

## Indigenous Sheep Production in Ethiopia: A Review

Review Article

M. Mengesha<sup>1\*</sup> and W. Tsega<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia  
<sup>2</sup> Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Received on: 23 Sep 2011  
 Revised on: 7 Nov 2011  
 Accepted on: 24 Nov 2011  
 Online Published on: Dec 2012

\*Correspondence E-mail: [leulmammo@yahoo.com](mailto:leulmammo@yahoo.com)

© 2010 Copyright by Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran

Online version is available on: [www.ijas.ir](http://www.ijas.ir)

### ABSTRACT

Research results and facts of indigenous sheep production were reviewed with the aim of delivering relevant information for the beneficiaries. The common production system used in Ethiopia was the extensive type, which was characterized by small flock sizes and the flock being periodically devastated by diseases. Some indigenous sheep groups of the country were characterized and their names were designated from their commonest niche areas. There are large variations in phenotypic characteristics of indigenous sheep in the country. Social cultures and beliefs of most of the community have been highly attached and attracted by these morphological variations of indigenous sheep in Ethiopia. Consequently, those sheep endowed with attractive coat-colors have always exceeded market values of their counterparts. There is also a genetic variation between and within sheep populations of the country. Moreover, there is also a generation response for selection. Although indigenous sheep producers have many opportunities, they have also many constraints that affect productivity. It can be concluded that breeding and improved production programs for the local sheep should incorporate the production objectives and trait preferences of the society. Presence of diversified phenotypic appearances of local sheep shows the genetic potential for improvement. Hence, this huge gene pool should be used for improvement through good management and traditional selection together with genomic technology.

**KEY WORDS** Ethiopia, indigenous sheep, production systems.

### INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia has around 25 million sheep (CSA, 2007) and they may be grouped into about 14 traditional sheep populations (Gizaw *et al.* 2007). They are also found widely distributed across the different agro-ecological zones of the country (EARO, 2000). Moreover, sheep production in Ethiopia is based on indigenous breeds except Awassi-Menz cross-breeds that contribute less than 1% of the population. Despite low level of productivity due to several technical (genotype, feeding and animal health), institutional, environmental and infrastructural constraints (Tibbo, 2006), indigenous sheep breeds have great potential to contributing more to the livelihoods of the people in low-input, small-

holder crop livestock and pastoral production systems (Kosgey and Okeyo, 2007). The annual off-take rate for sheep is estimated to be 33% (EPA, 2002) with an average carcass weight of about 10 kg, which is the second lowest of the sub-Saharan African countries (FAO, 2009). Carcass weights of sheep, therefore, need to be improved to the demands of the market.

Indigenous sheep in Ethiopia have a multipurpose role for smallholder farmers as sources of income, meat, skin, manure and coarse wool or long hairy fleece. They are also a means of risk avoidance during crop failure. Thus, increasing the current level of productivity of sheep is essential to meet the demands of the ever-increasing human population. On the other hand, by improving the productiv-

ity of sheep, export earnings as well as the income of the household will be improved. There are however, a number of constraints that affect the productivity of sheep such as mortality, feed scarcity and inadequate indigenous breed utilizations to production. Various scholars from different corners of the world have been advising that the performance of indigenous sheep could be improved through management and there is also potential for genetic improvement through selection.

Moreover, sheep production provides an opportunity for smallholder farmers that requires low initial capital and is able to use the marginal land as well as crop residues for feeding; additionally, care-taking of sheep can be carried out by any family members. Similarly, [Getachew et al. \(2010\)](#) stated that sheep enterprise in Ethiopia is being used as a cash income and provides social security in the bad crop years. In general, there are many scientific findings that have been conducted by various researchers on the biological and socioeconomics of indigenous sheep. However, a comprehensive review of such results has yet to be executed.

Therefore, reviewing such previous works of sheep production in the country and also some related findings from other countries seems to be crucial to deliver information to the beneficiaries. Based on this outlined background, the objective of this paper was to review the research findings and facts and thereby to deliver relevant information to the beneficiaries.

Most of the related research findings of indigenous sheep in Ethiopia and some related issues from other countries were reviewed.

