

Short Paper

Seroprevalence of leptospirosis among suspected cattle in eastern part of India: a comparative study between rLipL32ELISA and MAT

Behera, S. K.^{1*}; Sabarinath, T.²; Kumar, A.³; Das, S. C.⁴; Palai, T. K.⁵; Patra, D.⁶ and Chaudhuri, P.²

¹Ph.D. Student, Division of Veterinary Public Health, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP-243122, India; ²Division of Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP-243122, India; ³Division of Veterinary Public Health, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP-243122, India; ⁴Division of Veterinary Public Health, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Eastern Regional Station, Kolkata, India; ⁵Division of Veterinary Biochemistry, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP-243122, India; ⁶Division of Pathology, Animal Disease Research Institute, Cuttack, India

*Correspondence: S. K. Behera, Ph.D. Student, Division of Veterinary Public Health, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP-243122, India. E-mail: sujitbehra@gmail.com

(Received 19 May 2013; revised version 6 Feb 2014; accepted 25 Feb 2014)

Summary

Leptospirosis in cattle is a worldwide problem associated with abortion, agalactia, still birth and infertility resulting in major economic losses to dairy industry. There is lack of data regarding seroprevalence of bovine leptospirosis in eastern India. So, with the aim to investigate the prevalence of the disease in Odisha and West Bengal state of eastern India, a total of 350 cattle serum samples were collected with distinct geographical attributes having history of infertility, abortion, and haemagalactia. Serum samples were tested by microscopic agglutination test (MAT) using a battery of twelve *Leptospira* serovars as live antigen to detect the serovars present in the studied area. Further a recombinant protein (LipL32) based ELISA was carried out for comparative study. Overall seropositivity using MAT and rLipL32ELISA were found to be 50.85% and 56%, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of rLipL32ELISA relative to MAT was calculated and found to be 100% and 89.53%, respectively. In the current study among the serovars, *icterohaemorrhagiae* was the most predominant serovar reported in this study. So to conclude, this study warrants further investigations in this area to establish the risk factors involved in disease transmission cycle.

Key words: Cattle, Leptospirosis, MAT, rELISA, India

Introduction

Leptospirosis in cattle is a worldwide problem associated with abortion, agalactia, still birth and infertility resulting in major economic losses to dairy industry (Faine *et al.*, 1999; Lilenbaum and Souza, 2003). The organism persists in the kidneys and genitals of animals without showing clinical signs of disease (Ellis *et al.*, 1986). Carrier cows often excrete leptospire in their urine and such animals are important sources of infection for other cows, for dairy farm workers and for other people (Waitkins, 1986). The disease is widely prevalent in different parts of India (Pirmanayangam *et al.*, 2001; Pushpa and Kumari, 2005; Mariya *et al.*, 2007; Balakrishnan *et al.*, 2011a). It has recently been recognized as a reemerging infectious disease among animals and humans and also has the potential to become even more prevalent with anticipated global warming (Kamath and Joshi, 2003; Yang, 2007). With sparse reports from the eastern part of India regarding the study of the prevalence of the disease, it was decided to include two eastern states like Odisha and West Bengal in this

study.

Gold standard test for *Leptospira* detection is microscopic agglutination test (MAT) (OIE, 2008). No single serological test is free of shortcomings. To overcome various short comings with MAT and other culture isolation methods, researchers have long been searching for a rapid, sensitive test for genus specific detection of antibodies and devised methodologies to characterize novel antigens present in the proteome of outer membrane of pathogenic *Leptospira* and make user friendly tests to study the seroprevalence rate of the disease. LipL32, LipL21, LipL41 are important leptospiral lipoproteins expressed only in pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. (Shang *et al.*, 1996). Recombinant LipL32 (rLipL32) is a major outer membrane protein which is the recent target antigen for carrying out immuno diagnosis (Flannery *et al.*, 2001; Dey *et al.*, 2004; Bomfim *et al.*, 2005). So, the present study was designed to determine the seropositivity of leptospirosis in cattle by using rLipL32ELISA and also to find the correlation of this test with gold standard MAT.

