

سال ششم، شماره ۱، بهار ۱۳۸۹ Volume 6, No. 1, Spring 2010 (IR-WRR) 27-28



Extended Abstract (Technical Note)

# Development of Indicators and Triggers for Drought Plans; A Review

S. Morid<sup>1\*</sup> and M. Moghaddasi<sup>2</sup>

#### Introduction

Development of drought plans is an efficient approach to reduce the consequent damages and improve responses. The effectiveness of these plans depends on the indicators and triggers that determine the timing and type of management actions (Steinmann and Cavalcanti, 2006). There are yet many questions about appropriate indicators, their combination, and threshold levels to define triggers.

# **Objectives**

This paper attempts to describe some of the important points about indicators and triggers and how they have to be manipulated while applying them for drought plans.

# Methodology

Drought indicators are variables that describe the magnitude, duration, severity, and the spatial extent of drought. Indicators are typically based on meteorological and hydrological variables such as precipitation, streamflow, and groundwater. The most applied among these is precipitation. Many indices are based on only precipitation such as DI, SPI, and EDI and can be calculated using DIP software (Morid, et al., 1385). However they do not show the consistent behavior during a drought course (Morid et al., 2005). Some research has attempted to compare drought monitoring by single and multiple indicators. For instance, Morid and Paimozd (2007) evaluated drought severity in Tehran province, using EDI and Chang

methods, as single and multiple indicators, respectively. The results showed that the sensitivity of the multiple indicators method to drought severity and onset of drought is more significant.

Drought triggers are threshold values of an indicator that detect a drought level and determine when management actions should begin and end (Steinemann, 2003). To develop indicators and triggers of a drought plan, it is necessary to consider cases such as temporal and spatial consistency, temporal and spatial specificity, statistical consistency among triggers, and statistical consistency among categories.

The process of combining indicators and triggers can be considered in two ways. The first is when several indicators are synthesized into one indicator (e.g. SWSI). The second approach is to define drought levels and transferring indicators to the one percentile scale based on defined levels.

Simultaneous monitoring of the supply and demand is recommended for drought monitoring. The Days of Supply Remaining is suggested as an index to account for the effect of the operating rules, allocation and management decisions in the beginning of drought. The index includes inputs such as reservoir storage, forecasted future inflows from precipitation, snowmelt, and streamflow and predicted demands. This index needs long-term forecasting that limits its applications.

#### Conclusion

This review paper attempted to show weaknesses of the conventional drought indices as a trigger to activate drought mitigation measures. It emphasizes on the development of the new multi-period indicators and triggers, which include hydrological and consumption components, too. Finally, the significant role of expert

<sup>1-</sup> Associate Professor, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tarbiat Modarres, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>2-</sup> Research Scholar, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tarbiat Modarres, Tehran, Iran.
\*- Corresponding Author

assessment to finalize the selected approach is highlighted and discussed.

Keywords: Drought, Indicator, Trigger, Water Management.

#### References

- Morid, S. Paimazd, Sh. (2006) (in Farsi) "Comparing Hydrological and Meteorological Methods for Daily Monitoring of Drought. Case study; Tehran Drought of 1999 to 2001", *Journal of Agricultural and National Resources Science and Technology, Isfahan University of Technology.*
- Morid, S. Smakhtin, V. and Moghaddasi, M. (2005)" Comparison of Seven Meteorological Indices for

Drought Monitoring in Iran" International Journal of Climatology, 26: pp. 971-985.

- Steinemann, A. (2003)"Drought indicators and triggers: A stochastic approach to evaluation" J. AM. Water Resour. Assoc., 39(5), pp. 1217-1234.
- Steinemann, A., Hayes. M., and Cavalcanti, L. (2005)"Drought indicators and triggers" Drought and water crises: Science technology and management issues, D. Wilhite, ed., Dekker, New York, pp. 71-92.
- Steinemann, A. and Cavalcanti, L. (2006)"Developing Multiple Indicators and Triggers for Drought Plans". Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management., 132(3): pp. 164-173.

تحقیقات منابع آب ایران، سال ششم، شماره ۱، بهار ۱۳۸۹ Volume 6, No. 1, Spring 2010 (IR-WRR) 28 ♦ ۷۶