ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Methodological development of a probabilistic model for CO₂ geological storage safety assessment

Antonio Hurtado · Sonsoles Eguilior · Fernando Recreo

Received: 17 July 2013 / Accepted: 3 October 2013 © The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract In the framework of CO₂ capture and geological storage, risk analysis plays an important role, because it is an essential requirement of knowledge to make up a local, national and supranational definition and planning of carbon injection strategies. This is because each project is at risk of failure. Even from the early stages, it should take into consideration the possible causes of this risk and propose corrective methods along the process, i.e., managing risk. Proper risk management reduces the negative consequences arising from the project. The main method of reduction or neutralizing of risk is mainly the identification, measurement and evaluation of it, together with the development of decision rules. This report presents a methodology developed for risk analysis and the results of its application. The risk assessment requires determination of the random variables that will influence the functioning of the system. It is very difficult to set-up a probability distribution of a random variable in the classical sense (objective probability) when a particular event rarely occurred or even it has an incomplete development. In this situation, we have to determine the subjective probability, especially at an early stage of projects, when we have not enough information about the system. This subjective probability is constructed from assessment of expert judgement to estimate the possibility of certain random events could happen depending on geological features of

5th International Congress on Energy and Environmental Engineering and Management (CIIEM).

A. Hurtado $(\boxtimes) \cdot S$. Eguilior $\cdot F$. Recreo Department of the Environment, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Avenida Complutense 40, Edif 20 p1.44, 28040 Madrid, Spain e-mail: antonio.hurtado@ciemat.es the area of application. The proposed methodology is based on the application of Bayesian probabilistic networks to estimate the probability of risk of leakage. These probabilistic networks can define graphically the relations of dependence between the variables and joint probability function through a local factorization of probability functions.

Keywords $CO_2 \cdot Geological storage \cdot Risk$

Introduction

There is no human activity without risk. Accordingly, neither are the CO_2 capture and storage (CCS). In fact, this technology has a risk level similar to any other type of industrial activity and particularly those related to oil and gas industry, for which there are specific regulatory frameworks. With regard to the CO_2 geological storage (CGS), the problem is mainly reduced to provide satisfactory answers to the questions concerning whether the CO_2 may leak and what would be the consequences of such leaks, specifically with regard to the short-and long-term consequences for the safety, health and environment [1]. It is important to highlight the need to properly address these issues, among other reasons, for its influence on public acceptance of this technology, a key element for the large scale implementation of the CCS.

In the case of CGS projects, we have on one hand, the risks arising from the operation of surface facilities associated with the impacts on safety, health and the environment during the injection process. They are similar to those associated with any other type of project and its evaluation is a common practice in various industries. Methods are available for quantitative risk assessment that are directly applicable and tools that have been used in other industrial processes.

Since the estimations of the probabilities and consequences are based directly in experience, confidence in the assessment of those risks is to be high, but however usually not bias free [2, 3]. However, in addition to the above risks, there are long-term ones associated directly with the release of CO₂ from the storage complex or due to induced movements, than can be reduced as local and global ones. The firsts are associated with effects on the environment or the health of the population. The latter is associated with the impacts of the release on climate change processes that are tried to prevent using this technology [4]. In all cases, there are economic consequences. In general, it is observed that the proposed methodologies for assessing long-term risks arising from the CGS are based on those that have been developed and fine-tuned for the past 20 or 30 years for the study of deep geological repositories (DGR) of high-level nuclear wastes.

Taking into consideration, the experience gained in the study, development and application of such methodologies by this working group, this paper presents the methodology developed for a probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) of a potential site for CGS. This methodology is based on predictive causal modeling, in turn based on a formalized abstraction process where knowledge construction and reasoning derived from this construction would be based, in turn, on previous information and virtual predictions made on this starting information, all implemented under the formalism of Bayesian networks (BNs). This generates a PRA process of mutual feedback between project progress and results of the risk assessment that allows gradual and continuous transition from qualitative data based models to quantitative ones. This can take on the whole CGS project, through a continuous process of PRA, from the initial stages of the project, characterized by a paucity of information, thanks to the adoption of a subjective perspective of the concept of probability [5] and the application of expert judgment (EJ). Without a doubt, these initial analyzes would not be exempt from biases and heuristics. But first, even with limitations, it is preferable to have some information when making decisions on the project. In the worst case, at least, provides a starting point on which to discuss. And secondly, this problem would be overcome gradually according to the progress on the available information and generation of physical/chemical models that would replace the qualitative estimates based on EJ [6-8].