Sheep production was described. Various reports of research findings that focused on characterization of indigenous sheep were also reviewed. Reviewing was based on the production systems on which sheep were reared at times of the original data collections. Findings of morphological characteristics of indigenous sheep and their distribution were also reviewed, depicted and sourced. Moreover, research findings on genetics of indigenous sheep that have been reported by various scholars were also reviewed and synthesized.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Outlined description of the indigenous sheep

Indigenous sheep in Sub-Saharan Africa are described as the poorest in population growth and performance in the world ([Abassa, 1995](#)). They are diversified in breed types and have an association of specific breed types with particular ecological zones ([Peters and Thorpe, 1989](#)). Ethiopia has a diverse sheep population and its distribution is paralleled with its diverse ecology.

The country has around 25 million sheep ([CSA, 2007](#)) and this again grouped into about 14 traditional sheep populations ([Gizaw et al. 2007](#)). The average holding of sheep per household in Ethiopia ranges between 3.7 ([Abebe, 2010](#)) to 31.6 ([Getachew et al. 2010](#)). This all makes Ethiopia's sheep population the second in Africa and sixth in the world ([Demelash et al. 2006](#)). Ethiopian indigenous sheep are not exhaustively described breeds, but have distinguished morphological differences that are found in every corner of the country.

There is variation in morphology and genetics. Moreover, there is enough evidence that such sheep types of a country have genetic variation that may allow for selection.

In most of the native flocks, adaptation is a pronounced phenomenon. There is also a strong relationship between sheep types, ethnic groups and production systems of a country. Generally, this huge resource of the country should be properly utilized by implementing the headway options and experiences across the world.

According to [Gizaw et al. \(2008\)](#), a nationwide and comprehensive characterization of Ethiopian sheep populations was initiated in 2005. It is confirmed that there are large variations in morphological appearances, body conformation and matured bodyweights, coat colors and tail types of native sheep. These groups are short-tailed, long-tailed, fat-rumped and thin-tailed types. Moreover, the morphological variations may be due to the variations of qualitative genes in the indigenous gene pool of the flocks.

Similarly, different scholars agreed that every qualitative trait of an animal is affected by a single gene. Consequently, coat colors, wattle, horn, beardless and extra variations between and within indigenous sheep of Ethiopia are common and diversified.

Based on reports of various scholars, there are productivity and performance variations of indigenous sheep breeds. [Milan et al. \(2011\)](#) from Serbia reported also that environmental factors have important impacts on performances of sheep.

The variations in performances of sheep in Ethiopia are also aroused from within and between breeds, and across the agro ecologies. Therefore, it shows that there is a genetic potential and the poor performances also be improved by management of indigenous sheep. The details of reviewed data of indigenous sheep production and productivity traits were collected and depicted containing their parallel reference sources in Table 1.

Moreover, the genetic variation for indigenous sheep may be basically attributed by a geographical situation of a country. Isolation, natural and artificial selections may also contribute for this variation. As a result, some indigenous sheep groups were characterized and their names are also designated from their commonest niche areas.

Generally, the characterized indigenous sheep breeds (types) of Ethiopia and their designated names are Horro, Menz, Arsi-Bale, Simien, Tikur, Wollo, Afar, Black Head Somali, Farta, Bonga and Adilo. The details of genetic characteristics of indigenous sheep are shown in Table 2. Some productivity parameters of sheep and goat are also shown in Table 3.

Gizaw *et al.* (2010), who studied genetics of indigenous sheep in Ethiopia, stated that there was genetic variation between and within all pairs of sheep populations in the country.

They have adaptive divergence in morphological characters. Yakubu *et al.* (2010), on the contrary, who studied indigenous sheep in Nigeria described that the genetics of indigenous sheep types haven't been purified through artificial breeding and the estimates of genetic distance between them is closely related. However, Kunene (2010), from South Africa reported that there is a genetic variation and polymorphism within the Zulu sheep breeds. Major indigenous sheep breeds of Ethiopia, unique, features and distributed niche areas with number sheep per household are depicted in table 4 below.

#### Traits and productivity of indigenous sheep

Although Ethiopia is the second in Africa and six<sup>th</sup> in the world in sheep populations (Demelash *et al.* 2006), indigenous sheep are poor in performances. Ethiopian indigenous sheep are characterized by slow growth, late maturity and low production performances. The mean carcass production of such sheep is estimated as around 10 kg (FAO, 2009), which is low as compared to the average of sub-Saharan countries with annual off take rates of around 33% (EPA, 2002). The productivity of local sheep is low with high mortality of lambs (Tibbo, 2006). The low productivity of indigenous flocks can partially be attributed to the low management standards of the traditional production systems. However, provision of vaccination, improved feeding, clean water and night time enclosure relatively improves the production performance of indigenous sheep. In addition, skins of sheep are important by-products of small ruminants in sub-Saharan Africa (Wilson, 1992) and contribute to national revenue in most countries.

