

## P-Dense Submodules

H. Khabazian and S. Safaeeyan  
Isfahan University of Technology

**Abstract.** Let  $M$  and  $P$  be right  $R$ -modules. A submodule  $K$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $P$ -dense if for each  $m \in M$ ,  $(K : m)$  is a  $P$ -faithful right ideal of  $R$ .  $P_R$  is nonsingular if and only if, for each  $R$ -module  $M$ , every essential submodule of  $M$  is a  $P$ -dense submodule. For any  $R$ -module  $M$ , we obtain  $P$ -rational extension of  $M$  and equivalent condition in order that  $M$  is equal with its  $P$ -rational extension is found. An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called right Kasch if every simple  $R$ -module can be embedded in  $P$ . Finally, we give some equivalent conditions for an  $R$ -module  $P$  to be right Kasch.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 16W80.

**Keywords and Phrases:** P-dense submodule and right Kasch module.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with non-zero identity and modules are unitary right module. For any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $E(M)$  is injective hull of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set of right ideals of  $R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ .  $K$  is called  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $M$ , if for each  $m \in M$ ,  $(K : m) \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $K$  denoted by  $K \subseteq_{\mathcal{A}} M$ . Let  $P$  be a right

$R$ -module and  $I$  be a right ideal of  $R$ .  $I$  is called  $P$ -faithful, if

$$\text{ann}_P(I) = \{p \in P : pI = 0\} = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all  $P$ -faithful right ideals of  $R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ . If  $K \subseteq_{\mathcal{A}} M$ , then  $K$  is called a  $P$ -dense submodule. Dense submodules have been investigated by several authors some of their recent work are cited in the reference. If  $K$  is a  $P$ -dense submodule of  $M$ , then we denote it by  $K \subseteq_P M$ . In Section 2, we study properties of  $P$ -dense submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Equivalent conditions are given for a submodule to be  $P$ -dense are found 2.4. and show that  $P$  is a nonsingular  $R$ -module if and only if for each right  $R$ -module  $M$ , its essential submodules are  $P$ -dense submodules. In Section 3, we study modules are called right Kasch  $R$ -modules.

## 2. P-Dense Submodules

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $P$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $K$  is a  $P$ -dense submodule of  $M$ .
- (ii) For each  $m \in M$  and non-zero element  $p \in P$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $pr \neq 0$  and  $mr \in K$ .

**proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $m \in M$  and  $0 \neq p \in P$ . By (i),  $(K : m)$  is  $P$ -faithful, then  $p(K : m) \neq 0$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $m \in M$  and  $p \in P$  such that  $p(K : m) = 0$ . If  $p \neq 0$ , by (ii), there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $pr \neq 0$  and  $mr \in K$ . Thus  $p(K : m) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 2.2.** If  $K$  is a dense submodule of a right module  $M$  over a ring  $R$ , then  $K$  is  $M$ -dense.

**Example 2.3.** Every essential submodule of a  $Z$ -module is  $Z$ -dense.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $M$  and  $P$  be  $R$ -modules and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ . The following are equivalent.

(i)  $K \subseteq_P M$ .

(ii)  $\text{Hom}_R(M/K, E(P)) = 0$ .

(iii) For any submodule  $Q$  of  $M$  such that  $K \subseteq Q \subseteq M$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(Q/K, P) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, E(P))$  be such that  $f(K) = 0$ . If  $f \neq 0$ , then  $f(m) \neq 0$  for some non-zero element  $m \in M$ . Since  $P \subseteq_{\text{ess}} E(P)$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq f(mr) = f(m)r \in P$ . Since  $K \subseteq_P M$ , there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $f(mr)s = f(mrs) \neq 0$  and  $mrs \in K$ , a contradiction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that, for some  $Q$  as in (iii), there exists a non-zero  $R$ -homomorphism  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(Q, P)$  such that  $g(K) = 0$ . Since  $E(P)$  is an injective  $R$ -module, then  $g$  can be extended to  $\bar{g} \in \text{Hom}_R(M, E(P))$ .

Since  $\bar{g}(K) = g(K) = 0$ , by (ii),  $\bar{g} = 0$ , a contradiction.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $p(K : m) = 0$  for some  $m \in M$  and  $p \in P \setminus \{0\}$ .

