

Animal Factors Condition Milk Performance and Quality of Grazing Dairy Cows

Review Article

A.I. Roca-Fernandez^{1*}

¹ Centro de Investigaciones Agrarias de Mabegondo, INGACAL, Apdo, 10-15080, La Coruna, Spain

Received on: 13 Apr 2013 Revised on: 18 May 2013 Accepted on: 1 Jun 2013 Online Published on: Mar 2014

*Correspondence E-mail: anairf@ciam.es © 2010 Copyright by Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran Online version is available on: www.ijas.ir

ABSTRACT

The base of this review is to consider the relevant role that animal factors (potential milk yield, body weight, body condition score, state of lactation, parity and fertility) play on milk performance (considering the energy balance and the rumen function across the full lactation curve of animals) and milk quality (milk protein content, milk fat content, milk lactose content, vitamins, minerals, immunoglobulins, cells in milk, pathogenic bacteria and inhibitors) of grazing dairy cows (taking into account the substitution rate and the milk response at pasture of animals under different supplementation regimes). All this, looking for high individual milk production and paying attention to grassland management as the key point for sustainability of grazing dairy systems in order to maintain high pasture dry matter intake in the swards with high grass quality (high pasture levels of crude protein, water soluble carbohydrates and digestibility of organic matter but low pasture levels of acid and neutral detergent fibers) all over the grazing season to satisfy cow needs at all times thought the lactation curve of dairy cows. It is also necessary to consider the use of supplementation at pasture (normally at the peak of lactation, when cows are generally in a state of negative energy balance and the provision of silage / concentrate can help to alleviate this situation) to keep the animals within the desired levels of milk production. It seeks, thereby, to achieve an efficient conversion of grass into milk, in a competitive and profitable way taking into consideration the current context in which pasture-based milk production systems are developed in mostly of temperate regions worldwide and focusing our interest in the milk premium price got by dairy farmers in a humid area as Galicia (NW Spain) when we consider the quantity and the quality of the milk delivered to the dairy industry.

KEY WORDS body condition score, body weight, energy balance, milk quality, potential milk yield, rumen function.

INTRODUCTION

Efficient pasture based milk production systems and constraints

Grazing dairy systems have decreased considerably over the last 30 years in many European countries (Bourgeois, 2002) and the number of dairy cows which are kept indoors for all or part of the herbage growing season has increased considerably (Van Den Pol-van Dasselaar *et al.* 2008). Nevertheless, it is now time to take into consideration that due to economic, environmental and animal welfare constraints, the abolition of EU milk quotas by 2015 it is envisaged that a larger proportion of the milk produced in temperate regions will be produced from grazed pastures (Dillon, 2006). Considering the fact that grass is the cheapest source of nutrients available for feeding ruminants, it should be the basis of profitable, consumer acceptable and sustainable milk production systems in humid regions (Roca-Fernández, 2011) where climatic conditions are adequate for grass growth (Mayne and Peyraud, 1996). Despite differences both between and within countries on the seasonality of grass growth and expected yield, high utilization of fresh herbage in the diet of cows helps to reduce the feeding costs of milk production. Low-inputs grazing systems have also social and environmental values related to the preservation of the rural landscape and the promotion of a clean, animal welfare friendly and transferring a good image for dairy cattle production to consumers (Peyraud and Gonzalez Rodríguez, 2000).

The comparisons made at world level show that milk production systems maximizing grassland utilization appear to be highly competitive. A study of the international competitiveness of using available farm resources as grazed grass found that the total cost of milk production is negatively related to the proportion of grazed grass in the diet of dairy cows Dillon et al. (2005). These data showed that increasing the proportion of grazed grass in a system that already entails a high proportion of grazed grass (such as happen in countries with high tradition for grazing grass as United Kingdom and Ireland) will have a greater benefit in reducing milk production costs than other system with a low proportion of grazed grass (such as happen in countries with a high inputs milk production systems as Denmark and The Netherlands). These relationship also highlighted that the average milk production cost is reduced by 1 cent €/liter per 2.5% increase in grazed grass in the cows' diet. Models indicate that in Ireland, early grazing will increase profitability per cow and day for each extra day at grass, through higher animal performance and lower feed costs (Kennedy et al. 2005). Similarly, in the Netherlands, the more grass the cows eat at pasture the larger is the farmer's income. Nevertheless, the level of herbage utilization on many of the Irish dairy farms is low and may be increased through increasing stocking rate (SR) and applying modern grazing management strategies at farm level (Kennedy et al. 2005). In the past, high performance from pasture-based milk production systems was based on applying high SR accompanied by high herbage utilization, but compromising animal performance. However, increased emphasis on issues like product quality and nitrogen (N) leaching, soil compaction, greenhouse-gas emissions and animal welfare, pasturebased milk production systems in the future will require higher per-animal productivity (Dillon, 2006). Therefore, the efficient utilization of grazed grass will need the development of grazing management systems designed to maximize daily pasture dry matter intake (PDMI) per cow, while maintaining a greater sward quality over the grazing season (Mayne and Peyraud, 1996; Roca-Fernández et al. 2012). In a recent review, Roca-Fernández (2013) has considered the important role that sward factors play on PDMI of grazing dairy cows. The implication is that most of the grazing systems designed to maximize cow performance are inefficient in utilization of grass per hectare (ha) and this is a key point of research that need to be improved for future development of sustainable milk production systems in humid areas looking for an efficient conversion of grass into milk and profitability of grazing dairy systems (Roca-Fernández, 2011). The PDMI may be maximized by adhering to sward important characteristics such as maintaining a high proportion of green leaf within the grazing horizon while allocating an adequate daily herbage allowance (DHA) (Dillon, 2006; Roca-Fernández et al. 2011; Roca-Fernández et al. 2012). Increasing the green leaf proportion at the base of the sward through appropriate grazing management strategies implemented in early spring may play an important role in increasing PDMI and making grazing management easier (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Van Vuuren and Van den Pol van Dasselaar (2006) used data of Bruinenberg et al. (2002), Bargo et al. (2003), Butler et al. (2003), Ribeiro-Filho et al. (2005) and Tas et al. (2005) to calculate that when fed a grass only diet, a maximum PDMI of 110 to 120 g per (kg of body weight)^{-0,75} can be expected. This amount is considered enough to meet relatively high energy and protein requirements from dairy cows.

During forty years the selection of dairy cows has been almost exclusively oriented toward high genetic merit (HGM) dairy cows on milk production (Delaby et al. 2010). Today, HGM Holstein-Friesian dairy cows are able to produce more than 10000 kg milk per lactation in highinput farming systems, but they cannot produce such amount of milk from grazing alone (Dillon, 2006). Consequently, pasture-based milk production systems prevent HGM dairy cows from fully expressing their milk potential despite high amounts of concentrate being provided (González-Rodríguez et al. 2010). Several trials, however, have shown that relatively high milk production (i.e. 7400 kg per lactation) is achievable with HGM dairy cows in pasture-based milk production systems with only 350 kg of concentrate at least under areas well suited to produce high yields of grass over a prolonged grazing season (Buckley et al. 2000; Kennedy et al. 2002; Horan et al. 2005; Delaby et al. 2010; Roca-Fernández et al. 2011; Roca-Fernández et al. 2012a). Likewise, in the future, the dairy cow genotype must be compatible with the system of milk production; the prediction of the phenotypic performance of dairy cattle must be based on the knowledge of the genotype, the environment and its interaction (Peyraud et al. 2010).

There are several constraints that limit pasture-based milk production systems and the decrease on the number of grazing dairy cows in the last times can be explained by different reasons (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Firstly, the convenience of managing confined dairy herds, particularly with continuous calving pattern, fed with supplements in order to control their diet and balance and the variability of the fresh herbage production (Peyraud *et al.* 2010). Secondly, the lower milk yield (MY) per cow has largely contributed to reduce the use of many suitable areas for grazing. In addition, the increasing use of automated milking systems makes grazing more difficult, although the combination of grazing and milking robot is now possible without penalizing MY per cow (Wiktorsson and Spörndly, 2002). Increased herd size may be another reason for which the decreasing of grazing can be explained in many dairy farms, at least for countries in northern of European countries, where pressure for land use and SR are very high (Van Den Pol van Dasselaar *et al.* 2008).

Many farms are also limited by land area and fragmentation (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Van Hung et al. (2007) defined land fragmentation whereby a single farm has a number of parcels of land which are not adjoining each other. In a pasture-based milk production system land area fragmentation is an important constraint on the use of grazing systems in dairy farms. With land becoming the limiting factor in a post-quota scenario alternative uses for this land such as the outsourcing of replacements to outside land blocks or the contract rearing of dairy heifers will be explored for potential increases in efficiency (Shalloo et al. 2007). A study by Jha et al. (2005) on the effects of land fragmentation in India found that it had a negative effect on technical efficiency leading to inefficient use of resources in agriculture and increased costs. If land fragmentation means that more labor and other resources are used than is necessary and that these resources can be used more effectively elsewhere, there is an overall economic gain from reduced levels of land fragmentation (Van Hung et al. 2007).

Animal factors affecting milk performance

In this review, the focus will be done on the relevant role that animal factors play on milk performance and quality of grazing dairy cows for future development of sustainable milk production systems in humid areas.

Potential milk yield (MY)

There has been great genetic progress in the last times with the selection of high milk yield (MY) per cow (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Internationally, genetic selection among cattle breeders for higher MY has resulted in an average production gains of 1 to 2% per year (Dillon *et al.* 2006). This genetic selection has been generally accompanied with an increased proportion of North American Holstein-Friesian genes with high MY per cow, lower weight and greater MY response to concentrate supplementation (Rauw *et al.* 1998). Furthermore, genetic selection for increased MY is associated with lower milk fat and protein, higher MY post-calving and at peak and lower persistency of lactation compared to animals of lower MY potential.

As MY and dry matter (DM) intake are correlated, selection for high MY should produce cows with higher intake potential (Van Arendon *et al.* 1991). There are also indications that improving DM intake pre-partum has a positive residual effect on DM intake post-partum which can lead to improvements in MY. Improving body condition score at calving has beneficial effects on post-partum MY. Beneficial effects on reproductive performance as a direct result of improving diet pre-calving are difficult to find but BCS at calving has been shown to effect conception rates to first service and, therefore, nutrition in the pre-calving period should indirectly affect reproductive performance.

Journet and Demarquilly (1979) reported average milk responses (MR) from 0.4 to 0.6 kg of milk per kg of concentrate. However, Peyraud and Delaby (2001) found that the MR to concentrate was higher than previously reported research in the literature published after 1990, which can be attributed to the increase in genetic merit of dairy cows. A greater response to supplementation may be expected in high genetic merit (HGM) dairy cows because they partition more nutrients to milk production and lose more body weight in early lactation than low genetic merit (LGM) dairy cows (Kellaway and Porta, 1993). Stage of lactation influences lactational responses to concentrate supplements (Dixon and Stockdale, 1999; Roca-Fernández et al. 2012). In early lactation, cows partition more nutrients toward milk production; thus, milk response (MR) to supplementation may be higher than in late lactation, when more nutrients are directed to body weight (Kellaway and Porta, 1993). The MR to concentrate supplementation of grazing cows supplemented with 3 kg DM/day concentrate was 0.7, 0.4, 0.5 and 0 kg of milk per kg of concentrate when they were between 86 to 114, 115 to 133, 134 to 187 and 188 to 243 days in milk (DIM), respectively (O'Brien et al. 1999). Summarizing five experiments with supplement DM intake from 0 to 7 kg/day, the marginal MR was 1.3, 1.1 and 0.7 kg of milk per kg of supplement in early, mid and late lactation, respectively (Stockdale et al. 1987).

Body weight (BW)

Output from cows is frequently expressed as a measure of MY (i.e. volume, weight of solids, energy, etc.) and no references are made to body weight (BW) change. Nevertheless, maintenance requirements are related to cow BW (Jarrige, 1989), and thus, total energy demands are related to cow BW. Cow size, as described by BW, has been shown to have a major influence on intake (Stockdale, 2000). It is reported that under grazing conditions, daily intakes of herbage are less than 3.0% of BW but this may increase to 3.25% of BW in high producing cows (Leaver, 1985). Peyraud *et al.* (1996) stated that pasture dry matter intake (PDMI) increases by 1.0 to 1.5 kg OM per 100 kg of BW. Stakelum and Connolly (1987) reported that for each 100 kg increase in BW daily PDMI increased by 2.2 kg. As MY and feed consumption are closely correlated, and the use of North American Holstein-Friesian genetics has been widespread all over the world in the past 15 years, dairy cows with higher MY and higher intake potential have been produced. Kennedy *et al.* (2003) reported that HGM cows were heavier and had a higher total dry matter intake (TDMI) (552 kg and 18.3 kg DM/cow/day, respectively) than their medium genetic counterparts (548 kg and 17.4 kg DM/cow/day). Kertz *et al.* (1991) also reported that BW loss is greater in multiparous than primiparous cows. Mature animals reach their lowest BW between week's five to seven of lactation, it then progressively increases until week eighteen. Primiparous animals, however, reach their lowest BW at week four of lactation and remain at that level until week twelve.

