Int. J. Manag. Bus. Res., 1 (1), 1-8, Winter 2011 © IAU

Emotional Intelligence and Higher Education Management

*Kamran Mohamadkhani

* Department of Higher Education Management, School of Management and Economics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Tehran, Iran

Received 28 June 2010; Accepted 11 October 2010

ABSTRACT: This research aimed at identifying the role of emotional intelligence (EI) -based management in improving faculty effectiveness. Research methodology was descriptive-survey of exploratory type. Statistical population were the faculty members in the Islamic Azad University branches across Tehran (N = 3500). The subjects of the study (sample) consisted of 351 faculty members selected through stratified random method. Data were collected via two researcher-administrated questionnaires. Statistical operations employed for data analysis included: Independent T-test, Wilkoxon Test, Variance Analysis and Factor Analysis. Findings showed that there are 10 EI-based management factors which determine faculty effectiveness. Results also suggested a significant difference between desirable and current situations in Islamic Azad universities

Keywords: Emotional intelligence, Higher education management, Faculty members

INTRODUCTION

As complicated social institutions, universities differ from the other human systems in many ways; among their features one can name the complicated objectives, difficult job processes, academic freedom, autonomy as well as the unique structure of the university which serves the students who are both the customers and the products of the system (Arasteh, 2005). Higher education institutions fall into a distinctive class of organizations whose predominant characteristics are ambiguity and conflict (Lindsay, 1983). Higher education institutions, colleges and universities are viewed as examples of organized anarchies and loosely coupled systems (Ecker and George, 1979). According to Cohen and March (1974) as cited in Ellström (1983) in an organized anarchy the college president faces the following four fundamental ambiguities: the ambiguity of purpose—in terms of how actions can be justified and how organizational goals are defined; the ambiguity of power—in terms of what the president can accomplish and how powerful he/she is; the ambiguity of experience—in terms of the learning legacy of the presidency; and the ambiguity of success—in terms of when a president is deemed successful and how he/she

assesses his/her pleasures. Faculty members, who are the major sources of science production, work in such an organization where the academic values and the bureaucratic laws of university contradict (Arasteh, 2005).

Generally speaking, taking the faculty specific responsibilities into account, a faculty member should be provided with a positive and supportive environment in which he/she feels calm enough to become effective in fulfilling his/her academic duties. The role of higher education management in creating such an environment is of prime important. Leaders are in charge of creating a shared faculty vision, and are responsible for developing an organizational climate which is conducive to motivating and developing faculty members. Moreover, they should create a supportive communication climate through emphasizing listening skills, thus demonstrating their respect and empowerment of faculty members. The heads of departments as managers are required to motivate, evaluate, reward, and provide development opportunities for their faculty members. The success of an academic manager in performing such activities directly affects their faculty members' attitudes,

^{*}Corresponding Author, Email: k.kamran@srbiau.ac.ir

behaviors, and performance. Therefore, it can be said that is the collective attitudes, behaviors, and performances of faculty defines the organizational climate of the university and faculty effectiveness.

The appropriate university management style develops based on the tasks which are essentially different from those of the other organizations. There is an inherent ambiguity in the leadership and the administration of these organizations (Ecker and George, 1979) which asks for a specific management style. Emotionally intelligent management style proposed in this study includes such components as self-leadership, participation, flexibility and people skills. It is stated that people skills is a major component of the concept of effective leadership in higher education (Spendlove, 2007).

The following paper examines the impact of emotionally intelligent university management on faculty effectiveness.

Literature Review

The theory of emotional intelligence has taken shape in the last two decades (Bar-On, 1997). Although there is an abundance of research on emotional intelligence (Goleman, 1995; Bar-On, 1997; Cooper and Sawaf, 1997; Goleman, 1998; and Goleman et al., 2002) its relationship to leadership (Cooper and Sawaf, 1997; Goleman, 1998; Goleman et al., 2002) and its impact on organizational climate in corporations (Cherniss and Goleman, 2001; Goleman et al., 2002), little research exists on emotional intelligence and its relationship to higher education leadership and organizational climate (Astin and Astin, 2000; Hopper, 2005). The present researcher has made an attempt at presenting some of these findings on the related components.

