



The Comparison of Efficient Radial Basis Functions Collocation Methods for Numerical Solution of the Parabolic PDE's

S. S. Mirshojaei*, S. Fayazzadeh

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Iran.

Abstract In this paper, we apply the compare the collocation methods of meshfree RBF over differential equation containing partial derivation of one dimension time dependent with a compound boundary nonlocal condition.

Keywords: partial differential equations, parabolic equations, Radial basis function, collocation method.

Index to information contained in this paper

1. Introduction
2. Radial Basis Function Knowledge
 - 2.1. Method Discussion
3. Numerical Examples
4. Conclusion

1. Introduction

In the recent decades the meshless methods became more considerable for their good flexibility in the finite dimension spaces; therefore the collocation of RBF methods are always successful [8, 17] when they obtained suitable results over some types of differential equations containing time dependent partial derivations. If we apply special precision in selection of radial basis function, PDE equation solution can be approximated well, too.

In this paper, we focus on the solution of one dimensional and nonclassical parabolic time dependent differential equations which they have a mixture of integral term and ξ th-derivation of an unknown function u in nonlocal boundary conditions where $\xi \in \{0, 1\}$.

Here, we try to solve the above equation by different RBF collocation methods. Consider the following non-classic boundary value parabolic equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + q(x, t), \quad 0 < x < l, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author. Email: mir.412@yahoo.com

Archive of SID
with initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq l. \quad (2)$$

and boundary nonlocal conditions

$$\frac{\partial^\xi u}{\partial x^\xi}(x_1, t) = g(t) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\int_0^{b(t)} u(x, t) dx + \beta \frac{\partial^\zeta u}{\partial x^\zeta}(x_2, t) + \theta \frac{\partial^\gamma u}{\partial x^\gamma}(x_2, t) = h(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad 0 < b(t) \leq l. \quad (4)$$

where $\zeta, \xi \in \{0, 1\}$, $x_1, x_2 \in [0, l]$, $\beta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma = 1 - \zeta$ and the functions f, g, h , all are known.

In the cases that $b(t)$ is a constant function, $q(x, t) = 0$, and $x_1 = l$, $\beta = \xi = 0$ an implicit finite difference method is offered for its numerical solution by Cannon and Vander Hoek [5, 6].

This equation has been solved by Dehghan and Tatari [19] with the boundary condition $\beta = \xi = 0$, $x_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ and constant function $b(t)$ by GA-RBF, and then improved by them [9, 20]. Chen et. all also used radial basis function for PDE's in [7]. Some papers have been written to solve partial differential equations using the collocation method with radial basis functions [10–12, 15, 16, 18]. Fornberg and Piret used radial basis function for solving a convective partial differential equations on a sphere [14].

We introduce some basic concepts of radial basis functions in Section 2, then we try to impose them on the mentioned differential equations. In Section 3, we give some numerical examples and numerical results of these examples. Finally, we present the Conclusion in Section 4.

2. Radial Basis Function Knowledge

For a fixed point $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a radial basis function is defined as:

$$\phi_j(x) = \phi(\| (x - x_j) \|) \quad (5)$$

which is a function only dependent on the distance between $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the point x_j . This function is radially symmetric near the center x_j .

Throughout of this work we use GA, MQ, IMQ and IQ-RBF with the following forms, respectively

$$\phi(r) = \exp(-cr^2) \quad (6)$$

$$\phi(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + c^2} \quad (7)$$

$$\phi(r) = (\sqrt{r^2 + c^2})^{-1} \quad (8)$$

$$\phi(r) = (r^2 + c^2)^{-1} \quad (9)$$

where c is a shape parameter which should be considered suitably also the Euclidean distance is considered for the RBF. These types of functions have a global support

and they are suitable for interpolation of scattered data [4, 13].

2.1 Method Discussion

Consider the following problem:

$$Lu(x, t) = q(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T] \quad (10)$$

which Ω is a spacial domain and L is a second order linear parabolic operator. Also consider the initial and non-local boundary operators, respectively as follows:

$$\ell u(x, t) = f(x), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \{0\} \quad (11)$$

$$S_\nu u(x, t) = \begin{cases} g(t), & \nu = 1, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ h(t), & \nu = 2, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

By considering:

$$X = (x, t)$$

We try to find an approximating function $p(X)$ over \mathbb{R}^2 for the solution of the problem in the following form:

$$p(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \phi(\|X - X_j\|) \quad (13)$$

where λ_j 's are real coefficients.

