

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# The short term-effects of both feet plantar vibration in post stroke patients balance

Mahdieh Sajedifar<sup>1</sup>, Zahra Fakhari<sup>1\*</sup>, Soofia Naghdi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Nouredin Nakhostin Ansari<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Roshank Honarpisheh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>- Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>- Sports Medicine Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>- Neuromusculoskeletal Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 24 Nov 2019, Revised: 5 Dec 2019, Accepted: 8 Dec 2019, Published: 15 Jan 2020

## Abstract

**Background and Aim:** Balance disorder is a common problem in post stroke patients. Vibration therapy is one of the interventions that is used to treat this impairment in physiotherapy. There are several studies on the effects of more affected foot plantar vibration on balance, however according to the researchers this cerebral lesion causes bilateral side effects in the body. So the aim of this study was to investigate the short-term effects of both feet plantar vibration on the balance of post stroke patients.

**Methods:** This pretest-posttest clinical study included 12 post stroke patients (8 male and 4 female, mean age  $52.41 \pm 9.03$  years). The participants received one session of 5-min vibration stimuli (frequency, 100 Hz) to the plantar region on both feet. Mini-Balance Evaluation Systems Test (Mini-BESTest) score and plantar cutaneous sensation by Semmes Weinstein monofilament examination (SWME) were assessed before and immediately after the intervention.

**Results:** Mini-BESTest mean total score improved significantly ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) after both feet vibration and these results were supported by a large

effect size (Cohen  $d = 2.83$ ). Mean number of detected points by SWME decreased after vibration therapy ( $p = 0.06$ ) but it was not statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** The findings suggest that local vibration applied directly to the plantar region of both feet in post-stroke patients could be an appropriate physiotherapy intervention to improve their balance.

**Keywords:** Vibration; balance; stroke

**Citation:** Sajedifar M, Fakhari Z, Naghdi S, Nakhostin Ansari N, Honarpisheh R. The short term-effects of both feet plantar vibration in post stroke patients balance. *Aud Vestib Res.* 2020;29(1):54-9.

## Introduction

Stroke is one of the most important causes of disability in adults which can happen at any time and to anyone. This brain disorder is the second commonest cause of death in the world [1]. A large number of survivors of stroke have a combination of sensory and motor impairments leading to a decrease in the quality of their daily living [2]. Impaired postural control which leads to a balance disorder has the greatest impact on individual independence. More than 90% of patients with chronic stroke suffer from balance

\* **Corresponding author:** Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Piche-Shemiran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, 1148965141, Iran. Tel: 009821-77535132, E-mail: fakhariz@tums.ac.ir

dysfunction [3]. It can increase instability and fear of falling, and decrease the patient's confidence and social participation and make them more inactive [2-4]. Therefore, in stroke rehabilitation, it is necessary to improve the balance for efficient functional activity and reducing dependency.

Up to 41% of individuals, post-stroke experience sensory deficits and reduction in cutaneous sensation [5]. These deficits are caused by damage to primary somatosensory cortex which results in the inability to process and interpret sensory feedback. Following this inefficient processing and interpretation of sensory information, abnormal motor responses will be produced that lead to balance disorders [5,6].

Vibration stimulation is an effective modality which is used to improve poor balance in healthy and patient population. Given that the mechanical aspect of touch is transmitted from the environment by four different types of mechanical receptors and each one has its own particular characteristics, all of them are commonly involved in vibration therapy and transmit more efficient inputs to the central neural system to produce better neuromuscular responses for body posture maintenance [7,8]. According to a previous study, plantar vibration with 100 Hz frequency, can improve balance in older women [8]. Also, the results of a case study showed the positive effects of plantar region vibration therapy on balance of a 72-year old man with stroke [9]. A clinical study on post stroke patients reported that 100-Hz plantar vibration for 5 minutes results in balance and ankle dorsiflexion passive range of motion improvement and ankle plantar flexor spasticity reduction [10]. Another clinical study to investigate the effects of plantar vibration on more affected foot reported beneficial effects on ankle passive range of motion, spasticity and dynamic balance according to Timed Up and Go test results. Also, no effect on static balance has been shown in this study [11].