Sitter (2004) examined the behaviors associated with emotional intelligence (EI) to determine if there were specific dimensions of a leader's EI predicting the development of an employees' trust in their leader and willingness to perform organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). The results revealed that a leader's self-appraisal of emotion accounted for 21.5% of the variance in an employees' affect-based trust. This study also indicated that use of emotion marginally contribute to an employee's performance of OCB (2.9%). The results indicated that a leader's ability to appraise and express emotion was instrumental in the development of an employees' affect-based trust in their leader in the organizations.

In the study conducted by Hwang (2007), the question posed concerned the possibility of a significant relation between emotional intelligence skills and teaching effectiveness. Findings of the study provided professionals with data on the relation between the emotional intelligence skills in teaching effectiveness and career excellence. He then suggested that academic institutions need to provide lifelong learning programs on emotional intelligence skills in order to facilitate the development of a harmonious learning environment.

Haskett (2003) attempts to put emphasis on the emotions that differentiate faculties in higher education institutions. This study compared 86 Teaching Award winners to a random sample of 200 non-award winning faculty members at one institution. The data included self-reports on both the "Seven Principles," and EQ. The three statistical procedures of MANOVA, discriminate analysis, and multiple regression were utilized in this study based on the focus of the research objectives. Based on the results of the study a significant link was found between specific EQ competencies and behaviors of effective teaching measured by the "Seven Principles." A comparison of the extent of the utilization of the "Seven Principles" by the two groups did not reveal a significant difference among the EQ sub-scores. Based on these findings it became possible to conclude that not only the actions/ behaviors taken by faculty are important but also the underlying attitudes behind the actions influence effective teaching considerably. Additional findings revealed that the EQ sub-score of general mood was a significant determinant of Teaching Award winning faculty.

Tod (2006) tried to determine whether studentteacher performance was associated with emotional intelligence (EI). The results indicated that EI and College Supervisors' assessments of student teacher performance were significantly related.

Medley and Mitzel (2007) examined the relationships between measures of teacher effectiveness and teacher behavior variables obtained through a study on the graduates of a coordinated teacher preparation program in the municipal colleges of New York City. The study was based on a sample group of 49 teachers and employed five variables purporting to measure aspects of teacher effectiveness, three measuring dimensions of classroom behavior, and a number of variables designed to control extraneous variation. The five

measures of effectiveness were found to center around the distinct aspects of effectiveness. Supervisory ratings and pupils' reactions to their teachers appeared to reflect the teachers' ability to get along with students; teachers' self-ratings and measures of pupil gains (in reading and social skill) appeared to reflect effectiveness in stimulating pupils to improve their reading skills. It was found that measured gains in reading and gains in-group problem solving skills were not related to recorded classroom behaviors of teachers and pupils. Pupil-teacher rapport was found to be related to emotional climate most probably to verbal emphasis in classroom behavior. Supervisors rated those teachers who had the friendliest classroom as most effective.

Moafian et al., (2009) examined the relationship between Iranian EFL teachers' emotional intelligence and their self-efficacy in Language Institutes. To this end, 89 EFL teachers were selected from different Language Institutes in the city of Mashhad northeast Iran. The participants were asked to complete the "Teachers' Sense of Efficacy Scale" and the "Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire". Data analysis and statistical calculations revealed that there is a significant relationship between the teachers' emotional intelligence and their self-efficacy.

"Group Emotional Contagion, the Transfer of Moods among People in a Group, and its Influence on Work Group Dynamics" was examined by Barsade (2000) in a laboratory study of managerial decision making using multiple, convergent measures of mood, individual attitudes, behavior, and group-level dynamics. Using a 2 × 2 experimental design, with a trained confederate enacting mood conditions, the predicted effect of emotional contagion was found among group members, using both outside coders' ratings of participants' mood and participants' selfreported mood. No hypothesized differences in contagion effects due to the degree of pleasantness of the mood expressed and the energy level with which it was conveyed were found. There was a significant influence of emotional contagion on individual-level attitudes and group processes. As predicted, the positive emotional contagion group members experienced improved cooperation, decreased conflict, and increased perceived task performance.

The results of the study by Hopper (2005) demonstrated that the traits associated with Goleman's (1998) framework of emotional intelligence are relevant

to a discussion of the best qualifications for (college) library directors.

Concerning the above-mentioned researches conducted in different fields and components of EI, the present study seeks to answer the following question:

- Is there any link between EIM (Emotional Intelligent Management) in universities and the effectiveness of faculty members?

