

Effect of Air Abrasion and Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er: YAG) laser preparation on Shear Bond Strength of Composite to Dentin

Ayoub Pahlavan¹, Mobin Mehmanchi², Ladan Ranjbar Omrani³, Nasim Chiniforush⁴

¹Department of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Dental Students' Research Center, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Department of Operative Dentistry and Dental Research Center, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Laser Research Center of Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract:

Introduction: The aim of this study was to assess shear bond strength of composite to dentin after air abrasion and laser treatment.

Methods: 40 human extracted molars divided into 4 groups (n=10) received the following treatments. Group 1: carbide bur, Group 2: air abrasion with aluminum oxide 50 µm, Group 3: irradiated with Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er: YAG) laser (150 mJ/20Hz), Group 4: irradiated with Er:YAG laser (150 mJ/20Hz)+ air. Specimens in all groups were chemically etched with phosphoric acid 37% and treated with bonding agent (single bond 3M). Then, composite build-up was performed by tygon tube. After storage in distilled water at 37°C for one week, all specimens were subjected to a shear bond strength test with universal testing machine. Data were analyzed with ANOVA and T-Test.

Results: The mean and standard deviation of shear bond strength of the 4 groups were 20.8±6.76, 14.98±3.98, 11.43±4.36 and 14.95± 3.18 MPa, respectively.

Conclusion: Air abrasion after laser treatment improved the shear bond strength.

Keywords: Er-YAG laser; dental air abrasion; dentin

Please cite this article as follows:

Pahlavan A, Mehmanchi M, Ranjbar Omrani L, Chiniforush N. Effect of Air Abrasion and Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er: YAG) laser preparation on Shear Bond Strength of Composite to Dentin. *J Lasers Med Sci* 2013; 4(3):127-30

***Corresponding Author:** Ladan Ranjbar Omrani, DDS, MS; Department of Operative Dentistry, Dental School, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, North Amirabad St., Tehran, Iran. Tel: 09122132110; Email: ladanomrani@yahoo.com

Introduction

Recently, development in caries removal techniques leads to minimally invasive protocols¹. Efficacious and fast caries tissue removal accompanied by providing satisfactory cavity design and least smear layer production is considered as a main goal in restorative dentistry².

Nowadays, common caries removal procedure was done by carbide and diamond bur which induces some disadvantages like producing pain, vibration, noise and thermal changes resulting in pulp irritation. To

eliminate these consequences, new methods such as air abrasion can replace them that form irregular rough surface and help to increase the wet ability of adhesive systems^{3,4}. Another alternative method is laser systems. Among different lasers, the Erbium laser family seems the most efficacious for dental hard tissue removal due to its peak absorption in water and hydroxyapatite without any damage to the pulp^{5,6}. Laser application for cavity preparation has some benefits such as no smear layer production, selective removal of tissue, no or least need for local anesthetic, inducing walls with high resistance to acid solution, reduction of recurrent

caries, no microfracture in dentinal surface and less postoperative hypersensitivity^{7, 8}.

Some studies showed that laser application caused lower bond strength of composite to dentinal surface^{9, 10}.

The aim of this study was to assess shear bond strength of composite to dentin after air abrasion and laser treatment.

Methods

40 caries-free extracted human third molars were selected for this study. The teeth with restorations, fractures and superficial defects were excluded. The teeth were stored in chloramines T 0.5%. Then, the samples were embedded in acrylic molds with size of 1 cm× 3 cm×3 cm.

The buccal surface of samples was abraded with silicone carbide 120 to 600 grit.

After abrading enamel, 0.5 mm of dentin surface was removed. Then, the samples were randomly divided in to four groups of 10 in each.

Group 1 (Bur): the surface was prepared by carbide bur size #0.8.

Group 2 (Air abrasion): the surface was air abraded by Al₂O₃ particle with size of 50 μ from 6 mm above the surface with pressure of 50 Psi for 60 sec.

Group 3 (Er:YAG laser): the surface was prepared by Er:YAG laser (US20D, DEKA, Italy) with wavelength of 2940 nm, output power of 3W, energy of 150 mJ and frequency of 20 Hz for 20 sec. The irradiation was accompanied by water and air spray in non-contact mode with a distance of 4 mm above the surface and pulse duration of 450 μ sec. The energy density was 30 J/cm².