Most recent studies have investigated the effects of local vibration of more affected limbs on balance while according to researchers, after a unilateral stroke, the weakness and motor deficits appear on both sides of the patient [12], actually,

when one side of the cerebral hemisphere is damaged by stroke, the patients' ipsilateral limb function and postural control might also be affected because of the existence of the uncrossed nerve fibers [13] and can contribute to poor stability and balance disorder. Therefore, the goal of this study was to evaluate the short-term effects of both feet plantar vibration on the balance of patients suffering from stroke. We postulated that the balance of these patients could be improved through the application of vibration to both feet.

### Methods

This pilot study was conducted in Physiotherapy Clinic for Stroke at School of Rehabilitation, Tehran University of Medical Science (TUMS). The review board and the Ethics Committee of Rehabilitation School of TUMS approved the study protocol (Code No: IR.TUMS.FNM.REC.1398.049). Inclusion criteria were: a) age, 18 years or older, b) duration since stroke, 6 months or more, c) balance disorder diagnosed by one leg stance (OLS) test; the patient is not able to stand on his affected leg for 30s with eyes open and d) ability to walk independently. Exclusion criteria were: a) receiving any balance treatment, b) any other disease that effects balance according to a neurologist, c) lower-limb surgery during last year, and d) unwillingness to participate in the study.


Twelve post stroke patients enrolled in this study. They were interviewed to collect information about age, sex, height and weight for body mass index ( $BMI [kg/m^2] = \text{weight [kg]} / \text{height [m}^2\text{]}$ ) and duration since stroke onset. The outcome measures were balance and plantar cutaneous sensation which were assessed in order by Mini-BESTest [14] and Semmes Weinstein monofilament examination (SWME) before and immediately after intervention.

The Mini-BESTest is a clinical balance test [14] which consists of 14 items and assesses balance using four subsystems (anticipatory postural adjustments, postural responses, sensory orientation, and balance during gate). Each item scored from 0 (lowest level of function) to 2 (highest level of function), so the maximum score is 28 [15]. This test is a reliable and valid



**Fig. 1. Detected plantar points evaluation by Semmes Weinstein monofilament examination**

measurement tool for assessing balance in post stroke patients [16]. Monofilament testing was used to assess plantar cutaneous sensation. The 5.07/10 g monofilament has been recommended as the best indicator to determine peripheral neuropathy of the feet [17,18]. Participants were in supine position, with closed eyes and had rested for 5 minutes before the test. The assessor put a flexible nylon with perpendicular angle to one of the 10 points plantar surface in no specific order, including: nine plantar sites (distal great toe, third toe, and fifth toe; first, third, and fifth metatarsal heads; medial foot, lateral foot, and



**Fig. 2. Custom-made vibratory device: 1) foot strap; 2) footplate; 3) adaptor; 4) on/off switch; 5) right on/off switch; 6) left on/off switch; 7) voltage control switch; 8) ankle strap; 9) plate inclination handle.**

heel) and one dorsal site [19]. Then slight and steady pressure was applied until the monofilament began to bend. If the participant was able to detect the touch at this movement they said “Yes” (Fig. 1), the physiotherapist did this process for the other points and recorded the number of detected points [20]. This measurement tool is an easy-to-use, inexpensive, portable and reliable and valid tool to detect the peripheral neuropathy [21,22].

A custom-made vibratory device (Erteashate Tebbie Iranian Co, Tehran, Iran) was used to apply plantar vibration to both feet. The subject was in supine position on a treatment bed with knees flexed by pillows under them and barefoot. This device has two vibrators located within a box (dimension [width × length × height, 30×45×20 cm]) and two plates for the feet have been placed at the top of the box to deliver the vibration stimuli to the entire plantar regions (Fig. 2), the physiotherapist positioned both feet on the plates and fixed them with its Velcro straps. Participants received plantar vibration with 100 Hz frequency for 5 minutes and immediately were assessed after intervention once again.