Indigenous sheep have various unique traits, of which, those having an economic importance were reviewed. In this review, the maximum age at 1<sup>st</sup> parturition (months) of sheep was 23.77 (Alexandre *et al.* 2008) at Martinik-Guadeloupe and the minimum was reported as 12.7 (Tsedeke, 2007) in Ethiopia.

According to Berhanu and Aynalem (2009a), average lambing interval and annual reproductive rate of Ethiopian sheep were 262±53.4 days and 1.88±0.44, respectively.

Moreover, Tsedeke (2007) reported that the average litter size was estimated to be around 1.70 per ewe for Arsi-Bale sheep, but the litter size per ewe of Menz and Washera sheep is around 1.11 (Dibissa, 2000; Taye *et al.* 2010) in Ethiopia.

There is an average birth weight variation across the country. Mukasa and Lahalou (1995) reported that average birth weight in Ethiopia is 2 kg, but, Milan *et al.* (2011) reports the average birth weight of sheep in Serbian is about 3.37 kg. Moreover, from the reviewed data, the minimum and maximum average weaning weights of sheep were reported as 8.13 and 15 kg (Kassahun, 2000) and (Berhe, 2010), respectively in Ethiopia. The milk yield per ewe of Ethiopian Afar sheep is 224 mL per year (Getachew *et al.* 2010), but Pacinovski *et al.* (2006) reported that there was around 1.09l for an Awassi sheep breed from the country of Macedonia.

Based on the reviewed literature, the maximum feed conversion ratio of sheep was 15.6 (Sultana *et al.* 2010) in Bangladesh; whereas, the minimum was 4.7 (Price *et al.* 2009) from South Africa. However, Mengistie (2009), from Ethiopia reported that the average feed conversion ratio and daily body weight gain were 38.40 and 1.175 kg, respectively for Washera ram lambs. Moreover, Getachew *et al.* (2011) reported that the average daily weight gains and carcass weight were also around 126 g and of 16 kg, respectively for feedlot growth and carcass performance of Washera sheep in Ethiopia. From the reviewed data, the minimum average daily body weight gains of sheep were reported as 0.07 kg (Kassahun, 2000) in Ethiopia; whereas, the maximum was 0.32 kg (Price *et al.* 2009) in South Africa, respectively.

The minimum and maximum average matured weights of sheep were also reported as 21.6±9.3 and 41.5±2.0 kg, respectively (according to Abebe, 2010) in Ethiopia.

The dressing percentage and carcass weights of Ethiopian sheep were reported to be 42.5% and 11.0 kg (Berhe, 2010) and 55.55% and 18 kg (Wood *et al.* 2010) from Bristol, respectively. Moreover, Berhe (2010) reported that average carcass weight of Ethiopian sheep was 10-12 kg and the annual mortality loss of sheep is also estimated around 14-16%. Sandip (2011) reported, from India that the dressing percentage of the Shahabadi Sheep ewes were 39%, which is low.

#### Distribution and improving schemes of indigenous sheep

Sheep are highly adaptable and distributed across a broad range of environments. They can utilize a wide variety of plant species and are thus complementary to cattle and camels. Sheep generally do not compete directly with these cattle and camels for feed.

