

Mizoguchi-Takahashi's Fixed Point Theorem Concerning τ -Distance

Z. Mohammadi

Islamic Azad University-Shiraz Branch

Abstract. In this paper by using the notion of τ -distance, we will prove Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem, which is a generalization of fixed point theorem which has been given by Nadler.

AMS Subject Classification: 54C60.

Keywords and Phrases: Set-valued mappings; τ -distance; Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem.

1. Introduction

In 1922, Banach ([1]) proved the famous fixed point theorem known as the Banach contraction principle which is a very useful tool in nonlinear analysis, control theory, economic theory and global analysis. Later on, the theorem has been generalized in several directions. For example, In 1969, Nadler generalized it to set-valued mappings and proved some fixed point theorems about set-valued contraction mappings ([6]).

A point x is said to be a fixed point of a single-valued mapping f (set-valued mapping F) provided $f(x) = x, (x \in F(x))$. We denote by $CB(X)$ the class of all nonempty bounded closed subset of X . Since the mapping $i : X \rightarrow CB(X)$, given by $i(x) = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X$ is an isometry, the fixed point theorem in this paper for set-valued mapping are generalized of their single-valued analogues.

Received October 2009; Final Revised March 2010

Archive of SID

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. The Hausdorff metric with respect to d , denoted by H and defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{u \in A} d(u, B), \sup_{v \in B} d(v, A)\}$$

for every $A, B \in CB(X)$, where $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y); y \in A\}$ for every $A \subset X$.

Theorem 1.2. (Nadler [6]), Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a mapping from X into $CB(X)$. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that $H(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \in Tz$.

Mizoguchi and Takahashi ([5]) proved a generalization of the theorem, which is a partial answer of problem 9 in Rich ([7]). See also [3,4,8].

Theorem 1.3. (Mizoguchi and Takahashi ([5])), Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a mapping from X into $CB(X)$. Assume $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y)).d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where α is a function from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfying $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \alpha(s) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then there exists $z_0 \in X$ such that $z_0 \in Tz_0$.

Recently, Suzuki ([9]) introduced the notion of τ -distance on a metric space, which is the generalization of the concept of ω -distance and Tatarou's distance. He also improved some fixed point theorems. In this paper, by using the notion of τ -distance, we will prove Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem for set-valued mappings which is a real generalization of Nadler's.

2. τ -Distance

Through out of this paper, we denote by N , the set of all positive integers and by R_+ , the set of all nonnegative real numbers. In this section, we state the definition of τ -distance which was first introduce by Suzuki [9]. Then we give some propertises will be connected to τ -distance.

Archive of SID

Definition 2.1. ([9]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function p from $X \times X$ into $[0, \infty)$ is called a τ -distance on X , if there exists a function η from $X \times [0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ and following are satisfied;

(τ_1) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;

(τ_2) $\eta(x, 0) = 0$ and $\eta(x, t) \geq t$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, and η is concave and continuous in its second variable;

(τ_3) $\lim_n x_n = x$ and $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$ imply $p(w, x) \leq \lim_n \inf p(w, x_n)$ for all $w \in X$;

(τ_4) $\lim_n \sup\{p(x_n, y_m) : m \geq n\} = 0$ and $\lim_n \eta(x_n, t_n) = 0$ imply $\lim_n \eta(y_n, t_n) = 0$;

(τ_5) $\lim_n \eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_n)) = 0$ and $\lim_n \eta(z_n, p(z_n, y_n)) = 0$ imply $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

We may replace (τ_2) by $(\tau_2)'$ (see [9]):

$(\tau_2)'$ $\inf\{\eta(x, t) : t > 0\}$ for all $x \in X$, and η is nondecreasing in its second variable.

Many useful examples of τ -distance are stated in [9,10].

Definition 2.2. ([9]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and p a τ -distance on X . A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of X is called p -Cauchy if there exist a function η from $X \times R_+$ into R_+ satisfying $(\tau_2) - (\tau_5)$ and a sequence $\{z_n\}$ of X such that $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$.

The following two lemmas are very useful in the proof of fixed point theorem in Section 3.

Lemma 2.3. ([9]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and a τ -distance on X . If $\{x_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Moreover, if $\{y_n\}$ is a sequence satisfying $\lim_n \sup\{p(x_n, y_m) : m \geq n\} = 0$, then $\{y_n\}$ is also a p -Cauchy sequence and $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. ([9]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and p a τ -distance on X . If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfies $\lim_n \sup\{p(x_n, x_m) : m > n\} = 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence. Moreover, if a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfies $\lim_n p(x_n, y_n) = 0$, then $\{y_n\}$ is also a p -Cauchy sequence and $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

3. Main Result

In this section by using τ -distance, we give a proof of Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem which is simpler than previous proofs ([2,5]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a τ -distance on X . Let T be a mapping from X into $CB(X)$. Assume that $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(p(x, y)).p(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where α is a function from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfying $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \alpha(s) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.*

Then there exists $z_0 \in X$ such that $z_0 \in Tz_0$ and $p(z_0, z_0) = 0$.