We define  $f : K + mR \rightarrow P$  by

$$f(k + mr) = pr \quad (k \in K, r \in R).$$

This map is well-defined, for, if  $k + mr = \acute{k} + m\acute{r}$ , then  $(k - \acute{k}) = m(\acute{r} - r) \in K$ . Hence  $p(\acute{r} - r) = 0$ . Clearly,  $f$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism vanishing on  $K$ . So by (iii),  $0 = f(m) = p$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $M$  and  $P$  be  $R$ -modules and  $K$  and  $L$  be submodules of  $M$ . Then*

(i) *If  $K \subseteq_P M$ ,  $L \subseteq_P M$ , then  $K \cap L \subseteq_P M$ .*

(ii) *Let  $L \subseteq K \subseteq M$ . Then  $L \subseteq_P M$  if and only if  $L \subseteq_P K$  and  $K \subseteq_P M$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $m \in M$  and  $p \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $K \subseteq_P M$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $pr \neq 0$  and  $mr \in K$ . Since  $L \subseteq_P M$ , there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $prs \neq 0$  and  $mrs \in L$ . Thus  $prs \neq 0$  and  $mrs \in K \cap L$ .

(ii) It is sufficient to prove the "if" part. Assume that  $L \subseteq_P K$  and  $K \subseteq_P M$ . Let  $m \in M$  and  $p \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . There exists  $r \in R$  such that  $pr \neq 0$  and  $mr \in K$  since  $K \subseteq_P M$ . Since  $L \subseteq_P K$ , there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $prs \neq 0$  and  $mrs \in L$ .  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a right module over a ring  $R$ . An element  $m \in M$  is said

to be singular element of  $M$  if the right ideal  $\text{ann}_r(m)$  is essential in  $R_R$ . The set of all singular elements of  $M$  is denoted by  $Z(M)$ . The right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called nonsingular module, if  $Z(M) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *For any  $R$ -module  $P$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $P$  is a nonsingular  $R$ -module.
- (ii) Every essential submodule of any  $R$ -module  $M$  is a  $P$ -dense submodule.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $K \subseteq_{\text{ess}} M$ . Let  $m \in M$  and  $p \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $K$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ , then  $(K : m) \subseteq_{\text{ess}} R$ . If  $p(K : m) = 0$ , then  $(K : m) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(p) \subseteq R$ . Since  $(K : m) \subseteq_{\text{ess}} R$ , then  $\text{ann}_r(p) \subseteq_{\text{ess}} R$  and hence  $p \in Z(P)$ , a contradiction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $p \in Z(P)$ . Then  $\text{ann}_r(p) \subseteq_{\text{ess}} R$ . By (ii),  $\text{ann}_r(p) \subseteq_P R$ . If  $p \neq 0$ , then there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $pr \neq 0$  and  $1.r \in \text{ann}_r(p)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 2.7.** *For two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $P$ , we define*

$$\tilde{E}_P(M) = \{x \in E(M) : \forall f \in \text{Hom}_R(E(M), E(P)), f(M) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = 0\}.$$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $P$  and  $M$  be  $R$ -modules and  $N$  be any submodule of  $E(M)$  containing  $M$ . Then  $M \subseteq_P N$  if and only if  $N \subseteq \tilde{E}_P(M)$ .*

**Proof.** For the "if" part, it suffices to show that  $\text{Hom}_R(N/M, E(P)) =$

0. Assume that,  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(N, E(P))$  such that  $f(M) = 0$ . Since  $E(P)$  is an injective  $R$ -module, then  $f$  can be extended to  $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}_R(E(M), E(P))$ . Since  $\bar{f}(M) = f(M) = 0$  and  $N \subseteq \tilde{E}_P(M)$ , then  $f(N) = \bar{f}(N) = 0$ . Hence  $f = 0$ . For the "only if" part, assume that  $M \subseteq_P N$  and consider  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(E(M), E(P))$  such that  $f(M) = 0$ . If  $f(N) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $n \in N \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $f(n) \in E(P) \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $P \subseteq_{\text{ess}} E(P)$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $f(n)r = f(nr) \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $nr \in N$  and  $f(nr) \in P \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $M \subseteq_P N$  implies that  $f(nr).s = f(nrs) \in P \setminus \{0\}$  and  $nrs \in M$ , for some  $s \in R$ . It is a contradiction, since  $f(M) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *For two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $P$ , we have*