**Table 1** Parameters and the mean values of indigenous sheep traits

| Parameters   | Mean values                         | Rearing system | Source of references                                       |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Body weights at birth (kg)                           | 2.5                                 | Intensive      | Mukasa and Lahalou (1995)                                  |
| Body weights at weaning (kg) of sheep                | 14.83 Menz and 8.30 Menz            | Traditional    | Hassen <i>et al.</i> (2004) and Niftalem (1990)*           |
| Body weights gained in 365 days of age (kg) of sheep | 24.67                               | Intensive      | Reviewed by Kassahun (2000)                                |
| Daily body weight gain in 365 days of age (g)        | 62.15                               | Intensive      | Reviewed by Kassahun (2000)                                |
| Pre-weaning mortality rate (%)                       | 25.3 Horro and 6.4 Washara sheep    | Intensive      | Tibbo (2006) and Mengistie (2008)*                         |
| Average body weights at matured age (kg)             | 32 (ewes) and 38 (rams)             | Intensive      | Kunene (2010)  |
|  | 33.50                               | Semi-intensive | Kassahun (2000)  |
| Age at 1 <sup>st</sup> parturition (months)          | 15.0 Menz sheep                     | Intensive      | Mukasa and Lahalou (1995)                                  |
|  | 12.7 Arsi-Bale                      | Traditional    | Tsedeke (2007)   |
| Lambing / kidding interval (months)                  | 8.4 Menz sheep                      | Intensive      | Mukasa and Lahalou (1995)                                  |
|  | 7.8 Arsi-Bale sheep                 | Traditional    | Dibissa (2000)   |
|  | 1.70 Arsi-Bale sheep                | Traditional    | Tsedeke (2007)   |
| Litter size  | 1.11 Menz and Washara sheep         | Traditional    | Dibissa (2000) and Taye <i>et al.</i> (2009)*              |
| High cause of mortality (%)                          | 53.6 (pneumonia)                    | Intensive      | Tibbo (2006)   |
| Mortality rate of sheep up to the yearling (%)       | 50.50                               | Intensive      | Tibbo (2006)   |
| Average losses of sheep (%)                          | 34.6                                | Extensive      | Tsedeke (2007)   |
| Average daily dry mater feed intake (g)              | 134.4 Horro and 59.10 Washara sheep | Semi-intensive | Gojjam <i>et al.</i> (1998) and Taye <i>et al.</i> (2009)* |

\* Shows respectively reported data.

**Table 2** Genetic characteristics of indigenous sheep

| Traits / Parameters                           | Mean values | Sources of references |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|
| Genetic distances between sheep groups        |             |                       |
| Wattle  | Beard       | Coat color            |
| 0.005   | 0.005       | 0.18                  |
| The genetic diversity                         |             | 21.91                 |
| Phenotypic diversity (%)                      |             | 48.26                 |
| Number of alleles per sheep populations       |             | 5.87 to 7.51          |
| Polymorphism contents                         |             | 0.283 to 0.852        |
| Genetic differentiation                       |             | 0.04 to 0.111         |
| Heterozygosity value                          |             | 0.66 to 0.75          |
| Average heritability estimates of weights at: |             |                       |
| birth   | weaning     | Yearling              |
| 0.24  | 0.135       | 0.24                  |
| 0.068   | 0.18-0.26   | 0.20                  |
|   |             | Post-waning           |
|   |             | 0.11                  |
|   |             | 0.22                  |

Yakubu *et al.* (2010) (n=636)  
 Kunene (2010) (n=76)  
 Kunene (2010) (n=76)  
 Gizaw *et al.* (2007) (n=672)  
 Niu *et al.* (2011)  
 Niu *et al.* (2011)  
 Gizaw *et al.* (2007)

Tibbo (2006)  
 Mandal *et al.* (2010)

**Table 3** Some productivity parameters of shoat

| Traits / parameters                 | Values                         |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Weaning weight                      | 15 kg                          |
| Yearling weight                     | 22 kg                          |
| Carcass weight                      | 40-45% of empty body weight    |
| Annual direct losses from mortality | Sheep: 14-16% and Goat: 11-18% |
| Adapted from Berhe, 2010            |                                |

**Table 4** Major indigenous sheep breeds of Ethiopia, unique features, distributed niche areas and holding per household

| Major indigenous sheep breeds (types)          | The unique features              | Main niche-areas / agro-ecologies | Sources   |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Simien, Tikur, Menz and Wollo*                 | Short fat-tailed                 | Sub-alpine (>3000 m.a.s.l)        | Alemayehu, (2004)   |
| Washera, Sekota, Horro*, Arsi-Bale* and Farta* | Long fat-tailed                  | Highlands (1500-3000 m.a.s.l)     | Alemayehu, (2004)   |
| Afar and Black Head Somali                     | Fat-rumped                       | Arid and semiarid (<1000 m.a.s.l) | Alemayehu, (2004)   |
| Bonga and Adilo,                               | Thin-tailed                      | Lowlands (humid) (>1000 m.a.s.l)  | Alemayehu, (2004)   |
| Parameters                                     | Mean values                      | Rearing system                    |   |
| Main purpose of rearing sheep (%)              | 98.9 (for sale)<br>7.4           | Extensive<br>extensive            | Tsedeke (2007)<br>Tsedeke (2007)                            |
| Average holding of sheep per household (%)     | 31.6 Menz and 23.0 Afar<br>17.77 | Extensive<br>Extensive            | Getachew <i>et al.</i> (2010)<br>Gizaw <i>et al.</i> (2008) |

\* Symbol is to showing the possibility of finding a breed in other agro-ecologies.