Group 4 (Er:YAG laser + Air abrasion): the surface was irradiated by Er:YAG laser with same parameters of group 3. Then, the surface was air abraded as done in group 2 for 10 sec.

Then, the samples were acid-etched for 15 sec, rinsed for 10 sec and air-dried. In all samples, single bond was used and cured for 20 sec. Tygon tube with diameter of 3 mm was placed on dentin surface and filled incrementally by composite to produce a cylindrical shape with 3 mm height. After 24 hours, the tygon tube was removed and samples were placed in incubator (37°C) for 1 week. Then, the shear bond strength of composite to dentin were measured by universal testing machine (STM, Santam, Tehran, Iran) with crosshead speed of 0.5 mm. The data were

analyzed by 2-way Anova. T-test and bone feroni adjustment were used for evaluation of differences between groups.

Results

The mean and standard deviation of shear bond strength of 4 groups were 20.8±6.76, 14.98±3.98, 11.43±4.36 and 14.95± 3.18 MPa, respectively. Group 3 showed no significant difference with group 2 (P=0.054) and group 4 (P=0.987) but group 1 showed significant difference with group 3 (P=0.03) and group2 (P=0.02).

Discussion

Recently, new techniques were introduced for caries removal. One of the main goals in these techniques is providing less damage to tooth structure during caries removal^{11, 12}. Among new techniques, Er:YAG laser showed promising results¹³.

The results of present study showed that air abrasion after laser treatment could improve the effect of laser irradiation on surface and increase the shear bond strength up to air abrasion group.

In agreement with these results, Souza-Zaroni et al. in assessing shear bond strength of laser prepared teeth concluded that there was significant difference between shear bond strength of composite resin to dentin surface in laser and bur prepared groups¹⁴.

This difference between bur and laser group can be attributed to morphological changes of dentin surface and mechanism of adhesion to dentin¹⁵. Tooth preparation by bur or air abrasion is accompanied by smear layer production. This layer contains enamel and dentin debris, caries particles and bacteria which prevents resin penetration in to dentinal tubules¹⁶. Acid-etching leads to smear layer and smear plug demineralization and increases porosity of intertubular dentin. Also, the surface energy of smear layer is low which results in lower tendency for adhesion to enamel or dentin¹⁵. When dentin surface is ablated by laser, no smear layer is produced and some melting area can be observed that decrease the penetration of adhesive agents. Also, irregularities prevent suitable wetting of surface by adhesive agents¹⁴.

Ceballo et al. reported that dentin ablation by Er:YAG laser led to fusion and adhesion of collagen fibrils, so resin penetration to subsurface of interfibril space is limited that is considered as a main factor in

reduced shear bond strength of laser treated surface compared to acid-etched ones¹⁵. The mechanism of bonding in rotary and acid-etch technique is mainly micromechanical but in laser irradiated surfaces, it is related to penetration of resin tags into dentinal tubules that has a small portion in adhesive bonding forces in normal hybrid dentin¹⁷. Another reason for reduced bond strength is trapped water in irregularities formed by lasers. After air drying, it may remain and reduce the etching process^{18,19}. According to results, changes in superficial layer of dentin irradiated by laser did not provide suitable condition for composite bonding; therefore air abrasion as a conservative method was used for surface layer removal. Studies showed that air abrasion produced rough irregularities and developed the surface area followed by increase in mechanical retention and total surface, but there is a possibility that Al₂O₃ particles have a negative effect on penetration of adhesive to dentin which explained the lower shear bond strength of air abrasion group compared to bur group. SEM evaluation of air abraded surface showed smear layer that should be removed for higher bond strength¹⁰.

As a final point, different parameters of Er:YAG laser in various studies were used with controversial results^{10,14}. There is need for more studies to standardize the parameters which are suitable for dentin preparation. Also, finding the adhesive agent that can best interact with laser prepared surface is required.

Conclusion

According to results, bur group showed the highest bond strength among groups. On the other hand, air abrasion after laser treatment improved the shear bond strength compared to laser group alone.

Acknowledgement

This research project (code 89-02-97-10666) was approved by Laser Research Center of Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, which hereby is appreciated from supporting this center.