$$\tilde{E}_P(M) = \{m \in E(M) : \forall x \in E(P) \setminus \{0\}, x(M : m) \neq 0\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $m \in \tilde{E}_P(M)$  and  $x \in E(P) \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $P$  is an essential submodule of  $E(P)$ , there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $xr \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.8.  $M \subseteq_P \tilde{E}_P(M)$  and hence there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $xrs \neq 0$  and  $mrs \in M$ , hence  $x(M : m) \neq 0$ . Conversely, assume  $m \in \text{RHS}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(E(M), E(P)) \neq 0$  such that  $f(M) = 0$ . If  $f(m) \neq 0$ , then by hypothesis,  $f(m)(M : m) \neq 0$ . Thus there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $f(m)r = f(mr) \neq 0$  and  $mr \in M$ . It is a contradiction, since  $f(M) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.10.** *An  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is called rationally  $P$ - complete if*

$$\tilde{E}_P(M) = M.$$

**Theorem 2.11.** *For any  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $P$ , the following are equivalent.*

(i)  $M$  is rationally  $P$ -complete.

(ii) For any  $R$ -modules  $A \subseteq B$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(B/A, E(P)) = 0$ , any  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow M$  can be extended to  $B$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $A \subseteq B$  be  $R$ -modules such that  $\text{Hom}_R(B/A, E(P)) = 0$ , and let  $f \in \text{om}_R(A, M)$ . Since  $E(M)$  is an injective  $R$ -module, We can extended  $f$  to  $g : B \rightarrow E(M)$ . We claim that

$$M \subseteq_P M + g(B).$$

Once we have proved this, then lemma 2.8. and (i) imply that  $g(B) \subseteq M$  and we are done. By theorem 2.4. it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Hom}_R((M + g(B))/M, E(P)) = 0.$$

Suppose  $h \in \text{Hom}_R((M + g(B))/M, E(P))$ . Define  $R$ -homomorphism  $\acute{h} \in \text{Hom}_R(B/A, (M + g(B))/M)$  by  $\acute{h}(b + A) = g(b) + M$  ( $b \in B$ ). Since  $\text{Hom}_R(B/A, E(P)) = 0$ ,  $h\acute{h} = 0$ . Hence for each  $m \in M$  and  $b \in B$ ,

$$h((m + g(b)) + M) = h(g(b) + M) = h\acute{h}(b + A) = 0.$$

Therefore  $h = 0$ . (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By theorem 2.4.  $M \subseteq_P \tilde{E}_P(M)$ , and so  $\text{Hom}_R(\tilde{E}_P$

$(M)/M, E(P)) = 0$ . By (ii), the identity map  $id : M \rightarrow M$  can be extended to an  $R$ -module  $g : \tilde{E}_P(M) \rightarrow M$  such that  $gi = id$ , where  $i : M \rightarrow \tilde{E}_P(M)$  is an inclusion map. Therefore  $\tilde{E}_P(M) = \ker g \oplus Im\ i$ . Since  $\ker g \cap M = 0$  and  $M$  is an essential submodule of  $E(M)$ , then  $\ker g = 0$ . Hence

$$\tilde{E}_P(M) = Im\ i = M. \quad \square$$

### 3. Right Kasch $R$ -modules

If  $S$  is a simple right module and  $P$  is a right module over a ring  $R$ , it is of interest to know whether  $S$  can be embedded in  $P$ . Consideration of this issue leads to the notion of right Kasch  $R$ -modules.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a right module over a ring  $R$ . We say that  $P$  is right Kasch  $R$ -module if every simple right  $R$ -module  $S$  can be embedded in  $P$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be a right module over a ring  $R$ . For any maximal right ideal  $m$  of  $R$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $R/m$  embeds to  $P_R$ .
- (ii)  $m = ann_r(x)$  for some  $x \in P$ .
- (iii)  $m$  is not a  $P$ -faithful right ideal.
- (iv)  $m = ann_r(ann_P(m))$ .
- (v)  $m$  is not  $P$ -dense in  $R_R$ .



