## A Comparative Study of Man Creation in the Iranian and Mesopotamian Myths and Religions

Hamid Kavyani Pooya\*

## **Abstract**

Myth or creation story is a symbolic narration in a culture and among people, which narrates about the beginning of this world and emergence of people. Some features of these creation myths are the same, there exist effective characters and a general plan in all of them. The creation and the functions of such features have made significant forever changes in the world. Therefore, the attitude towards creation in the primitive civilizations and the way human assumed to whom his creation belonged were highly important. In addition, why questions about the creation and other such issues which have been answered among the primitive civilizations are significant too. Considering the believes about the creation among the primitive Mesopotamian (Sumer and Babylon) and Iranian (Mazdean, Manicheism, and Zurvani), it can be concluded that each of the ancient civilizations and religions considered a philosophy for the way human being was created and its goals. Additionally, it is worth mentioning that these believes stemmed from their environments, life-styles, and experiences. For instance, in the Mazdean, this world was assumed to have a life-time and each of the concrete created ones (such as, the sky, earth, water, etc.) were supposed to be created in different stages of time. In the same religion, some event related to the world and creation (its process and philosophy) belonged to devil forces and its interferences, and human being accompanied with the concrete ones were assumed to be created by the goodness forces (Ahouramazda) in order to comfort and repel evil. Thus, breeding and living a merry life in this world were of the most important goals of creation. However, in the Manichaeism, since being close to the Mesopotamian believes which were full of ravages, there existed an inappropriate attitude towards the world and its creation. Following such believes, in Manichaeism, the creation of human being was assumed as the result of an devilish conspiracy which intended to captivate fairness in contrast to the Mazdean's. The Mazdean considered human body as being made of soil or plants that led breeding and living as absurd concepts. This assumption was almost similar to the Mesopotamian people's believes and religions because, in some Mesopotamian narrations, human being was the result of wars among Gods and emerged out of Devil Gods' blood to serve them and let Gods free from sufferings of labor.

According to the presented reasons and in response to the major question of why these differences in those myths and religions exist, it is required to mention that such views towards the processes and goals of human creation are considerable from different aspects. Firstly, the attitudes of different nations depending on their places and periods which they lived at, governments and conquering powers, and life-styles and economic conditions shape

Received: 25.11.2016 Accepted: 06.06.2017

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

<sup>\*</sup> Assistant Professor Department of History, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran kavyani@uk.ac.ir

differently. Investigating Iranian ancient history, we can find that Iranians had a consistent government over its one thousand two hundred years history (from the Median to the emergence of Islam), and they experienced only four Iranian governmental series and one short-period Aniranian one. As a consequence, the people of Iran have lived peaceful lives without incursions. Clearly, the Mazdean who occupied most of the Iran's population then, assumed the world and life as favorable. They viewed that they and the concrete world were created for God's desire in order to have a convenient life. In contrast, nations like the Mesopotamian the was placed in-between the incursions of different governments and powers, lived a very suffering life. Then, they related their own affliction to the primitive origin and assumed their goal of creation as being forced labors for Gods. Likewise, there exist some similarities about the creation philosophy among the ancient nations and religions. Some of these similarities were caused by the common experiences and similar environments and life-styles of these people, and some other similarities were the consequences of adapted believes. One of the similarities between the myths of Mazdean and Manichaeism (Babylonian) was that the concrete creation was the result of the body of Gods (Kingo) or Human Gods (Keyumars) and their combination with soil resulted in creation. In the Babylonian myths, Gods' blood integrated with soil that led to creation of human male and female, and in the Mazdean myth, a part of Keyumars (his sperm) was integrated with the soil and resulted in the first human couple on the world. We can consider similarities and differences of creations in Mazdean, Manichaeism, and Mesopotamian from another perspective. Therefore, in all these three religions, myths of this created world and concrete creation were based on the bodies of the characters beyond human being, the only difference was, in the Mazdean myths, this character was anti devil (Keyumars) and belonged to Gods in the Gods' side. However, in the Manichaeism and Mesopotamian (Bablyonian) myths, the creation stemmed from the body of devilish Gods, or processed in the hands of demons out of their own skin and flesh.

## key words

creation of man, Iran, Mesopotamia, Mazdean, Manichaeism, Zurvani.