## Results

Twelve stroke patients (4 females, 8 males, mean age,  $52.41 \pm 9.03$ ) participated in the present study (Table 1).

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test showed all the data were normally distributed. The significance was set at  $p \leq 0.05$ . Paired t-test was employed to compare the pre/post data. Cohen d was calculated to determine treatment effect size [23]. Participants improved significantly not only on the Mini-BES Test mean total score ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) but also on all mean scores of subscales after both feet vibration and this result was supported by a large effect size (Cohen  $d = 2.83$ ). Mean number of detected points by SWME decreased from 8.5 to 7.5 after vibration therapy ( $p = 0.06$ ) but it was not statistically significant (Table 2).

## Discussion

The results of this study showed significant improvement in balance after plantar vibration of

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients with stroke (n = 12)**

Characteristic	Mean (SD)	Range
Age (year)	52.41 (9.03)	33–69
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.34 (4.34)	16.6–30.5
Duration of stroke (year)	5.29 (5.6)	0.8–17

SD; standard deviation

both feet in patients after stroke. The balance improvement after one foot planter vibration in post stroke patients was reported previously [9–11]. This is the first study, to our knowledge, which has investigated the short-term effects of both feet planter vibration on the balance of these patients.

The mean of Mini-BES Test total score improved after both feet planter vibration (on average 3.7 score) which was supported by a large effect size (Cohen  $d = 2.83$ ). As Minimal Detectable Change (MDC) for Mini-BES Test was 3.5 score and Minimal Clinical Important Difference (MCID) was 4 score [24], therefore, after both feet planter vibration the changes in the mean of Mini-BES Test total score were more than MDC and close to MCID. Whilst Karimi-Ahmad Abadi et al. reported the mean of Mini-BES Test total score after 5 minutes had affected foot planter vibration more, with frequency 100 Hz, and had a significant improvement (on average 3.5 score, Cohen  $d = 0.85$ ). Also she reported that planter vibration of the affected foot was effective for improving ankle planter flexor spasticity, and ankle dorsiflexion passive range of motion in post stroke patients [10]. A case study reported the positive effects of another 5 minutes affected foot planter vibration, frequency 100 Hz, on balance improvement in a patient after stroke [19]. Another

study found that the planter vibration, frequency 100 Hz, had beneficial effects in improving balance of older women [8]. Recently a study on the immediate effects of one foot planter vibration on balance dysfunction in patients with stroke showed that, 5 minutes vibration with frequency 100 Hz can improve the functional mobility and dynamic balance in patients with stroke [11].

The exact mechanism is unclear for balance improvement occurring after local vibration. The explanation for improvement in balance might be that the vibration, by stimulating cutaneous and proprioceptive receptors, has positive effects on the sensory system. Therefore, the sensory system provides more efficient information about the surroundings to the central nervous system and better neuromuscular responses will be produced for posture [8,25]. In addition, corticoreticular neurons originating from motor area descend ipsilaterally and bilaterally, and are involved in postural responses and can produce postural widespread effects [26,27]. Thus, balance improvement after both feet planter vibration may be because of its effects on postural control. In this study, the mean number of detected points in monofilament examination decreased after intervention, but it was not statistically significant. A randomized clinical trial recently reported that the acute response of the vibro-tactile threshold to one whole body vibration session increased but the 48 hour short-term response of this threshold decreased in healthy young adults [27]. It is highly likely that the load of vibration leads to adaptation of sensory receptors and immediate assessment of planter cutaneous sensation did not allow them to return to their initial sensitivity and were in a fatigue phase. It is hoped that long term planter vibration can improve postural control and consequently balance in post

**Table 2. Results of clinical tests**

Variable	Pre-treatment	Post-treatment	p
Mini-BES Test mean (SD) total score	20.08 (3.42)	23.83 (2.08)	$\leq 0.001$
Mean (SD) number of detected points (SWME)	8.5 (1.78)	7.5 (2.11)	0.06

SD; standard deviation, SWME; Semmes Weinstein monofilament examination

stroke patients.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, vibratory stimuli at a frequency of 100 Hz applied to both feet plantar region of patients after stroke could have beneficial effects on their balance disorders.