Muller and Fales (1998) reported that lactation curve of grazing cows declined more rapidly in the spring and cows gained less body condition score (BCS) (0.3 gain in BCS) in the 6-month study than the benchmark values for confinement feeding. The authors also reported that Hoffman et al. (1993) fed grain at rates of 1 kg to 3 kg milk or 1 kg to 4.2 kg milk and that these rates did not result in MY differences between the groups. However, BW gains and BCS were lower for cows fed the lower amount of grain. Rook et al. (1994) concluded that mean BW was significantly lower at 4.0 cm sward height (SH) than at 6.0 or 8.0 cm. Supplementation had no effect on mean BW at 4.0 or 6.0 cm but increased it at 8.0 cm SH. In a further experiment supplementation also increased mean BW at 8.0 cm but not at 6.0 cm. The mean BW change pattern was maintained by cows on the 6.0 and 8.0 cm swards but those on the 4.0 cm sward suffered substantial BW loss.

Fulkerson *et al.* (2001) compared two genetic merit dairy cows groups (high, HGM and medium, MGM) fed three different levels of concentrate feeding on a predominantly pasture-based feeding system. The low, medium and high concentrate feeding levels were 0.34 t/cow, 0.84 t/cow and 1.7 t/cow, respectively. There were no significant differences between levels of feeding within level of genetic merit. However, dairy heifers on the low concentrate feeding level lost more BW and the heifers in the high concentrate feeding level lost the least. There was no significant difference in BW losses in the multiparous dairy cows in the post-calving period.

Body condition score (BCS)

BW alone is not a good indicator of body reserves as a cow of a given weight could be large and thin or small and fat; additionally BW is subject to variations in gut fill. For example, Stockdale (1999) reported that cows that lost BCS consistently through spring and summer increased their BW throughout. It has been found that as BCS at calving rises, the rate of increase in food intake after calving decreases and the delay between peak MY and time of maximum food intake becomes greater (Garnsworthy and Jones, 1987). Kellaway and Porta (1993) reported that when thin dairy cows were able to take advantage of their increased appetite in early lactation by being given access to ad libitum feed of high digestibility, the advantage of having dairy cows in good BCS was much less. These authors also established that this may be possible with a balanced complete diet but this is very unlikely with grazing dairy cows. They found, however, that concentrate supplementation in a grazing system had no effect on energy balance (EB) up to 60 days in milk (DIM), yet the inclusion of concentrate in the diet did result in greater BCS gain in mid to late lactation. In general, dairy cows selected from within a pasturebased system (New Zealand strain) maintained higher BCS throughout lactation (Roca-Fernández, 2011).

The need for specific levels of body reserves in dairy systems, where pasture and supplements rather than total mixed rations (TMR) are fed, is not clear, and this is partly because the information on the influence of BCS at calving on the milk production system of grazing cows is scarce (Stockdale, 2001). Therefore, there is a need to better define this relationship for pasture fed cows. The response of animals at different BCS to additional feeding, particularly through the use of concentrates, needs to be established since most farmers now use supplements to complement pasture in the diet of early lactation cows. Gibb et al. (1992) assessed body tissue changes, by serial slaughter procedures, in 54 multiparous cows offered grass silage ad libitum plus 3, 6 or 9 kg of concentrates. Mean BW at calving was 606 kg and by lactation week 8 this had declined to 563 kg in those cows remaining. Body fat loss accounted for over 0.85 of the combined loss of body fat and protein. Following calving, both the 6 and 9 kg groups had similar rates of body fat loss which were greater than those in the 3 kg group. However, body fat repletion recommenced on the 6 and 9 kg diets in lactation week 8, while body fat loss continued on the 3 kg diet for a further 3 weeks. Maximum fat loss on any one diet was 42 kg and body protein loss over the same period was only 8.7 kg.

However, over the first 8 weeks of lactation cows on average increased the net weights of liver and gut tissues by over 0.5 of the immediate post-calving weights, and the largest increases were observed in the tissues of the stomach and the intestines. With this demand for extra protein to support tissue hypertrophy at a time when total dietary intake is limiting, it is not surprising that the cow is unwilling to sacrifice a net loss of body protein and this may provide a partial explanation for why milk protein content declines during this stage of the lactation cycle (DePeters and Cant, 1992).

Stage of lactation

In seasonal calving dairy systems the effects of stage of lactation are usually confounded with those of season, i. e. the effects of variation in photoperiod, climate and weather conditions (Knapp and Grummer, 1990; Davison et al. 1996; Aharoni et al. 1999), and variations in the supply and nutritive characteristics of herbage (Papalois et al. 1996; Auldist et al. 1998). Stage of lactation of a dairy cow, when considered separately from the effects of nutrition and / or season, significantly affects both MY and milk composition (Roca-Fernández, 2011) through the effect of significant changes in the cow's physiological state (Butler, 2000; Holmes et al. 2002). Several experiments have studied the lactation curves of dairy cows (Tekerli et al. 2000; Holmes et al. 2002; Horan et al. 2005; Roca-Fernández, 2011). Typical lactation curves of spring calving dairy cows show a peak or maximum daily yield occurring at 4 to 8 weeks post-calving, followed by a daily decrease in MY until the cow is dried-off, or production is naturally terminated (Keown et al. 1986). Additionally, Olori et al. (1997) reported that decline in daily yield, due to pregnancy, began from the first month of gestation. Peak MY and the associated peak in the cow's energy requirements occur before the potential for maximum dry matter intake is reached so that cows in early lactation experience a period of negative energy balance (Butler, 2000). The extent and duration of this period depends upon the genetic merit of the cow (Westwood et al. 2000), the BCS of cows at calving and nutrition post-calving (Stockdale, 2004). Where nutritional and / or seasonal effects are minimised, milk production and / or seasonal effects are minimised, milk production usually peaks 35-45 days post-partum and declines after about 60 days post-partum (Thomas and Rook, 1983; Butler, 2000; Holmes et al. 2002). However, the shape of the lactation curve and the rate of decline in milk production can be changed considerably by varying the cow's energy intake. The concentration of fat and protein in milk declines after calving, reaching its nadir when cows are 40-60 days post-partum. This decline is due to dilution as MY increases with increasing production of lactose by the mammary gland and milk fat and protein production tends to peak at the same time as MY (Thomas, 1983; Holmes et al. 2002). Beyond 40-60 days post-partum, the concentration of fat and protein in milk increases until the end of lactation, although milk fat and protein yield declines over the same period (Butler, 2000; Holmes et al. 2002; Delaby et al. 2009). There appears to be no effect of stage of lactation on the responsiveness of milk fat concentration to nutrition (Stockdale et al. 1987; Coulon and Rémond, 1991).

Nevertheless, stage of lactation can affect milk fat composition as a result of the large variation in the dairy cow's energy balance (Roca-Fernández *et al.* 2012a). The responsiveness of milk protein concentration to level of energy intake varies with stage of lactation. Based on 66 experiments, Coulon and Rémond (1991) found that the change in milk protein concentration with increases in the intake of metabolic energy (ME) was larger when dairy cows were in mid- to late lactation than when in early lactation (0.05 vs.)0.03 g protein/kg for every MJ ME). Changes in the rates of synthesis of both the casein and whey proteins by mammary secretory cells do not vary significantly with stage of lactation so that, with the exception of very late lactation, the ratio of casein to whey does not vary significantly with stage of lactation (Kefford et al. 1995; Rowney and Christian, 1996; Auldist et al. 1998; Coulon et al. 1998). Kefford et al. (1995) reported, however, an interaction between the effects of stage of lactation and of nutrition on milk protein composition and on the cheddar cheese-making properties of milk. In this study, cows in mid or late lactation were offered 1 of 2 diets consisting of hay, silage and cereal grain (high quality diet) or hay, silage and straw (low quality diet). Dry matter intakes for the high and low quality diets were 14.7 and 11.3 kg/cow/day, respectively. The low quality diet resulted in milk with a lower ratio of casein to whey for cows in late lactation, but not for cows in midlactation. There was no effect of stage of lactation on the ratio of casein to whey in milk from cows fed the high quality diet.

Parity

The milk production of a herd is affected by its lactation number profile (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Several authors have investigated the effect of parity on MY (Ray et al. 1992; Peyraud et al. 1996; Tekerli et al. 2000; Horan et al. 2005). Upon examination of total lactation MY, Ray et al. (1992) found that first parity dairy cows yielded 92% of the total MY of second lactation cows. Third lactation cows had a MY 5% higher than that of the second lactation cows while there was no difference in cows greater than fourth parity. Peyraud et al. (1996) also confirmed that multiparous cows had a higher MY and DM intake than their primiparous cows (+6.3 and 3.2 kg/day, respectively). Tekerli et al. (2000) reported lower peak and total lactation MY for first lactation cows but higher persistency. This indicates that the secretory tissue in primiparous cows takes longer to reach its peak activity than in multiparous cows (Rao and Sundaresan, 1979).

Fertility

Reproductive function can be influenced by more than simply a deficiency of nutrients (Staples *et al.* 1992). Recommendations for high producing cows during the first 12 weeks of lactation for CP content in the diet are 17-18% of the DM. Ferguson and Chalupa (1989) reported that fertility was reduced in mature cows (fourth or greater lactation) consuming diets high in crude protein (CP) content (19 vs. 16%). However, first lactation cows increased conception rates (65 vs. 36%) when fed diets of 16% CP.

But not only conception rate was observed to be affected by feeding high levels of CP, the excess of nitrogen (N) in the rumen will be absorbed and transformed into urea in the liver. This will induce an increase of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and milk urea nitrogen (MUN), which may result in energy wastage and ammonia toxicity. Visek (1984) observed that urea concentrations in uterine secretions may be harmful to spermatozoa.

Energy status and age of the animal are other important factors affecting fertility (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Cows in negative energy balance may be the most susceptible to reproductive problems (Staples et al. 1992). These considerations suggest that grazing may create reproductive problems in high producing dairy cows. However, a study conducted by Washburn and White (1997) contradict this assumption. Data of four seasonal sets of cows suggests that neither first service conception rate (51 vs. 50%) nor overall conception rate (all services) differ between confinements and pasture groups, respectively. Pregnancy rates for 75day breeding windows were 60% for Holsteins in pasture and 56% in confinement. It can be concluded that the management system had little effect on measures of reproductive efficiency. Delaby et al. (2010) also reported that reproduction performance shows little variation in relation to feeding strategies.

Energy balance and rumen function Energy balance (EB)

In the context of the post-partum dairy cow, energy balance (EB) is defined as the difference between the requirement of energy for body maintenance, tissue growth and milk production (energy required) and the intake of utilizable energy (energy ingested) (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Dairy cattle undergo an energy deficit in early lactation because maximum milk production is attained prior to maximum feed consumption (Bauman and Currie, 1980) due to increases in appetite and in absorptive capacity of the digestive tract usually occur more slowly than the increase in MY. Hence, a shortfall in nutrients is faced in early lactation and has to be met by the use of reserves of adipose tissue lipids, proteins and skeletal muscle and minerals from bone. It is established that animals in early lactation have higher energy requirements than can be supported by dietary intake and are in negative EB (Canfield and Butler, 1990). High producing cows experience a variable period of negative EB during early lactation that is characterized by the loss of BW and the mobilization of body tissue reserves (Beam and Butler, 1999). Cows may experience this negative EB for first 12 weeks of lactation (Bauman and Currie, 1980; Butler *et al.* 1981). The ability to achieve concurrent reciprocal adaptations in the metabolic activity of different tissues is a major factor in the efficient nutrient partitioning and it is based on a hierarchy of nutrient utilization, known as homeorhesis (Bauman and Currie, 1980). Within their ranking, reproduction ranks below maintenance, production and growth, suggesting that reproduction is under severe metabolic pressure at this time after calving. Reproduction seems to be affected by both the acute and the sub-acute nutrient deficiencies, induced by the rising demand for the nutrients and metabolites, which is rapid to be compensated for by the bodies' homeostatic mechanisms (Britt, 1994).