Materials and Methods

Animals and sampling

Cattle serum samples (n=350; 200 from Odisha and 150 from West Bengal) having distinct geographical conditions like coastal belt, plane area and hilly area with high relative humidity were collected during the period between September 2011 and February 2012. Of the 350 sera, approximately 10% of each herd in different village settings spanning over 20 different districts of both Odisha and West Bengal states was collected. Simultaneously, a questionnaire was made for each village to collect information regarding breed, age, sex of animals and management, sanitary practices at farm, etc.

The serum samples were collected from animals with history of infertility, abortion and haemagalactia, etc. No animal had history of earlier vaccination. Sera were kept in sterile micro centrifuge tubes and stored at -20°C until further use.

MAT and ELISA

The collected serum samples were tested by MAT (OIE, 2008) for detecting antibodies using 12 leptospiral serovars viz. *australis*, *autumnalis*, *ballum*, *canicola*, *grippityphosa*, *hardjo*, *hebdomadis*, *icterohaemorrhagiae*, *pomona*, *pyrogenes*, *javanica* and *tarrasovi*. A 7–8-day-old culture grown in Ellinghausen McCullough Johnson Harris (EMJH) medium containing approximately 2×10^8 live *Leptospira*/ml were used as antigen in the study. These serovars used as antigens were maintained in Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology Division, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, India. Sera showing titer more than or equal to 1:100 were considered to be positive.

Recombinant LipL32 antigen was availed from Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology Division, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, India. Indirect recombinant ELISA was done as per the method adopted by Bomfim *et al.* (2005) with slight modifications. The optimum concentration of the antigen for coating the plates as well as serum was attained by checker board method. The cut off value for positive sample was taken as average of ten known negative samples OD \pm 2 SD.

Statistical analysis

The relative sensitivity, specificity and concordance value of the recombinant LipL32 antigen based ELISA for the detection of anti-leptospiral antibodies in cattle sera were compared with MAT as per the statistical method described by Thursfield (1995).

$$\% \text{ Sensitivity} = a/(a+c) \times 100$$

where,

a: The number of serum samples positive by both ELISA and MAT

c: The number of serum samples positive by MAT but negative by ELISA

$$\% \text{ Specificity} = d/(b+d) \times 100$$

where,

d: The number of serum samples negative by both ELISA and MAT

b: The number of serum samples negative by MAT but positive by ELISA

$$\text{Concordance} = (a+d)/(a+b+c+d) \times 100$$

Results

In this study, overall seroprevalence of leptospirosis in cattle was found to be 50.85% and 56% by MAT and rLipL32ELISA, respectively. In addition to this, Odisha (55.5%) had more seroprevalence than its counterpart West Bengal (44.66%) (Table 1).

In age wise seroprevalence, antibodies were detected more in older age (>5 years) cattle and least in animals of <6-month-old (Table 2).

In sexwise comparison study, out of 350 sera samples examined 119 were from male and 231 from female. Seropositivity for *Leptospira* was found to be frequent in female 120 (51.94%) and 132 (57.14%) than male 58 (48.73%) and 64 (53.78%) by both MAT and rLipL32ELISA, respectively.

Seropositivity among five breeds showed higher prevalence in nondescript (56.04%) and crossbred cattle (54.59%) than native breeds (Table 3).