Gizaw *et al.* (2008) reported that there is high morphological, ecological, ethnic and production systems diversity of indigenous sheep distributions in Ethiopia.

Compared to goats, sheep lay down more subcutaneous and intramuscular fat from surplus energy (Wilson, 1991). There has been a tendency to over-emphasise the low productivity of indigenous breeds without due consideration of some important characteristics of these breeds.

Lebbie *et al.* (1992) and Getachew *et al.* (2010) from Zimbabwe and Ethiopia, respectively reported that to improve the sheep production, selection and evaluation of the best animals should be concentrated on the traditional sector.

Generally, livestock improvement programs targeting smallholder farmers need to incorporate existing traditional herding, breeding practices, trait preferences and the multiple roles of sheep.

According to Kunene (2010), the phenotypic and genetic diversity between the indigenous sheep may indicate that there is an opportunity of genetic exploitation by selecting animals based on phenotypic as well as genetic characteristics. Improving schemes of indigenous sheep in the country should incorporate the niche areas and agro ecologies. Moreover, improvement plans for indigenous sheep should also consider socio cultural believes and ethnical interests of the country.

### Socio-economics

According to Ayele *et al.* (2003), the current knowledge on livestock market structure, performance and prices is poor and inadequate for designing policies and institutions to overcome perceived problems in the marketing systems of Ethiopia. Moreover, Gede *et al.* (2005), from Indonesia reported that farmers possess minimal marketing information and usually complain about the prices they receive for their merchandise. Small ruminants represent an important component of the Ethiopian livestock production system, providing 12% of the value of livestock products consumed at the farm level and 48% of the cash income generated

(Demelash *et al.* 2006). Moreover, Ayele *et al.* (2003) reported that livelihood of smallholders in Ethiopia is highly dependent on the cash income from livestock and livestock products. However, Nwafor (2004) reported, from Gambia that the prices of sheep and goat are not determined by weight, rather by the general appearance of the animal, market site and season of the year. Moreover the same author reported also that there is no grading done and sale is by head count. Moreover, Gede *et al.* (2005), from Indonesia reported that sheep fattening on the basis of rice bran supplementation is explored as a feasible innovation for the lowlands. The trend of annual growth rate as well as the per capita consumption of sheep and goat meat production was 0.5 as compared to the total 1.3 in Ethiopia (FAO, 2009). However, Berhe (2010) presented that mutton per capita consumption is estimated to be 2.8 in the country. The Institute of Biodiversity and Conservation IBC (2004) of Ethiopia stated that sheep are playing an important role in the livelihood of resource-poor farmers, providing a vast range of products and services such as meat, milk, skin, hair, horns, bones, manure and urine for cash, security, gifts, religious rituals, medicine, etc. In the subsistence sector, farmers and pastoralists depend on small ruminants for much of their livelihood, often to a greater extent than on cattle, because sheep and goats are generally owned by the poorer sectors.

Sheep and goats are relatively cheap and are often the first asset acquired by the community. Sheep and goats contribute a quarter of the domestic meat consumption, half of the domestic wool requirements, and around 92% of the value of semi-processed skin and hide export trades (Zelalem and Fletcher, 1991). The increased domestic and international demand for Ethiopian sheep and goats has established them as important sources of Inland Revenue as well as foreign currency. This increased demand also creates an opportunity to substantially improve food security of the population and to alleviate poverty. Farmers prefer sheep that have brown coat colors and are valued as good or excellent breeds.

Sheep can naturally adapt wider agro ecologies and are hardy enough to resist harsh environment. Moreover, establishing sources of sheep is simple, compared to large animals, and needs low capital; thus, improving this huge resource will enable improvements to be made to the livelihoods of the poor farmers in Ethiopia.

A marketing channel refers to the sequence of enterprises and markets by which produce is moved from producer to consumer. Sheep and goat marketing agents, in Ethiopia include: producers, country buyers (farmers, cooperatives, small traders, butchers, etc.), big traders (wholesalers), and export abattoirs, live animal exporters, brokers/agents and consumers (ESGPIP, 2011).