According to Villa-Godoy et al. (1988), at least 80% of dairy cows experience negative EB in early lactation. Hernández Urdaneta et al. (1976) showed that to maximize energy intake in early lactation, the forage to concentrate ratio needs to be reduced, thereby, reducing the negative EB. Holter et al. (1990) reported that body fat balance was strongly negative in groups of both fat and thin cows in the first few weeks post-calving. This was especially true for the fat cows at 6 weeks post-calving, which also had peak MY at this stage. Apparently in this study the group with the fattest reserves mobilized most body reserves for milk synthesis. According to Jarrige (1989), cows with a peak MY of 30 to 35 kg/day can tolerate an average energy deficit of 2.5 UFL/day over the first 7 to 8 weeks of lactation with most of this occurring in the first 3 to 5 weeks. This trend is similar to that found by Sutter and Beever (2000) for animals offered a hay based diet where EB declined from a maximum of 63.8 MJ of ME/day in the first week of lactation to 20.7 MJ of ME/day in week 8 of lactation. Butler et al. (1981) found that cows reached positive balance by day 80 post-calving on average. Villa-Godoy et al. (1988) stated that greater than 50 days post-calving would be required for cows to reach positive EB.

Several authors (Butler *et al.* 1981; Butler and Smith, 1989; Staples *et al.* 1990; Zurek *et al.* 1995; Beam and Butler, 1997; Beam and Butler, 1998) have demonstrated that the extent and the duration of negative EB after calving are the most important indicators determining when a cow will resume normal ovarian activity. It is known that negative EB affects the timing of first ovulation and the resumption of normal ovarian cyclicity, and the negative EB after calving for the expression of oestrus behaviour (Schopper and Claus, 1986).

Two important factors have been linked to the number of days between calving and normal ovarian activity of healthy dairy cows: amount of nutrients delivered for the dairy cows' metabolism (body tissue metabolism and DM intake) and quantity of milk produced (Marion *et al.* 1968;

Whitmore *et al.* 1974). Attempts have been made to link the interval between calving and first ovulation to metabolic status. A significant, positive relationship has been observed (Butler *et al.* 1981) between EB over the first weeks post-partum and the interval to first observation oestrus while at the same time others were unable to relate mean negative EB with duration of post-partum anoestrus. In several studies conducted by different authors (Butler *et al.* 1981; Canfield *et al.* 1990; Canfield and Butler, 1991), it has been reported that first ovulation occurred 10 and 14 days after daily EB nadir, respectively. At ovulation, EB was still negative but in all cases was decreasing.

Rumen acclimatization

The rumen is a dynamic ecosystem, which needs time to adjust to the changes in the level and composition of the diet that occur at calving. Simple and complex carbohydrates are digested by rumen microbes and converted into volatile fatty acids (VFA). These VFA, which consist mainly of acetic, propionic and butyric acids, are the primary energy source for ruminants. When large amounts of forage are fed, the formation of acetic acid predominates (60-70% of total) with lesser amounts of propionic (15-20%) and butyric (5-15%) acids occurring (Roca-Fernández, 2011). In this case, the supply of acetate may be adequate to maximize milk fat production, but the amount of propionate produced in the rumen may limit the amount of milk produced because of limited supply of glucose (especially in early lactation). However, when grain feeding is increased or when finely ground forages are fed, the proportion of acetic acid may decrease to 40%, while the amount of propionic acid may increase to 40%. Such a change in VFA production generally is associated with a reduction in milk fat. In addition, excess propionate relative to acetate makes the cow use the available energy for fatty tissue deposition (BW gain) rather than milk synthesis. Thus, excess concentrates in the ration may lead to fat cows. Continued feeding of this type of ration may have a detrimental effect on the health of the cow, which is more likely to have a difficult calving and to develop fatty liver or ketosis. On the other hand, not enough concentrate in the ration limits energy intake, MY and milk protein production. The benefit of adding concentrate to the pre-partum diet is to adapt the ruminal tissues and the ruminal microbial population to the type of diet that will be fed after calving (Goff and Horst, 1997).

Concentrate feeding increases the length of the ruminal papillae, which are the structures that absorb the VFA produced from ruminal fermentation. Thus, increasing prepartum energy intake, by increasing the intake of fermentable carbohydrate may provide benefits beyond the addition of energy. A reduction in the length of the rumen papillae reduces the absorptive capacity of the VFA in the rumen mucosa by a 50% in the dry non lactating period. Dirksen et al. (1985) stated that cows that are fed high energy diets pre-calving have a more adapted mucosa for higher intake of concentrates post-calving and better absorption of VFA. Research has shown that rumen papillae elongate in the presence of increased concentrations of VFA (Dirksen et al. 1985), thereby, increasing the absorptive capacity of the rumen. The VFA, as propionic and butyric, produced in the rumen during bacterial decomposition are responsible for the development of ruminal mucosa in calves and for the structure of the mucosa in older animals (Brownlee, 1956; Sander et al. 1959; Sakata and Tamate, 1978; Sakata and Tamate, 1979). Development of the ruminal papillae is essential to minimize runinal VFA accumulation, reduction in ruminal pH, and the likelihood of acidosis when high concentrate lactation diets are introduced post-partum. The normal pH range in the rumen of adult cattle is between 5.5 and 7. This may drop to 5 or less after the animal has consumed feed high in fermentable carbohydrates, which may expose the animal to sub-clinical acidosis.

Development of ruminal papillae takes 4 to 6 weeks according to Dirksen *et al.* (1985). Therefore, concentrate must be increased during the pre-partum period to benefit the cow post-partum. The ruminal papillae reach their maximum size 6 to 8 weeks after parturition. However, by introducing a high energy diet low in fibre and high in fermentable carbohydrates even 2 weeks prior to calving resulted in maximal papillae size being reached 3 to 4 weeks after calving (Mayer *et al.* 1986). The ruminal mucosa, therefore, must be considered a metabolic organ functioning between the content of the rumen and the bloodstream (Dirksen *et al.* 1985).

The freshly calved cow if abruptly switched to a high energy lactation diet is at risk of developing rumen acidosis because the rumen bacteria producing lactate respond rapidly to the higher starch diets and produce high amounts of lactate. The bacterial population that metabolizes lactate responds slowly to a change in diet, requiring 3 to 4 weeks to reach levels that effectively prevent lactate from accumulating in the rumen (Goff and Horst, 1997). The acidity of lactate is 10 times stronger than that of propionate, acetate or butyrate so its presence has a greater effect on rumen pH than VFA. Lactate and VFA are only absorbed in the free acid state by the rumen epithelium. Because lactate is more acidic than VFA, lactate is absorbed more slowly from the rumen. Poorly developed rumen epithelia of the un-adapted cows are not able to absorb the lactate and VFA quickly enough to prevent the build up of organic acids within the rumen. This causes rumen pH to fall to the point at which the protozoa and many of the bacteria are killed or are inactive (Goff and Horst, 1997).

Rumen fermentation patterns

Cows grazing good quality, young, lush pastures (with high contents of CP, which is rapidly degraded in the rumen) contain higher rumen concentrations of the total ammonia N (NH₃N) than cows fed a typical TMR diet. Data reported by Beever (1993) revealed that of the NH₃N produced in the rumen, only 30% was incorporated into microbial N and much of the remainder was absorbed across the rumen wall. Ammonia absorbed will be converted into urea by the liver, a conversion that costs the animal about 12 kcal/g of N (Van Soest, 1994). Most rumen microbes depend on carbohydrates as sources of energy (Hoover and Stokes, 1991). Thus, under a grazing situation, adding fermentable carbohydrates should promote the microbial utilization of excess ammonia. Furthermore, synchronization of carbohydrate and protein degradation in the rumen should improve NH₃N utilization. Therefore, different amounts and / or types of energy sources will have different effects in rumen fermentation patterns. Diurnal variations of rumen pH, total VFA and NH₃N concentrations have been barely studied. Van Vuuren et al. (1986) studied the influence of level and composition of concentrate supplements on rumen fermentation patterns of six rumen cannulated Dutch-Friesian lactating dairy cows. Cows grazed pastures based on 80 to 90% perennial ryegrass and were supplemented either with a high starch or a low starch diet (25.8 or 1.5% DM of starch) in two different amounts (7 or 1 kg/day), given in two equal portions at milking (06.00 and 16.00 h). No difference was found in rumen pH between treatments, except for the 08.00 h samples, which were the lowest for cows supplemented with 7 kg of the high starch concentrate. The lowest pH occurred at 24.00 h, after the pm milking, when it varied from 6.2 to 5.2. Total VFA and NH₃N concentrations had an inverse pattern compared to rumen pH. Maximum concentrations were at 24,00 h for all treatments. Van Vuuren et al. (1986) speculated that this could have been due to a higher PDMI during late afternoon and early evening and due to the higher sugar concentrations in the herbage DM at that particular time of the day. Total VFA were not different among treatments; however, NH₃N and isoacid concentrations were higher on cows supplemented with 1 kg of concentrate. In the same study the effects of feeding concentrates were less pronounced and, therefore, amount and composition of concentrate mixtures apparently did not influence patterns of VFA concentration and pH value (Van Vuuren et al. 1986). In another study, Berzaghi et al. (1996) compared rumen patterns of lactating grazing Holstein cows either supplemented with 5.4 kg of corn or not supplemented. No difference was observed in rumen pH between supplemented and unsupplemented cows. However, total VFA concentrations and propionate as a % of total VFA tended to be higher in cows supplemented

with 5.4 kg of corn, leading to lower acetate to propionate ratio in the same treatment.

Substitution rate and milk response at pasture

Successful pasture-based milk production systems pivot on balancing cows feed requirements with seasonal and annual fluctuations in pasture production (Roca-Fernández, 2011). In order to maximise cow production from grazing systems, it is necessary to reach an efficient utilization of grazed grass for feeding cows and the development of appropriate grazing management systems designed to maximize daily PDMI (Roca-Fernández *et al.* 2011), while maintaining high sward quality over the grazing season PDMI (Roca-Fernández *et al.* 2012a) by keeping high pasture levels of crude protein, water soluble carbohydrates and digestibility of organic matter and low levels of acid and neutral detergent fibers in the swards (Roca-Fernández, 2013).

Most grazing studies indicate that one of the key factors influencing substitution rate (SRt) at pasture, defined as kg reduction in PDMI per kg increase in supplement DM intake, is herbage availability. Responses to supplementation on PDMI. When daily herbage allowance (DHA) is high, supplementation results in a large substitution effect with a small increase in TDMI, SRt is around 0.6 kg per kg increase in supplement resulting in milk response (MR) between 0.4 and 0.6 kg milk per kg increase in concentrate DM intake (Journet and Demarquilly, 1979; Leaver, 1985; Mayne, 1991).

Nevertheless, Bargo et al. (2002) and Horan et al. (2005) have obtained an efficiency of over 1 kg of milk per 1 kg DM of concentrate. Meijs (1981) and Hijink et al. (1982) have also reported that the SRt increases slightly with the amount of concentrate when fresh grasses are fed indoors; but grazing trials failed to obtain this response (Meijs and Hoekstra, 1984; Kibon and Holmes, 1987; Opatpatanakit et al. 1993). The reduction in PDMI is essentially mediated by a reduction of 10-20 min. per kg concentrate DM in time spent grazing (Combellas et al. 1979; Kibon and Holmes, 1987). Kellaway and Porta (1993) suggested that long-term factors should be considered in an economic evaluation of supplementation at pasture. These factors include an increase in stocking rate (SR), improvement in herbage utilization, positive effects on BCS and reproduction, increase in lactation length and positive effects on milk composition. In contrast, when DHA is reduced, SRt is lower and the response in milk and daily intake is increased. When grazing cows are offered concentrate, PDMI is reduced as a result of herbage substitution, unless DHA is severely restricting intake.

SRt is also positively correlated with herbage digestibility (Grainger and Mathews, 1989) and this explains why production responses to supplementation are lower in spring and higher later in the season, and on swards with a lower proportion of green leaf. Similarly, because mean PDMI does not differ between strip-grazing and rotational grazing, the efficiency of supplement is independent of the grazing management (Hoden et al. 1987). A higher response to concentrate is achieved when a low DHA is offered or when the pre-grazing SH is low (Wilkins et al. 1995). Bargo et al. (2002) found a 2 kg/day decrease in PDMI at a low DHA (26.7 kg DM/cow/day) and a decrease of 4.4 kg/day when a high DHA (48.9 kg DM/cow/day) was offered in conjunction with concentrate (7 kg DM/cow/day). Robaina et al. (1998) showed a higher response to concentrate when animals in mid-late lactation were offered a low compared to a high DHA. Hoden et al. (1991) informed an increase in milk production response from 0.5 to 0.8 kg milk per kg DM of concentrate with increasing SR from 2.3 to 3.0 cows/h. On high DHA, the response of MY reaches a plateau after 4 kg whereas on low DHA there is a linear response up to 6 kg of concentrates. Moreover, when feeding concentrate, the decrease in acetate to propionate ratio in the rumen is more pronounced on high (2.6 to 1.9) than on low DHA (2.7 to 2.5) because the cows have access to a more leafy diet, which is more rapidly fermented. Hence the effect of concentrate on milk fat content is higher on high than on low DHA.