This paper presents this new methodology based on the application of Bayesian probabilistic networks, as well as the results of its application at an early stage of a Spanish R&D project. This methodology estimates probabilistically the risk of leakage at a geological storage of CO₂, a key



concept of risk assessment. Bayesian networks can graphically define relations of dependence between variables and joint probability functions through a local factorization of probability functions to quantify potential impacts and uncertainties.

Risk assessment requires the determination of the random variables that will influence the evolution of the system, but it is difficult to set up a probability distribution of a random variable in the classical sense (objective probability) when a particular event rarely is going to occur or even when it has had an incomplete development. Hence, determining the subjective probability, especially at an early stage of projects, when there is not enough information about the system, will be a customary situation. This subjective probability is first constructed from expert judgment to estimate the possibility of certain random events to happen depending on specific geological features of the area of application. The Bayesian perspective allows us for a combination of quantitative probabilistic data from calculation models and/or databases with qualitative estimates of probability from expert judgment, so allowing for a continuous transition from initial qualitative models to final quantitative ones, as the knowledge of the system develops.

Methods

The developed methodologies for long-term risk assessments of CO_2 storage are essentially based on the study of storage capacity to hold CO_2 over time, and therefore try to determine the long-term behavior of the CO_2 initially injected into the formation. These methodologies use structured processes of systems analysis to organize and rationalize the process of defining scenarios and reduce the role of subjective judgment in determining them. The development of a wide range of risks and mechanisms underlying them, provides a good basis for a systematic assessment of the risks.

The proposed methodology constitutes a new methodological approach to solving the problems of risk assessment of the activities of CO_2 geological storage (CGS), based on the determination of probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) through Bayesian networks (BNs) and Monte Carlo probabilities [9]. A methodology based on BNs represents an attractive tool for the natural way to make connections between items, for its simplicity of maintenance and because it allows decision-making under conditions of uncertainty. Furthermore, such a methodology, given its conceptual power, allows the core activities in the risk assessment of any proposed CGS project, such as mathematical analysis (areas of maximum and minimum variation, stability zones, etc.), or sensitivity analysis to estimate

Page 3 of 10 84

both the impact of different variables on the uncertainties of the system, such as the level of uncertainty of different conceptual models, key issues for the treatment of uncertainty.

The fact that this BNs model, oriented towards the estimation of the probability of risk of leakage in the system, is developed at an early stage of the project, with a shortage of associated data, means that the information available for the model is mostly qualitative, mainly from expert judgment (EJ). However, this initial problem will be overcome gradually depending on the progress in increasing the available information and the physical/chemical modeling generation will be increasingly replacing those based on EJ [6–8].

The Bayesian perspective allows probabilistic combination of quantitative data from, for example, calculation models and/or databases, with qualitative estimates of probability calculable, for example, from a EJ. This allows the transition from initial qualitative models until the end of quantitative models, passing through intermediate stages of combination of both types of probability estimates.

The scope of the formalism of BNs for managing conditional probabilities is determined by the set of "observables" in each inference, i.e., the environment of each qualitative decision-making. This leads, in a sense, the notion of risk scenario. An event tree is established with its final node being the parameter/function to qualitatively determine, formed by the "conditions" in that parameter (Darcy permeability, "K", or intrinsic permeability, 'k', for example) or function (permeability/conductivity as transfer function) fits into the next high-order scenario: the permeability in an aquitard (caprock) or in an aquifer (storage formation) and these in the actual path of CO₂ in the CGS, for instance. And, so with all the parameters/functions/ processes. However, given that the aim is to infer probabilities, it is not a tree of events but of probabilities of events; that is, and for example, the probability that the permeability 'K' takes values larger than a set value, "x", i.e., P(K) > x, rather than its unknown actual value. Surely, throughout the project, its real value will be better known. The project will be evolving both in decision-making and in the improvement of the characterization of the storage complex, and both activities are interrelated and affect each other. Therefore, the PRA system must be "dynamic" and "historical" for it to be modified as the level of information improves, the evaluation improves, or new conceptual models of the storage behavior or its subsystems and/or components be accepted.

