Morphological Changes of Human Dentin after Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er:YAG) and Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Laser Irradiation and Acid-etch Technique: An Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) Evaluation

Sima Shahabi¹, Nasim Chiniforush², Nasrin Juybanpoor³

¹Dental Biomaterials and Bioengineering Research Center, School of dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Laser Research Center of Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medial science, Tehran, Iran ³Dentist, Tehran, Iran

Abstract:

Introduction: The aim of this study was to investigate the morphological changes of human dentin after Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er:YAG), Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) laser-irradiation and acid-etching by means of scanning electron microscopic (SEM) **Methods:** 9 extracted human third molars were used in this study. The teeth were divided in three groups: first group, CO₂ laser with power of 1.5 w and frequency of 80 Hz; second group, Er:YAG laser with output power of 1.5 W frequency of 10 Hz, very short pulse with water and air spray was applied; and third group, samples were prepared by acid-etching 37% for 15 sec and rinsed with air-water spray for 20 sec. Then, the samples were prepared for SEM examination.

Results: Melting and cracks can be observed in CO_2 laser but in Er:YAG laser cleaned ablated surfaces and exposed dentinal tubules, without smear layer was seen..

Conclusion: It can be concluded that Er:YAG laser can be an alternative technique for surface treatment and can be considered as safe as the conventional methods. But CO_2 laser has some thermal side effects which make this device unsuitable for this purpose. **Keywords:** CO_2 laser; Er:YAG lasers; morphological changes; SEM.

Please cite this article as follows:

Shahabi S, Chiniforush N, Juybanpoor N. Morphological Changes of Human Dentin after Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er:YAG) and Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Laser Irradiation and Acid-etch Technique: An scanning electron microscopic (SEM) Evaluation. J Lasers Med Sci 2013; 4(1):48-52

*Corresponding Author: Nasim Chiniforush, DDS; Laser Research Center of Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98-2188015017; Fax: +98-2188687471; Email: n-chiniforush@farabi.tums.ac.ir

Introduction

The development of new caries removal, cavity preparation methods and new restorative materials has been the goal of modern restorative dentistry, aiming for conservative and esthetic restorations that are acceptable by patients (1,2,3). Among modern technologies for cavity preparation and surface modification, use of hard tissue lasers have gained special attention. The conventional mechanical methods for caries removal like using a high-speed drill have some disadvantages such as the nonselective removal of hard tissue, discomfort for patients because of metallic sound and bone conducted vibration and the need for anesthesia. On the other hand, bactericidal effect of lasers makes them more useful than conventional high speed handpiece (4,5,6).

Erbium family lasers with two different wavelengths

(2940 nm, 2780 nm) have a high absorption in water and hydroxyapatite that make these lasers suitable for removal of carious enamel and dentin without any damage to surrounding tissue (7,8). When other lasers like Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) andNeodymium-Doped Yttrium Aluminium Garnet (Nd:YAG) lasers were used for surface conditioning, thermal side effects such as melting, carbonization, fissures and cracks occured in the surrounding tissue and also increase in pulpal temperature was observed (9,10).

The aim of etching is to remove the external layer and cause microscopic irregularities which lead to penetration of adhesives in order to obtain retention. So, assessing the surface structure after applying different techniques is important (11).

The aim of this study was to investigate the morphological changes of Er: YAG, CO_2 laser-irradiated and acid-etching by means of SEM (scanning electron microscopy).

Methods

9 extracted human third molars were used in this study. Crowns with caries, restorations and fractured were excluded. The remaining soft tissue was removed from the teeth surface with a dental scalar (Sonic flex 2000, kavo, Biberach, Germany). All teeth were then stored in 4°C distilled water containing 0.2% thymol to inhibit microbial growth until use. The surface of the crown was cut fully hydrated, perpendicular to the long axis of the teeth to remove the enamel and expose the dentin surface. Then, surfaces of the samples were wet-polished to 600 grits (silicon carbide).

Following that the teeth were divided in three groups:

In the first group, CO_2 laser (US-20D, DEKA, Italy) with an emission wavelength of 10600 nm was used. The laser was used with power of 1.5 w and frequency of 80 Hz by sweeping motion until the whole surface was irradiated.

In the second group, Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er:YAG) laser (2940D plus, Deka, Italy) with output power of 1.5 W and frequency of 10 Hz(energy of 150 mJ), very short pulse (230 μ s) with water and air spray was applied.

In the third group, samples were prepared by acidetching 37% (Etch Royale, Pulpdent, USA) for 15 sec and rinsed with air-water spray for 20 sec.