Higher SRt and lower MR are usually observed with forage supplements due to higher forage fill value, with high DHA. Nonetheless, there is a wide variation in responses to supplementary feeding at pasture, depending on grazing conditions, production potential of the grazing animal and level of supplementation. Thus, it appears that there is scope for substantial improvement in response to supplementary feed inputs at grazing providing that concentrate inputs should be defined according to the grazing conditions which determine the EB of the unsupplemented cows. High yielding cows have a greater nutrient demand and this is reflected by an increased PDMI when DHA is high, with incremental increases in PDMI when DHA is high, will incremental increases in intake ranging from 200 to 300 g organic matter (OM) (Peyraud et al. 1996) to 460 g OM (Stakelum, 1993) per kg increase in milk. As MY increases, the incremental increase in PDMI tends to decrease, as a result of behavioral constraints and, thus, the increase in intake provides only half to two thirds of the net energy lactation (NEL) requirement per kg of additional milk produced for high yielding dairy cows. Consequently, high yielding cows produce a greater response to concentrate supplementation, with Hoden et al. (1991) observing daily responses of 0.55, 0.77 and 0.84 kg milk per kg DM of concentrate for cows yielding at turnout 25, 30 and 35 kg milk/day, respectively.

MR is inversely related to SRt affected by DHA, with high PDMI when low levels of concentrate are fed and with MY when high levels of concentrate are fed. From a review of the literature up until the early 1990s, average SRt published were around 0.6, resulting in an efficiency of 0.4 to 0.6 kg of milk per kg of concentrate DM (Journet and Demarquilly, 1979; Meijs, 1981; Leaver, 1985; Stakelum et al. 1988). However, most of these studies were carried out with low to moderate yielding dairy cows in the region of 15 to 25 kg per cow per day. Since the late 1990s, lower SRt and higher efficiencies have been observed than those published previously, with an average substitution rate of 0.40, resulting in an efficiency of 0.92 kg of milk per kg of concentrate (Delagarde et al. 2011). The higher MR to concentrate supplementation with HGM cows may be attributed to greater nutrient partition to milk production than with LGM cows. Grazing studies conducted with high producing dairy cows have shown an inconsistent relationship between the amounts of supplement and the MR and SRt (Roca-Fernández, 2011).

Kellaway and Porta (1993) have suggested that SRt increases with the amount of concentrate. Peyraud and Delaby (2001), however, reported that in the range of 2 to 6 kg DM/day, amount of concentrate had no consistent effect on SRt. Over four studies, three studies showed a negative relationship between MR and SRt. In contrast, Dillon *et al.* (1997) reported results from 2 years showing reductions in SRt and MR for cows grazing ryegrass pasture when the amount of supplementation was increased from 2 to 4 kg DM/cow/day.

The marginal MR to increasing amounts of concentrate has been described as curvilinear; i.e., the marginal increase in milk per kilogram of concentrate decreases as the amount of concentrate increases (Kellaway and Porta, 1993). Marginal MR decreased above 3 to 4 kg DM/cow/day of concentrate in some studies, but this is not consistent and occurred when pasture quality and quantity were not limiting and with dairy cows of moderate genetic merit (Peyraud and Delaby, 2001).

Grazing studies evaluating the effect of DHA on SRt and MR of high producing dairy cows reported that SRt increased and MR decreased as DHA increased (Meijs and Hoekstra, 1984; Stockdale and Trigg, 1985; Stakelum, 1986a; Stakelum 1986b; Grainger and Mathews, 1989; Stockdale, 1999; Robaina *et al.* 1998; Bargo *et al.* 2002). Many of these studies were conducted with low producing cows supplemented with less than 5 kg DM/cow/day of concentrate; only the study of Bargo *et al.* (2002) reported high producing cows fed more than 7 kg DM/cow/day of concentrate.

When stratifying the treatments in those studies as either low DHA (<25 kg DM/cow/day; range: 7.6 to 25 kg

DM/cow/day) or high DHA (>25 kg DM/cow/day; range: 25 to 42.3 kg DM/cow/day), SRt averaged 0.20 kg pasture/kg concentrate (range: 0 to 0.31 kg pasture per kg concentrate) at low DHA and 0.62 kg pasture per kg concentrate (range: 0.55 to 0.69 kg pasture/ kg concentrate) at high DHA. Considering the study effect as random, a significant regression was found between SRt (kg pasture per kg concentrate) and DHA (kg DM/cow per day):

SRt= -0.55 (SE 0.13) + 0.05 (SE 0.009) PA - 0.0006 (SE 0.0002)

DHA2 ($r^2=0.94$) and a negative relationship between MR (kg milk/kg concentrate) and SRt (kg pasture/kg concentrate) was found:

MR= 1.71 (SE 0.29) - 2.01 (SE 0.66)

SRt ($r^2=0.43$), indicating that the lowest the SRt the highest the MR expected. This agrees with Stockdale (2000), who summarized data from 20 grazing experiments and reported that MR was negatively related to SRt.

Under good grazing conditions, giving conserved forages as a buffer feed result in SRt over 0.9 and very low MR or even a decrease in MY (Bryant and Donnelly, 1974; Leaver, 1985). Thus, conserved forages must be provided only during periods of grass shortage or in the areas where availability of grass is not sufficient.

Therefore, TDMI increases and the response to supplementary forage are much higher in summer than in spring (Phillips and Leaver, 1985). In Galicia (NW Spain), the maize and ryegrass crops have showed a greater silage production than the grass only systems that allow improving the SR from 2.1 to 2.7 cows per ha while maintaining individual milk performances (Mosquera-Losada and González-Rodríguez, 1998).

The provision of supplementary feed in addition to grass silage normally results in a reduction in silage intake, with typical SRt ranging between 0.3 and 0.7 kg reduction in silage DM intake per kg increase in concentrate DM intake. Mayne and Peyraud (1996) have shown that SRt is highly correlated with the intake of silage as a sole feed, although this relationship is also influenced by supplement type. Consequently, with high intake potential silages, increases in supplement feed level will result in higher SRt and lower production responses than those obtained with low intake silages.

More consideration is now being given to formulating supplementary feeds which act as true complementary feeds with high quality grass silage. Another forage supplement for lactating dairy cows under grazing systems is maize silage. Holden *et al.* (1995) conducted a study to determine the effects of supplementation of high producing Holstein cows with 2.3 kg/day of corn silage DM in addition to grain (1 kg of grain DM per 4 kg of milk) based on milk production at the start of the trial. Cows supplemented with maize silage had significant lower PDMI than the control group (11.5 vs. 14.2 kg DM). No significant difference occurred in TDMI (22.5 vs. 22.7 kg DM). Maize silage supplementation also showed a positive effect on milk production when the amount of pasture offered was low (Stockdale, 1994). However, where DHA was adequate, supplementation with corn silage reduced PDMI and resulted in similar TDMI and similar milk production (Holden et al. 1995). A study conducted by Burke et al. (2008) which offered autumn calving dairy cows a low DHA (14.6 kg DM/cow/day >4cm) in combination with 4 kg DM of either maize silage or concentrate during the early spring period reported a SRt of < 0.5 which ensured a high level of herbage utilization and a high response to offered supplement. The effects of feeding concentrate on cow performance in relation to SR were reviewed by Peyraud and Delaby (2001). Efficient response of one kg of milk to one kg of concentrate is now reached when the amount of concentrate per cow does not exceed 6 kg/day. Moreover, the efficiency of supplementation at grazing appears to be closely related to EB of the cows, and it increases when PDMI is restricted through increased SR, with economic returns depending of the concentrate to milk price ratios and most often positive. Therefore, feeding concentrate can be a very efficient tool to maintain a high SR and good sward management, which allows the control of post-grazing SH while achieving high MY per cow and per ha with high economic returns. The effects of concentrate type on MR at pasture are extremely variable. Delaby and Peyraud (1999) estimated that milk production response reached a plateau at 4 kg DM/cow/day of concentrate when DHA was high; whereas when herbage was restricted there was a linear response up to 6 kg DM/cow/day of concentrate. The interaction between level of concentrate supplementation and DHA on milk production response can be substantial. SR increases with increasing pasture availability, from 0 for high GP to 0.6-0.8 for low GP (Stakelum et al. 1988; Stockdale, 2000; Peyraud and Delaby, 2001). The efficiency and the SR, is influenced by a large range of factors such as DHA, herbage composition, concentrate feeding level, concentrate composition and potential MY of the cows evaluated (Bargo et al. 2002). Delaby and Peyraud (1994) observed that energy source in the concentrate (starch or fibre and rate of degradation) had little effect on milk output and composition when moderate levels (2-4 kg DM/cow/day) of concentrates are fed.

Compared with wheat, fibre concentrate slightly increased fat content (+1.3 g/kg) and decreased protein con-

tent (-0.5 g/kg) (Delaby and Peyraud, 1994). The nature of energy does not appear to affect the SRt when fresh grass is fed indoors. In pasture, PDMI was shown to be about 1 kg higher when cows are supplemented with 5 kg DM/cow/day of high fibre concentrate compared with 5 kg DM/cow/day of high starch concentrate (Kibon and Holmes, 1987), probably because net energy content is lower for fibrous than for starch concentrate. On more severe grazing conditions there is no effect of the source of energy on PDMI and MY (Kibon and Holmes, 1987; Delagarde et al. 1999). The implication of this result is that there is little improvement to be expected by modifying the nature of energy at grazing when low concentrate amounts are given. However, the effect of the energy source becomes more pronounced when more than 8 kg DM/cow/day of concentrate are fed by grazing dairy cows. To avoid metabolic health problems such as acidosis or sub clinical acidosis, it is not recommended to supplement more than 10 kg DM/cow/day (or >50% of the total diet DMI). At that limit, decreased marginal MR traditionally observed when supplementation is increased did not occur with high producing cows. Another factor that needs to be considered is the sward quality. The neutral detergent fiber (NDF) was >50% in several studies, suggesting that high fibre intake may allow for feeding high amounts of concentrate.

Milk quality

Milk and dairy products are important components of western diets. The composition of raw bovine milk determines the nutritional value and the technological properties of milk and dairy products and it also conditions the farmers' milk price (Roca-Fernández, 2011). Therefore, the composition of milk is of great importance for the milk producers and the dairy industry. Milk of many species is consumed by humans (i.e. goat, sheep, cows and buffalo) but bovine milk is economically the most important. Milk composition varies with breed, health status, lactation stage and parity of the animal.

Furthermore, milk composition depends on feeding practices and genetic characteristics of the animal (Fox and McSweeney, 1998; Roca-Fernández et al. 2012b). New findings also highlighted the importance of considering seasonal variation in milk composition across the year (Heck *et al.* 2009). In the last decades, significant progresses were made in order to improve MY and composition of bovine milk, mainly in relation to milk protein and milk fatty acids (FA) profile by both breeding and feeding practices (Roca-Fernández et al. 2012b)

Milk chemical composition

Milk is mainly considered a product of the mammary gland secretion, and it is a complex and nutritious fluid that con-

tains five main components such as water, lipids, proteins, sugars and minerals (Ling et al. 1961; Jenness, 1974). Milk is defined as an emulsion of fat globules and a suspension of casein micelles (casein, Ca and P), all suspended in an aqueous phase which contains solubilized lactose, whey proteins and some minerals. It also contains immunoglobulins, hormones, growth factors, cytokines, nucleotides, peptides, polyamines, enzymes and other bioactive peptides with potential antithypertensive, antithrombotic and antimicrobial activities (Park et al. 2007). The casein micelles and the fat globules gave milk most of its physical characteristics, and gave taste and flavor to dairy products such as butter, cheese, yogurt, etc. The main role of milk is to provide nourishment and protection for the mammalian young cattle. However, milk is also highlighted as an important food source for humans (Jenness, 1974). Milk is a highly perishable product that should be cooled to about 4 °C as soon as possible after collection. Extremes of temperature, acidity or contamination by microorganisms can rapidly decrease its quality.

Water

Cow milk is about 88% water and the amount of water in milk is regulated by the amount of lactose synthesized by the secretory cells of the mammary gland. The water that goes into the milk is delivered to the mammary gland by the blood. The addition of water to milk can be detected by different methods. These methods are based on changes in freezing point of the milk or on changes on refraction of light of the whey component of milk after precipitation and removal of casein and milk fat (Mabrook and Petti, 2003).