Proof. Define a function β from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, 1)$ by $\beta(t) = (\alpha(t) + 1)/2$. Then the following hold:

$$1) \limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \beta(s) < 1.$$

$$2) \forall x, y \in X, u \in Tx, \exists v \in Ty; p(u, v) \leq \beta(p(x, y)).p(x, y).$$

Fix $u_0 \in X$ and $u_1 \in Tu_0$. Then there exists $u_2 \in Tu_1$ such that $p(u_1, u_2) \leq \beta(p(u_0, u_1)).p(u_0, u_1)$. Thus, we have a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X such that $u_{n+1} \in Tu_n$ and

$$p(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq \beta(p(u_n, u_{n+1})).p(u_n, u_{n+1})$$

for all $n \in N$. Since $\beta(t) < 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ then $\{p(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence. Hence $\{p(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$ converges to some nonnegative real number λ . Since $\limsup_{s \rightarrow \lambda^+} \beta(s) < 1$ and $\beta(\lambda) < 1$, there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\beta(s) \leq r$ for all $s \in [\lambda, \lambda + \varepsilon)$. We can take $k \in N$ such that $\lambda \leq p(u_n, u_{n+1}) < \lambda + \varepsilon$ for all $n \in N$ with $n \geq k$. Since

$$p(u_{n+2}, u_{n+1}) \leq \beta(p(u_n, u_{n+1})).p(u_n, u_{n+1}) < r.p(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad (1)$$

for any $n \in N$ with $n \geq k$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(u_n, u_{n+1}) &= \sum_{n=1}^k p(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} p(u_n, u_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k p(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n . p(u_k, u_{k+1}) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\lim_n \sup p(u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0$. By Lemma 2.4., $\{u_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence and hence, by Lemma 2.3., $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since

Archive of SID

X is complete, $\{u_n\}$ converges to some point $\nu_0 \in X$. From (τ_3) , we have

$$p(u_n, \nu_0) \leq \liminf_m p(u_n, u_m) \leq \frac{r^n}{1-r} p(u_k, u_{k+1}) \quad (2)$$

for $m > n > k$. We have also $w_n \in T\nu$. Since

$$\lim_n \sup \{p(u_n, w_m); m \geq n\} = 0$$

by Lemma 2.3., $\{w_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence and we have $\lim_n d(u_n, w_n) = 0$. But

$$d(w_n, \nu_0) \leq d(w_n, u_n) + d(u_n, \nu_0)$$

then $\{w_n\}$ converges to ν_0 . By closedness of $T\nu_0$, we have $\nu_0 \in T\nu_0$. So we have a sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ such that $\nu_{n+1} \in T\nu_n$ and by (1), for all $n \in N$

$$p(\nu_0, \nu_{n+1}) \leq \beta(p(\nu_0, \nu_n)) \cdot p(\nu_0, \nu_n) \leq r \cdot p(\nu_0, \nu_n).$$

Also

$$p(\nu_0, \nu_n) \leq r \cdot p(\nu_0, \nu_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq r^n \cdot p(\nu_0, \nu_0).$$

Hence

$$\lim_n \sup p(u_n, \nu_n) \leq \lim_n (p(u_n, \nu_0) + p(\nu_0, \nu_n)) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.4., $\{\nu_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence and converges to ν_0 . So we have

$$p(\nu_0, \nu_0) \leq \lim_n p(\nu_0, \nu_n) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles et leur application aux equations integrals, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [2] P. Z. Daffer and H. Kaneko, Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 192 (1995), 655-666.

Archive of SID

- [3] P. Z. Daffer, H. Kaneko, and W. Li, On a conjecture of S. Reich. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124 (1996), 3159-3162.
- [4] J. Jachymski, On Rich's question concerning fixed points of multimaps, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, 7 (9) (1995), 453-460.
- [5] N. Mizoguchi and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 141 (1989), 177-188.
- [6] S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 30 (1969), 475-488.
- [7] S. Rich, Some problems and results in fixed point theory, *Contemp. Math.*, 21 (1983), 179-187.
- [8] P. V. Semenov, Fixed points of multivalued contractions, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 36 (2002), 159-161.
- [9] T. Suzuki, Generalized distance and existence theorems in complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 253 (2) (2001), 440-458.
- [10] T. Suzuki, Several fixed point theorems concerning τ -distance, *Fixed point theory and application*, 3 (2004), 195-209.

Zahra Mohammadi

Department of Mathematics

Instructor of Mathematics

Islamic Azad University-Shiraz Branch

Shiraz, Iran

E-mail: pyramid.122@ gmail.com