### Acknowledgments

This article has been extracted from M. Sajedifar MSc. thesis on Physiotherapy submitted to Tehran University of Medical Sciences. We would like to express our gratitude to all participants in this study.

### Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interests to be declared.

### References

- Hosseini AA, Sobhani-Rad D, Ghandehari K, Benamer HT. Frequency and clinical patterns of stroke in Iran - Systematic and critical review. *BMC Neurol.* 2010;10:72. doi: [10.1186/1471-2377-10-72](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-10-72)
- Geurts AC, de Haart M, van Nes IJ, Duysens J. A review of standing balance recovery from stroke. *Gait Posture.* 2005;22(3):267-81. doi: [10.1016/j.gaitpost.2004.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2004.10.002)
- Hosseini SA, Fallahpour M, Sayadi M, Gharib M, Haghgoo H. The impact of mental practice on stroke patients' postural balance. *J Neurol Sci.* 2012;322(1-2):263-7. doi: [10.1016/j.jns.2012.07.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2012.07.030)
- Robertson JA, Eng JJ, Hung C. The effect of functional electrical stimulation on balance function and balance confidence in community-dwelling individuals with stroke. *Physiother Can.* 2010;62(2):114-9. doi: [10.3138/physio.62.2.114](https://doi.org/10.3138/physio.62.2.114)
- Carey LM. Somatosensory loss after stroke. *Crit Rev Phys Rehabil Med.* 1995;7(1):51-91. doi: [10.1615/CritRevPhysRehabilMed.v7.i1.40](https://doi.org/10.1615/CritRevPhysRehabilMed.v7.i1.40)
- Parsons SL, Mansfield A, Inness EL, Patterson KK. The relationship of plantar cutaneous sensation and standing balance post-stroke. *Top Stroke Rehabil.* 2016;23(5):326-32. doi: [10.1080/10749357.2016.1162396](https://doi.org/10.1080/10749357.2016.1162396)
- Sui J, Shull P, Ji L. Pilot study of vibration stimulation on neurological rehabilitation. *Biomed Mater Eng.* 2014; 24(6):2593-601. doi: [10.3233/BME-141075](https://doi.org/10.3233/BME-141075)
- Wanderley FS, Albuquerque-Sendín F, Parizotto NA, Rebelatto JR. Effect of plantar vibration stimuli on the balance of older women: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2011;92(2):199-206. doi: [10.1016/j.apmr.2010.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.10.014)
- Naghdi S, Khalifelloo M, Nakhostin Ansari N, Akbari M, Jalaie S, Jannat D. [The short term effects of plantar vibration on balance disorder after stroke]. *Audiol.* 2013;22(2):104-9. Persian.
- Karimi-AhmadAbadi A, Naghdi S, Nakhostin Ansari N, Fakhari Z, Khalifelloo M. A clinical single blind study to investigate the immediate effects of plantar vibration on balance in patients after stroke. *J Bodyw Mov Ther.* 2018;22(2):242-6. doi: [10.1016/j.jbmt.2017.04.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2017.04.013)
- Khalifelloo M, Naghdi S, Nakhostin Ansari N, Akbari M, Jalaie S, Jannat D, et al. A study on the immediate effects of plantar vibration on balance dysfunction in patients with stroke. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2018;14(2):259-66. doi: [10.12965/jer.1836044.022](https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1836044.022)
- Silva CC, Silva A, Sousa A, Pinheiro AR, Bourlinaova C, Silva A, et al. Co-activation of upper limb muscles during reaching in post-stroke subjects: an analysis of the contralesional limbs. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2014;24(5): 731-8. doi: [10.1016/j.jelekin.2014.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2014.04.011)
- Balcı NC, Dogru E, Aytar A, Gokmen O, Depreli O. Comparison of upper extremity function, pain, and tactile sense between the unaffected side of hemiparetic patients and healthy subjects. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2016;28(7):1998-2001. doi: [10.1589/jpts.28.1998](https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.28.1998)