Milk fat

Fat is the major source of energy in milk and it is used by the mammalian newborn for accumulating body adipose tissue. Fat in milk is present as fat globules ranging from 0.1 to 15 µm in diameter. A thin membrane whose properties are different from both milk fat and plasma covers these fat globules or droplets. The fat globule membrane helps to stabilize the fat globules in an emulsion within the aqueous environment of the milk. Milk fat plays an important role in the structure, mouth feel, flavor and stability of milk, butter, dairy products and a host of foods in which milk or milk components are added as functional ingredients. Certain of these functional properties have made butterfat a desirable ingredient in processing and food formulations. For example, butterfat is an essential component in the structure and texture of ice-cream and pastries (Pomeranz, 1985). Nevertheless, many of the properties of milk fat are less than optimal for food uses (O'Donnell, 1989). Milk fat is the most variable component in bovine milk in both their concentration and chemical composition,

whether inter- or intra-species differences are considered (Gibson, 1989). Milk lipid composition is influenced by environmental and physiological factors, including age, stage of lactation, gestation length and diet. Some intra-species differences reflect inherited variation (Jenness, 1974). Milk lipids belong to various lipid classes, but tria-cylglycerides account for 97-98% of lipid in milk (Iverson and Oftedal, 1995). Other lipids classes include di- and mono-acyglycerols, phospholipids, free cholesterol, cholesterol esters and fatty acids (Garton, 1963). Normally, fat makes up from 35 to 60 g/kg of milk, varying between breeds and with feeding practices. A ration too rich in concentrates that do not elicit rumination in the cow may result in milk with a depressed percentage of milk fat (20 to 25 g/kg).

Milk protein

It consists for a large part $(\pm 90\%)$ of the six main milk proteins à-lactalbumin (α -LA), β -lactoglobulin (β -LG), caseins (aS1-CN, a-S2-CN, ,-CN and ,-CN). The other part of the protein fraction (±10%) consists of minor proteins like bovine serum albumin, ,-caseins, proteose peptones, immunoglobulins, lactoferrine, lactoperoxidase and a large number of other proteins that occur in very low concentrations. The concentration of protein in milk of dairy cows varies from 30 to 40 g/kg. This amount varies with the breed of the cow and in proportion to the amount of fat in the milk (Roca-Fernández et al. 2013). There is a close relationship between the amount of fat and the amount of protein in milk therefore, the highest the fat, the highest the protein. the higher the fat, the higher the protein. The protein falls into two major groups (Jenness, 1974), based on their behavior at pH 4.6: caseins (80%) and whey proteins (20%). The caseins are the proteins that precipitate and the whey proteins are the proteins that remain soluble at this pH. Historically, this classification followed the process of cheese making, which consists of separating the casein curd from the whey after the milk has clotted under the action of rennin or rennet (a digestive enzyme collected from the stomach of calves). The behavior of the different types of caseins in milk when treated with heat, different pH (acidity) and different salt concentrations provide the characteristics of cheeses, fermented milk products and different forms of milk (condensed, dried, etc.). Occasionally, infants or young children are allergic to milk because their bodies develop a reaction to the proteins in the milk. The allergy causes rash, asthma and/or gastrointestinal disorders (colic, diarrhea, etc.). In cases of allergies, goat milk is often used as a substitute; however, sometimes hydrolyzed casein milks must be used. Most of the caseins in milk exist in colloidal particles called casein micelles. In milk of most mammalian species, there are 3-4 caseins; the different caseins are distinct molecules but are similar in structure. Casein is composed of several similar proteins, which form a multi-molecular granular structure called a casein micelle. The main function of the micelle is thought to be the supply large amount of insoluble calcium phosphate to the mammalian young but its properties have also a major influence on the technological properties of the milk. The micellar structure of milk casein is an important part in the mode of milk digestion in the stomach and the basis of many of the milk products industries such as cheese.

Milk fatty acids

It was probably Booth et al. (1935) who for the first time established the presence of conjugated fatty acids (FA) in milk fat. They reported that when cows were turned out to pasture after winter, the FA of milk fat showed greatly increased absorption in the ultraviolet region at 230 nm. Moore (1939) concluded that absorption at 230 nm was the result of two conjugated double bonds. Hilditch and Jasperson (1941) and Hilditch and Jasperson (1945) suggested that conjugated unsaturation occurred with polyunsaturated FA of 18C chains. Bartlett and Chapman (1961) found a constant relationship between trans-C and conjugated unsaturation in a large C18:1 number of butter samples as determined by differential infrared spectroscopy, which prompted them to suggest a sequence of reactions that would help to explain the biohydrogenation of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in the rumen. Riel (1963) showed a 2fold increase in milk fat conjugated dienes during summer when cows were grazing on pasture compared with winter when cows were fed TMR. Parodi (1977) determined that the conjugated double bonds were cis-9 and trans-11 of C. Conjugation at other positions was found C18:2 later. Jensen (2002) has catalogued approximately 400 different FA in milk fat; most of these are products of ruminal microbial modification of dietary FA. The FA of ruminant milk has a dual origin. Those of chain length C4:0 to C14:0 are derived from de novo synthesis in the mammary gland and are considered as saturated fatty acids (SFA), whereas those of C18:0 and longer are derived from the diet and considered as unsaturated fatty acids (UFA). The FA of greatest proportion, C16: 0 arises from both sources; the relative amounts from each source can be influenced by the diet. Thus, it is not surprising that milk fat, containing about 70% of the fatty acids (FA) as saturated (SFA), 25% as monounsaturated (MUFA) and 5% as polyunsaturated (PUFA) (Grummer, 1991), has been perceived for longtime to be detrimental to humans' health (Lock et al. 2008). Nevertheless, it is worth noting that probably only some of these SFA such as C12: 0, C14: 0 and C16: 0 would be considered as cholesterol-raising saturates, while other SFA contained in milk such as C4: 0, C6: 0, C8: 0, C10: 0 and C18:0 might suppose no risk of cardiovascular disease (Lock *et al.* 2008; Parodi, 2009). Roca-Fernández and González-Rodríguez (2012) reported that due to pasture-based milk production systems have high dependence on fresh grass for feeding dairy cattle is possible to increase the added value of the milk, with higher content of conjugated linoleic acid which helps farms to be more profitable and competitive.

Immunoglobulins

They are one of the calf's principal defenses against infectious organisms (viruses, bacteria and etc.). Concentrations of immunoglobulins are especially high in the colostrum, the milk produced immediately at the onset of lactation. Immunoglobulins are not produced in the mammary tissue but they are transferred directly from the blood serum into the milk. The calf can best adsorb the immunoglobulins after birth, with the ability to absorb decreasing to near zero by 36 h of age. This is because, in the first 12 h of life, the calf does not produce appreciable amounts of hydrochloric acid in its stomach so the immunoglobulins are not damaged. Colostrum should be given to the calf as soon after birth as possible. This wills at least double the young calf's chances of survival. Colostral immunoglobulins are stable in the calf's bloodstream for 60 days, providing protection until its own immune system is functional. Not only is colostrum of vital importance to the newborn calf, it also has no commercial value as it is not acceptable for commercial milk collection for human consumption. So, the milk from a cow that has freshly calved must not be included in the milk for sale for 3 to 4 days.

Lactose

The principal carbohydrate in milk is lactose (disaccharide composed of D-glucose and D-galactose). In addition to lactose there are a great variety of saccharides in milk (Jenness et al. 1964; Urashima et al. 2001). The concentration of lactose in milk is relatively constant and averages about 5% (4.8-5.2%) (Johnson, 1978). As opposed to the concentration of fat in milk, lactose concentration is similar in all breeds and cannot be altered easily by feeding practices. Lactose plays a major role in milk synthesis (Kuhn et al. 1980). Because of the close relationship between lactose synthesis and the amount of water drawn into milk, lactose is the least variable component of milk. In a significant portion of the human population, the deficiency of the enzyme lactase in the digestive tract results in the inability to digest lactose (Malagelada, 1995). Most individuals with low lactase activity develop symptoms of intolerance to large doses of lactose, but the majority can consume moderate amounts of milk without discomfort (Johnson et al. 1993). Not all dairy products contain similar proportions of lactose. The fermentation of lactose during processing lowers its concentration in yogurts and cheeses. Milk pretreated with lactase, which minimizes the problems associated with lactose intolerance, is now available.

Vitamins and minerals

Milk contains all the major vitamins. The fat soluble vitamins A, D, E and K are found mainly in the milk fat. The B vitamins are also found in the aqueous phase of milk. Milk in some countries such as Canada and USA is being fortified with vitamin D (Calvo Lacosata et al. 2004) and vitamin A is also added to fat reduced milk products. Milk is an excellent source of most minerals required for the growth of the young cattle. Furthermore, all minerals considered essential (22) to the human diet are present in milk. There are a great variety of minerals in milk present in a variety of chemical forms. The major cations are sodium (Na), potassium (K), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg,) and the major anions are phosphorus (P) as phosphate, chloride (Cl) and citrate (Jenness, 1974; Peaker, 1977; Gaucheron, 2005). The digestibility of Ca and P are unusually high, in part because they are found in association with the casein of the milk (Holt, 1997).

As a result, milk is the best source of Ca for skeletal growth in the young (Black *et al.* 2002) and maintenance of bone integrity in adults. Another mineral of interest in the milk is iron (Fe). The low Fe concentration in milk cannot meet the needs of the young, but this low level turns out to have a positive aspect because it limits bacterial growth in milk-Fe and it is considered essential for the growth of many bacteria (Bullen *et al.* 1972).

Cells in Milk

The somatic cell count (SCC) in milk does not affect the nutritional quality per se. They are only significant as indicators of other processes that may be taking place in the mammary tissue including inflammation. When SC is present at rates of over half a million per milliliter, there is reason to suspect mastitis.

This is an inflammation of the mammary gland caused by mastitis-causing organisms and rarely physical or chemical trauma, characterized by pathological changes in the mammary tissue; an increased number of SC, physical, chemical and microbiological changes in milk. In cattle, both clinical and sub-clinical mastitis can affect the composition and manufacturing properties of milk (Auldist and Hubble, 1998; Pyorola, 2003).

The reductions in milk production probably are largely due to physical damage to the epithelial cells of the affected mammary gland and a reduction in the synthetic and secretory capacity of the gland as a whole. Shuster *et al.* (1991) hypothesized that part of the decrease of the milk production is due to increased demand for energy of the immune system against the infection, decreased appetite associated with any inflammation and lowered food intake due to pain and decreased movement. Holdaway (1990), Auldist *et al.* (1995) and Auldist and Hubble (1998) reported that there is an increase in proteins of blood serum origin during mastitis and this is due to a disruption to the integrity of the mammary epithelia by microbial toxins and opening of the tight junctions.

Auldist and Hubble (1998) also reported that the decrease in casein concentrations during mastitis is largely due to post-secretory degradation of casein by proteinases originating from mastitis causing organisms, leucocytes or the blood and in part to a reduction in the synthesis and secretion of casein as a result of physical damage to the mammary epithelial cells by microbial toxins during mastitis.

Pathogenic bacteria

During the milking process, presence of mastitis in the udder of dairy cows can cause the pathogenic bacteria in the milk. Bacteria present on the outside skin of the udders and unhygienic milking practices which allow the milk to come in contact with contaminants like feces may also cause pathogenic bacteria in the milk.

Studies on the pathogenesis and epidemiology of a number of these pathogens have been published by some authors (Barkema *et al.* 1998; Sears and Wilson, 2003) and they showed a difference between pathogens in pathogenesis, epidemiology and clinical presentation. Some of the most important pathogenic bacteria in milk are: *Escherichia coli* or *Coliform bacteria*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Yersinia enterocolitica*.

Milk prices in response to milk chemical composition The need of producing high quality milk from sustainable pasture-based milk production systems is unquestionable, not only from the sanitary point of view but also from the economic aspect related to milk prices due to its direct relationship with the yield and quality of the final product. Table 1 shows that the bigger Galician milk delivers had the highest total milk price, with the highest base milk price and total milk premiums (Roca-Fernández, 2011).