In this study, most of the sera showed multiple serovar positive. *icterohaemorrhagiae* was recorded as

Table 1: State wise seroprevalence of bovine leptospirosis

State	No. of samples	MAT (%)	rLipL32ELISA (%)
Odisha	200	111 (55.5)	121 (60.5)
West Bengal	150	67 (44.66)	75 (50)
Total	350	178 (50.85)	196 (56)

Table 2: Age wise seroprevalence of leptospirosis in cattle

Age (yrs)	No. of samples	MAT (%)	rLipL32ELISA (%)
0.6-1.0	87	32 (36.78)	36 (41.37)
1.5-2.0	38	15 (39.47)	18 (47.37)
2.5-3.0	52	30 (57.69)	33 (63.46)
3.5-4.0	47	26 (55.31)	26 (55.31)
4.5-5	40	21 (52.5)	24 (60)
>5	86	54 (62.79)	57 (66.27)
Total	350	178 (50.85)	196 (56)

Table 3: Breed wise seroprevalence of leptospirosis in cattle

Breed	No. of samples	MAT (%)	rLipL32ELISA (%)
Cross Breed Jersey	185	101 (54.59)	108 (58.37)
Sahiwal	27	7 (25.92)	11 (40.74)
Gir	23	4 (17.34)	4 (17.34)
Holstein Friesian Cross	24	15 (62.5)	18 (75)
Non-descript	91	51 (56.04)	55 (60.34)
Total	350	178 (50.85)	196 (56)

Table 4: Seropositivity of different serovars

Serovar reported	No. of samples (Odisha)	No. of samples (West Bengal)	No. of samples and % seropositivity (overall)
<i>icterohaemorrhagiae</i>	69 (62.16%)	52 (77.61%)	121 (67.98%)
<i>hebdomadis</i>	48 (43.24%)	11 (16.41%)	59 (33.14%)
<i>grippotyphosa</i>	20 (18.01%)	32 (47.76%)	52 (29.21%)
<i>hardjo</i>	36 (32.43%)	10 (14.92%)	46 (25.84%)
<i>australis</i>	24 (21.62%)	0	24 (13.48%)
<i>pomona</i>	4 (3.06%)	2 (2.98%)	6 (3.37%)
<i>autumnalis</i>	0	2 (2.98%)	2 (1.12%)
<i>pyrogens</i>	0	1 (1.49%)	1 (0.56%)

Table 5: Comparative evaluation of rLipL32ELISA in comparison to MAT

Test	Microscopic agglutination test		Total
	Positive	Negative	
rLipL32ELISA			
Positive	178 (a)	18 (b)	196
Negative	00 (c)	154 (d)	154
Total	178	172	350

a: No. of sera positive by both the tests, b: No. of sera negative by MAT only, c: No. of sera positive by MAT only, and d: No. of sera negative by both tests. Specificity = 89.53%, sensitivity = 100%, concordance = 94.86%, and $k = 0.99$

the predominant serovars in West Bengal as well as in Odisha. The frequency distribution of serovars is illustrated in Table 4.

Sensitivity and specificity of rLipL32ELISA in comparison to gold standard MAT was 100% and 89.53%, respectively with k -value 0.99 (Table 5).

Discussion

Leptospirosis is a disease which remains undiagnosed in most of the cases in bovine causing severe reproductive and productive anomalies leading to significant economic loss to dairy industry. Serological tests like microscopic agglutination test (MAT), latex agglutination test (LAT) and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), specially Dot-ELISA and Indirect-ELISA are frequently used in detection of the disease. Though MAT is the gold standard test for leptospirosis diagnosis (OIE, 2008), it has certain limitations, it is a cumbersome and time taking procedure, it poses risks to laboratory personnel and is ineffectual to differentiate between acute and chronic infection. In recent years, recombinant based ELISA has become the preferred test compared to whole cell based ELISA as a serodiagnostic tool of leptospirosis due to better sensitivity and specificity (Dey *et al.*, 2004;

Bomfim *et al.*, 2005).

