

Sociological Explanation of Social accommodation among the Ethnic Groups of Afghanistan

Mohammad Taghi Iman

Professor of Sociology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Majid Movahed Majd

Professor of Sociology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Aliyar Ahmadi

Associate Professor of Sociology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Gulabshah Amani¹

PhD student in Sociology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Received: 13 May 2020

Accepted: 14 September 2020

Extended Abstract

1. Introduction

Differences caused by inter-ethnic and extra-ethnic relations and conventions or social accommodation are one of the sociocultural distinctions that influence solidarity and cohesion in human societies. The significance of this subject relates to the fact that these differences can play a prominent role in both shaping social cohesion and placing the society under social rupture and conflict. In this study, variables including social distance, social tolerance, sense of social deprivation, social trust, social support, social indifference, and social participation are taken into account as independent variables; the relations between these variables and social accommodation is assessed to find out whether they affect social accommodation. Unquestionably, accommodation is a social issue that is present in all societies. Here, it is attempted to put forth a sociological argument on accommodation i.e. an examination of social accommodation in different social contexts. The connection between social accommodation and ethnicity can be made through the sociological outlook. The assumption here is that ethnicity leads to social conflict; subsequently, the conflict would not be mitigated and social accommodation would not take shape until the issue of ethnicity is resolved as a cultural element. Therefore, in this study, it is attempted to explain the important factors that reinforce social accommodation in the Afghan society so as to provide the means for tolerance and coexistence, guiding said society from chaos to optimal organization.

1. Corresponding author, gulabshahamani0@gmail.com

2. Review of Literature and Theoretical Framework

Examinations into the existing literature show that despite the considerable importance of the subject at hand, there has been no consistent studies conducted on ethnic groups in Afghanistan and social accommodation among them. Horowitz's theory on social accommodation is employed in editing the theoretical framework and drawing the theoretical model. This theorist has conducted many studies on social accommodation in various countries, particularly in African nations among various ethnic groups. He believes that in order to control and resolve a variety of social problems in different countries, especially in African nations, it is of utmost importance to promote social accommodation among the ethnic groups living in these countries. Horowitz defines accommodation as an attempt to mitigate differences through accepting the interests of one another within societies where there are discrete dominance-centered interactions. Allport has also carried out numerous studies on the benefits and dynamics of social accommodation and inter-ethnic and interracial interactions. He demonstrates that multilateral interactions can lead to positive outcomes, yet gaining the highest benefits from such interactions depends on suitable conditions; without these conditions, inter-ethnic contact and connections may even increase racial prejudices. David Meyer's theory is also considered for editing the theoretical framework. This theorist has conducted many inquiries on ethnic prejudice and identified numerous factors that affect the extent of increase or reduction in ethnic prejudices.

3. Method

The present study was conducted using the survey method and data were collected via questionnaires. Total population of the study included the adults between the age of 20-50 living in Kabul, from four major ethnicities of Pashtun, Tajik, Hazara, and Uzbek. Sample population was indicated as 1055 individuals using multi-stage random cluster sampling.

4. Results and Discussion

Results of the study show that the respondents are at an average social accommodation level (49%). It appears that this finding supports Allport's theory (1954) based on which multilateral interactions result in positive outcomes; Based on the two-variable analysis, variables including social trust, social participation, social belonging, social support, sense of relative deprivation, social tolerance, social distance, and social indifference has a significant correlation with social accommodation ; among these variables, variables including social indifference, social distance, and sense of relative deprivation had a significant inverse, negative correlation with social accommodation .

5. Conclusion

According to Horowitz's theory and based on the findings of the study, it can be concluded that the promotion of social accommodation is a suitable strategy to achieve national unity and social solidarity in Afghanistan, given the country's ethnic, tribal structure. It can also be concluded that if different groups and ethnicities become devoid of any sense of deprivation, then desirable social interactions among ethnicities can flourish; according to Allport's theory (1954), these interactions and connections can pave the way for social accommodation among ethnicities and prevents ethnic divergence.