The methodology proposed consists in the application of Bayesian networks (BNs) to calculate the probability of risk of leakage in a geological storage of CO_2 . To carry out this task, the system was first conceptualized from the point of view of the risk of leakage and the characteristics that

favors/prevent mitigation. This leads to a partitioning of the system into three subsystems:

- 1. Primary subsystem, which measures the potential of the target formation for the long-term containment of CO_2 .
- 2. Secondary subsystem, which measures the potential for containment by other formations present in the storage complex in the event that the target formation were not able to ensure CO₂ containment.
- 3. Tertiary subsystem, which measures the potential of the site to attenuate or to disperse leakage of CO_2 if the primary formation leaks and the secondary subsystem is not able to retain the release of CO_2 and it reaches the soil and atmosphere.

The model considers and establishes relationships between variables and attributes that describe each of the indicated subsystems (Table 1) where there have been included aspects of the most relevant leakage scenarios (developed from [10-12]), i.e.:

- 1. Leakage through wells.
- 2. Leakage due to the caprock fracturing by pressurization.
- 3. Leakage through the caprock pore system, either by overpressure or by the presence of an undetected high permeability zone.
- 4. Leakage through fault.
- 5. Migration of the formation brine.

Owing to the lack of data in the initial stages of the project, the model will require the use of qualitative values for estimating the probability of leakage risk. However, these assessments will be expressed numerically [13]. This is due, first, because there are significant variabilities and overlaps when verbal expressions are used.

In addition, there is the fact that the interpretations of these verbal expressions of probability vary in different contexts even though they can be kept constant in each evaluator [14–16].

Two values will be assigned to each variable or attribute of the system, one based on the judgment of how this variable behaves with regard to the probability of leakage. The second should assess the certainty provided by the source that has underpinned the previous value. In practice, this means that each variable has two values that define the range in which likely the true value of this variable can be placed. Finally, two models are obtained to define the upper and lower ranges of the leakage probability from the storage system.

For the assignment of the pairs of values to each element (qualitative probability-associated certainty) a discrete coding based on the Pedigree schema has been chosen. This scheme aims to "assess the reliability of the



System	Attribute/variable
Primary/storage subsystem	Areal extent of injected plume
	Reservoir permeability
	Demonstrated sealing
	Lateral continuity
	Number of abandoned wells in the primary containment
	Reservoir depth
	Abandoned wells permeability
	Reservoir thickness
	Pore fluid in the reservoir
	Reservoir injectivity
	Geothermal gradient
	Pressure gradient in the storage complex
	Fault permeability in the storage complex
	Existence of tectonic faults
	Groundwater hydrology in the storage complex
	Permeability in the storage complex
	Reservoir porosity
	Abandoned wells in the storage complex
	Number of active wells
Secondary subsystem	Lateral continuity of the secondary containment system
	Existence of demonstrated sealing
	Depth of secondary seals
	Permeability of secondary seals
	Thickness of secondary seals
	Permeability of secondary aquifers
	Porosity of secondary aquifers
	Number of active wells
	Pressure gradient of the secondary containment system
	Extent of CO ₂ plume in secondary subsystem
	Fault permeability in the secondary subsystem
	Existence of tectonic faults
	Geothermal gradient in the secondary subsystem
	Pore fluid in the secondary subsystem
	Permeability of abandoned shallow wells
	Hydrogeology in secondary subsystem
	Structural leaks in the secondary subsystem
Tertiary subsystem	Characteristics of soil, unsaturated water and the lower atmosphere
	Permeability of the tertiary subsystem
	Thickness of tertiary subsystem

 Table 1
 Variables considered in estimating the risk of leakage through Bayesian networks

The secondary containment system could consist of multiple pairs of seal formations/reservoirs

information, relying on users' purposes" [17], examining, at the same time, the process of production of such information. For the assignment of the pairs of values to each element (qualitative probability, certainty), given the current level of a qualitative study, we have chosen a discrete