Suppose that the following sets contain a collocation of scattered nodes in every levels of interpolation

$$\Xi_1 = \{(x_i, t_i) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_1], \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}, \quad T > T_1 \quad (14)$$

$$\Xi_k = \{(x_i, t_i + (k-1)T_1); (x_i, t_i) \in \Xi_1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, k = 2, 3, \dots\} \quad (15)$$

and the problem has a solution in $\bar{\Omega} \times [(k-1)T_1, kT_1]$.

Considering:

$$h_{ij} = \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \alpha \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \right] (\|X_i - X_j\|) \quad (16)$$

and

$$q_i = q(X_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_1$$

we have a linear system of equations

$$H\Lambda = q$$

where $H = [h_{ij}]$, $\Lambda = [\lambda_j]$

Also applying initial operator lead us to an others linear system of equations:

$$Z\Lambda = f$$

where $Z = [z_{ij}]$, such that

$$Z_{ij} = \ell\phi(\|X_i - X_j\|), i = N_1 + 1, \dots, N_2, j = 1, \dots, m$$

Now we define

$$v_{ij} = S_1\phi(\|X_i - X_j\|), i = N_2 + 1, \dots, N_3, j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$w_{ij} = S_2\phi(\|X_i - X_j\|), i = N_3 + 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, m$$

By considering:

$$S_\nu u = g, \quad S_\nu u = h$$

we have

$$V\Lambda = g,$$

and

$$W\lambda = h$$

By considering

$$A = [H, Z, V, W]^T \quad (17)$$

$$B = [q, f, g, h]^T \quad (18)$$

$$\Lambda = [\lambda_j] \quad (19)$$

We have the following linear system of equations with a $m \times m$ coefficient matrix

$$A\Lambda = B \quad (20)$$

A is an ill-conditional full and non-symmetric matrix which its condition number may be large. But it is strictly positive definite.

This system, besides, has always a unique solution that we can convert the matrix to the same small matrixes accompany with better and smaller condition number and represent an acceptable solution by preconditioning coefficient matrix with the help of numerical methods. Since, finding solution for large times needs a lot of operators and memory, so, if T_1 is chosen such that a small number of the collocation points provides an accurate approximation in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_1]$, [6] we can solve this linear system easily by factorization methods in every level of small times accompany with discretization of space and time variables [19], because in every level of time resulted matrix have a small dimension and then computing will be done easily.

Chosen points, will produce the same matrix in every level of time that we just need decomposition of a matrix [3], Since a set of scattered nodes will use instead of mesh of whole points domain.




Figure 1. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using IMQ-RBF method.

This advantage of mentioned collocation method will be result in computing solution in different level of time without instability.

3. Numerical Examples

In this Section two examples are given [1] which solved by considering the IMQ, MQ, GA and IQ radial basis functions. In all examples we used the method for the first layer of equations.

Example 3.1 In this example, we considered the relation of (1)-(2)-(3)and (4), with the knows as follows:

$$b(t) = \beta = x_1 = x_2 = \xi = \zeta = 1, \alpha = \frac{8}{\pi^2}, \Omega = [0, 1].$$

$$f(x) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (21)$$

$$g(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (22)$$

$$h(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \exp(-2t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad (23)$$

$$q(x, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad 0 < x < 1. \quad (24)$$

This example solved with :



$$c = 2, \Delta x = 0.1, \Delta t = 0.001, m = 33, 0 \leq t \leq 0.01, \delta = 20$$

and the exact solution of this problem is

$$u(x, t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \exp(-2t) \quad (25)$$

Example 3.2 In this example, we will consider the relation of (1)-(2)-(3)and (4), with the knows as following :

$$b(t), \beta, x_2, \zeta = 1, x_1, \xi = 0, \alpha = 2, \Omega = [0, 1].$$

Figure 2. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using GA-RBF method.Figure 3. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using IQ-RBF method.

$$f(x) = \exp(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (26)$$

$$g(t) = \exp(2t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (27)$$

$$h(t) = -\exp(2t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad (28)$$

$$q(x, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad 0 < x < 1. \quad (29)$$

This example solved with :

$$c = 3, \quad \Delta x = 0.1, \quad \Delta t = 0.001, \quad m = 33, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 0.002, \quad \delta = 20$$

and the exact solution of this problem is

$$u(x, t) = \exp(x + 2t) \quad (30)$$




Figure 4. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using MQ-RBF method.