Overall seropositivity (Table 1) recorded in this study by MAT corroborates the findings of Natarajaseenivasan *et al.* (2002), Segura-Correa *et al.* (2003), Shafiqhi *et al.* (2010), and Balakrishnan *et al.* (2011a). However, much lower prevalence rate (1.59%) was also reported earlier by Rifatbegović and Maksimović (2011) which may be due to variation in sample size and randomization during sample collection. In state wise prevalence study, current result was not in agreement with the earlier finding reported by Mandal *et al.* (2008) in West Bengal state, but is in congruence with the study conducted by Biswal *et al.* (2000) in Odisha. Moreover, higher level of seroprevalence in Odisha (Table 1) might be associated with the factor that the serum samples under this study were mainly from coastal belt, hot and humid climatic regions and from animals with history of reproductive disorders and mastitis.

The present findings with respect to age wise prevalence (Table 2) are in accord with the earlier study of Salas (1986) and Prescott *et al.* (1988) who observed more seropositivity in older cattle. Similarly, on sex wise comparison it also supports the findings of earlier studies of Sharma *et al.* (2003), and Aslantas and Ozdemir (2005), however, contrary to the findings of Miller *et al.* (1991), Thiyaageswaran (2007), and Balakrishnan *et al.* (2011b). The age and sex distribution of cattle in this study indicates that seropositivity against *Leptospira* in male group was frequent in young and adult animals; however, there was the preponderance in females.

The seroprevalence in breed of cattle in this study is in concurrence with earlier studies like Varma *et al.* (2001), and Nagarajan (2005) who reported more seropositivity among cross breed cattle. It may be due to the low acclimatization factor of cross bred cattle in these environmental conditions and comparatively lower resistance to diseases.

icterohaemorrhagiae was recorded as the predominant serovar in West Bengal as well as in Odisha, that corroborates the findings of earlier studies in

India by Sawhney and Saxena (1967), and Srivastava and Kumar (2003) and in Trinidad by Suepaul *et al.* (2011). But the result is in partial variance with earlier findings in West Bengal and Odisha as *hardjo* was recorded as the predominant serovar (Biswal *et al.*, 2000; Mandal *et al.*, 2008). The evolvement of new serovar in contrast to earlier studies in these states may be due to environment and pathogen interaction during the considerable period of time between the present and earlier studies. In comparison, the specificity and sensitivity of rLipL32ELISA relative to MAT was calculated and was found to be 89.53% and 100%, respectively (Table 5). This finding is in agreement with Bomfim *et al.* (2005), and Srivastava *et al.* (2006). There is a possibility of false negative result in MAT as the sera under test may have antibody against one serovar for which the corresponding antigen (live culture of the corresponding serovar) is not included in the panel of test antigens. Under these circumstances, the serum samples that were found to be MAT negative may be positive in rLipL32ELISA. In earlier studies, many researchers reported higher sensitivity of ELISA than MAT (Thierman and Garet, 1983; Trueba *et al.*, 1990; Surujuballi *et al.*, 1995). Thus, in the perspective of the present study it may be explained that because of this advantageous property rLipL32ELISA could detect *Leptospira* specific non agglutinating antibodies in the 18 sera samples that were detected negative by MAT (Table 5). Concordance is greatly affected by sensitivity and specificity of the tests under consideration (Thursfield, 1995). In this study, concordance between tests was calculated to determine the agreement between the tests to understand whether this combination of serological tests can be applied for diagnosing the disease correctly. Strengthening the findings of relative sensitivity and specificity, concordance percentage between MAT and rLipL32ELISA was calculated to be 94.86%. For further evaluation of the tests kappa statistics has been applied. By applying kappa statistics, it was found that there was perfect agreement between two tests ($k=0.99$). This result showed that rLipL32ELISA was able to detect all the samples that were found positive by MAT.

In conclusion, *icterohaemorrhagiae* is the predominant serovar in case of cattle in two eastern India states. It warrants further studies in these states to establish the risk factors involved in disease transmission using randomized samples. This study's results also suggested that indirect ELISA using rLipL32 as antigen may be of higher sensitivity as well as specificity than MAT for detection of leptospiral antibodies in cattle. However, further studies with a wide range of samples from several other animal species with distinct temporospatial distribution may be helpful to validate the significance of this test in diagnosis of leptospirosis.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Director, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, India for providing

required funding and facilities to carry out the research work.