References

1. Bagramian RA, Garcia-Godoy F, Volpe AR. The global increase in dental caries; a pending public crisis. *Am J Dent* 2009; 22: 3-8

2. Antunes LA, Pedro RL, Viera AS, Maia LC. Effectiveness of high speed instrument air abrasion on different dental substrates. *Braz Oral Res* 2008; 22: 235-41
3. De Moor RJG, Delmé KIM, Laser-assisted Cavity Preparation and Adhesion to Erbium-lased Tooth Structure: Part 1. Laser-assisted Cavity Preparation, *J Adhes Dent* 2009; 11: 427-38.
4. Christensen GJ. Cavity preparation: cutting or abrasion? *J Am Dent Assoc* 1996; 127:1651-4.
5. Shahabi S, Chiniforush N, Juybanpoor N. Morphological Changes of Human Dentin after Erbium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet (Er:YAG) and Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Laser Irradiation and Acid-etch Technique: An scanning electron microscopic (SEM) Evaluation. *J Lasers Med Sci* 2013; 4(1):48-52.
6. Shahabi S, Chiniforush N, Bahramian H, Monzavi A, Baghalian A, Kharazifard MJ. The effect of erbium family laser on tensile bond Strength of composite to dentin in comparison with conventional method. *Lasers Med Sci* 2013;28(1):139-42.
7. Delmé K, Meire M, De Bruyne M, Nammour S, De Moor R. [Cavity preparation using an Er:YAG laser in the adult dentition]. *Rev Belge Med Dent* (1984) 2009; 64:71-80. French.
8. Bader C, Krejci I. Indications and limitations of Er:YAG laser applications in dentistry. *Am J Dent* 2006;19:178-86.
9. Tseng WY, Chen MH, Lu HH, Lin CW, Hsieh TT, Chen CH, et al. Tensile bond strength of Er, Cr: YSGG laser-irradiated human dentin to composite inlays with two resin cements. *Dent Mater J* 2007; 26: 746-55.
10. Chinelatti MA, Corona SAM, Borsatto MC, Garcia L, Ramos RP, Palma-Dibb RG. Analysis of surfaces and adhesive interfaces of enamel and dentin after different treatments. *J Mater Sci: Mater Med* 2007; 18:1465-70.
11. Ericson D. The concept of minimally invasive dentistry. *Dent Update* 2007;34:9-10,12-4,17-8.
12. Murdoch-Kinch CA, McLean ME. Minimally invasive dentistry. *J Am Dent. Assoc* 2003;134:87-95.
13. Nokhbatolfoghahaie H, Chiniforush N, Shahabi S, Monzavi A. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Evaluation of Tooth Surface Irradiated by Different Parameters of Erbium: Yttrium Aluminium Garnet(Er:Yag) Laser. *J Lasers Med Sci* 2012; 3(2):51-5.
14. Souza-Zaroni WC, Chinelatti MA, Delfino CS, Pécora JD, Palma-Dibb RG, Corona SA. Adhesion of a self-etching system to dental substrate prepared by Er:YAG laser or air abrasion. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2008;86(2):321-9.
15. Ceballos L, Toledano M, Osorio R, Tay FR, Marshall GW. Bonding to Er-YAG-laser-treated dentin. *J Dent Res* 2002; 81: 119-22.
16. Trajtenberg CP, Pereira PN, Powers JM. Resin bond strength and micromorphology of human teeth prepared with an Erbium:YAG laser. *Am J Dent*. 2004;17: 331-6.
17. Van Meerbeek B, Inokoshi S, Braem M, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G. Morphological aspects of the resin-dentin

- interdiffusion zone with different dentin adhesive systems.
J Dent Res 1992;71:1530–40.
18. Aoki A, Ishikawa I, Yamada T, Otsuki M, Watanabe H, Tagami J, et al. Comparison between Er:YAG laser and conventional technique for root caries treatment in vitro. J Dent Res 1998;77: 1404–14.
19. Martinez-Insua A, da Silva Dominguez L, Rivera FG, Santana-Penin UA. Differences in bonding to acid-etched or Er:YAG-laser-treated enamel and dentin surfaces. J Prosthet Dent 2000;84:280–8.

Archive of SID