The samples were prepared for scanning electron microscopic (SEM) examination.

For SEM analysis, samples were fixed in 2.5% Glutaraldeheyde for 12 hours (4°C), then dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol (25-100%). After that, the samples were dried and sputter-coated with gold. The surfaces were analyzed with a scanning electron microscope (DSM 960A).

Results

The SEM results of CO_2 laser-irradiated human dentin showed some exposed dentinal tubules because of being smear layer on them, having some cracks evidence of thermal damage. (Figure 1)

Under SEM evaluation, second group showed cleaned ablated surfaces and exposed dentinal tubules, without smear layer. No burning and melting were



Figure 1. (**A**) Photomicrograph of dentin surface after CO_2 laser irradiation. (original magnification $\times 5000$, bar=2 μ m) (**B**) Photomicrograph of dentin surface after CO_2 laser irradiation. Melting and cracks can be observed. (original magnification $\times 1000$, bar=10 μ m)



Figure 2. (A) Surface treated by Er:YAG. Open dentinal tubules, no smear layer, scaly and flake surface was observed. (original magnification $\times 1000$, bar=10µm) (B) Surface treated by Er:YAG (Higher magnification), Open tubules with remaining peritubular dentin (original magnification $\times 5000$, bar=2µm)



Figure 3. (A) Acid-etch surface. The surface was covered by smear layer and debris. (original magnification $\times 1000$, bar=10µm) (B) Acid-etch surface. Smear layer and debris could be observed around dentinal tubules. (original magnification $\times 5000$, bar=2µm)

seen. (Figure 2)

In the third group, there was much smear layer on tubules so they weren't exposed enough. (Figure 3)

Discussion

Preparation of dental hard surfaces for resin composite restorations is one of the main concerns (12).

In this study, we evaluated the dentin surfaces prepared by different methods through SEM. According to several studies, using Er: YAG laser for dental hard tissue seems to be safe without causing damage to surrounding areas (13). Using Er: YAG laser for surface modification showed irregular surface with no crack and smear layer. These characteristics make this device suitable for resin restorations (14).

The laser initially vaporizes water and other hydrated organic components of the tissue. During this process, the internal pressure increases in the tissue until the explosive destruction of inorganic substances occurs which subsequently forms hydrokinetic forces that can quickly ablate the dental hard tissues. This mechanism of ablation is called hydrokinetic system (4,15).

It was shown that when Er:YAG laser was used by air/water spray, there was no pulpal inflammation compared to conventional methods (16).

In agreement with our results, Ceballos et al. in analyzing the Er:YAG laser treated surfaces showed open dentinal tubules which allowed the development of resin tags (17). Also, Freitas et al. in evaluation of SEM of cavity prepared by Er:YAG laser with different parameters concluded that Er:YAG laser was effective for ablation of hard tissue and could create surfaces irregular with open dentinal tubules without smear layer (18).

Several studies showed that temperature rise during Er:YAG laser irradiation with different powers does not exceed 3°C which is below the threshold safe temperature (5°C) reported by Zach and Cohen (19).

SEM of surface irradiated by Er:YAG laser showed irregular and retentive pattern with scaly surface which is in agreement with previous results (5). But in surfaces treated by acid etch the presence of smear layer could be observed. In CO_2 group, thermal side effects such as melting, cracks and fissures could be seen.

The mechanism of CO_2 laser on target tissue is the conversion of laser energy in to heat. Different studies showed that CO_2 laser with various parameters causes vaporization of water and dental organic components resulted in irregularities, fissures and melting areas (20). Actually, cracks were the result of contraction of the tissue after the loss of water and collagen matrix. So, it seems that the application of CO_2 laser is not a good option for surface treatment because of presence of melted area that can influence the adhesion of filling materials (21,22).

Overall, it's important to use the optimum laser parameters for safe and effective ablation of hard tissue by an expert operator (23).

Conclusion

It can be concluded that Er:YAG laser can be an alternative technique for surface treatment and can be considered as safe as the conventional methods. But CO_2 laser has some thermal side effects which make this device unsuitable for this purpose. More studies are needed to evaluate the characteristic of laser-treated surfaces to find the appropriate parameters for restorative procedures and obtain enough information for using materials which can interact properly with irradiated surfaces.

References

- 1. Walsh LJ . The current status of laser applications in dentistry. Aust Dent J 2003; 48: 146-55.
- Goldman L, Gray JA, Goldman J, Goldman B, Meyer R. Effect of laser beam impacts on teeth Dentistry. J Am

Dent Assoc 1965; 70: 601-6.

