Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 1, NO. 2, (2007), 97-104

The Maximal Ideal Space of $C(K, \mathcal{A})$

M. H. Shirdarreh Haghighi Shiraz University

Abstract. Let $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ denote the space of all continuous \mathcal{A} -valued functions on the compact Hausdorff space K, where \mathcal{A} is a commutative Banach algebra. In this paper we show that the maximal ideal space of $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ can be identified with $K \times \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} denotes the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A} .

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 46J20; Secondary 46 J10.

Keywords and Phrases: Banach algebra, maximal ideal space, vector valued continuous function.

1. Introduction

The most important problem concerning commutative Banach algebras is characterizing its maximal ideal space. Though many commutative Banach algebras, including C(X) for a compact Hausdorff space X and many function algebras, have a known maximal ideal space, there are many important commutative Banach algebras including H^{∞} for which the topological properties of their maximal ideal spaces are not fully understood [1].

M. H. SHIRDARREH HAGHIGHI

Here we show that the maximal space of the algebra of all continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space into a Banach algebra has a simple characterization.

Let K be an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{A} be a Banach space. Denote by $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ the space of all continuous \mathcal{A} -valued functions defined on K equipped with the norm

$$||f|| = \sup_{k \in K} ||f(k)||_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ will be a Banach space and if \mathcal{A} is a commutative Banach algebra, then $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ is a commutative Banach algebra. In this case, we shall show that the maximal ideal space of $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ can be identified with $K \times \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} denotes the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A} equipped with the weak^{*} topology. We remind that \mathcal{A} need not be unital.

2. Main Results

The following representation theorem is due to Singer [4]. For a nice proof see Hensgen [3].

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach space. The dual $C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$ of $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ can be identified with $M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$, the space of all regular Borel \mathcal{A}^* -valued measures on K having finite variation. The action of an element $\Phi \in$ $C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$ corresponding to $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$ on an element $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$

98

is then given by

$$\Phi(g) = \int_K \langle g(k), \ dF(k) \rangle.$$

Note that for an element $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$ and $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$, $d\mu_g = \langle g, dF \rangle$ defines a regular Borel measure on K.

Let M(K) denote the space of all regular Borel measures on K. Obviously, each element $\mu \times \varphi \in M(K) \times \mathcal{A}^*$ is an element of $C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$ acting on an element $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ as

$$\mu imes \varphi(g) = \int_{K} \varphi(g(k)) d\mu.$$

Now if $\mu = \delta_{k_0}$ is a point mass measure at some point $k_0 \in K$, then the action of $\Phi = \mu \times \varphi$ simply becomes

$$\mu \times \varphi(g) = \varphi(g(k_0)). \tag{1}$$

In this case we say that Φ is supported at the single point k_0 . Looking at (1) reveals that if $f \in C(K)$, then

$$\Phi(fg) \in \operatorname{Im}(f),\tag{2}$$

for all $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ with $\Phi(g) = 1$. In other words if $\Phi(g) = 1$, then the measure ν defined on C(K) by $\nu(f) = \int_K f(k) < g(k), \ dF(k) > has the property$

$$\int_{K} f(k)d\nu \in \operatorname{Im}(f), \text{ for all } f \in C(K),$$

www.SID.ir

and such measures are supported at a single point by Lemma 2.5 of [2].

In fact, as the following lemma shows, the converse is also true, i. e. if an element $\Phi \in C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$ satisfies (2) for all $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ with $\Phi(g) = 1$, then Φ is supported at a single point.

Lemma 2. Let $\Phi \in C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$ satisfy

100

$$\Phi(fg)\in Im\left(f\right)$$

for every $f \in C(K)$ and $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ with $\Phi(g) = 1$. Then Φ is supported by a single point.

Proof. There exists $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$ such that for all $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$,

$$\Phi(g) = \int_K \langle g(k), \ dF(k) \rangle \,.$$

Choose $g_0 \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ with $\Phi(g_0) = 1$. Then for every $f \in C(K)$ we have

$$\int_{K} f(k) < g_0(k), dF(k) > \in \text{Im} (f).$$

By Lemma 2.5 of [2], the measure $\langle g_0, dF \rangle$ is supported by a single point say $k_{g_0} = k_0$ in K. Thus the relation

$$\int_{K} f(k) < g_0(k), dF(k) > = f(k_0)$$
(3)

holds for all $f \in C(K)$. To show that k_0 is independent of g_0 let $g_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\Phi(g_1) = 1$. Hence $\Phi(g_2) = 1$, where $g_2 = (g_0 + g_1)/2$. Suppose the measures $\langle g_1, dF \rangle$ and $\langle g_2, dF \rangle$ are supported by k_1 and k_2 , respectively. Therefore (3) implies that $f(k_2) = \frac{f(k_0)+f(k_1)}{2}$ for all $f \in C(K)$. Consequently $k_0 = k_1 = k_2$. In general we have

$$\Phi(g) = \langle g(k_0), F(k_0) \rangle = F(k_0)(g(k_0))$$
(4)

101

for every $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ and for some $k_0 \in K$.

Theorem 3. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let A be a commutative Banach algebra with maximal ideal space \mathcal{M} . Then the maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})}$ of $C(K,\mathcal{A})$ can be identified with the space $K \times \mathcal{M}$. The action of an element $(k,\varphi) \in K \times \mathcal{M}$ on an element $g \in C(K,\mathcal{A})$ is given by $g \mapsto \varphi(g(k))$.