Research Objectives

Research objectives in this paper are as follow:

1-To identify the components of the desirable emotional intelligent management (EIM) in different campuses of Islamic Azad University (IAU).

2-To identify the current situation in zone eight campuses of IAU in terms of EIM.

Research Questions

Research questions in this paper are:

1-What are the components of the desirable emotional intelligent management (EIM) in different campuses of Islamic Azad University (IAU)?

2-What is the current situation of zone eight campuses like in terms of EIM?

RESEARCH METHOD

The design in used to answer the research questions was a descriptive-analytical survey. The target population of the study comprised of faculty members in zone eight campuses of the Islamic Azad University (IAU) consisting of 10 campuses of Tehran North, Tehran South, Tehran Center, Tehran East, Tehran West, Tehran Science & Research, Tehran Medical Sciences, Shahre Rey, Eslamshahr and Parand with about 3500 faculty members. The sampling method was stratified and the subjects consisted of 341 faculties from different schools and departments of the universities under the study. To ensure reliability the questionnaire was retested among a sample of 30 experts with an interval of 14 days.

The total numbers of male and female faculty members in this study were 222 and 119 respectively. It included 200 (57.9%) tenured and almost 145 (41.9%) non-tenured faculty members. The participants were 39 full professors (11.4%), 32 associate professors (9.3%), 136 assistant professors (39.8%) and 134 lecturers (39.2%). There were 23 faculty members (6.7%) engaged in management positions in the sample group.

In order to construct an EIM questionnaire on faculty effectiveness, the related literature was extensively reviewed and EIM variables influencing faculty effectiveness were identified. Several questions were developed to collect data in both current situation (situation A) and desirable situation (situation B).

The self-administered designed questionnaire intended to identify the specific EIM variables in IAU through scoring the suggested variables in five Likert scale. It also aimed at evaluating the current situation of the selected campuses as well as the IAU in terms of the EIM variables. Finally, it was prepared in order to determine whether there exists a link between EIM variables and faculty effectiveness. (For the complete scale, please see appendix).

Face and content validity of the constructed questionnaire was then confirmed through expert view of 15 specialists. To ensure questionnaire reliability, test-retest method and Cronbach Alpha were used. The data was collected through answers given by a small group of 15 faculty members and after 3 weeks the same questionnaire was used to test the same group. Retest quotient of all components in situation A and situation B were over 0.89 and 0.62 respectively. Cronbach Alpha quotient of all components in both situations was 0.98.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed through the SPSS program using Wilkoxon test, ANOVA and factor analysis. Wilkoxon test was used to compare the means of EIM components in situation A and situation B. ANOVA was used to compare the means and factor analysis was used to identify the EIM components.

RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION

To answer the first research question: "What are the components of the desirable emotionally intelligent management (EIM) in different campuses of the Islamic Azad university (IAU?" factor analysis was used. The results were self-leadership, moral, trust, conscientiousness, flexibility, participation, empowerment, capacity building, communication and motivation. Table 1 presents the findings.

To answer the second research question: "What is the current situation of zone eight campuses like in terms of EIM?" a one-sample T-Test was used. As table 2 indicates, there is a meaningful difference (p< 0.05) between the current performance of management in IAU and the desirable situation leading to the faculty effectiveness. Table 3 shows different variances in situation A and situation B.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of the present study was to investigate whether emotionally intelligent management (EIM) could affect the faculty effectiveness. To the researcher's knowledge, this study is the first instance of such an investigation. This research investigated the effect of a newly introduced academic management (EIM) style on the faculty effectiveness, whereas emotional intelligence (EI) used to be studied just as a set of individual skills and characteristics in relation with another variable(s) in previous studies.

The major finding of the study was the identification of 10 components of EIM, which contribute to the improvement of the faculty members'effectiveness. This finding is significant because the components reflect the faculty members' viewpoints and is tailored specifically to meet their own requirements.

Another finding of the study was that there existed a significant difference between the current level of management performance in the IAU and the desirable situation, which has led to a negative impact on faculty effectiveness.