References

- Aslantas, O and Ozdemir, V (2005). Determination of the seroprevalence of leptospirosis in cattle by MAT and ELISA in Hatay, Turkey. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 29: 1019-1024.
- Balakrishnan, G; Roy, P; Govindarajan, R; Ramaaswamy, V and Murli Manohar, B (2011a). Bovine leptospirosis in Andhra Pradesh. *Ind. Vet. J.*, 88: 140-141.
- Balakrishnan, G; Roy, P; Govindarajan, R; Ramaaswamy, V and Murli Manohar, B (2011b). Seroepidemiological studies on leptospirosis among bovines in organized farm. *Intl. J. Agro. V. Med. Sci.*, 5: 511-519.
- Biswal, BC; Kar, BC; Rathnam, S; Babu, LS and Natrajaseenivasan, K (2000). Evidence of antibodies to *Leptospira* among farm cattle and farm workers in Orissa state. *Ind. Vet. J.*, 77: 622-623.
- Bomfim, MR; Ko, A and Koury, MC (2005). Evaluation of the recombinant LipL32 in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the serodiagnosis of bovine leptospirosis. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 109: 89-94.
- Dey, S; Mohan, CM; Kumar, TM; Ramadass, P; Mainer, AM and Nachimuthu, K (2004). Recombinant LipL32 antigen-based single serum dilution ELISA for detection of canine leptospirosis. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 103: 99-106.
- Ellis, WA; Songer, JG; Montgomery, J and Cassells, JA (1986). Prevalence of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *hardjo* in the genital and urinary tracts of non-pregnant cattle. *Vet. Rec.*, 118: 11-13.
- Faine, S; Adler, B; Bolin, C and Perolat, P (1999). *Leptospira and leptospirosis*. 2nd Edn., Melbourne, Australia, MedSci., PP: 138-139.
- Flannery, B; Costa, D; Carvalho, FP; Guerreiro, H; Matsunaga, J; Silva, ED; Ferreira, AGP; Riley, LW; Reis, MG; Haake, DA and Ko, AI (2001). Evaluation of recombinant *Leptospira* antigen-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for the serodiagnosis of leptospirosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 39: 3303-3310.
- Kamath, SA and Joshi, SR (2003). Re-emerging infections in urban India-focus leptospirosis. *J. Assoc. Physicians India*. 51: 247-248.
- Lielenbaum, W and Souza, GN (2003). Factors associated with bovine leptospirosis in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, 75: 249-251.
- Mandal, S; Noardar, SN and Chakraborty, D (2008). Evidence of antibodies to *Leptospira* species among farm cattle and farm workers in West Bengal. *Ind. J. Anim. Hlth.*, 47: 35-38.
- Mariya, R; Srivastava, SK and Thangapandian, E (2007). Seroprevalence of leptospiral antibodies in bovine. *Ind. Vet. J.*, 84: 547-548.
- Miller, DA; Wilson, MA and Beran, GW (1991). Survey to estimate prevalence of *Leptospira interrogans* infection in mature cattle in the United States. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 52: 1761-1765.
- Nagarajan, M (2005). Seroepidemiological studies on leptospiral infections in dairy cows in selected districts of Tamil Nadu. M.V.Sc Thesis, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai, India. P: 61.
- Natarajaseenivasan, K; Boopalan, M; Selvanayagi, K; Sureshbabu, SR and Ratnam, S (2002). Leptospirosis among rice mill workers of Salem, India. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.*, 55: 170-173.