### Constraints and opportunities

Sheep production and productivity in Ethiopia is constrained by many factors such as scarcity of feed, lack of infrastructure, high mortality rates, inadequate veterinary coverage, poor quality products and low average reproductive rates (Ehui, 1999; Tsedeke, 2010). However, high reproductive wastage is the major constraint of sheep productivity, which also greatly reduces selection possibilities; thus, improving the frequency of lambing and reducing mortality should be the emphasized schemes of sheep production. Sheep have higher survival rates under unfavoured conditions and are widely adapted to different agro-climates. They can be found in all ethnic groups and production systems.

Importantly, because of their small body sizes, small ruminants have lower feed requirements that allow integration of them into different enterprises. Moreover, in addition to requiring a small initial investment, flock numbers can be restored more rapidly because of their fast reproductive rates, and they are also suitable for meeting subsistence needs (meat and milk) of the smallholders. Furthermore, a pressure of exotic breeds on indigenous types is much less for sheep, than other species including goat, where the contribution of crossbreeding and breed substitution has been considerable (FAO, 1991).

### CONCLUSION

Population growth and performances of indigenous / native sheep in Ethiopia is poor. On the other hand, small ruminants, particularly sheep are one of the expected livestock species that serve as income sources of smallholder farmers.

Therefore, emphasis should be given by researchers and development bodies to balance the indigenous sheep population dynamism and improve productivity. Ethiopia has diversified agro-ecologies and ethnic groups that may at-

tributed for the presences of diversified phenotypes and genetic of local sheep.

Some communities from different parts of the country have been attaching their social beliefs and life-safeness with the morphological characteristics of indigenous sheep that are used at home. Such beliefs of the society on the morphological appearances of birds are creating influences on the market values of matured sheep. Thus, any breeding and improved production programs of local sheep should therefore incorporate the production objectives and trait preferences of the society. Moreover, different scholars indicated that the presence of diversified phenotypic appearances of local sheep showed the genetic potential for improvement. Hence, this huge gene pool should be used for improvement through traditional selection together with genomics technology.

### REFERENCES

- Abassa K.P. (1995). Reproductive losses in small ruminants in Sub-Saharan Africa: A review. ILCA Working Document. IDRC, Ottawa Canada: Accessed 1 Nov. 2011. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.  
<http://www.ilri.org>
- Abebe Y. (2010). Assessment of small ruminant production systems and on-farm evaluation of urea treated wheat straw and concentrate feeding on sheep body weight change in Burie Woreda, West Gojjam. MS. Thesis. Haramaya University, Ethiopia.
- Alemayehu M. (2004). Country pastures/ forage resource profile, Ethiopia. Accessed 26 Sept. 2011.  
<http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/counprof/ethiopia/ethiopia.htm>.
- Alexandre G., Coppry O., Bocage, Fleury J. and Archimède H. (2008). Effect of live weight at slaughter on the carcass characteristics of intensively fattened Martinik sheep fed sugar cane supplemented with pea flour. *Livest. Res. Rural. Dev.* **20**. Accessed 6 June. 2011.  
<http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/8/alex20119.htm>.
- Ayele S., Assegid W., Jabbar M.A., Ahmed M.M. and Belachew H. (2003). Livestock marketing in Ethiopia: A review of structure, performance and development initiatives. Socio-economics and Policy Research Working Paper 52. ILRI (Int. Livest. Res. Inst.), Nairobi, Kenya.  
<http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/11/bela21189.htm>.
- Berhanu B. and Aynalem H. (2009a). Reproductive performance of traditionally managed sheep in the south western part of Ethiopia. *Livest. Res. Rural. Dev.* **21**. Accessed 19 Sept. 2011.  
<http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/9/bela21154.htm>.
- Berhe G. (2010). Animal and Plant Health. Directorate-Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Ethiopia. Presented on: Dialogue on Livestock, Food security and Sustainability A side event on the occasion of the 22<sup>nd</sup> Session of COAG, FAO, Rome on 16 June, 2010.