 Table 1
 Milk price (€/100 kg) and premiums got by farmers for milk delivery at Galicia (NW Spain) in 2009

Milk quota (kg per year)	72001- 240000	240001- 500000	240001- 500000
Total milk price	26.73	28.86	30.30
Base milk price	25.17	26.39	26.97
Total milk premiums	1.57	2.47	3.33
Milk fat	0.21	0.15	0.01
Milk protein	0.04	0.21	0.26
Bacteriology	0.06	0.07	0.05
Somatic cells	-0.04	-0.05	0.04
Other milk premiums	1.29	2.09	2.97

When milk fat and protein are higher than 3.7% and 3.1%, producers are paid a milk quality premium by the dairy industry. Bacteriology and SCC are other relevant parameters that influence milk quality premium when these are lower than 100000 bacteria and 400000 SC, respectively. Despite milk quality is an important factor that might be taken into account when milk price is established, its relevance on the total milk premium is lower than other milk premiums such a quantity of milk delivered by farmers to the dairy industry. In fact, according to the data presented in the table below milk quality premium only represents 0.34 € cents in the total milk price perceived by Galician milk delivers in 2009 while other milk premiums as quantity of milk delivered represented about 4-10 times this value, being three times higher for the biggest milk delivers than for the lowest milk producers.

Inhibitors

Other relevant parameter that nowadays is taking into consideration to improve milk quality is the absence of inhibitors. Milk should not contain antibiotic residues at all. Manufacturers buying milk from milk producers impose stringent financial penalties on farmers producing contaminated milk and have procedures to exclude this milk from the food chain.

Despite legislation and financial penalties, there is evidence to suggest that residues occasionally still cause problems. Antibiotics gain entry to milk because of mastitis treatment and the antibiotics that are commonly used in veterinary medicine to control mastitis belong to six major groups: aminoglycosides, penicillins and cephalosporins, macrolides, quinolones and fluroquinolones, sulphonamides and tetracyclines. Control the absence of these antibiotics in milk is an important issue for dairy producers.

CONCLUSION

Pasture dry matter intake should satisfy dairy cattle requirements for a determinate level of milk production, according to the lactation curve of cows. Animal characteristics (potential milk yield, body weight, body condition score, breed, parity, stage of lactation, fertility and etc. for each cow) and herd management (calving pattern, type and level of supplementation at pasture, grazing intensity, animal behaviour and etc. for each herd) condition cows' needs for milk production in the grazing system. Milk yield and milk quality (milk protein content, milk fat content, milk lactose content and milk fatty acids profile) vary according to cows' lactation stage and these are also dependent on the seasonality of grass production and the changes on sward quality across the grazing season. Adopting good grassland management practices at farm level in order to satisfy cows' needs is an essential tool for future develop

Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science (2014) 4(1), 1-20

ment of sustainable pasture-based milk production systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

To INIA and CIAM by supporting the research project RTA2005-00204-00.

REFERENCES

- Aharoni Y., Brosh A. and Ezra E. (1999). Effects of heat load and photoperiod on milk yield and composition in three dairy herds in Israel. *Anim. Sci.* **69**, 37-47.
- Auldist M.J., Coats S., Rogers G.L. and McDowell G.H. (1995). Changes in the composition of milk from healthy and mastitic dairy cows during the lactation cycle. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* 35, 427-431.
- Auldist M.J. and Hubble I. (1998). Effects of mastitis on raw milk and dairy products. *Australian J. Dairy Tech.* **53**, 28-36.
- Auldist M.J., Walsh B.J. and Thomson N.A. (1998). Seasonal and lactational influences on bovine milk composition in New Zealand. J. Dairy Res. 65, 401-411.
- Bargo F., Muller L.D., Delahoy J.E., Cassidy T.W. (2002). Milk response to concentrate supplementation of high producing dairy cows grazing at two pasture allowances. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85, 1777-1792.
- Bargo F., Muller L.D., Kolver E.S. and Delahoy J.E. (2003). Invited review: production and digestion of supplemented dairy cows on pasture. J. Dairy Sci. 86, 1-42.
- Barkema H.W., Van Der Ploeg J.D., Schukken Y.H., Lam T.J.G.M., Benedictus G. and Brand A. (1998). Management style and its association with bulk milk somatic cell count and incidence rate of clinical mastitis. J. Dairy Sci. 82, 1655-1663.
- Bartlett J.C. and Chapman D.G. (1961). Detection of hydrogenated fats in butter fat by measurement of cis-trans conjugated unsaturation. *Agric. Food Chem.* **9**, 50-53.
- Bauman D.E. and Currie W.B. (1980). Partitioning of nutrients during pregnancy and lactation: a review of mechanisms involving homeostasis and homeorhesis. J. Dairy Sci. 63, 1514-1529.
- Beam S.W. and Butler W.R. (1997). Energy balance and ovarian follicle development prior to first ovulation postpartum in dairy cows receiving three levels of dietary fat. *Biol. Reprod.* 56, 133-142.
- Beam S.W. and Butler W.R. (1998). Energy balance, metabolic hormones and early postpartum follicular development in dairy cows fed prilled lipid. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81, 121-131.
- Beam S.W. and Butler W.R. (1999). Effects of energy balance on follicular development and first ovulation in post-partum dairy cows. J. Reprod. Fertil. 54, 411-424.
- Beever D.E. (1993). Rumen function. Pp. 187-215 in Quantitative Aspects of Ruminant Digestion and Metabolism. J.M. Forbes and J. France, Eds. CAB International, Wallingford, USA.
- Berzaghi P., Herbein J.H. and Polan C.E. (1996). Intake, site, and extent of nutrient digestion of lactating cows grazing pasture. *J. Dairy Sci.* 79, 1581-1589.

- Black R.E., Williams S.M., Jones I.E. and Goulding A. (2002). Children who avoid drinking cow milk have low dietary calcium intakes and poor bone health. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **76**, 675-680.
- Booth R.G., Kon S.K., Dann W.J. and Moore T. (1935). A study of seasonal variation in butter fat. II. A seasonal spectroscopic variation in the fatty acid fraction. *Biochem. J.* **29**, 133-137.
- Bourgeois L. (2002). Common agricultural policy and grasslands: the case study of France. *Grassl. Sci. Eur.* **7**, 5-15.
- Britt J.H. (1994). Follicular development and fertility: potential impacts of negative energy balance. Pp. 103-112 in Proc. Natio. Reprod. Symp. Pittsburgh, USA.
- Brownlee A. (1956). The development of rumen papillae in cattle fed on different diets. *Brit. Vet. J.* **112(9)**, 369-375.
- Bruinenberg M.H., Zom R.L.G. and Valk H. (2002). Energy evaluation of fresh grass in the diets of lactating dairy cows. Nether. J. Agric. Sci. 50, 67-81.
- Bryant A. and Donnelly P. (1974). Yield and composition of milk from cows fed pasture herbage supplemented with maize and pasture silages. *New Zealand J. Agric. Res.* **17**, 299-304.
- Buckley F., Dillon P., Crosse S., Flynn F. and Rath M. (2000). The performance of Holstein-Friesian dairy cows of high and medium genetic merit for milk production on grass-based feeding systems. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 64(3), 107-119.
- Bullen J.J., Rogers H.J. and Leigh L. (1972). Iron binding proteins in milk and resistance to *Escherichia coli* infections in infants. *Br. Med. J.* **1**, 69-75.
- Burke F., O'Donovan M., Murphy J.J., O'Mara F.P. and Mulligan F.J. (2008). Effect of pasture allowance and supplementation with maize silage and concentrates differing in crude protein concentration on milk production and nitrogen excretion by dairy cows. *Livest. Sci.* **114**, 325-335.
- Butler W.R., Everett R.W. and Coppock C.E. (1981). The relationships between energy balance, milk production and ovulation in postpartum Holstein cows. J. Anim. Sci. 53, 742-748.
- Butler W.R. and Smith R.D. (1989). Interrelationships between energy balance and postpartum reproductive function in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. **72**, 767-783.
- Butler W.R. (2000). Nutritional interactions with reproductive performance in dairy cattle. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **60**, 449-457.
- Butler S.T., Stakelum G.K., Murphy J.J., Delaby L., Rath M. and O'Mara F.P. (2003). The relationship between milk production potential and herbage intake of grazing dairy cows. *Anim. Sci.* 77, 343-354.
- Calvo Lacosata J.H., Marcos Carretero S., Jurado García J.J. and Serrano Noreña M. (2004). Association of the heart fatty acidbinding protein (FABP3) gene with milk traits in Manchega breed sheep. *Anim. Genet.* 35, 347-349.
- Canfield R.W. and Butler W.R. (1990). Energy balance and pulsatile LH secretion in early postpartum dairy cattle. *Dom. Anim. Endocrinol.* **7**, 323-330.
- Canfield R.W. and Buther W.R. (1991). Energy balance, first ovulation and the effects of naloxone on LH secretion in early postpartum dairy cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* **69**, 740-746.
- Canfield R.W., Sniffen C.J. and Butler W.R. (1990). Effects of excess degradable protein on postpartum reproduction and energy balance in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* **73**(9), 2342-2349.

- Combellas J., Baker R.D. and Hodgson J. (1979). Concentrate supplementation and the herbage intake and milk production of heifers grazing Cenchrus ciliaris. *Grass Forage Sci.* **34**, 303-310.
- Coulon J.B. and Rémond B. (1991). Variations in milk output and milk protein content in response to the level of energy supply to the dairy cow: a review. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* **29**, 31-47.
- Coulon J.B., Verdier I., Pradel P.H. and Almena M. (1998). Effect of lactation stage on the cheese making properties of milk and the quality of Saint-Nectaire-type cheese. *J. Dairy Res.* 65, 295-305.
- Davison T., McGowan M., Mayer D., Young B., Johnson N., Hall A., Matschoss A., Goodwin P., Goughan J. and Lake M. (1996). Managing Hot Cows in Australia. Department of Primary Industries. Queensland, Australia.
- Delaby L., Faverdin P., Disenhaus C., Michel G. and Peyraud J.L. (2009). Effect of feeding strategies on the Holstein and Normande dairy cows performance and their evolution during the lactation. *Animal.* **3(6)**, 891-905.
- Delaby L., Horan B., O'Donovan M., Gallard Y. and Peyraud J.L. (2010). Are high genetic merit dairy cows compatible with low input grazing systems? *Grassl. Sci. Eur.* **15**, 928-930.
- Delaby L. and Peyraud J.L. (1994). Influence de la nature du con centré énérgetique sur les performances des vaches laitières au pâturage. Pp. 113-116 in Proc. 1th Rencontres des Recherches sur les Ruminants, Décembre. Paris.
- Delaby L., Peyraud J.L., Bouttier A. and Pecatte J.R. (1999). Effect of grazing conditions and supplementation on herbage intake by dairy cows. *Renc. Rech. Rumin.* **6**, 139-142.
- Delagarde R., Peyraud J.L. and Delaby L. (1999). Influence of carbohydrate or protein supplementation on intake, behaviour and digestion in dairy cows strip grazing low nitrogen fertilized perennial ryegrass. *Ann. Zootech.* **48**, 81-96.
- Delagarde R., Valk H., Mayne C.S., Rook A.J., González-Rodríguez A., Baratte C., Faverdin P. and Peyraud J.L. (2011). GrazeIn: a model of herbage intake and milk production for grazing dairy cows. 3. Simulations and external validation of the model. *Grass Forage Sci.* 66, 61-77.
- DePeters E.J. and Cant J.P. (1992). Nutritional factors influencing the nitrogen composition of bovine milk: a review. *J. Dairy Sci.* **75(8)**, 2043-2070.
- Dillon P., Crosse S. and O'Brien B. (1997). Effect of concentrate supplementation of grazing dairy cows in early lactation on milk production and milk processing quality. *Irish J. Agric. Food Res.* 36, 145-159.
- Dillon P. (2006). Achieving high dry matter intake from pasture with grazing dairy cows. Pp. 1-26 in Fresh Herbage for Dairy Cattle: the Key to a Sustainable Food Chain. A. Elgersma, J. Dijkstra and S. Tamminga, Eds. Springer.
- Dillon P., Roche J.R., Shalloo L. and Horan B. (2005). Optimising financial return from grazing in temperate pastures. Pp. 131-147. in 20th Int. Grassland Cong. Cork, Ireland.
- Dillon P., Berry D.P., Evans R.D., Buckley F. and Horan B. (2006). Consequences of genetic selection for increased milk production in European seasonal pasture based systems of milk production. *Livest. Sci.* 99, 141-158.

Dirksen G.U., Liebich H.G. and Mayer E. (1985). Adaptative cha-

nges of ruminal mucosa and their functional and clinical significance. *Bov. Pract.* **20**, 116-121.