- Office International des Epizootics** (2008). Leptospirosis. In: *Manual for diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals*. Chapter 2.1.9., Paris. PP: 251-264.
- Piramanayagam, S; Rajeshwar, J; Avadayappan, T; Edward, GRS and Rajangam, RK** (2001). Serosurvey of leptospirosis in animals in Kanyakumari district of Tamil Nadu. *Cherion*. 30: 114-115.
- Prescott, JF; Miller, RB; Nicholson, VM; Martin, SW and Lesnick, T** (1988). Seroprevalence and association with abortion of leptospirosis in cattle in Ontario. *Can. J. Vet. Res.*, 52: 210-215.
- Pushpa, NR and Kumari, BP** (2005). Seroprevalence of leptospirosis in domestic animals. *Ind. Vet. J.*, 82: 670-671.
- Rifatbegović, M and Maksimović, Z** (2011). Serological study of leptospirosis among dairy cattle in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 35: 459-462.
- Salas, LV** (1986). Serological survey of bovine leptospirosis in the Midwest of Buenos Aires Provinces Argentina. *Vet. Arg.*, 3: 248-257.
- Sawhney, AN and Saxena, SP** (1967). Leptospirosis infection in domestic animals and man in the state of Madhya Pradesh. *Ind. Vet. J.*, 44: 1008-1015.
- Segura-Correa, VM; Solis-Calderon, JJ and Seguracorrea, JC** (2003). Seroprevalence of and risk factors for leptospiral antibodies among cattle in the state of Yucatan, Mexico. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 35: 293-299.
- Shafighi, T; Abdollahpour, G; Zahraei Salehi, T and Tadjbakhsh, H** (2010). Serological and bacteriological study of leptospirosis in slaughtered cattle in North of Iran (Rasht). *Afr. J. of Microbiol. Res.*, 4: 2118-2121.
- Shang, ES; Summers, TA and Haake, DA** (1996). Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of the gene encoding LipL41, a surface exposed lipoprotein of pathogenic *Leptospira* species. *Infect. Immun.*, 64: 2322-2330.
- Sharma, S; Vijayachari, P; Sugunan, AP and Sehgal, SC** (2003). Leptospirosis carrier rate and seroprevalence among the animal population – a cross-sectional survey in Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 131: 663-673.
- Srivastava, SK; Chaudhuri, P; Thangapandian, E; Mariya, R and Amutha, R** (2006). Evaluation of recombinant *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *canicola* outer membrane proteins as diagnostic antigen. *Ind. J. Med. Microbiol.*, 24: 346-348.
- Srivastava, SK and Kumar, AA** (2003). Seroprevalence of leptospirosis in animals and human beings in various region of the country. *Ind. J. Comp. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. Dis.*, 24: 155-159.
- Suepaul, SM; Carrington, CV; Campbell, M; Borde, G and Adesiyun, AA** (2011). Seroepidemiology of leptospirosis in livestock in Trinidad. *Trop. Anim. Hlth Prod.*, 43: 367-375.
- Surujballi, OP; Henning, D; Marenger, R and Howlett, C** (1995). Development of monoclonal antibody based competitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *hardjo* type *hardjobovis* antibodies in bovine sera. *Can. J. Vet. Res.*, 61: 267-329.
- Thiermann, AB and Garrett, LA** (1983). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of antibodies to *Leptospira interrogans* serovars *hardjo* and *pomona* in cattle. *Am. J. Vet. Res.*, 44: 884-887.
- Thiyageeswaran, M** (2007). Bovine leptospirosis-surveillance in selected districts of Tamil Nadu. M.VSc Thesis, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai, India. PP: 46-47.
- Thursfield, M** (1995). *Veterinary epidemiology*. 2nd Edn., Oxford, UK, Blackwell Science. P: 479.
- Trueba, GA; Bolin, CA and Thoen, CO** (1990). Evaluation of an enzyme immunoassay for diagnosis of bovine leptospirosis caused by *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *hardjo* type *hardjobovis*. *J. Vet. Diag. Invest.*, 2: 323-329.
- Varma, A; Rai, RB; Balakrishnan, P; Gupta, A and Naveen, KA** (2001). Seroprevalence of leptospirosis in animals of Andaman and Nicobar islands. *Ind. Vet. J.*, 78: 936-937.
- Yang, CW** (2007). Leptospirosis in Taiwan - an underestimated infectious disease. *Chang Gung Med. J.*, 30: 109-115.
- Waitkins, SA** (1986). Leptospirosis as an occupational disease. *Br. J. Industr. Med.*, 46: 721-725.