 Table 2
 Pedigree scheme example

Score	Proxy	Empirical
4	An exact measure of the desired quantity	Controlled experiments and large sample direct measurements
3	Good fit or measure	Historical/field data uncontrolled experiments small sample direct measurements
2	Well correlated but not measuring the same thing	Indirect measurements
1	Weak correlation but commonalities in measure	Educated guesses indirect approx. rule of thumb estimate
0	Not correlated and not clearly related	Crude speculation

coding, based on Pedigree scheme [18]. The aim of this scheme is to evaluate the reliability of information according to different purposes of use [19] examining at the same time, the production process of such information. For this, Pedigree scheme is expressed by a set of criteria, for example, empirical basis or the degree of validation, many of which have a high difficulty objective measurement. To minimize arbitrariness and subjectivity in the measurement of validity, qualitative expert judgments for each criterion are encoded into a discrete numerical scale of "0" (weak) to "4" (strong) with linguistic descriptions (modes) of each level on the scale [20] (see Table 2).

In the case of the proposed methodology, the same criteria have been applied and the qualitative probability has been coded on a scale from "0" (leakage probability equal to "1") to "4" (leakage probability equal to "0") and the degree of certainty on a scale from "0" (assumption weakly based on objective data) to "2" (existence of reliable measurement data). This is because with the information available, it was considered that the inclusion of more levels only brings more subjectivity to the study.

The model evaluates the combined probability of leakage from storage subsystems (or primary) and saturated medium in the storage complex located above the caprock secondary) as well as the leakage attenuation capacity of the surface (soil, unsaturated water and the lower atmosphere). In this study, it has been preferred to decouple the tertiary subsystem of the other subsystems due to profound lack of information it currently has. However, its inclusion is important because it allows in incorporating the level of uncertainty that introduces into the global model. The global model is represented in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4 show details of its organization in partial subsystems. The model is composed of the variables listed in Table 1.

It should be noted, finally, that the estimated values of leakage risk probability obtained with qualitative variables



Fig. 2 Diagram of the CO₂ storage complex

are not a value of probability itself, but a factor of this (arbitrary unit), which is called qualitative probability, so that it satisfies the following expression:

 $P(x) = K_{\rm c} \cdot P_{\rm c}(x)$

where P(x) is the probability value, K_c is a scaling constant and $P_c(x)$ is the qualitative probability value. The scaling constant K_c is obtained through the model validation against experimental data calibration. Although this factor may not be known, it is possible to compare between multiple models based on qualitative data normalized by the fundamental axioms of probability. If they were a combination of quantitative and qualitative variables, it would be necessary to obtain an estimate of the scale

Fig. 3 Diagram of the primary/storage subsystem

factor. This would be done by applying quantitative calculation models so that the estimation system of the leakage probability could operate with both types of estimates.

Results and discussion

This methodology has been applied to the study area of Huérmeces (Burgos, Spain) (see Fig. 5). The study site is basically a small 1200 m deep dome (Figs. 6, 7) with high gradients of its geological features which facilitate the development of the experiments both temporarily and economically.

The application of the proposed methodology is embodied in the application of the RR.BB model to the





Fig. 5 Geographical location of the study site

area of Huérmeces, constructed to estimate the risk of leakage. The storage formation which meets geological maximum requirements for storing CO_2 in a supercritical phase in the area would be the Huérmeces clastic unit called Unidad Clástica del Lías (Lias Clastic Unit) which appears under the loamy Middle Lias seal formation and above the basal anhydritic Lias (Carniolas) seal formation and the Triassic Keuper saline materials. This Unit is composed of limestones interbedded with dolomitic limestones and dolomites. At its upper part 21 m of sandy limestones are described that characterize this Unit, which was the main objective of exploration boreholes conducted in this area for hydrocarbon reserves evaluation.

The most important structure analyzed in the IGME Montorio geological map sheet during hydrocarbon exploration surveys is associated with the called Falla de Hontomín, beneath the subsoil of the same name' town. This structure has been interpreted through seismic studies as a faulted block inclined against a graben structure. That structure occupies a 32-km² area, with a vertical structural closure of 475 m [21]. Inside, the Lías Clastic Unit appears at 1,582, 1,353, and 1,238 m depth in Hontomín-1, Hontomín-2 and Hontomín-3 boreholes, with 114, 92 and 62 m

Fig. 6 3D model of the geological structure [23]

thickness, respectively, the last one being affected by a fault or fracture zone [22-24].

