

A NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF $PSL(2, 25)$

A. KHALILI ASBOEI* AND S. S. SALEHI AMIRI

Communicated by Alireza Abdollahi

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and $\pi_e(G)$ be the set of element orders of G . Let $k \in \pi_e(G)$ and m_k be the number of elements of order k in G . Set $\text{nse}(G) := \{m_k | k \in \pi_e(G)\}$. In this paper, we prove that if G is a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(PSL(2, 25))$, then $G \cong PSL(2, 25)$.

1. Introduction

If n is an integer, then we denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of all prime divisors of n . Let G be a finite group. Denote by $\pi(G)$ the set of primes p such that G contains an element of order p . Also the set of element orders of G is denoted by $\pi_e(G)$. A finite group G is called a simple K_n -group, if G is a simple group with $|\pi(G)| = n$. Set $m_i = m_i(G) = |\{g \in G | \text{the order of } g \text{ is } i\}|$. In fact, m_i is the number of elements of order i in G , and $\text{nse}(G) := \{m_i | i \in \pi_e(G)\}$, the set of sizes of elements with the same order. Throughout this paper, we denote by $\phi(n)$ the Euler totient function. If G is a finite group, then we denote by P_q a Sylow q -subgroup of G and $n_q(G)$ is the number of Sylow q -subgroups of G , that is, $n_q(G) = |\text{Syl}_q(G)|$. All further unexplained notations are standard and refer to [1], for example. In [2], it is proved that all simple K_4 -groups can be uniquely determined by $\text{nse}(G)$ and $|G|$. But, in [3], it is proved that the groups A_4 , A_5 and A_6 , and in [4], the groups $PSL(2, q)$, for $q \in \{7, 8, 11, 13\}$ are uniquely determined by only $\text{nse}(G)$. In [4], the authors gave the following problem:

Problem: Let G be a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(PSL(2, q))$, where q is a prime power. Is G isomorphic to $PSL(2, q)$?

MSC(2010): Primary: 20D06; Secondary: 20D20.

Keywords: Element order, set of the numbers of elements of the same order, Sylow subgroup.

Received: 15 January 2012, Accepted: 9 April 2012.

*Corresponding author.

In this paper, we give a positive answer to this problem and show that the group $PSL(2, q)$ is characterizable by only $nse(G)$ for $q = 25$. In fact the main theorem of our paper is as follow:

Main Theorem: Let G be a group such that $nse(G) = nse(PSL(2, 25))$, then $G \cong PSL(2, 25)$.

In this paper, we use a new technique for the proof of our main result. Also we apply the technique of used in [4].

2. Preliminary Results

In this section we bring some preliminary lemmas to be used in the proof of main theorem theorem.

Lemma 2.1. [6] Let G be a finite solvable group and $|G| = m \cdot n$, where $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, $(m, n) = 1$. Let $\pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ and h_m be the number of π -Hall subgroups of G . Then $h_m = q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_s^{\beta_s}$, satisfies the following conditions for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$:

- (1) $q_i^{\beta_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$, for some p_j .
- (2) The order of some chief factor of G is divisible by $q_i^{\beta_i}$.

Lemma 2.2. [7] If G is a simple K_3 -group, then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups: A_5 , A_6 , $PSL(2, 7)$, $PSL(2, 8)$, $PSL(2, 17)$, $PSL(3, 3)$, $PSU(3, 3)$ or $PSU(4, 2)$.

Lemma 2.3. [8] Let G be a simple K_4 -group. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (1) A_7 , A_8 , A_9 , A_{10} .
- (2) M_{11} , M_{11} , J_2 .
- (3) (a) $L_2(r)$, where r is a prime and satisfies $r^2 - 1 = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot v^c$ with $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 1$, $c \geq 1$, $v > 3$, is a prime;
- (b) $L_2(2^m)$, where satisfies $2^m - 1 = u$, $2^m + 1 = 3t^b$, with $m \geq 2$, u , t are primes, $t > 3$, $b \geq 1$; (c) $L_2(3^m)$, where m satisfies $3^m + 1 = 4t$, $3^m - 1 = 2u^c$ or $3^m + 1 = 4t^b$, $3^m - 1 = 2u$, with $m \geq 2$, u , t are odd primes, $b \geq 1$, $c \geq 1$;
- (d) $L_2(16)$, $L_2(25)$, $L_2(49)$, $L_2(81)$, $L_3(4)$, $L_3(5)$, $L_3(7)$, $L_3(8)$, $L_3(17)$, $L_4(3)$, $S_4(4)$, $S_4(5)$, $S_4(7)$, $S_4(9)$, $S_6(2)$, $O_8^+(2)$, $G_2(3)$, $U_3(4)$, $U_3(5)$, $U_3(7)$, $U_3(8)$, $U_3(9)$, $U_4(3)$, $U_5(2)$, $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$, ${}^3D_4(2)$, $2F_4(2)'$.