مقاله کوتاه:

شیوع سرمی لپتوسپیروز در میان گاوهای مشکوک در شرق هند:

مطالعه مقایسه‌ای بین MAT و rLip32ELISA

سوجیت کومار بهرا¹، تی. صابرنات²، آشوک کومار³، سورش چندرا داس⁴،
تاپان کومار پالای⁵، دباناندا پاترا⁶ و پالاب چاودوری²

¹ دانشجوی دکترای تخصصی، بخش بهداشت عمومی دامپزشکی، پژوهشکده تحقیقات دامپزشکی هند، ایزاتناگار، باریلی، UP-243122، هند؛ ² بخش باکتری شناسی و قارچ شناسی دامپزشکی، پژوهشکده تحقیقات دامپزشکی هند، ایزاتناگار، باریلی، UP-243122، هند؛ ³ بخش بهداشت عمومی دامپزشکی، پژوهشکده تحقیقات دامپزشکی هند، ایزاتناگار، باریلی، UP-243122، هند؛ ⁴ بخش بهداشت عمومی دامپزشکی، پژوهشکده تحقیقات دامپزشکی هند، ایستگاه منطقه شرقی، کلکته، هند؛ ⁵ بخش بیوشیمی دامپزشکی، پژوهشکده تحقیقات دامپزشکی هند، ایزاتناگار، باریلی، UP-243122، هند؛ ⁶ بخش پاتولوژی، پژوهشکده تحقیقات بیماری‌های دام، کاتک، هند

(دریافت مقاله: 29 اردیبهشت 1392، پذیرش نهایی: 6 اسفند 1392)

لپتوسپیروز در گاو مشکل جهانی مرتبط با سقط، عدم تولید شیر، مرده‌زایی و ناباروری است که منجر به خسارات اقتصادی عمده‌ای به صنعت شیر می‌شود. اطلاعات اندکی درباره شیوع سرمی لپتوسپیروز گاوی در شرق هند وجود دارد. از اینرو، با هدف بررسی شیوع بیماری در آدیشا و ایالت بنگال غربی در شرق هند، 350 نمونه سرم گاو با تاریخچه ناباروری، سقط و وجود خون در شیر همراه با خصوصیات جغرافیایی مشخص جمع‌آوری شدند. جهت شناسایی سرووارهای موجود در منطقه مورد مطالعه، نمونه‌های سرمی توسط MAT با استفاده از یک باتری حاوی 12 سرووار/لپتوسپیرا به عنوان آنتی ژن زنده مورد آزمایش قرار گرفتند. به علاوه یک پروتئین نوترکیب (Lip32) بر اساس ELISA برای مطالعه مقایسه‌ای انجام شد. مثبت سرمی کل با استفاده از MAT و rLip32ELISA به ترتیب 50/85٪ و 56٪ تشخیص داده شدند. حساسیت و ویژگی rLip32ELISA نسبت به MAT محاسبه و به ترتیب 100٪ و 89/53٪ مشخص شدند. در مطالعه حاضر در میان سرووارها/یکتروهمورژیه فراوان‌ترین سرووار گزارش شده در این مطالعه بود. پس برای نتیجه‌گیری، این مطالعه بررسی‌های بیشتری را در این منطقه جهت شناسایی عوامل خطر دخیل در چرخه انتقال بیماری متضمن می‌شود.

واژه‌های کلیدی: گاو، لپتوسپیروز، MAT، rELISA، هند