This Unit also appears in other structures analyzed in the IGME Montorio geological map sheet, which means that it presents a regional lateral continuity and, so, much more storage capacity than that associated to the Falla de Hontomin structure.

Seal material above this Unit is constituted by a lithologic package with a predominance of marls and clayed limestones. It also seems having regional lateral continuity with thicknesses of 40, 115, and 94 m at Hontomín- 1, Hontomín-2, and Hontomín-3 boreholes, respectively, the first of which is affected by a fault [25]. Beneath this seal material, in the Lías Clastic Unit, hydrocarbon traces have been found in boreholes Hontomín-1 and Hontomín-3, and a total accumulated production of 2,939 oil barrels have been withdrawn from Hontomín-2 borehole, which indicates the effectiveness of this material as a seal.

At the roof of this primary seal, in all these boreholes, siliciclastic deposits of the Purbeck facies, Weald, Aptian-Albian complex and Utrillas Formation are present, all with large lateral facies changes. Above, inside the





Fig. 7 Prognosis Hontomin-5 well with the geological layers structure extracted from it [23]

100 m

Cenomanian-Turonian deposits, a shallower (less than 200-300 m depth) seal appears, including marls and loamy limestones, with a thickness less than 20 m in boreholes Hontomín-1, Hontomín-2, and Hontomín-3 and bigger ones in boreholes Hontomín-SW1, Hontomín-S2, and Valdearnedo-1.

This site was previously assessed through a recognized methodology for selection and classification of formations based on the analysis of the HSE risks arising from CO₂ leaks, allowing us to compare the two methodologies [26,

27]. This comparison acts as a first validation of the method, as far as the experimental data that allow us the direct validation are available. The results indicated that both are consistent and that the quality of the study site is rated as intermediate to good for CO₂ storage (see Fig. 8). Nevertheless, the present methodology allows us to go beyond as we also can obtain qualitative probability functions of subsystems and global risk qualitative probability function.

The application of the proposed methodology to Huérmeces area is materialized in the construction and estimation of the model in Fig. 1, based on the theoretical framework of the BNs and implemented in GoldSim, a Monte Carlo simulation software solution for dynamically modeling complex systems.

The qualitative probability density function for the complete system is presented in Fig. 8, in which the confidence ranges are included. The methodology also allows us to perform sensitivity analyses. The comparison of the uncertainty contribution of the input parameters to the results uncertainty lets us to establish the relative importance of the variables, and therefore the subsystems, in providing total system uncertainty. The developed simplified results of the sensitivity analysis are reflected in Fig. 9, where only subsystems one and two are taken into consideration.

When comparing the relative importance of the contribution of the variables of the different subsystems to the total system uncertainty permits us to conclude the clear dominance of the secondary subsystem. That means that, in order to achieve a significant reduction in total system uncertainty, a way of improving the knowledge of these parameters must be addressed. Therefore, the methodology allows us to make decisions about where future studies of the system should be directed.

In this case, it is necessary to make a greater effort aimed at improving the characterization of subsystem two to improve the knowledge about the system.

The model also provides a platform for the progressive integration of the data being obtained as a result of the progressive characterization of the system. This is essential to make the transition from a qualitative model to a quantitative one through the progressive integration of quantitative data in numerical and/or analytical models.

Conclusions

The proposed methodology represents a new approach to solve the problems derived from a risk assessment of geological storage of CO₂ within a framework of safety and protection of health and the environment. The development of models based on Bayesian networks for the







Fig. 9 Contribution of the variables to the system uncertainty

description of these systems has the disadvantage of not being an easy task. However, represents an attractive tool because it has in its favor the natural way to make connections between items, the simplicity of maintenance and because it lets us decision making under conditions of uncertainty. Furthermore, this methodology, given its conceptual development, allows us activities in the risk assessment of any proposed geological storage of CO₂, such as mathematical analysis (areas of maximum and minimum variation, stability zones, etc.), or sensitivity analysis to estimate both the impact of different variables on the uncertainties of the system, such as the level of uncertainty of different conceptual models, key issues for the treatment of such uncertainties.

