

and the fact that

$$
\sum_{x=1}^{n+1} {2n+1 \choose n+x} = {2n+1 \choose n+1} + {2n+1 \choose n+2} + \dots + {2n+1 \choose 2n+1}
$$

=
$$
{2n+1 \choose n} + {2n+1 \choose n-1} + \dots + {2n+1 \choose 0}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2} (2^{2n+1}) = 2^{2n}.
$$

You could obtain this result by looking at the $(2n+1)$ th row of a Pascal Triangle. For $m = 2$ and $m = 3$ some rather simple results are obtained by an argument similar to the case $m = 1$.

4. Approximation of $P(X > Y)$

4. Approximation of $P(X > Y)$

As we discussed in Section 3, we cannot simplify $P(X > Y)$ in a generation

case. However, we can find an approximate value for this probability

when *n* is large.

For this purpose we first As we discussed in Section 3, we cannot simplify $P(X > Y)$ in a general case. However, we can find an approximate value for this probability when n is large.

For this purpose we first consider the Bernoulli representation of X and Y . Then we apply conditional probability and the Central Limit Theorem.

It is well known that the independent random variables $X \sim B(n + \frac{1}{2})$ m, p₁) and $Y \sim B(n, p_2)$ can be expressed in the following way:

$$
Y = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n + X_{n+1} + \dots + X_{n+m}
$$

$$
Y = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n,
$$

where $X_1, ..., X_{n+m}$ are independent Bernoulli variables with success probability p_1 and $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ are independent Bernoulli variables with success probability p_2 ; X_i 's are independent from Y_j 's.

Now, let $U = X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n$ and $W = X_{n+1} + X_{n+2} + ... + X_{n+m}$.

A LOOK AT $P(X > Y)$ IN THE BINOMIAL CASE 7

It is clear that $U \sim B(n, p_1)$, $W \sim B(m, p_1)$, and $Y \sim B(n, p_2)$ are independent with $X = U + W$. We observe that

$$
P(X > Y) = P(U + W > Y) = P(Y - U < W)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{m} P(Y - U < W|W = k)P(W = k)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{m} P(Y - U < k)P(W = k)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{m} P(Y - U < k) \binom{m}{k} p_1^k q_1^{m-k}.
$$

\nUsing the above Bernoulli representations, we can write
\n
$$
Y - U = (Y_1 - X_1) + (Y_2 - X_2) + ... + (Y_n - X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i,
$$

\nwhere $V_1, V_2, ..., V_n$ are independent and identically distributed as
\n
$$
V = v \qquad -1 \qquad 0 \qquad 1
$$

\n
$$
P(V = v) \qquad p_1 q_2 \qquad p_1 p_2 + q_1 q_2 \qquad p_2 q_1
$$

\nwith $E(V) = p_2 q_1 - p_1 q_2 = a$ and $Var(V) = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 = b$. Now, b
\nthe Central Limit Theorem an approximate value for $P(Y - U < k)$ ca
\nbe computed as follows:

Using the above Bernoulli representations, we can write

$$
Y - U = (Y_1 - X_1) + (Y_2 - X_2) + \ldots + (Y_n - X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i,
$$

where $V_1, V_2, ..., V_n$ are independent and identically distributed as

$$
V = v - 1 \t 0 \t 1
$$

 $P(V = v)$ 0 p_1q_2 0 $p_1p_2 + q_1q_2$ 0 p_2q_1

with $E(V) = p_2q_1 - p_1q_2 = a$ and $Var(V) = p_1q_1 + p_2q_2 = b$. Now, by the Central Limit Theorem an approximate value for $P(Y-U < k)$ can be computed as follows:

$$
P(Y - U < k) \approx P(Y - U \le k - 0.5)
$$
\n
$$
= P\left(\frac{Y - U - na}{\sqrt{nb}} \le \frac{k - 0.5 - na}{\sqrt{nb}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\approx P\left(Z \le \frac{k - 0.5 - na}{\sqrt{nb}}\right)
$$

$$
= \Phi\left(\frac{k-0.5-na}{\sqrt{nb}}\right) = h(k; a, b),
$$

where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ has distribution Φ . Thus, we have:

$$
P(X > Y) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{m} h(k, a, b) \binom{m}{k} p_1^k q_1^{m-k}
$$

The exact value of the probability, for $m = 1$ and $n \geq 1$, and independent $X \sim B(n+1, p)$ and $Y = B(n, p)$ is

$$
P(X > Y) = qP(Y - U < 0) + pP(Y - U < 1)
$$

= $qP(Y - U < 0) + p[1 - P(Y - U < 0)]$
= $p + (q - p)P(Y - U < 0) < \frac{1}{2}$

 $P(X > Y) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{m} h(k, a, b) \binom{m}{k} p_1^k q_1^{m-k}$

The exact value of the probability, for $m = 1$ and $n \ge 1$, and

independent $X \sim B(n + 1, p)$ and $Y = B(n, p)$ is
 $P(X > Y) = qP(Y - U < 0) + pP(Y - U < 1)$
 $= qP(Y - U < 0) + p[1 - P(Y - U < 0)]$
 $= p + (q - p$ This follows from the fact that $Y - U$, i.e., the difference of two independent random variables Y and U with common distribution $B(n, p)$, is symmetric about zero with positive probabilities at $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., \pm n$. For $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $P(X > Y) = \frac{1}{2}$. This is the same answer we obtained in Section 3 by a combinatorial analysis.

It may be useful to observe that for two independent binomial variables $Y \sim B(n_1, p_1)$ and $U \sim B(n_2, p_2)$, the probability function of $Y - U$ with $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ $rac{1}{2}$ is

$$
P(Y - U = k) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_1 + n_2} \left(\begin{array}{c} n_1 + n_2 \\ n_2 + k \end{array}\right), \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., \pm n.
$$

that $Y + n_2 - U$ is the sum of two independent binomial variables wit

distributions $B(n_1, \frac{1}{2})$ and $B(n_2, \frac{1}{2})$. Now, $P(Y - U = k) = P(Y - U - n_2 = k + n_2)$ gives the result. Of course, for a general case, we cannot

find a simpl For obtaining this probability function, it is easy to show that $Y U + n_2$ has binomial distribution $B(n_1 + n_2, \frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2})$. This can be proved by using the moment generating function of $Y - U + n_2$ or the fact that $Y + n₂ - U$ is the sum of two independent binomial variables with distributions $B(n_1, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$) and $B(n_2, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$). Now, $P(Y - U = k) = P(Y - U +$ $n_2 = k + n_2$) gives the result. Of course, for a general case, we cannot find a simple expression $([1; p 55]).$

References

- [1] N. L. Johnson and S. Kotz, Discrete distributions, Haughton Mifflin Company, 1969.
- [2] M. S. Klamkin, A probability of more heads, Mathematics Magazine, 44 (1971), 146-149.
- [3] M. S. Klamkin, Symmetry in probability distributions, Mathematics Magazine, 61 (1988), 193-194.
- [4] S. M. Ross, A first course in probability, 6th edition, Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [5] A. Tucker, Applied combinatorics, 3rd edition, John Wiley, 1995.
- [6]D. A. Wolfe, and R. V. Hogg, Constructing statistics and reporting data, The American Statistician, (1971), 27-30.

Javad Behboodian

Department of Mathematics Islamic Azad University - Shiraz Branch Shiraz, Iran Email: Behboodian@stat.susc.ac.ir