## **Bibliography**

- -abazari, yosef & Behjo, zohre (1372). *The religions of the ancient world*, vol.2, Tehran: Institute for Cultural Research and Studies.
- -Asha, Roham & Seraj ,Shahin (1379) Aturpat Mhraspndan, Tehran: Forouhar press.
- Abolqasemi Mihsen(1390). Religion and cult of ancient Iran, Tehran: Helmand press.
- 'Afifi, Raḥim(1348). Ski, Earth, Plant, Animal, Fire, Human in Creation, *Journal of study literature*, No17, p. 52-71.
- Al-Biruni, Abū Rayḥān(1389). *Asrar ol-Baghiyye*, Akbar Dana seresht(trans.), Tehran: Amirkabir press.
- Amoozgar, Jaleh(1389). *Mythological history of Iran*, Tehran: The organization for Researching and Composing University Textbooks in the Humanities(Samt).
- Anonymous (1390). Bundahišn: Primal Creation, Mehrdad Bahar (Trans), Tehran: Toos.
- Anonymous (1949). Pahlavi Vendidad (Zand-i-jvit-dev-dat), Behramgore ANKLESARIA, (Trans.). Dinshah D (ed.), Kapadia. Bombay: Rustam J.J. Modi.
- Anonymous(1377), Yashtha, Ebrahim Pourdavood((annot & Trans), Tehran: Asatir.
- Anonymous(2005). BundahiŠn Zoroastrische Kosmogonie und Kosmologie, BandI; Kritische Edition, Tehran:center for the Great Islamic Encyclopaedia,.
- Anonymous, (1367). *Narratives Pahlavi(Text in Middle Persian)*, Mahshid Mir Fakhrayi(Trans): rch and StudiesInstitute for Cultural Resea.

- Bagheri, Mehri(1385). Iranian Reilgion in Pre-Islamic Period, Tehran:Drop Press.
- Bahar, Mehrdad(1352). persia mythology, Tehran: Ministry of Culture press
- Bahar, Mehrdad(1362). Research in Iranian mythology, Tehran: Toos
- Bahar, Mehrdad(1376). From myth to history, Aboul-Qacem Ismailpour (appen), Tehran: Cheshme press
- Bahar, Mehrdad(1387). Asian religions, Tehran: Cheshme press.
- Bal'ami, Abu Ali Muhammad 1385). *Bal'ami history*, Mohammad Gonabadi & Mohammad Taghi Bahar(emend), Tehran: Zavvar
- Bottero.J (2001). Religion in Ancient Mesopotamia, Teresa Lavender Fagan(Trans) Chicago: the University of Chicago Press.
- Boyce, M(1975). A reader in Manichaean Middle Persian and Parthian, Vol. II. ,*Acta Iranica*, 9. Troisième Série. Textes et Mémoires. Téhéran: Liège.
- Christensen, Arthur(1363). *Samples of the first man and the first book in the history of the legendary Iranian prince*, Ahmad tafazzoli & Jale Amozgar(Trans), Tehran: Nashre no.
- -Christie, Anthony(1373). *Chinese mythology*, Mohammad Hossein Bājelān Farrokhi(Trans), Tehran: Asatir.
- Curtis, John(1389). *Mesopotamia and Iran in the Achaemenid period : world conquest and imperialism 539-331 BC: proceedings of a seminar in memory of Vladimir G. Lukonin*, Zahra Basti(Trans), Tehran: The organization for Researching and Composing University Textbooks in the Humanities(Samt).
- Curtis, John(1389). Mesopotamia and Iran in the Parthian and Sasanian periods: rejection and revival c. 238 BC-AD 642: proceedings of a seminar in memory of Vladimir G. Lukonin, Zahra Basti(Trans), Tehran: The organization for Researching and Composing University Textbooks in the Humanities(Samt).
- Dorsse, Jean (1960) . The Secret Books of the Egyptian Gnostics. London: Hollis and carter L.t.d.
- Ferdowsi, Abo al Ghasem(1385) ,[Shah nameh (book of kings)], jool mol (emend), Tehran:Elham.
- Gaviri, Susan(1386). Anahita in Iranian myths, Tehran: Qoqnos.
- Gray, John (1378). *Near Eastern mythology(Mesopotamia*), Mohammad Hossein Bājelān Farrokhi(Trans), Tehran: Asatir.
- Green, Roger Lancelyn(1366). *Tales of the Greek Heroes*, Abbas Agha Jani(Trans), Tehran: Sorosh.
- Guirand, F & Others (1382) Assryo Bubylonian mythology, Abu-l-qāsem Esmā'ilpour (trans), Tehran: Karavan.
- Hooke, Samuel Henry(1381). *Middle Eastern mythology*, Ali Asghar Bahrami & Farangis Mazdapoor(Trans), Tehran: intellectuals and women's studies.
- Ismailpour, Aboul-Qacem(1375). *Myth of Creation in Manichaeism*, Tehran: thought of the day.
- Ismailpour, Aboul-Qacem(1377). *Myths: The Symbolic Expressions*, Tehran: Soroush press.
- Jackson.A.V. Williams. (1965). Researches in Manichaeism. New York, AMS press Inc.
- Jalali Moghadam, Massod (1390). A brief history of world religions, Tehran: Sokhan press.
- Kazzazi, Mir Jalaleddin(1388). *Dream, Epic,Mith*, Tehran: Nashr Markaz
- Majid zade, yosef(1379). *Ancient mesopotamia :history and civilization*, vol:2, Tehran: Nashr Markaz Daneshgahi.
- Manhardt, W (1875). Der Baum Kultus, Berlin.
- Maqdisi, Motahar ibn Tahir,(1374). *Afarinesh va târikh*, Mohammad-Reza Shafiei Kadkani (Trans), Tehran: Agah Press.