- Dederich DN, Bushick RD. Lasers in dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc 2004; 135: 204-12.
- 4. Parker S. Surgical lasers and hard dental tissues. Br Dent J 2007; 202:445-54.
- Nokhbatolfoghahaie H, Chiniforush N, Shahabi S, Monzavi A. SEM evaluation of tooth surface irradiated by different parameters of Er:YAG laser. J Lasers Med Sci; 2012;3(2):51-5
- 6. Shahabi S, Chiniforush N, Bahramian H, Monzavi A, Baghalian A, Kharazifard MJ. The effect of erbium family laser on tensile bond strength of composite to dentin in comparison with conventional method. Lasers in medical science; 2012 Apr 11. [Epub ahead of print].
- 7. Hibst R. Laser for Caries Removal and Cavity Preparation: State of the Art and Future Directions J Oral Laser Applications 2002; 203-12.
- Lin S, Caputo AA, Eversole LR, Rizoiu L. Topographical characteristics and shear bond strength of tooth surfaces cut with a laser-powered hydrokinetic system. J of Prosthet Dent 1999; 82:451-5.
- 9. Ekworapoj P, Sidhu SK, McCabe JF. Effect of different power parameters of Er,Cr:YSGG laser on human dentine. Laser Med Sci 2007; 22:175-82.
- Shahabi S, Chiniforoush N, Fekrazad R, Fatemi SM. Comparison of tensile bond strength of composite to dentine in conventional or laser prepared-cavities (Er;Cr:YSGG). J Oral Laser Applications 2010, 10:107-10.
- 11. Schien MT, Bocangel JS, Nogueira GE, Schien PA. SEM evaluation of the interaction pattern between dentin and resin after cavity preparation using Er:YAG laser. J Dent 2003; 31:127-35.
- Pick RM. Using lasers in clinical dental practice. J Am Dent Assoc 1993; 124: 37-47.
- Ramos ACB, Esteves-Oliveira M, Arana-Chavez VE, de Paula Eduardo C. Adhesives bonded to erbium:yttriumaluminum-garnet laser-irradiated dentin: transmission electron microscopy, scanning electron microscopy and tensile bond strength analysis. Lasers Med Sci 2008; 25: 181-9. DOI 10.1007/s10103-008-0600-0.
- 14. Botta SB, da Ana PA, Zezell DM, Powers JM, Matos AB. Adhesion after erbium, chromium: yttrium-scandiumgallium-garnet laser application at three different irradiation conditions. Laser Med Sci 2009; 24(1):67-73.
- Freiberg RJ, Cozean CD. Pulsed erbium laser ablation of hard dental tissue: the effects of atomized water spray versus water surface film. Proc SPIE 2002; 4610: 74-84.
- Oelgiesser D, Blasbalg J, Ben-Amar A. Cavity preparation by Er:YAG laser on pulpal temperature rise. Am J Dent 2003; 16:96-8.
- Ceballos L, Toledano M, Osorio R, Tay FR, Marshal GW. Bonding to Er:YAG laser-treated dentin. J Dent Res 2002; 81: 119-22.
- 18. Freitas PM, Navarro RS, Barros JA, Eduardo CP. The

use of Er:YAG laser for cavity preparation: An SEM evaluation. Microsc Res Tech 2007; 70: 803-8.

- Zach L, Cohen C. Pulp response to externally applied heat. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.1965;19:515-30.
- Marracini TM, Bachmann L, Widgor HA, Walsh JT, Stabholtz, Zezell DM. Morphological evaluation of enamel and dentin irradiated with 9.6 μm and 2.94 μm Er:YAG lasers. Laser Phys 2005; 11:551-5.
- 21. Steiner-Oliveira C, Rodrigues LKA, Soares LES, Martin AA, Zezell DM, Nobre-dos-Santos M. Chemical,

morphological and thermal effects of $10.6 \ \mu m \ CO_2$ laser on the inhibition of enamel demineralization. Dent Mater J 2006;25(3):455-62.

- 22. Nomelini SMB, Gabriel AES, Marchesan MA, Sousa-Neto MD, Silva-Sousa YTC. Ultrastructural analysis of radicular dentine surface submitted to CO_2 laser at different parameters. Microsc Research Tech 2009; 72:737-43.
- 23. Piccione PJ.. Dental laser safety. Dent Clin North Am 2004; 48: 795-807.