**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R/m, P)$  be a monomorphism and  $0 \neq x = f(1 + m)$ . For each  $r \in m$ ,

$$xr = f(1 + m)r = f(r + m) = f(m) = 0.$$

Therefore  $r \in \text{ann}_r(x)$ . Hence  $m \subseteq \text{ann}_r(x)$ . Since  $\text{ann}_r(x) \neq R$ , then  $m = \text{ann}_r(x)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $m = \text{ann}_r(x)$  for some non-zero element  $x \in P_R$ . Then  $xm = 0$ , therefore  $m$  is not a  $P$ -faithful right ideal of  $R$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) We know that  $\text{ann}_P(m).m = 0$ , then  $m \subseteq \text{ann}_r(\text{ann}_P(m))$ . If  $\text{ann}_r(\text{ann}_P(m)) = R$ , then  $\text{ann}_P(m) = 0$ . Hence  $m$  is a  $P$ -faithful, a contradiction. Therefore  $\text{ann}_r(\text{ann}_P(m))$  is a proper right ideal of  $R$  and hence

$$m = \text{ann}_r(\text{ann}_P(m)).$$

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) Since  $\text{ann}_P(m) \neq 0$ , there exists  $0 \neq p \in \text{ann}_P(m)$ .

For  $1 \in R$ ,  $(m : 1) = m$ , and hence

$$pm = p(m : 1) = 0.$$

Therefore  $m$  is not  $P$ -dense in  $R_R$ , by Lemma 2.1.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By Theorem 2.4. since  $m$  is not  $P$ -dense in  $R_R$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/m, E(P)) \neq 0$ . Let  $f$  be a non-zero element of  $\text{Hom}_R(R/m, E(P))$ . Since  $R/m$  is a simple  $R$ -module,  $f$  is a monomorphism and hence  $\text{Im } f \simeq R/m$ . Since  $P$  is an essential submodule of  $E(P)$ , then  $\text{Im } f \cap$

$P \neq 0$ . Since  $Im f$  is a simple  $R$ -module, then  $Im f \cap P = Im f$ . Therefore  $Im f \subseteq P$ . This show that  $f : R/m \rightarrow P$  is a monomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *For any right  $R$ -module  $P$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $P$  is a right Kasch module.
- (ii) Any maximal right ideal in  $R$  has the form  $ann_r(x)$  for some  $x \in P$ .
- (iii) The set of  $P$ -faithful right ideals of  $R$  does not contain any maximal right ideal of  $R$ .
- (iv) For any maximal right ideal  $m$  of  $R$ ,  $ann_r(ann_P(m)) = m$ .
- (v) The only  $P$ -dense right ideal in  $R$  is  $R$  itself.
- (vi) For any proper right ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $ann_P(I) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $m$  be a maximal right ideal of  $R$ , then the simple  $R$ -module  $R/m$  embeds in  $P$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $m = ann_r(x)$  for some  $x \in P$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) By Theorem 3.1.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) Let  $J$  be a proper  $P$ -dense right ideal of  $R_R$ . There exists a maximal right ideal  $m$  containing  $J$ . By Proposition 2.5.  $m$  is a  $P$ -dense in  $R_R$ . By Theorem 3.1.  $m \neq ann_r(ann_P(m))$ , a contradiction.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) For each maximal right ideal  $m$  of  $R$ ,  $m$  is not  $P$ -dense in  $R$ . By Theorem 3.2.  $R/m$  embeds in  $P$ . Which implies that  $P$  is a right Kasch  $R$ -module.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) Let  $I$  be a proper right ideal of  $R$ . There exists a maximal

right ideal  $m$  contains  $I$ . By (ii),  $m = \text{ann}_r(x)$  for some  $0 \neq x \in P$ .

Then  $I \subseteq m = \text{ann}_r(x)$ , and so  $xI = 0$ . It implies that  $\text{ann}_P(I) \neq 0$ .

(vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) For any maximal right ideal  $m$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{ann}_P(m) \neq 0$ . Then  $m$  is not  $P$ -faithful.  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Albu and R. Wisbauer, Kasch modules, in: *Advances in ring theory* (Granville, OH, 1996), *Trends in mathematics*, Birkhaver, Boston, 1997, 1-16.
- [2] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlage, New York, 1974.
- [3] H. Khabazian, Like-annihilator submodules and weakly polyform modules, *Preprint*.
- [4] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer-Verlage. New York, 1999.
- [5] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach, 1991.

### Hossein Khabazian

Department of Mathematical sciences  
Isfahan University of Technology  
Isfahan, Iran  
E-mail: khabaz@cc.iut.ac.ir

### Saeid Safaeeyan

Department of Mathematical sciences  
Isfahan University of Technology  
Isfahan, Iran  
E-mail: ssafae2008@yahoo.com