- Mccal, Henrieta (1373). *Mesopotamian Myths*, Abbas Mokhber (Trans), Tehran: nashr markaz.
- Mir fakhraei, Mahshid(1366). *Creation in Riligions*, Tehran: Institute for Cultural Research and Studies.
- MIR Shokri, Mohammad & Hasan zade, Ali raeza(1382). Woman and culture a collection of articles in commemoration of Margaret mead's, Tehran: Nashr Nei.
- Orlik, A. (1922). Die Sage von Weltuntergang, übersetzt von W. Ronisch, Berlin.
- Ort, L. J. R. (1968), Mani, Manichaeism, 'Religionswissenschaft', Numen, Vol. 15, Fasc. 3. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3269576, Holand, p. 191-207.
- Placid ,Alireza(1390). *Iranian myths in Manichaean Texts*, Tehran: Institute for Humanities and Cultural Studies.
- Rashed Mohasel, mojammad taghi & Tahami, Mortezza(1387). <u>The Development of Iranian Myths Based on Pishdadi and Kiyani Myths</u>, *Jornal Research in Persian Language & Literature*) No 11, p.31-56.
- RESONBERG, Dona(1374). *Greece mythology*, mojtaba Abdullah zadeh(Trans), Mashhad:Tarane press.
- Rezabeigi, Maryam(1390) Comparative mythology of different nations (Iran, China, Japan, Greece, Rome, India, Mesopotamia), *Persian literature Mashhad Azad University*, No 20, p. 155-175.
- Rezaei, Mehdi(1390), creation and death in the mythology, Tehran: Asatir.
- Safa ,Zabihollah(1363). Epic storytelling in Iran, Tehran: Amirkabir.
- seiedi, seied Hossein & Ali poor, Ismail(1389). A comparative study on the human genesis stories in the Iranian and Arabic mythical narratives, *Jornal Research Languages &Literature Language represents*:, No 2,p.123-138.
- Shahla Lahiji & Mehranguiz kar(1387). *The quest for identity. The image of Iranian women in prehistory and history*, Tehran: intellectuals and women's studies Press.
- Shahristani, Abu al Fatah Mohammed Ibn Abdul Karim(1362) *Tozihol mellal*, Mostafa Khalqdad Hashemi(Trans), Mohammad Reza Jalali Naini((annot. & appen), Tehran: Eghbal.
- shahrokhi , Noshin.(1379) Myth of Creation, *Jornal of Iranian Studies*, No 46, p. 340-352. -Skjaervo, Prods oktor(1382). *Iranian Elements in Manicheism*, Mohammad Shokri
- Fomesh(Trans), Tehran: Tahouri. Stroumsa, G.A.G. (1984). Another Seed: Studies in Gnostic Mytholigy. Leiden: E.J. Brill,
- Sundermann ,Werner (20002). MANICHEISM ii. THE MANICHEAN PANTHEON, *Encyclopædia Iranica*, from http://www. Encyclopedia Iranica.com/.
- Zadisparam (1366). *Vizidagiha i zadisparam*, Mohammad Taghi Rashed-Mohassel(Trans), Tehran: : Institute for Humanities and Cultural Studies.
- Vieux, J (1375). The Myths of Egypt, Aboul-Qacem Ismailpour (Trans), Tehran: Fekre-Roz.
- Wells, Herbert George (1376). *The outline of history, being a plain history*, Masood rakab nia(Trans), Tehran: Sorosh.
- Widengren, G(1377). Manichaeism and Iranian context, Hasan Anoshe(Trans), Ehsan Yarshater, (edit), Iran History: *History of the Seleucid Empire to the fall of the Sassanid Empire* (Volume III Part II) University of Cambridge, Tehran: Amirkabir.
- Yarshater, Ehsan(1373). Iranian national history, Hasan Anoshe(Trans), Iran History: *History of the Seleucid Empire to the fall of the Sassanid Empire* (Volume III. Part II) University of Cambridge, Tehran: Amirkabir.