From the development and application of this methodology it can be concluded that allows us to assess the probability of CO₂ leakage risk of potential areas or sites for the geological storage of CO₂ with just a partial



knowledge of their characteristics, based on qualitative data and the estimation of qualitative probability. The results of the application of this methodology to the site of Hontomín allow us to conclude that this is classifiable as medium level leakage risk with a medium–high level of associated uncertainty. The performed sensitivity study indicates the need for a better characterization of secondary subsystem to substantially reduce the level of uncertainty.

The application of the proposed methodology and the Selection and Classification of Formations methodology (SCF), internationally recognized, in the Hontomin area, and the subsequent comparison of results led to the conclusion that both agree on the classification of the study area. However, the SCF methodology ends in the qualitative assessment. In contrast, this qualitative assessment means the starting point for the current proposed quantitative methodology, because it allows us to move progressively towards obtaining a pure quantitative model, based on the relationships between the variables set by the Bayesian network model and the gradual incorporation of new quantitative data.

Acknowledgments This study has been funded by Fundación Ciudad de la Energía (Spanish Government) (http://www.ciuden.es) and by the European Union through the "European Energy Programme for Recovery" and the Compostilla OXYCFB300 project.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions AH carried out the methodological development, SE implemented the development in the GoldSim platform and FR interpreted the geological information of the site. All authors co-supervised the investigation, conceived of the study, reviewed the manuscript, and helped draft parts. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- 1. Bachu, S.: CO_2 storage in geological media: role, means, status and barriers to deployment. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. **34**, 254–273 (2008)
- Pérez, M.A.P.: Razonamiento probabilístico y correlacional: influencia de teorías previas y de datos. Tesis doctoral. Dpto. de Psicología Básica, Social y Metodología. Facultad de Psicología. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (1988)
- Slovic, P., y Fischhoff, B.: On the psychology of experimental surprises. J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform. 3(4), 544–551 (1977)
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage. In: Metz, B., Davidson, O., de Coninck, H., Loos, M., y Meyer, L. (eds.) p. 442. Cambridge University Press, UK and New York (2005)