- Dixon R.M. and Stockdale C.R. (1999). Associative effects between forages and grains: consequences for feed utilisation. *Australian J. Agric. Res.* **50**, 757-773.
- Ferguson J.D. and Chalupa W. (1989). Impact of protein nutrition on reproduction in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 72, 746-766.
- Fox P.F. and McSweeney P.L.H. (1998). Milk Lipids. Dairy Chemistry and Biochemistry. Blackie Academic Profession/Chapman and Hall. New York, USA.
- Fulkerson W.J., Wilkins J., Dobos R.C., Hough G.M., Goddard M.E. and Davison T. (2001). Reproductive performance in Holstein-Friesian cows in relation to genetic merit and level of feeding when grazing pasture. *Anim. Sci.* **73**, 397-406.
- Garnsworthy P.C. and Jones G.P. (1987). The influence of body condition at calving and dietary protein supply on voluntary food intake and performance in dairy cows. *Anim. Prod.* 44, 347-353.
- Garton G.A. (1963). The composition and biosynthesis of milk lipids. J. Lipid Res. 4, 237-254.
- Gaucheron F. (2005). The minerals of milk. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* **45**, 473-483.
- Gibb M.J., Ivings W.E., Dhanoa M.S. and Sutton J.D. (1992). Changes in the components of autumn calving Holstein-Friesian cows over the first 29 weeks of lactation. *Anim. Prod.* 55, 339-360.
- Gibson J.P. (1989). Selection on the major components of milk: alternative methods of deriving economic weights. J. Dairy Sci. 72, 3176-3189.
- Goff J.P. and Horst R.L. (1997). Effects of the addition of potassium or sodium, but not calcium, to prepartum rations on milk fever in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **80**, 176-186.
- González Rodríguez A., Vázquez Yáñez O.P. and Roca-Fernández A.I. (2010). Alimentación de las vacas lecheras en las zonas húmedas españolas. *Ganadería*. **67**, 54-60.
- Grainger C. and Mathews G.L. (1989). Positive relation between substitution rate and pasture allowance for cows receiving concentrates. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* **29**, 355-360.
- Grummer R.R. (1991). Effect of feed on the composition of milk fat. J. Dairy Sci. 74, 3244-3257.
- Heck J.M.L., Van Valenberg H.J.F., Dijkstra J. and Van Hooidonk A.C.M. (2009). Seasonal variation in the Dutch bovine raw milk composition. J. Dairy Sci. 92, 4745-4755.
- Hernández Urdaneta A., Coppock C.E., McDowell R.E., Gianola D. and Smith N.E. (1976). Changes in forage concentrate ratio of complete feeds for dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 59, 695-671.
- Hijink J.W.F., Le Du Y.L.P., Meijs J.A.C. and Meijer A.B. (1982). Supplementation of the Grazing Dairy Cow. Institute for Livestock Feeding and Nutrition Research. Lelystad, The Netherlands.
- Hilditch T.P. and Jasperson H. (1941). Milk fats from cows fed on fresh pasture and on ensiled greed fodder. I. Observations on the component fatty acids. J. Soc. Chem. Ind. **60**, 305-310.
- Hilditch T.P. and Jasperson H. (1945). The polyethenoid acids of the C18 series present in milk and grass fats. *J. Soc. Chem. In- d.* **64**, 109-111.
- Hoden A., Fiorelli J.L., Jeannin B., Huguet L., Muller A. and We-

iss P. (1987). Le pâturage simplifié pour vaches laitières: synthèse de résultats expérimentaux. *Fourrages*. **111**, 239-257.

- Hoden A., Peyraud J.L., Muller A., Delaby L. and Faverdin P. (1991). Simplified rotational grazing management of dairy cows: effects of rates of stocking and concentrates. *J. Agric. Sci.* **116**, 417-428.
- Hoffman K., Muller L.D., Fales S.L. and Holden L.A. (1993). Quality evaluation and concentrate supplementation of rotational pasture grazed by lactating cows. J. Dairy Sci. 76, 2651-2663.
- Holdaway R.J. (1990). A comparison of methods for the diagnosis of bovine subclinical mastitis within New Zealand dairy herds. Ph D Thesis. Massey Univ., New Zealand.
- Holden L.A., Muller L.D., Lykos T. and Cassidy T.W. (1995). Effect of corn silage supplementation on intake and milk production in cows grazing grass pasture. J. Dairy Sci. 78, 154-160.
- Holmes C.W., Brookes I.M., Garrick D.J., MacKenzie D.D.S., Parkinson T.J. and Wilson G.F. (2002). Introduction to dairy production in New Zealand. Pp. 1-20 in Milk Production from Pasture. C.W. Holms, Ed. Massey Univ. Palmerston North, New Zealand.
- Holt C. (1997). The milk salts and their interaction with casein. Pp. 233-256 in Advanced Dairy Chemistry. 1. Lactose, Water, Salts and Vitamins. P.F. Fox, Ed. NY Blackie Academic and Professional Publishers. New York, USA.
- Holter J.B., Slotnick M.J., Hayes H.H. and Bozak C.K. (1990). Effect of prepartum dietary energy on condition score, pospartum energy, nitrogen partitions and lactation production responses. J. Dairy Sci. 73, 3502-3511.
- Hoover W.H. and Stokes S.R. (1991). Balancing carbohydrates and proteins for optimum rumen microbial yield. J. Dairy Sci. 74, 3630-3644.
- Horan B., Dillon P., Berry D.P., O'Connor P. and Rath M. (2005). The effect of strain of Holstein-Friesian, feeding system and parity on lactation curves characteristics of spring-calving dairy cows. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 95, 231-241.
- Iverson S.J. and Oftedal O.T. (1995). Phylogenetic and ecological variation in the fatty acid composition of milks. Pp. 789-827. in The Handbook of Milk Composition. R.G. Jensen, Ed. Academic Press Inc. Orlando, USA.
- Jarrige R. (1989). Ruminant Nutrition, Recommended Allowances and Feed Tables. John Libbey, Eurotext, London, Paris.
- Jenness R., Regehr E.A. and Sloan R.E. (1964). Comparative biochemical studies of milks. II. Dialyzable carbohydrates. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **13**, 339-52.
- Jenness R. (1974). The composition of milk. pp. 3-107 in: Lactation A Comprehensive Treatise. B.L. Larson and V.L. Smith, Eds. Academic Press. New York, USA.
- Jensen R.G. (2002). The composition of bovine milk lipids: January 1995 to December 2000. *J. Dairy Sci.* **85**, 295-350.
- Jha R., Nagarajan H.K. and Prasanna S. (2005). Land fragmentation and its implications for productivity: evidence from Southern India. ASARC Work. paper. Available in: <u>http://rspas.anu.edu.au/papers/asarc/WP2005_01.pdf.</u> Accessed Mar. 2011.
- Johnson A.H. (1978). The composition of milk. Pp. 1-57 in: Fun-

damentals of Dairy Chemistry. B.H. Webb, A.H. Johnson and J.A. Alford, Eds. AVI Publishing Company. Westport, USA.

- Johnson A.O., Semenya J.G., Buchowski M.S., Enwonwu C.O. and Scrimshaw N.S. (1993). Correlation of lactose maldigestion, lactose intolerance and milk intolerance. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 57, 399-401.
- Journet M. and Demarquilly C. (1979). Grazing. Pp. 295-321 in Feeding Strategy for the High Yielding Cow. W.H. Broster and H. Swan, Eds. Canada Publishing Co. St. Albans, Canada.
- Kefford B., Christian M.P., Sutherland B.J., Mayes J.J. and Grainger C. (1995). Seasonal influences on cheddar cheese manufacture: influence of diet quality and stage of lactation. *J. Dairy Res.* 62, 529-537.
- Kellaway R. and Porta S. (1993). Feeding concentrates. Supplement for dairy cows. Pp 76 in Research and Development Corporation. R. Hopkins, Ed. Victoria, Australia.
- Kennedy J., Dillon P., Faverdin P., Delaby L., Buckley F. and Rath M. (2002). The influence of cow genetic merit for milk production on response to level of concentrate supplementation in a grass-based system. J. Dairy Sci. 75, 433-445.
- Kennedy J., Dillon P., Delaby L., Faverdin P., Stakelum G. and Rath M. (2003). Effect of genetic merit and concentrate supplementation on grass intake and milk production with Holstein-Friesian dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 86, 610-621.
- Kennedy E., O'Donovan M., Murphy J.P., Delaby L. and O'Mara F. (2005). Effect of grass pasture and concentrate-based feeding systems for spring-calving dairy cows in early spring on performance during lactation. *Grass Forage Sci.* 60, 310-318.
- Keown J.F., Everett R.W., Empet N.B. and Wadell L.H. (1986). Lactation Curves. J. Dairy Sci. 69, 769-781.
- Kertz A.F., Reutzel L.F. and Thomson G.M. (1991). Dry matter intake from parturition to mid-lactation. *J. Dairy Sci.* **74**, 2290-2295.
- Kibon A. and Holmes W. (1987). The effect of height of pasture and concentrate composition on dairy cows grazed on continuously stocked pastures. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. **109**, 293-301.
- Knapp D.M. and Grummer R.R. (1990). Effect of supplemental dietary fat on lactating dairy cattle under conditions of heat stress. J. Dairy Sci. 73(1), 219-222.
- Kuhn N.J., Carrick D.T. and Wilde C.J. (1980). Lactose synthesis: possibilities of regulation. *J. Dairy Sci.* **63**, 328-336.
- Leaver J.D. (1985). Milk production from grazed temperate pastures. *J. Dairy Res.* **52**, 313-344.
- Ling E., Kon S. and Porter J. (1961). The composition of milk and the nutritive value of its components. Pp. 195-263 in Milk: The Mammary Gland and its Secretion. S. Kon and A. Cowie, Eds. Academic Press. New York, USA.
- Lock A.L.F., Destaillats F., Kraft J. and German J.B. (2008). Introduction to the Proceedings of the Symposium Scientific update on dairy fats and cardiovascular diseases. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 27, 720-722.
- Mabrook M.F. and Petty M.C. (2003). A novel technique for the detection of added water to full fat milk using single frequency admittance measurements. *Sens. Act. B: Chem.* **96**, 215-218.
- Malagelada J.R. (1995). Lactose intolerante. *New England J. Med.* **333(1)**, 53-54.
- Marion G.B., Norwood J.S. and Gier H.T. (1968). Uterus of the

cow after parturition: Factors affecting regression. Am. J. Vet. Res. 29, 71-75.

- Mayer E., Liebich H.G., Arbitman R., Hagemeister H. and Dirksen G. (1986). Nutritionally induced changes in the ruminal papillae and in their capacity to absorb short chain fatty acids in high producing dairy cows. Pp. 806-817 in Proc. 14th World Buiat. Cong. Dublin, Ireland.
- Mayne C.S. (1991) Management Issues for the Grassland Farmer in the 1990s. British Grassland Society Occasional.
- Mayne C.S. and Peyraud J.L. (1996). Recent advances in grassland utilization under grazing and conservation. *Grassl. Sci. Eur.* **1**, 347-360.
- Meijs J.A.C. (1981). Herbage intake by grazing dairy cows. Agricultural Research Reports 909. Center Agricultural Publishing and Documentation. Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Meijs J.A.C. and Hoekstra J.A. (1984). Concentrate supplementation of grazing dairy cows. 1. Effect of concentrate intake and herbage allowance on herbage intake. *Br. Grassl. Soc.* 39, 59-66.
- Moore T. (1939). Spectroscopic changes in fatty acids. *Biochem. J.* **33**, 1635-1638.
- Mosquera Losada M.R. and González Rodríguez A. (1998). Effect of annual stocking rates in grass and maize + rye systems on production by dairy cows. *Grass Forage Sci.* **53**, 95-108.
- Muller L.D. and Fales S.L. (1998). Supplementation of cool season grass pastures for dairy cattle. Pp. 335-350 in Grass for Dairy Cattle. J.H. Cherney and D.J.R. Cherney, Eds. CAB International. New York, USA.
- O'Brien B., Dillon P., Murphy J.J., Mehra R.K., Guinee T.P., Connolly J.F., Kelly A. and Joyce P. (1999). Effects of stocking density and concentrate supplementation of grazing dairy cows on milk production, composition and processing characteristics. J. Dairy Res. 66, 165-176.
- O'Donnell J.A. (1989). Milk fat technologies and market: A summary of the wisconsin milk marketing board 1988 milk fat roundtables. *J. Dairy Sci.* **72**, 3109-3115.
- Olori V.E., Brotherstone S., Hill W.G. and McGuirk B.J. (1997). Effect of gestation stage on milk yield and composition in Holstein-Friesian dairy cattle. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 52, 167-176.
- Opatpatanakit Y., Kekkaway R.C. and Lean L.J. (1993). Substitution effects of feeding rolled barley grain to grazing dairy cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **42**, 25-38.
- Parodi P.W. (2009). Review: has the association between saturated fatty acids, serum cholesterol and coronary heart disease been over emphasized? *Int. Dairy J.* **19**, 345-361.
- Park Y.W., Juarez M., Ramos M. and Haenlein G.F.W. (2007). Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. *Small Rumin. Res.* 68, 88-113.
- Papalois M., Leach F.W., Dungey S., Yep Y.L. and Versteeg C. (1996). Australian milk fat survey physical properties. *Austral J. Dairy Tech.* 51, 114-116.
- Parodi P.W. (1977). Conjugated octadecadienoic acids of milk fat. *J. Dairy Sci.* **60**, 1550-1553.
- Peaker M. (1977). The aqueous phase of milk: ion and water transport. Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond. 41, 113-134.
- Peyraud J.L., Astigarraga L. and Faverdin P. (1996). Digestion of fresh perennial ryegrass fertilized at two levels of nitrogen by lactating dairy cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 64, 155-171.