This study breaks new ground and leaves ample room for future research on its findings. First it must taken into account that the study was carried out in just 10 campuses of the Islamic Azad University (IAU) and did not include the state-run universities, therefore, it is not easy to generalize on the basis of its findings. Second, there were not related models or frameworks at the researcher's disposal. Third, faculty members' conservative and moderate responses to the questions might be regarded a limitation to the study.

Research on EIM and faculty effectiveness may identify new sets of emotion-based skills, which could be used in higher education management training and development programs to enhance both management and faculty effectiveness. The knowledge gained from research into EIM and faculty effectiveness may increase the understanding of the higher education management and help produce powerful tools for the selection, training and development of higher education managers, potentially enhancing emotional climates and faculty effectiveness.

Table 1: EIM Factors

Factors	Questions	Mean	Standard Deviation	Cronbach's Alpha	Factor Load			
1. Self leadership	My head of department welcomes feedbacks from faculty members.	3.42	1.129	0.833	0.596			
	2. My head of department is able to control his/her emotions.	3.62	1.029		0.607			
	3. My head of department is aware of his/her emotions and their effects on the colleagues.	3.43	1.072		0.581			
	4. My head of department is self confident in making decisions.	3.62	0.972		0.778			
	5. My head of department is fair in treating the colleagues.	3.67	1.076		0.802			
	6. My head of department is honest in his/her speeches, behaviors and performances.7. My head of department is modest.		1.037		0.799			
			1.017		0.846			
2. Moral	19.My faculty dean uses admirable, courteous and appreciative	3.87 3.29	1.272		0.443			
Ĭ	language.			0.841				
6	20.My head of department usually shows a sense of humor.	3.03	1.234		0.727			
	23.My university president behavior towards faculty members is respectful.	3.17	1.329		0.602			
	29.My university president tries to institutionalize the respect for faculty members.	3.23	1.193		0.800			
	14.My head of department shows achievement drive, optimism and positive thinking.	3.57	1.075		0.598			
3. Trust	15.My head of department shows happiness and satisfaction with department's faculty members.	3.45	1.091		0.593			
3. Т	41.Department of education usually tries to soften the faculty-related policies.	3.09	1.386	0.736	0.858			
	42. Financial and administration department appears to increase trust and confidence in faculty members.	2.44	1.217		0.765			
	8.My head of department is faithful and true to his/her promises. 9. Financial and administration department usually gives quick	3.74	1.024		0.763			
	responses to the faculties' applications.	2.86	1.284		0.667			
ıess	10.My head of department is conscientious.	3.69	1.054	0.861	0.636			
æ	11.My head of department thoroughly supports me.	3.44	1.069		0.663			
ë.	28. Financial department is quick in paying my tuition fee, etc.	2.74	1.421		0.767			
nsci	45.My head of department is sincere in serving faculty members.	3.59	1.172		0.637			
4. Consciences ness	46.My head of department can predict my organizational needs. 47.Our research department issues the correct, quick and thorough directives for promotion.	3.01 2.93	1.134 1.198		0.732 0.766			
	48.Our research department announces the research plans thoroughly and quickly.	2.80	1.211		0.776			
	12.My university president seems to request all to be flexible with	2.93	1.183		0.668			
Flexibility	faculty members. 13.My head of department shows flexibility in his/her management.	3.26	1.013		0.549			
xib	44. Financial and administration officials behave towards faculties emphatically.	2.38	1.284		0.790			
Fle	60.Research department is quick in publishing my papers.	2.52	1.287		0.801			
ń	67.My university president seems to make attempts to change the structures towards less complexity, formality and centralization.		1.251	0.831	0.666			
6.Participation	40.My university president delegates authorities to the young faculty members.	3.12	1.252	-	0.641			
	52.My faculty dean conducts our opinions of the faculty subjects.	2.50	1.350		0.701			
	53.My head of department conducts our opinions of the department's subjects.	3.15	1.183		0.776			
rtic	54.My head of department encourages us to have joint productions.		1.228		0.778			
6.Par	63.My university president encourages diversity in appointment,	2.95	1.262	0.836	0.988			
	recruitment, etc. 70.My head of department conducts our opinions in developing the department's strategic plan	2.82	1.222		0.744			