Keywords: Social accommodation, Ethnic Diversity, Social Deprivation, Social Indifference, Social Participation

References (In Persian)

1. Ahmadi, H. (2004). هویت، ملیت، قومیت [Identity, nationality and ethnicity]. Tehran: Toseh Oloum Ensani.
2. Ahmadvand, Sh. (2016). زمینه های روان شناختی بنیادگرایی یهودی [Psychological background of Jewish fundamentalism]. *Politics*, 46(10), 3-21.
3. Akbarnia, E. (2015). رابطه دینداری، مدارای اجتماعی با فاصله ی اجتماعی در بین مسلمانان و مسیحیان شهر تهران [The relationship between religiosity, social tolerance, and social distance between Muslims and Christians in Tehran]. (Unpublished master's thesis). Alzahra University, Tehran.
4. Ansari, F. (2016). فشرده تاریخ افغانستان [The compact history of Afghanistan], Vol. 4. Kabul: Amiri.
5. Beheshti, S., & Rastegar, Y. (2013). [Sociological explanation of social tolerance and its aspects in Iranian peoples]. *Journal of Social Problems of Iran*, 4(2), 7-35.
6. Chalabi, M., & Yazdaninasab, M. (2011). بررسی تطبیقی- کمی اثر سیاست های قومی بر تضاد قومی و شیوه بروز آن ۱۹۹۵-۲۰۰۴ [Comparative and quantitative exploring the effect of ethnic politics on ethnic conflicts and its representation in between 1995-2000]. *Social analysis of Order and Social Inequality*, 60(10), 47-78.
7. Doagouyan, D., Abdorrahmani, R. (2017). نقش رسانه ها در گسترش درگیری های قومی [The role of the media in expanding the ethnic conflicts of the West Azarbaijan province (2014)]. *Journal of Border Studies*, 5(3), 27-56.

8. Durkheim, E. (2004). درباره تقسیم کار اجتماعی [The division of labor in society] (Bagher Parham, Trans.). Tehran: Markaz.
9. Farahmand, M., Saeedi Madani, S. M., Sahandi Khalifeh Kendi, M. (2016). مطالعه تطبیقی انسجام اجتماعی بین دو قومیت آذری و کُرد (مورد مطالعه: شهرهای سنندج و تبریز) [The comparative study of social cohesion between ethnic Azari and Kurd Groups In The Sanandaj And Tabriz]. *Iranian Journal of Social Problems*, 6(1), 95-122.
10. Ghasemi, A., Khorshidi, M., and Heidari, H. (2011). همسازی هویت ملی و قومی در [Compatibility of ethnic and national identity in Iran and Iranian ethnic's approach to national unity and self-determination]. *Social Studies*, 18(55), 57-92.
11. Ghasemi, V. (2013). مدل سازی معادله ساختاری در پژوهش های اجتماعی با کاربرد [Structural equation modeling in social research with application]. Tehran: Jaeshenasan.
12. Gurr, R. T. (2009). چرا انسان ها شورش می کنند [Why men rebel]. (Ali Morshedizadeh, Trans). Tehran: Strategic Studies Research Center.
13. Hassanvand, R. (2016). بررسی رابطه بین احساس نابرابری و بی تفاوتی اجتماعی در بین [Exploring the relationship between social inequality and negligence among Tehran citizens of more than 18]. (Unpublished master's thesis), ALzahra University, Tehran.
14. Hezarjaribi, J., Lohrasbi, S. (2011). بررسی رابطه سرمایه اجتماعی با میزان هویت جمعی [The control of relationship between social capital and the rate of collective identity]. *Applied Sociology*, 22(2), 1-20.
15. Ma'dani, S., & Ghorbani Rik, R. (2017). عوامل اجتماعی مؤثر بر همزیستی گروههای قومی [Effecting social Factors of Coexistence of ethnic groups of Talesh]. *Behavioral Sciences*, 46(10), 139-160.
16. Maleki, H. (2007). همبستگی اجتماعی در گروههای قومی: مطالعه موردی استان گلستان [Social Solidarity with in Ethnic Groups: the Case Study of Golestan Province of Iran]. *Strategic Studies*, 10(35), 123-148.
17. Marsden, P. (2018). افغانستان؛ اقلیت ها، منازعات و جستجو برای صلح [Afghanistan: Minorities, conflict and the search for peace]. Kabul: Vajeh.
18. Mohseni Tabrizi, A., Sarvish Molla, M. (2008). سنجش میزان احساس محرومیت نسبی و [Measuring the rate of perceived محرومیت نسبی ادراکی جوانان (مطالعه شهر تهران)