- CSA (Ethiopian Central Statistics Agency). (2007). Livestock Sample Survey 2006-2007 (1997 Ethiopian Calendar) (AgLVS2006), Version 1.1, December, 2007. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Demelash B., Yilma J. and Hassen C. (2006). Ovine helminthosis, a major health constraint to productivity of sheep in Ethiopia. *Anim. health Res. Rev.* **7(1-2)**, 107-118.
- Dibissa N. (2000). Sheep production on smallholder farmers in the Ethiopian highlands-a farming system approach. Ph D. dissertation. Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany.
- EARO (Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization). (2000). National Small Ruminants Research Strategy Document. EARO, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. EPA (Ethiopian Privatization Agency).2002. Accessed 25 Sept 2011. <http://www.telecom.net.et/~epa/Sectors/leather.html>.
- Ehui S. (1999). A review of the contribution of livestock to food security, poverty alleviation and environmental sustainability in sub-Saharan Africa. Pp. 1-13 in Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> annual conf., Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- EPA (Ethiopian Privatization Agency). (2002). Investment and innovation policy review of Ethiopia. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. 138 p. United Nations New York and Geneva, 2002. Accessed 22 October 2011. <http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/poiteipcm4.en.pdf>.
- ESGPIP (Ethiopia Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement Program). (2011). Technical Bulletin No.44. Marketing your sheep and goat.15P. Accessed 2 Nov. 2011. [http://www.esgPIP.org/PDF/Technical\\_Bulletin\\_44.pdf](http://www.esgPIP.org/PDF/Technical_Bulletin_44.pdf).
- FAO. (2009). *FAO.STAT Data*. Accessed 25 October 2011. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i0680e/i0680e.pdf>.
- FAO. (1991). Indigenous African small ruminants: a case for characterization and improvement. Small ruminant production and the small ruminant genetic resource in tropical Africa. Animal Production and Health Paper. Rome.
- Gede S., Budisatria Henk M.J., Udo Akke J., Vander Z., Endang B. and Tridjoko W.M. (2005). Religious festivities and marketing of small ruminants in central Java-Indonesia. *Asian J. Agric.* **5(2)**, 58-74.
- Getachew T., Gizaw S., Lemma S. and Taye M. (2011). Breeding practices, growth, and carcass potential of fat-tailed Washera sheep breed in Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* **43(7)**, 1443-1448.
- Getachew T., Haile A., Tibbo M., Sharma A.K., Sölkner J. and Wurzinger M. (2010). Herd management and breeding practices of sheep owners in a mixed crop-livestock and a pastoral system of Ethiopia. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* **5**, 685-691.
- Gizaw S., Komen H., Hanotte O. and Van Arendonk J.A.M. (2008). Indigenous sheep resources of Ethiopia: types, production systems and farmers preferences. *Anim. Genet. Res. Inf.* **43**, 25-39.
- Gizaw S., Van Arendonk J.A.M., Komen H., Windig J.J. and Hanotte O. (2007). Population structure, genetic variation and morphological diversity in indigenous sheep of Ethiopia. *Anim. Genet.* **38**, 621-628.
- Gojjam Y., Abegaz S. and Duguma G. (1998). Late pregnancy ewe feeding and its effect on lamb growth and survival to weaning and body weight changes of ewes in Horro sheep. Pp. 110-116 in 5<sup>th</sup> Proc. National Conf., Ethiopia Soc. Anim. Prod. (ESAP), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Hassen Y., Sölkner J. and Fuerst-Waltl B. (2004). Body weight of Awassi and indigenous Ethiopian sheep and their crosses. *Small Rumin. Res.* **55**, 51-56.
- IBC (Institute of Biodiversity Conservation). (2004). The state of Ethiopia's farm animal genetic resources. Country Report. A contribution to the first report on the state of the world's animal genetic resources. IBC., May 2004. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Kassahun A. (2000). Comparative performance evaluation of Horro and Menz sheep of Ethiopia under grazing and intensive feeding conditions. Pp. 173 in PhD. Thesis. Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin.
- Kosgey I.S. and Okeyo A.M. (2007). Genetic improvement of small ruminants in low-input, smallholder production systems: Technical and infrastructural issues. *Small Rumin. Res.* **70**, 76-88.
- Kunene N. (2010). Characterization of indigenous Zulu (Nguni) sheep for utilisation, improvement and conservation. Ph D. Thesis. KwaZulu-Natal Univ. KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.
- Lebbie S.H.B., Rey B. and Irungu E.K. (1992). Sheep production in Zambia: A review of sheep breeding. Pp. 221-222 in Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Biennial Conf., Afric. Small Rumin. Res. Network AICC, Arusha, Tanzania.
- Mandal A., Pant K.P., Nandy D.K., Rout P.K. and Roy R. (2010). Genetic analysis of growth traits in Muzaffarnagari sheep. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* **35**, 271-284.
- Mengistie T. (2009). Growth of Washera ram lambs fed on Napier (*Pennisetum purpureum*) and Sesbania (*Sesbania sesban*) mixture at different levels of combination. *Livest. Res. Rural. Dev.* **21(12)**. Accessed 25 July 2011. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/12/taye21211.htm>.
- Mengistie T. (2008). On-farm performance of Washera sheep at Yilmanadensa and Quarit Woredas of the Amhara National Regional state. MS. Thesis. Hawassa Univ., Awassa, Ethiopia.
- Milan P., Petrovic D., Ruzic M., Violeta C.P. and Nevena M. (2011). Influence of environmental factors on birth weight variability of indigenous Serbian breeds of sheep. *Afric. J. Biotec.* **10**, 4673-4676.
- Mukasa-Mugerwa E. and Lahlou-Kassi A. (1995). Reproductive performance and productivity of Menz sheep in the Ethiopian highlands. *Small Rumin. Res.* **17**, 167-177.
- Niftalem D. (1990). Sheep production on smallholder farms in the Ethiopian highlands-a farming system approach. Ph D. Thesis. Humboldt Univ., Berlin, Germany.
- Niu L.L., Li H.B., Ma Y.H. and Du L.X. (2012). Genetic variability and individual assignment of Chinese indigenous sheep populations (*Ovis aries*) using microsatellites. *J. Anim. Genet.* **43(1)**, 108-111.
- Nwafor C.U. (2004). Small ruminant livestock marketing in The Gambia: a socio-economic perspective. *Livest. Res. Rural. Dev.* **16(4)**, 207-214.
- Pacinovski N. and Elena Eftimova Gievska M. (2006). Comparison of milk production ability between Awassi and East-Friesian sheep in Macedonia. Pp. 65-72 in Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> Sym. Liv-