K. Mohamadkhani

Overall	70 Questions	204.7	54.33	0.98	
	 Our research department support the faculty researchers by using the appropriate incentives. 	2.57	1.305		0.776
10. Motivation	30.My university president usually holds honoring meetings for distinguished professors.	2.87	1.266	0.79	0.715
tivatio	27.My faculty dean tries his/her best to provide suitable offices with faculty members.	2.62	1.245		0.782
=	26.Financial and administration department's actions are motivating me, e.g. by giving quick loans and the other facilities.	2.67	1.329		0.774
σ΄ 	69.My university president's speech and behavior is inspiring.	2.00			
	66.My faculty dean holds occasional friendly discussion meetings in order to control the conflicts.	2.44	1.299		0.782
	65.My head of department tries to avoid the destructive competitions by creating a fair, cooperative and friendly atmosphere in the department.	3.09	1.302		0.776
.C	overseas universities.	2.51	1.354		0.836
mm	good listener. 61.My head of department tries to make a cooperation with the business. 62.My university president appears to try to make scientific relations with		1.182		0.703
rumic			1.159	0.893	0.687
9.Communicaaaations	of the semesters 43.My head of department listens openly to the faculty members. She/He is a	2.20 3.33	1.265		0.888
tions	members. 35.My faculty dean holds welcome and familiarization meetings at the beginning				
	space and environment (campus) language, e.g. colors, decorations, etc. 34.My head of department holds occasional friendly meetings with department	2.27	1.209		0.856
	22.My head of department is good in non-verbal communication.24.My university president appears to make organizational benefits out of the	2.81	1.188 1.311	0.073	0.856 0.719
	21.My head of department has an attractive personality.	3.47 3.39	1.158	0.893	0.815
	members and uses the strengths to achieve the goals.	3.10	1.076		0.763
	50.My head of department uses the faculties' different capabilities. 51.My head of department identifies strengths and weaknesses of the faculty	3.37	1.042		0.765
8.Caj	64.My university president seems to support interdisciplinaries.		1.298	0.872	0.806
	33.My university president seems to use the facilities of faculties for establishing clinics, kindergartens, etc. in the campus.	1.67	1.158	0.872	0.890
ıpacit	32.My university president tries to build capacity through holding informal meetings, parties, etc.	2.29	1.292		0.787
8.Capacity building	49.My faculty dean is aware of the political and organizational powers in the university.	2.59	1.179		0.706
ding	18.My university president seems to be initiative in big ideas like sport or scientific Olympiads.	2.79	1.276		0.649
	17.My faculty dean tries to implement the appropriate findings of different departments in managing the faculty.	2.81	1.218		0.621
	department goals.	3.02	1.097		0.693
	68.Our education department makes attempts to empower the faculty members with modern technologies of teaching. 16.My head of department initiates the innovative methods to achieve the	2.76	1.197		0.807
	digital library, etc.	2.93	1.139		0.797
	58.My faculty dean usually holds scientific seminars, conferences, etc. 59.Our research department seems to improve research instruments like: internet,	2.79	1.153		0.701
7. Empowerment	57.My head of department encourages the young colleagues to assist him/her in researching, teaching, etc.	2.24	1.175		0.770
	56.My head of department cares about developing the scholars of the department.	2.62	1.277	0.901	0.731
	empower us.	2.79	1.261		0.756
	38.My university president tries to provide faculty members with role models. 55.My head of department identifies our strengths and weaknesses and tries to	1.94	1.155		0.788
	37.My faculty dean seems to make attempts to provide a teachers' pavilion.	2.17	1.247		0.944
	36.My faculty dean seems to make attempts to provide the guest teachers with the most equipped teachers' room.	2.48	1.258		0.795
	distinguished professors.	2.68	1.279		0.751
	25.My head of department inspires us with self confidence. 31.My university president seems to make attempts to employ or invite the most	3.00	1.177		0.716

Table 2: Means.	Standard Deviations,	Test Values	T. Tests and	Sig.s of EIM	Components
Table 2. Micans,	Bianuaru Divianions,	icsi vaiucs,	1. Itsis and	Dig.s of Limi	Components

Components	No. of item	Mean	S.D.	Test Value	T. test	Sig.
Self leadership	4	14.10	3.434	12	11.47	0.001
Moral	7	24.15	5.86	21	10.7	0.001
Trust	4	12.55	3.580	12	2.87	0.004
Conscientiousness	9	28.81	7.31	27	4.63	0.001
Flexibility	5	13.58	4.66	15	-5.7	0.001
Participation	6	17.04	5.56	18	-3.24	0.001
Empowerment	11	28.39	9.44	33	-9.139	0.001
Capacity building	9	24.58	7.498	27	-6.05	0.001
Communication	11	30.75	9.67	33	-4.36	0.001
Motivation	4	10.72	4.02	12	-5.95	0.001