Lemma 2.4. [2] Let G be a finite group, $P \in Syl_p(G)$, where $p \in \pi(G)$. Let G have a normal series $K \trianglelefteq L \trianglelefteq G$. If $P \leq L$ and $p \nmid |K|$, then the following hold:

- (1) $N_{G/K}(PK/K) = N_G(P)K/K$;
- (2) $|G : N_G(P)| = |L : N_L(P)|$, that is, $n_p(G) = n_p(L)$;
- (3) $|L/K : N_{L/K}(PK/K)|t = |G : N_G(P)| = |L : N_L(P)|$, that is, $n_p(L/K)t = n_p(G) = n_p(L)$ for some positive integer t , and $|N_K(P)|t = |K|$.

Lemma 2.5. [5] Let G be a finite group and m be a positive integer dividing $|G|$. If $L_m(G) = \{g \in G | g^m = 1\}$, then $m \mid |L_m(G)|$.

Lemma 2.6. [3] Let G be a group containing more than two elements. Let $k \in \pi_e(G)$ and m_k be the number of elements of order k in G . If $s = \sup\{m_k | k \in \pi_e(G)\}$ is finite, then G is finite and $|G| \leq s(s^2 - 1)$.

Let G be a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(PSL(2, 25))$. By Lemma 2.6, we can assume that G is finite. Let m_n be the number of elements of order n . We note that $m_n = k\phi(n)$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order n in G . Also we note that if $n > 2$, then $\phi(n)$ is even. If $n \in \pi_e(G)$, then by Lemma 2.5 and the above notation we have:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(n) \mid m_n \\ n \mid \sum_{d|n} m_d \end{array} \right. \quad (*)$$

In the proof of the main theorem, we almost apply (*) and the above comments.

3. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let G be a group, such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(PSL(2, 25)) = \{1, 325, 624, 650, 1300, 3600\}$. At first, we prove that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 13\}$. Since $325 \in \text{nse}(G)$, it follows that by (*), $2 \in \pi(G)$ and $m_2 = 325$. Let $2 \neq p \in \pi(G)$, by (*), $p \mid (1 + m_p)$ and $(p - 1) \mid m_p$, which implies that $p \in \{3, 5, 13, 1301\}$. If $1301 \in \pi(G)$, then by (*), $m_{1301} = 3600$. On the other hand, by (*), we conclude that if $2602 \in \pi_e(G)$, then $m_{2602} = 3600$ and $2602 \mid (1 + m_2 + m_{1301} + m_{2602})$, and hence $2602 \mid 7526$, which is a contradiction. That is $2602 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Thus P_{1301} acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2, and $|P_{1301}| \mid m_2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $1301 \notin \pi(G)$. If 3, 5 and 13 $\in \pi_e(G)$, then $m_3 = 650$, $m_5 = 624$ and $m_{13} = 3600$, by (*). Now let $3 \in \pi(G)$, we can see easily that G does not contain any elements of order 9. Hence $\exp(P_3) = 3$. By Lemma 2.5, with considering $m = |P_3|$, we have $|P_3| \mid (1 + m_3) = 651$. Hence $|P_3| = 3$, then $n_3 = m_3/\phi(3) = 325 \mid |G|$. Therefore if $3 \in \pi(G)$, then 5 and 13 $\in \pi(G)$. If 13 $\in \pi(G)$, then we can see easily that G does not contain any elements of order 169. Hence $\exp(P_{13}) = 13$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $|P_{13}| \mid (1 + m_{13}) = 3601$. Hence $|P_{13}| = 13$, then $n_{13} = m_{13}/\phi(13) = 300 \mid |G|$. Therefore if 13 $\in \pi(G)$, then 3 and 5 $\in \pi(G)$. By above discussion in follow, we show that $\pi(G)$ could not be the sets $\{2\}$ and $\{2, 5\}$, and $\pi(G)$ must be equal to $\{2, 3, 5, 13\}$.