Proof. Let Φ be a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on $C(K, \mathcal{A})$. Fix $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ with $\Phi(g) = 1$. Then $\Phi(f_1f_2g) = \Phi(f_1g)\Phi(f_2g)$ for every $f_1, f_2 \in C(K)$. In this way Φ defines a multiplicative linear functional on C(K), and because the maximal ideal space of C(K) is K we have $\Phi(fg) \in \text{Im}(f), f \in C(K)$. By Lemma 2 we see that Φ is supported by a single point k_0 . Now if Φ is represented by $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$, then by relation (4),

$$\Phi(g) = F(k_0)(g(k_0)), \text{ for all } g \in C(K, \mathcal{A}).$$

Since Φ is not identically zero, $F(k_0)$ would also be nonzero and by letting g vary in constant functions, it follows that $F(k_0) \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, we have the identification Λ : $\Phi \mapsto (k_0, F(k_0))$ from $\mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})} \to K \times \mathcal{M}$.

102

We now prove that this identification is unique. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})}$ corresponds to two elements (k_1, φ_1) and (k_2, φ_2) in $K \times \mathcal{M}$, then for all $f \in C(K,\mathcal{A})$ we have $\Phi(f) = \varphi_1(f(k_1)) = \varphi_2(f(k_2))$. Letting fbe a constant function we have $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$. Choose $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi_1(x) \neq 0$ and if $k_1 \neq k_2$ choose $f \in C(K)$ such that $f(k_1) = 0$ and $f(k_2) = 1$. Then $\Phi(fx) = \varphi_1(f(k_1)x) = 0$ and $\Phi(fx) = \varphi_2(f(k_2)x) \neq 0$. This contradiction shows that $k_1 = k_2$. Hence the identification Λ is well-defined.

It is clear that Λ is one to one. On the other hand each $(k, \varphi) \in K \times \mathcal{M}$ induces an element $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})}$ acting as $\Phi(f) = \varphi(f(k))$ and as above Φ is identified with (k, φ) . Hence the identification Λ is onto.

Now we prove that Λ and Λ^{-1} are continuous. If \mathcal{A} is assumed to be unital, the continuity of Λ implies that of Λ^{-1} , since $\mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})}$ is compact in this case.

Let $\Phi_{\alpha} \to \Phi$ weak * in the space $\mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})}$ and let Φ_{α} correspond to $F_{\alpha} \in M(K,\mathcal{A}^*)$ and Φ to $F \in M(K,\mathcal{A}^*)$. Then there are $k_0, k_{\alpha} \in K$ such that $\Lambda \Phi_{\alpha} = (k_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}(k_{\alpha}))$ and $\Lambda \Phi = (k_0, F(k_0))$. Thus, for all $g \in C(K,\mathcal{A}), F(k_{\alpha})(g(k_{\alpha})) \to F(k_0)(g(k_0))$. Again letting g vary in constant functions implies that $F_{\alpha}(k_{\alpha}) \to F(k)$ weak * in \mathcal{M} . Now for

103

an element $g_0 \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ with $\Phi(g_0) = 1$ and for all $f \in C(K)$,

$$\int_{K} f(k) < g_0(k), dF_\alpha(k) > \longrightarrow \int_{K} f(k) < g_0(k_0), dF(k) > \dots$$

This shows that the measures $\langle g_0, dF_\alpha \rangle$ converge weak * in M(K)to the measure $\langle g_0, dF \rangle$ which is just the point mass at k_0 . Also for each α the measure $\langle g_0, dF_\alpha \rangle$ is zero or is supported at the point k_α . In each case there exists a complex number a_α such that $\langle g_0, dF_\alpha \rangle =$ $a_\alpha d\delta_{k_\alpha}$. Thus for all $f \in C(K)$ we have $a_\alpha f(k_\alpha) \to f(k_0)$ from which we easily conclude that $k_\alpha \to k_0$ in K. Therefore $(k_\alpha, F(k_\alpha)) \to (k_0, F(k_0))$ in $K \times \mathcal{M}$ and this implies the continuity of Λ .

Conversely, let $(k_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}) \to (k, \varphi)$ in $K \times \mathcal{M}$ and $\Phi_{\alpha} = \Lambda^{-1}(k_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha})$, $\Phi = \Lambda^{-1}(k, \varphi)$. Then for a fixed $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_{\alpha}(f) - \Phi(f)| &= |\varphi_{\alpha}(f(k_{\alpha}) - \varphi(f(k)))| \\ &\leqslant |\varphi_{\alpha}(f(k_{\alpha})) - \varphi_{\alpha}(f(k))| + |\varphi_{\alpha}(f(k)) - \varphi(f(k))| \\ &\leqslant ||f(k_{\alpha}) - f(k)|| + |\varphi_{\alpha}(f(k)) - \varphi(f(k))| \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the above inequality converges to zero by noting that f is continuous, $k_{\alpha} \to k$ and $\varphi_{\alpha} \to \varphi$ weak * in \mathcal{M} . This shows that $\Phi_{\alpha} \to \Phi$ weak * in $\mathcal{M}_{C(K,\mathcal{A})}$ and this implies the continuity of Λ^{-1} . \Box

References

 A. Brudyi, Topology of the maximal ideal space of H[∞], Journal of Functional Analysis, 189 (2002), 21-52.

M. H. SHIRDARREH HAGHIGHI

- [2] F. Ershad and K. Seddighi, Multiplicative linear functionals in a commutative Banach algebra, *Arch. Math.*, 65 (1995), 71-79.
- [3] W. Hensgen, A simple proof of Singer's representation theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 3211-3212.
- [4] I. Singer, Linear functionals on the space of continuous mappings of a compact set into a Banach space, *Revue Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 2 (1957), 301-315.

Mohammad Hassan Shirdarreh Haghighi

120

Department of Mathematics College of Sciences Shiraz University Shiraz 71454, IRAN E-mail: shirdareh@susc.ac.ir

rchi

104