- López, J., García, J., de la Fuente, M.L.: Modelado causal con redes bayesianas. Actas de las XXVII Jornadas de Automática, Almería (2001)
- 6. Pearl, J.: Causality. Models, Reasoning and Inference. Cambride University Press, New York (2000)
- Gigerenzer, G.: On narrow norms and vague heuristic: a reply to Kahneman and Tversky. Psychol. Rev. 103, 592–596 (1996)
- Seldmeier, P.Y., Gigerenzer, G. Teaching bayesian reasoning in less than two hours. J. Exp. Psychol. Gen. 130, 380–400 (2001)
- Hurtado, A., Eguilior, Y.S., Recreo F.: Desarrollo metodológico del modelo probabilista de evaluación de seguridad de la Planta de Desarrollo Técnológico de Hontomín. Informe técnico CIE-MAT, ISSN 1135-9420. Enero (2011)
- Bouc, O.Y., Fabriol, H.: Towards a methodology to define safety criteria for CO₂ geological storage. In: Sixth Annual Conference on Carbon Capture and Sequestration, May 7–10. Sheraton Station Square, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (2007)
- Bouc, O., Audigane, P., Bellenfant, G., Fabriol, H., Gastine, M., Rohmer, J.Y., Seyedi, D.: Determining safety criteria for CO₂ geological storage. Proceedings of GHGT-9. Energy Proc. 1(1), 2439–2446 (2009)
- Stauffer, P.H., Viswanthan, H.S., Klasky, M.L., Pawar, R.J., Guthrie, G.D.: CO₂-PENS: A CO₂ sequestration system model supporting risk-based decision. CMWR XVI, Copenhagen (2006)
- Beyth-Maron, R.: How probable is probable? Numerical translation of verbal probability expressions. J. Forecast 1, 257–269 (1982)
- Wallsten, T.S., Budescu, D.V., Rapoport, A., Zwick, R. Y., Forsyth, B.: Measuring the vague meanings of probability terms. J. Exp. Psychol. Gen. 115(4), 348–365 (1986)
- Zimmer, A.C.: A model for the interpretation of verbal predictions. Int. J. Man Mach. Stud. 520, 121–134 (1984)
- Brun, W.Y., Teigen, K.H.: Verbal probabilities: ambiguous, context dependent, or both? Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process 41, 391–404 (1988)
- Funtowicz, S.O.Y., Ravetz, J.R.: Managing the uncertainties of statistical information. In: Brown, J. (ed.) Environmental Threats: Perception, Analysis and Management. Belhaven Press, London (1989)
- Funtowicz, S.O., Ravetz, J.R.: Uncertainty and Quality in Science for Policy. Kluwer Academia Publishers, Dordrecht (1990)
- Funtowicz, S.O.Y., Ravetz, J.R.: Managing the uncertainties of statistical information. In: Brown, J. (ed.) Environmental Threats: Perception, Analysis and Management. Belhaven Press, London (1989)
- 20. van der Sluijs, J.P., Risbey, J.S., Kloprogge, P., Ravetz, J.R., Funtowicz, S.O., Corral Quintana, S., Guimarâes Pereira, A., de Marchi, B., Petersen, A.C., Janssen, P.H.M., Hoppe, R. Y., Huijs, S.W.F.: IVM/MNP Guidance for Uncertainty Assessment and Communication: Detailed Guidance (RIVM/MNP Guidance for Uncertainty Assessment and Communication Series, Volume 3). Utrecht University, Utrecht (2003)
- Montoto, M.: (Ciuden). Overview of Compostilla Project: Hontomín Site (Spain). Carbon Storage Program Infrastructure Annual Review Meeting. Pittsburgh, PA, USA. November 15–17 (2011)
- 22. AMOSPAIN. Permiso de Ubierna. Sondeo Hontomín-1. Informe Final (1966)
- SHESA. Final Report Hontomín-2, Montorio Permit, Province of Burgos, Spain (1992)
- 24. SHESA. Final Geological Report Hontomín-3, Montorio Permit. Province of Burgos, Spain (1991)
- Lanaja, J.M.Y., Navarro, A.: Contribución de la exploración petrolífera al conocimiento de la geología de España. Instituto Geológico y Minero de España (1987)



- 26. Oldenburg, C.M.: Screening and ranking framework for geologic CO₂ storage site selection on the basis of health, safety, and environmental risk. Environ. Geol. 54, 1687–1694 (2008). doi:10. 1007/s00254-007-0947-8. LBNL-63306
- 27. Hurtado, A., et al.: "Aplicación de una evaluación preliminar de la seguridad y de los riesgos HSE a las potenciales ubicaciones de una planta piloto de almacenamiento geológico de CO₂" Fundación CONAMA 2010. ISBN: 978-84-614-6112-7 (2010)
- Ellis, E.C., Li, R. G., Yang, L.Z.Y., Cheng, X.: Long-term change in village-scale ecosystems in China using landscape and statistical methods. Ecol. Appl. 10(4), 1057–1073 (2000)
- 29. Ellis, E.C., Li, R. G., Yang, L.Z.Y., Cheng, X.: Changes in Village-scale nitrogen storage in China's Tai Lake region. Ecol. Appl. **10**(4), 1074–1089 (2000)

Antonio Hurtado Ph.D. in Mining Engineer, scientist of the CIEMAT, has over 15 years of experience in risk management and quality assurance. Currently working on the development of methodologies for risk assessment of geological sites and previously in the selection, characterization, capacity estimation and determination of uncertainties associated with geological formations to store CO₂.

Sonsoles Eguilior PhD. in physics, scientist working in the CIEMAT in transport process modelling, performance and risk assessment of geological sites for nuclear wastes and currently, in performance and risk assessment of CO_2 storage and their uncertainty and sensitivity analysis.

Fernando Recreo got a degree in Geology and Hydrogeology and has over 20 years of experience in nuclear waste repositories performance assessment. Currently, Head of the CO_2 Geological Storage Programme of CIEMAT. He has been Member of Steering Committee of the EC projects PAGIS and EVEREST and technical leader for CIEMAT in EC projects BIOCLIM and PADAMOT.