- est. Prod. Int. Part. 12-14 September, Ohrid, Macedonia.
- Peters K.J. and Thorpe W. (1989). Trends in On-Farm Performances Testing of Cattle and Sheep in Sub Saharan Africa: *Inter. Livestock Centre Afric. Bulletin*. **35**, 111-117.
- Price M.M., Einkamerer O.B., De Witt F.H., Greyling J.P.C. and Fair M.D. (2009). The effect of dietary ionospheres on feedlot performance of lambs. *Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* **39**, 141-144.
- Sandip B. (2011). Carcass composition of Shahabadi sheep reared on natural pastures in hot and humid climate of eastern India. *World. Appl. Sci. J.* **14(4)**, 506-509.
- Sultana N., Hossain S.M.J., Chowdhury S.A., Hassan M.R. and Ershaduzzaman M. (2010). Effects of age on intake, growth, nutrient utilization and carcass characteristics of castrated native sheep. *Bangl. Vet.* **27**, 62-73.
- Taye M., Abebe G., Gizaw S., Lemma S., Mekoya A. and Tibbo M. (2010). Growth performances of Washara sheep under smallholder management systems in Yilmanadensa and Quarit districts. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* **42**, 659-667.
- Tibbo M. (2006). Productivity and health of indigenous sheep breeds and crossbreds in the central Ethiopian highlands. Ph D. Thesis. Swedish Univ. Uppsala, Sweden.
- Tsedeke K.K. (2007). Production and marketing systems of sheep and goats in Alaba, Southern Ethiopia. MS. Thesis. Hawassa Univ, Ethiopia.
- Wilson R.T. (1992). Goat and sheep skin and fibre production in selected Sub-Saharan African countries. *Small Rumin. Res.* **8**, 13-29.
- Wilson R.T. (1991). Small Ruminant Production and the Small Ruminant Genetic Resource in Tropical Africa. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy.
- Wood J.D., MacFie H.J.H., Pomeroy R.W. and Twinn D.J. (2010). Carcass composition in four sheep breeds: the importance of type of breed and stage of maturity. *Camb. J. Online.* **30**, 103-108.
- Yakubu A., Rajia O. and Omeje J.N. (2010). Genetic and phenotypic differentiation of qualitative traits in Nigerian indigenous goat and sheep populations. *J. Agric. Biological. Sci.* **5**, 120-129.
- Zelalem A. and Fletcher I. (1991). Small ruminant productivity in the central Ethiopian mixed farming systems. Pp. 141-147 in Proc. 4<sup>th</sup> NLIC., 13-15 November. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Archive of SID