Table 3: Variances in Situation A and Situation B

G .	Variance	amount	Variance per cent		
Components	Current Situation (A) Desirable effective situation (B)		Current Situation (A)	Desirable effective situation (B)	
Self leadership	11.79	7.98	2.81	2.32	
Moral	34.32	18.17	8.17	5.30	
Trust	12.82	6.83	3.05	1.99	
Conscientiousness	53.42	38.29	12.7	11.17	
Flexibility	21.76	16.15	5.18	4.71	
Participation	30.97	23.83	7.38	6.95	
Empowerment	89.19	95.30	21.22	27.82	
Capacity building	56.23	46.21	13.38	13.49	
Communication	93.61	74.51	22.27	21.75	
Motivation	16.71	15.25	3.85	4.45	

REFERENCES

Araste, H.R., (2005). Higher education Management and Leadership, *The Iranian Encyclopedia of Higher Education*, Vol. 1, Tehran, pp.,561-570.

Astin, A.W.and H. S. Astin. (2000). Leadership reconsidered: Engaging higher education in social change, Battle Cree, Michigan: WK Kelllogg Foundation.

Bar-On, R. (1997). The Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-1): Technical Manual, Multi-Health Systems, Toronto, Canada.

Barsade, S. G. (2000). The ripple effect: Emotional Contagion in Groups, working paper: New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Cherniss, C. and Golman, D., (2001). *The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace*, Chapter 7, The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace.

Cohen, M., and March, J., G., (1974). Leadership and Ambiguity, Harvard Business School Press, Boston.

Cooper, R.K. and Sawaf, A.(1997). Executive EQ: Emotional Intelligence in Leadership and Organizations, Grosset/Putman, New York, NY.

Ecker, G. (1979). Administration in Higher Education: Making the most of ambiguity. *Review of Higher Education*, 3, pp. 23-31.

Ellström, P.E. (1983). Four Faces of the Educational Organizations. *Higher Education Journal*, 12, pp. 231-241.

Golman, D. (1995), *Emotional Intelligence*, New York: Bantam.

Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with Emotional Intelligence*, Bantam Books, New York, NY.

Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., and Mckee, A. (2002). Clustering Competence in emotional insights from the emotional competence inventory (ECI), from the world wide web http:www.eiconsortium.org, (December 26, 2007).

Haskett, R.A., Emotional intelligence and teaching success in higher education, Ed. D, Indiana University, 2003, Available: http://proquest.umi.com, (November 14, 2007).

Hooper A., and Potter J. (1997). *The Business of Leadership*, London: Ashgate Publishing Co.

- Hwang, Fei-Fei, The Relationship between emotional Intelligence and Teaching Effectiveness, PhD dissertation, Texas A&M University- Kingsville, 2007, Available: http://proquest, umi.com/dissertations, (March 5, 2010).
- Lindsay, A., Ambiguity, (1983). Conflict and Institutional Review. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 5, pp.154-160.
- Medley, D.M. and Mitzel, H.E., (2007). Some behavioral correlates of teacher effectiveness, Science Direct, Vol.50, Issue 6, pp. 239-246, Available: H:/Dig/Science Direct, (December 2, 2007).
- Moafian, (2009), The Relationship between Iranian EFL Teachers' Emotional Intelligence and Their Self-Efficacy in Language Institutes, Science Direct.
- Sitter, V., L., (2004), The Effects of a Leader's Emotional Intelligence on Employees' Trust in their Leader and Employee Organizational Citizenship Behaviors, PhD. Dissertation in Organizational Leadership, Regent University. Available: http://proquest, umi.com/dissertations, (April 26, 2007).
- Spendlove, M. (2007). Competence for Effective Leadership in Higher Education, *International Journal of Educational Management*, 21, pp. 407-418.
- Todd, L. D., (2006), The relationship between emotional intelligence and student teacher performance, PhD. Dissertation in education, University of Nebraska, Available: http://proquest.umi.com/, (June 9, 2007).