Case a. Let $\pi(G) = \{2\}$, then $\pi_e(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^5\}$. Hence $|G| = 2^m = 6500 + 624k_1 + 650k_2 + 1300k_3 + 3600k_4$, where m, k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 are non-negative integers and $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 = 0$. It is easy to check that the equation has no solution. Therefore this case is impossible.

Case b. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 5\}$. Since $5^3 \notin \pi_e(G)$, we have $\exp(P_5) = 5$ or 25 . If $\exp(P_5) = 5$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5) = 625$. Hence $|P_5| \mid 5^4$. If $|P_5| = 5$, then $n_5 = m_5/\phi(5) = 156 \mid |G|$, since $3 \notin \pi(G)$, we get a contradiction. If $|P_5| = 25$, then $|G| = 2^m \times 25 = 6500 + 624k_1 + 650k_2 + 1300k_3 + 3600k_4$, where m, k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 are non-negative integers. Since $\pi_e(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^5\} \cup \{5, 5 \times 2^2, 5 \times 2^3\}$, then $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 \leq 4$. Therefore $6500 \leq |G| \leq 3600 \times 4 + 6500$, then $m = 9$. Hence $6300 = 624k_1 + 650k_2 + 1300k_3 + 3600k_4$. It is easy to check that the equation has no solution. If $|P_5| = 125$, then $|G| = 2^m \times 125 = 6500 + 624k_1 + 650k_2 + 1300k_3 + 3600k_4$, then $m = 6$ or 7 . Therefore $1500 = 624k_1 + 650k_2 + 1300k_3 + 3600k_4$ or $9500 = 624k_1 + 650k_2 + 1300k_3 + 3600k_4$, it is easy to check that these equation has no solution. Similarly if $|P_5| = 625$, we can get a contradiction. Now suppose that $\exp(P_5) = 25$. By (*), we have $m_{25} = 650, 1300$ or 3600 . Since $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5 + m_{25})$, we can conclude that $|P_5| = 25$, then $n_5 = m_{25}/\phi(25)$. As $m_{25} = 650, 1300$ or 3600 , by Sylow theorem we get a contradiction.

Therefore $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 13\}$. Now we show that G does not contain any element of order 5×13 . Suppose that $5 \times 13 \in \pi_e(G)$, we know that if P and Q are Sylow 13-subgroups of G , then P and Q are conjugate, which implies that $C_G(P)$ and $C_G(Q)$ are conjugate in G . Therefore $m_{5 \times 13} = \phi(5 \times 13) \cdot n_{13} \cdot k$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 5 in $C_G(P_{13})$. Since $n_{13} = m_{13}/\phi(13) = 300$, we have $3600 \mid m_{5 \times 13}$. On the other hand we have, $5 \times 13 \mid (1 + m_5 + m_{13} + m_{5 \times 13}) = 7824$, which is a contradiction. Hence $5 \times 13 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Since $5 \times 13 \notin \pi_e(G)$, then the group P_5 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 13, and so $|P_5| \mid m_{13} = 3600$, which implies that $|P_5| \mid 25$. Also we can prove that $26 \notin \pi_e(G)$, then the group P_2 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 13, and so $|P_2| \mid m_{13} = 3600$, which implies that $|P_2| \mid 2^4$. We had $|P_3| = 3$ and $|P_{13}| = 13$, since $6500 \leq |G|$, hence $|G| = 2^4 \times 3 \times 25 \times 13$ or $|G| = 2^3 \times 3 \times 25 \times 13$. If $|G| = 2^4 \times 3 \times 25 \times 13$, we claim that G is unsolvable group. Suppose G is a solvable group, since $n_{13} = 300$, then by Lemma 2.1, $4 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$, which is a contradiction. Hence G is a unsolvable group. Since G is a unsolvable group and $p \parallel |G|$ for $p \in \{3, 13\}$, then G has a normal series $1 \trianglelefteq N \trianglelefteq H \trianglelefteq G$, such that N is a maximal solvable normal subgroup of G and H/N is an unsolvable minimal normal subgroup of G/N . Then H/N is a non-abelian simple K_3 -group or K_4 -group. Let H/N be a non-abelian simple K_3 -group, then by Lemma 2.2, $H/N \cong A_5$. If $P_3 \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$, then $P_3N/N \in \text{Syl}_3(H/N)$ and $n_3(H/N)t = n_3(G)$ for some positive integer t and $3 \nmid t$, by Lemma 2.4. Since $n_3(H/N) = n_3(A_5) = 10$, then $325 = 10t$, which is a contradiction. Hence H/N is a non-abelian simple K_4 -group. By Lemma 2.3, $H/N \cong PSL(2, 25)$. Now set $\bar{H} := H/N \cong PSL(2, 25)$ and $\bar{G} := G/N$. On the other hand, we have:

$$PSL(2, 25) \cong \bar{H} \cong \bar{H}C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H}) \leq \bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H}) = N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H}) \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{H}).$$

Let $K = \{x \in G \mid xN \in C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H})\}$, then $G/K \cong \bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{H})$. Hence $PSL(2, 25) \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(PSL(2, 25))$, then $G/K \cong PSL(2, 25), PGL(2, 25), P\Gamma L(2, 25)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 25)$. If $G/K \cong P\Sigma L(2, 25)$ or $P\Gamma L(2, 25)$, since $|G| = 2^4 \times 3 \times 25 \times 13$, which is a contradiction. If $G/K \cong PGL(2, 25)$, since $|G| = 2 \mid |PSL(2, 25)|$, then $|K| = 1$ and $G \cong PGL(2, 25)$, on the other hand $\text{nse}(G) \neq \text{nse}(PGL(2, 25))$, we get a contradiction. If $G/K \cong PSL(2, 25)$, then $|K| = 2$. We have $N \leq K$, and N is a maximal

solvable normal subgroup of G , then $N = K$. Hence $G/N \cong PSL(2, 25)$ and G has a normal subgroup G of order 2, generated by a central involution z . Let x be an element of order 13 in G . Since $xz = zx$ and $(o(x), o(z)) = 1$, then $o(xz) = 26$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $|G| = 2^3 \times 3 \times 13 \times 25$. Now we have $|G| = |PSL(2, 25)|$ and $nse(G) = nse(PSL(2, 25))$. By [2], since $PSL(2, 25)$ is simple K_4 -group, we can conclude that $G \cong PSL(2, 25)$, and the proof is completed.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express thanks to Professor Ali Iranmanesh for his guidance and help, and to the referee.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton and et al., *Atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1985.
- [2] C. G. Shao, W. Shi and Q. Jiang, Characterization of simple K_4 -groups, *Front Math.*, China, **3** (2008) 355-370.
- [3] R. Shen, C. G. Shao, Q. Jiang, W. Shi and V. Mazurov, A New Characterization of A_5 , *Monatsh Math.*, (2010) 337-341.
- [4] M. Khatami, B. Khosravi and Z. Akhlaghi, A new characterization for some linear groups, *Monatsh Math.*, **163** no. 1 (2011) 3950.
- [5] G. Frobenius, *Verallgemeinerung des sylowschen satze*, Berliner sitz, 1895 981-993.
- [6] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- [7] M. Herzog, On finite simple groups of order divisible by three primes only, *J. Algebra*, **120** no. 10 (1968) 383-388.
- [8] W. Shi, On simple K_4 -groups, Chinese Science Bull., in Chinese , **36** no. 7 (1991) 1281-1283.

Alireza Khalili Asboei

Department of Mathematics, Babol Education, Mazandaran, Iran

Email: khaliliasbo@yahoo.com

Syyed Sadegh Salehi Amiri

Department of Mathematics, Babol Branch, Islamic Azad University, Babol, Iran

Email: salehiss@baboliau.ac.ir