Figure 5. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using GA-RBF method.




Figure 6. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using IMQ-RBF method.




Figure 7. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using IQ-RBF method.




Figure 8. $\Delta t = 0.001$, $0 \leq t \leq 0.002$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using MQ-RBF method.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we extend the concept of efficient radial basis functions collocation methods for numerical solution of the parabolic PDE's introduced in [1]. Same as the referenced work one must say that in such problems, the traditional mesh methods will never offer because we can do discretization of variables by collocation methods so that the cost of computations and discretization of variables will reduce strongly. Thus, mean while approximating accurate and correct solution, we have at least error and we are able to change the time and space interval divisions in every level. The used radial basis function is a collocation method in this type of problems and if we take care in selection of radial basis functions approximator and their shape parameter we can obtain more accurate solution with less error.

References

- [1] M. Amirfakhrian, Efficient radial basis functions collocation methods for numerical solution of the parabolic PDE's. *J. Amer. Sci.*, 7(5) (2011) 771-775.
- [2] M. Amirfakhrian and S. Keighobadi, Solution for Partial Differential Equations Involving Logarithmic Nonlinearities, *Australian J. Basic and Appl. Sci.*, 5 (2011) 60-66.
- [3] Brunner H./ The approximation solution of Volterra equations with nonsmooth solutions, *Utilites Math.* 27 (1985). 57-95.

- [4] M.D. Buhmann, Radial Basis Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).
- [5] J. R. Cannon and J. A. Vander Hoke, Implicit finite difference scheme for the diffusion of mass in porous media, in: Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations, North Holland, (1982), pp. 527-539.
- [6] J. R. Cannon and A. L. Matheson, A numerical procedure for diffusion subject to the specification of mass, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* 31 (1993) 347-355.
- [7] H. Chen, Li Kong and Wen-Jun Leng., Numerical solution of PDEs via integrated radial basis function networks with adaptive training algorithm, *Appl. Soft Comput. J.* 11 (2011) 855-860.
- [8] M. Dehghan, Efficient techniques for the second-order parabolic equation subject to nonlocal specifications, *Appl. Numer. Math.* 52 (2005) 39-62.
- [9] Mehdi Dehghan and Mehdi Tatari, Determination of a control parameter in a one-dimensional parabolic equation using the method of radial basis functions, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 44 (2006) 1160-1168.
- [10] Mehdi Dehghan and Ali Shokri, Numerical solution of the nonlinear KleinGordon equation using radial basis functions, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 230 (2009) 400-410.
- [11] I. Dag and Y. Dereili, Numerical solutions of KDV equation using radial basis functions, *Appl. Math. Model.* 32 (2008) 5355-546.
- [12] Yong Duan, A note on the meshless method using radial basis functions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 55 (2008) 66-75.
- [13] R. Franke, Scattered data interpolation: tests of some methods, *Math. Comput.* 38 (1982) 181-200.
- [14] Bengt Fornberg and Ccile Piret, On choosing a radial basis function and a shape parameter when solving a convective PDE on a sphere, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227 (2008) 2758-2780.
- [15] Ahmad Jan Khattak, S.I.A. Tirmizi and Siraj-ul-Islam, Application of meshfree collocation method to a class of nonlinear partial differential equations, *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 33 (2009) 661-667.
- [16] E. Larsson and B. Fornberg, A numerical study of some radial basis function based solution methods for elliptic PDEs, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 46 (2003) 8919-02.
- [17] S. A. Sarra, Adaptive radial basis function method for time dependent partial differential equations, *Appl. Numer. Math.* 54 (2005) 79-94.
- [18] Quan Shen, A meshless method of lines for the numerical solution of KdV equation using radial basis functions, *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 33 (2009) 1171-1180.
- [19] M. Tatari and M. Dehghan, On the solution of the non-local parabolic partial equations via radial basis functions, *Appl. Math. Model.* 33(2009) 1729-1738.
- [20] Mehdi Tatari and Mehdi Dehghan, A method for solving partial differential equations via radial basis functions: Application to the heat equation, *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 34 (2010) 206-212.