- Franchignoni F, Horak F, Godi M, Nardone A, Giordano A. Using psychometric techniques to improve the Balance Evaluation System Test: the Mini-BESTest. *J Rehabil.* 2010;42(4):323-31. doi: [10.2340/16501977-0537](https://doi.org/10.2340/16501977-0537)
- King L, Horak F. On the Mini-BESTest: Scoring and the reporting of total scores. *Phys Ther.* 2013;93(4):571-5. doi: [10.2522/ptj.2013.93.4.571](https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.2013.93.4.571)
- Tsang CSL, Liao LR, Chung RCK, Pang MYC. Psychometric properties of the Mini-Balance evaluation systems TEST (Mini-BESTest) in community-dwelling individuals with chronic stroke. *Phys Ther.* 2013;93(8): 1102-15. doi: [10.2522/ptj.20120454](https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20120454)
- Birke JA, Sims DS. Plantar sensory threshold in the ulcerative foot. *Lepr Rev.* 1986;57(3):261-7. doi: [10.5935/0305-7518.19860028](https://doi.org/10.5935/0305-7518.19860028)
- Singh N, Armstrong DG, Lipsky BA. Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. *JAMA.* 2005;293(2):217-28. doi: [10.1001/jama.293.2.217](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.293.2.217)
- Baraz S, Zarea K, Shahbazian HB, Latifi SM. Comparison of the accuracy of monofilament testing at various points of feet in peripheral diabetic neuropathy screening. *J Diabetes Metab Disord.* 2014;13(1):19. doi: [10.1186/2251-6581-13-19](https://doi.org/10.1186/2251-6581-13-19)
- Raji P, Nakhostin Ansari N, Naghdi S, Forogh B, Hasson S. Relationship between Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments perception test and sensory nerve conduction studies in Carpal Tunnel syndrom. *NeuroRehabilitation.* 2014;35(3):543-52. doi: [10.3233/NRE-141150](https://doi.org/10.3233/NRE-141150)
- Tan LS. The clinical use of the 10g monofilament and its limitations: a review. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2010; 90(1):1-7. doi: [10.1016/j.diabres.2010.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2010.06.021)
- Snyder BA, Munter AD, Houston MN, Hoch JM, Hoch MC. Interrater and intrarater reliability of the semmes-weinstein monofilament 4-2-1 stepping algorithm. *Muscle Nerve.* 2016;53(6):918-24. doi: [10.1002/mus.24944](https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.24944)
- Middel B, van Sonderen E. Statistical significant change versus relevant or important change in (quasi) experimental design: some conceptual and methodological problems in estimating magnitude of intervention-related change in health services research. *Int J Integr Care.* 2002;2:e15. doi: [10.5334/ijic.65](https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.65)
- Godi M, Franchignoni F, Caligari M, Giordano A, Turcato AM, Nardone A. Comparison of reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the Mini-BESTest and Berg Balance Scale in patients with balance disorders. *Phys Ther.* 2013;93(2):158-67. doi: [10.2522/ptj.20120171](https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20120171)

25. Issurin VB. Vibration and their application in sport A review. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2005;45(3):324-36.
26. Silva A, Sousa AS, Pinheiro R, Tavares JM, Santos R, Sousa F. Soleus activity in post-stroke subjects: movement sequence from standing to sitting. *Somatosens Mot Res*. 2012;29(3):71-6. doi: [10.3109/08990220.2012.686935](https://doi.org/10.3109/08990220.2012.686935)
27. Hernandez-Mocholi MA, Dominguez-Muñoz FJ, Corzo H, Silva SC, Adsuar JC, Gusi N. Whole body vibration training improves vibration perception threshold in healthy young adults: A randomized clinical trial pilot study. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact*. 2016;16(1):12-7.