- relative deprivation feeling (a case study of youth in Tehran)]. *Nameh-Ye Olum-E Ejtemai*, 16(34), 73-98.
19. Naghdi, A., Ahmadi, A., & Soltani Ezzat, M. (2016). بررسی جامعه‌شناختی میزان همگرایی قومیت‌های (فارس، ترک، لر) استان همدان [A sociological analysis of ethnic convergence among Fars, Turkish and Lor ethnic groups in Hamedan Province]. *Social analysis of order and social inequality*, 7(1), 131-154.
20. Sarvar, R., Mousavi, M., Bagheri Kashkouli, A. (2014). تحلیل رابطه سرمایه اجتماعی [Analysis of relationship between social capital and Sense of ethnic Integration in Urmia City (Case Study: ethnic Turk and Kurd)]. *Journal of Human Geography*, 7(1), 69-86.
21. Shafaie, A. (2019). چپ‌گرایی در افغانستان [Leftism in Afghanistan]. Kabul: Bonyad Andisheh.
22. Tamaddoni, M. (2006). رابطه نگرش دینی و همسازی اجتماعی دانشجویان [The relationship between religious attitude and social adjustment in university students]. *Developmental Psychology*, 2(8), 331-340.
23. Torabi, Z. (2014). بررسی رابطه حمایت اجتماعی با فرسودگی تحصیلی در دانشجویان دانشگاه پیام نور ابرکوه [Exploring the relationship between social support and educational friction among students of Payam Noor University]. (Unpublished master's thesis), Abarkouh, Hamedan.

References (In English)

- Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Blasko, I., & Zakharov, N. (2020). Mixed race and ethnicity in Sweden: A Sociological Analysis. In *the palgrave international handbook of mixed racial and ethnic classification* (pp. 389-403). Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ejobowah, J. B. (1997). Reflections on normative empirical approaches to ethnic accommodation. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 15(2), 219-236.
- Ewans, M. (2002). *Afghanistan: A short history of its people and politics*. New York: Perennial.
- Graham, S. (2018). Race/ethnicity and social adjustment of adolescents: How (not if) school diversity matters. *Educational Psychologist*, 53(2), 64-77.

6. Horowitz, D. L. (1985). *Ethnic groups in conflict*. California: University of California Press.
7. Horowitz, D. L. (1991). Irredentas and secessions: Adjacent phenomena, neglected connections. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 33(1), 1-19.
8. Laurence, J. (2009). The effect of ethnic diversity and community disadvantage on social cohesion: A multi-level analysis of social capital and interethnic relations in UK communities. *European Sociological Review*, 27(1), 70-89.
9. MacCallum, R. C., Brown, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, 1(2), 130-149.
10. Mustapha, R. (2009). Social integration among multi-ethnic students at selected Malaysian universities in Peninsular Malaysia: A survey of campus social climate. *Asean Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (AJTLHE)*, 1(1), 35-44.
11. Schetter, C. (2003, May 30). Ethnicity and the political reconstruction of Afghanistan. Paper Presented at *State Reconstruction and International Engagement in Afghanistan*. London School of Economics and Political Science.
12. Short Jr, J. F. (2018). *Poverty, ethnicity, and violent crime*. New York: Routledge.
13. Verkuyten, M., & Kinket, B. (2000). Social distances in a multi ethnic society: The ethnic hierarchy among Dutch preadolescents. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 63(1), 75-85.
14. Yuji, K. (2014). Ethnic accommodation and democracy in multiethnic societies: Comparative study of Malaysia and Singapore. Paper presented at *the 23rd World Congress of International Political Science Association*, Montreal.