- Peyraud J.L. and González Rodríguez A. (2000). Relations between grass production, supplementation and intake in grazing dairy cows. *Grassl. Sci. Eur.* **5**, 269-282.
- Peyraud J.L. and Delaby L. (2001). Ideal concentrate feeds for grazing dairy cows. Responses to supplementation in interaction with grazing management and grass quality. Pp. 203-220 in Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition. P.C. Garnsworthy and J. Wiseman, Eds. University Press. Nottingham, UK.
- Peyraud J.L., Van Den Pol-Van Dasselaar A., Dillon P. and Delaby L. (2010). Producing milk from grazing to reconcile economic and environmental performances. *Grassl. Sci. Eur.* 15, 865-890.
- Phillips C.J.C. and Leaver J.D. (1985). Supplementary feeding of forage to grazing dairy cows. 2. Offering grass silage in early and late season. *Grass Forage Sci.* 40, 193-200.
- Pomeranz Y. (1985). Functional Properties of Food Components. Academic Press. London. UK.
- Pyorola S. (2003). Indicators of inflammation in the diagnostics of mastitis. Vet. Res. 34, 565-587.
- Rao M.K. and Sundaresan D. (1979). Influence of environment and heredity on the shape of lactation curves in Sahiwal cows. J. Agric. Sci. 92, 393-401.
- Rauw W.M., Kanis E., Noordhuizen Stassen E.N. and Grommers F.J. (1998). Undesirable side effects of selection for high production efficiency in farm animals: a review. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 56, 15-33.
- Ray D.E., Halbach T.J. and Armstrong D.V. (1992). Season and lactation number effects on milk production and reproduction of dairy cattle in Arizona. J. Dairy Sci. 75, 2976-2983.
- Ribeiro Filho H.M.N., Delagarde R. and Peyraud J.L. (2005). Herbage intake and milk yield of dairy cows grazing perennial ryegrass pastures or white clover / perennial rye grass pastures at low and medium herbage allowance. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **119**, 13-27.
- Riel R.R. (1963). Physico chemical characteristics of Canadian milk fat. Unsaturated fatty acids. J. Dairy Sci. 46, 102-106.
- Robaina A.C., Grainger C., Moate P., Taylor J. and Stewart J. (1998). Responses to grain feeding by grazing dairy cows. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* 38, 541-549.
- Roca-Fernández A.I. (2011) Sustainable milk production systems in humid areas using farm resources. Ph D Thesis. Univ., Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
- Roca-Fernández A.I., O'Donovan M., Curran J. and González-Rodríguez A. (2011). Effect of pre grazing herbage mass and daily herbage allowance on perennial ryegrass swards structure, pasture dry matter intake and milk performance of Holstein -Friesian dairy cows. *Spanian J. Agric. Res.* 9(1), 86-99.
- Roca-Fernández A.I. and González-Rodríguez A. (2012). Effect of dietary and animal factors on milk fatty acids composition of grazing dairy cows: a review. *Iranian J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* 2(2), 97-109.
- Roca-Fernández A.I., González-Rodríguez A. and Vázquez-Yáñez O.P. (2012a). Effect of pasture allowance and cows' lactation stage on perennial ryegrass sward quality, pasture dry matter intake and milk performance of Holstein-Friesian cows. *Spanian J. Agric. Res.* **10**(2), 393-408.
- Roca-Fernández A.I., González-Rodríguez A., Vázquez-Yáñez O.P. and Fernández-Casado J.A. (2012b). Effect of forage

source (grazing vs. silage) on conjugated linoleic acid content in milk fat of Holstein-Friesian dairy cows from Galicia (NW Spain). *Spanish J. Agric. Res.* **10(1)**, 116-122.

- Roca-Fernández A.I. (2013). Sward factors influence on pasture dry matter intake of grazing dairy cows: a review. *Iranian J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* **3**, 629-652.
- Rook A.J., Huckle C.A. and Penning P.D. (1994). Effects of sward height and concentrate supplementation on the ingestive behaviour of spring-calving dairy cows grazing grass-clover swards. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* **40**, 101-112.
- Rowney M. and Christian M. (1996). Effect of cow diet and stage of lactation on the composition of milk fat for cheese manufacture. *Aust. J. Dairy Tech.* **51**, 118-122.
- Sakata T. and Tamate H. (1978). Rumen epithelial cell proliferation accelerated by rapid increase in intraruminal butyrate. J. Dairy Sci. 61, 1109-1113.
- Sakata T. and Tamate H. (1979). Rumen epithelium cell proliferation accelerated by propionate and acetate. J. Dairy Sci. 62, 49-52.
- Sander E.G., Warner R.G., Harrison H.N. and Loosli J.K. (1959). The stimulatory effect of sodium butyrate and sodium propionate on the development of rumen mucosa in the young calf. *J. Dairy Sci.* **42**, 1600-1605.
- Schopper D. and Claus R. (1986). Progesterone concentrations in milk fat around ovulation in the dairy cow: differences between observed and silent heat. *Zuchthygiene*. **21**, 237-240.
- Sears P.M. and Wilson D.J. (2003). Mastitis. Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract. 19, 11-12.
- Shalloo L., O'Donnell S. and Horan B. (2007). Profitable dairying in an increased EU milk quota scenario. Pp. 20-44 in Proc. Nat. Dairy Conf. Kilkenny, Ireland.
- Shuster D.E., Harmon R.J., Jackson J.A. and Hemken R.W. (1991). Suppression of milk production during endotoxininduced mastitis. J. Dairy Sci. 74, 3763-3774.
- Stakelum G. (1986a). Herbage intake of grazing dairy cows. 1. Effect of autumn supplementation with concentrates and herbage allowance on herbage intake. *Iranian J. Agric. Res.* 25, 31-40.
- Stakelum G. (1986b). Herbage intake of grazing dairy cows. 2. Effect of herbage allowance, herbage mass and concentrate feeding on the intake of cows grazing primary spring grass. *Iranian J. Agric. Res.* 25, 41-51.
- Stakelum G. and Connolly J. (1987). Effect of body size and milk yield on intake of fresh herbage by lactating dairy cows indoors. *Iranian J. Agric. Res.* **26**, 9-22.
- Stakelum G., Dillon P. and Murphy J. (1988). Supplementary feeding of grazing dairy cows. Pp. 25-27. in Moorepark dairy farmers Conf. Teagasc. Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland.
- Stakelum G. (1993). Achieving high performance from dairy cows on grazed pastures. *Irish Grassl. Anim. Prod. Assoc. J.* 27, 9-18.
- Staples C.R., Thatcher W.W. and Clark J.H. (1990). Relationship between ovarian activity and energy status during the early postpartum period of high producing dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 73, 938-947.
- Staples C.R., Thatcher W.W., García Bojalil C.M. and Lucy M.C. (1992). Nutritional influences on reproductive function. Pp.

382-392 in Large Dairy Herd Management. H.H. Van Horn and C.J. Wilcox, Eds. American Dairy Science Association. Champaign, USA.

- Stockdale C.R., Callaghan A. and Trigg T.E. (1987). Feeding high energy supplements to pasture fed dairy. Effects of stage of lactation and level of supplement. *Australian J. Agric. Res.* **38**, 927-940.
- Stockdale C.R. and Trigg T.E. (1985). Effect of pasture allowance and level of concentrate feeding on the productivity of dairy cows in late lactations. *Australian J. Exp. Agric*. **25**, 739-744.
- Stockdale C.R. (1994). Persian clover and maize silage. II. Effects of variations in clover and silage consumption on the productivity of dairy cows at various stages of lactation. *Australian J. Agric. Res.* 45, 1767-1782.
- Stockdale C.R. (1999). Effect of length of the period of supplementation with concentrates on pasture intake and performance of grazing dairy cows. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* **39**, 803-809.
- Stockdale C.R. (2000). Levels of pasture substitution when concentrates are fed to grazing dairy cows in northern Victoria. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* 40, 913-921.
- Stockdale C.R. (2001). Body condition at calving and the performance of dairy cows in early lactation under Australian conditions: a review. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* **41**, 823-839.
- Stockdale C.R. (2004). Effects of level of feeding of concentrates during early lactation on the yield and composition of milk from grazing dairy cows with varying body condition score at calving. *Australian J. Exp. Agric.* **44**, 1-9.
- Sutter F. and Beever D.E. (2000). Energy and nitrogen metabolism in Holstein-Friesian cows during early lactation. *Anim. Sci.* 70, 503-514.
- Tas B.M., Taweel H.Z. and Smit H.J. (2005). Effects of perennial ryegrass cultivars on intake, digestibility, and milk yield in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **88(9)**, 3240-3248.
- Tekerli M., Akinci Z., Dogan I. and Akcan A. (2000). Factors affecting the shape of lactation curves of Holstein cows from the Balikesir province of Turkey. *J. Dairy Sci.* **83**, 1381-1386.
- Thomas P.C. (1983). Milk protein. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 42, 407-418.
- Thomas P.C. and Rook J.A.F. (1983). Milk production. Pp. 558-622 in Nutritional Physiology of Farm Animals. J.A.F. Rook and P.C. Thomas, Eds. Longman. London, UK.
- Urashima T., Saito T. and Nakamura T. (2001). Oligosaccharides of milk and colostrum in non-human mammals. *Glycoconjugate J.* **18**, 357-371.
- Van Arendon J.A.M., Nieuwhof G.J., Vos H. and Korver S. (1991). Genetic aspects of feed intake and efficiency in lactating dairy heifers. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 29, 263-275.
- Van Hung P.V., Macaulay G.T. and Marsh S. (2007). The economics of land fragmentation in the north of Vietnam. *Australian J. Agric. Res. Econ.* **51**(2), 195-211.
- Van Den Pol Van Dasselaar A., Vellinga T.V., Johansen A. and Kennedy E. (2008). To graze or not to graze, that's the question. *Grassl. Sci. Eur.* 13, 706-716.
- Van Soest P.J. (1994). Nitrogen metabolism.Pp. 290-311 in Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. P.J. Van Soest. Ed. Comstock Publishing Associates, Cornell University Press. Ithaca, New York, USA.

- Van Vuuren A.M., Van der Koelen C.J. and Vroons de Bruin J. (1986). Influence of level and composition of concentrate supplements on rumen fermentation patterns of grazing dairy cows. *Netherlands J. Agric. Sci.* 34, 457-467.
- Van Vuuren A.M. and Van Den Pol-Van Dasselaar A. (2006). Grazing systems and feed supplementation. Pp. 85-101 in Fresh Herbage for Dairy Cattle, the Key to a Sustainable Food Chain. A. Elgersma, J. Dijkstra and S. Tamminga, Eds. Wageningen UR Frontis Series Springer. Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Villa Godoy A., Hughes T.L., Emery R.S., Chapin L.T. and Fogwell R.L. (1988). Association between energy balance and luteal function in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 71, 1063-1072.
- Visek W.J. (1984). Ammonia: its effects on biological systems, metabolic hormones, and reproduction. J. Dairy Sci. 67, 481-498.
- Washburn S.P. and White S.L. (1997). Seasonal reproduction in Jerseys and Holsteins in confinement versus pasture systems.Pp. 32-33 in Proc. Dairy Field Day. North Carolina State Univ. Raleigh, North Carolina.

- Westwood C.T., Lean I.J., Garvin J.K. and Wynn P.C. (2000). Effects of genetic merit and varying dietary protein degradability on lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 83, 2926-2940.
- Whitmore H.L., Tyler W.J. and Casida L.D. (1974). Effects of early post-partum breeding in dairy cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 38, 339-346.
- Wiktorsson H. and Spörndly E. (2002). Grazing: an animal welfare issue for automatic milking farms. Pp 132-145 in 1th North American Conf., Wageningen Academic Publishers. Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Wilkins R.J., Gibb M.J. and Huckle C.A. (1995). Lactation performance of spring-calving dairy cows grazing mixed perennial ryegrass / white clover swards of differing composition and height. *Grass Forage Sci.* **50**, 199-208.
- Zurek E., Foxcrot G. and Kennelly J. (1995). Metabolic status and first ovulation in pospartum dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **78**, 1909-1920.