

Original Article

The Effect of Incorporation of 0.5 %wt. Silica Nanoparticles on the Micro Shear Bond Strength of a Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Cement

Mohammad Bagher Rezvani¹, Mohammad Atai², Hamid Safar Alizade³, Mahshid Mohammadi Basir¹, Fatemeh Koohepeima⁴, Saba Siabani⁵

¹ Dept. of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran.

² Dept. of Polymer Science, Iran Polymer and Petrochemical Institute, Tehran, Iran.

³ General Dentist, Private Practice, Tehran, Iran.

⁴ Dept. of Operative Dentistry, Biomaterials Research Center, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

⁵ General Dentist and Dental Specialties Candidate, Dept. of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

KEY WORDS

Glass Ionomer;
Silica;
Nanoparticles;
Shear Strength;

ABSTRACT

Statement of the Problem: The clinical success of glass ionomer restorations depends on the strength of resin-modified glass ionomer (RMGI) cement bonding to dentin and there is limited information available regarding the bond strength of resin modified glass ionomers containing silica nanoparticles to dental structures.

Purpose: The aim of this study was to compare the microshear bond strength (μ SBS) of RMGI with and without silica (SiO₂) nanoparticles to dentin of permanent teeth.

Materials and Method: In this experimental study, the occlusal surfaces of 30 freshly extracted intact third molars were ground to expose the flat dentin and after conditioning with 20% poly acrylic acid, were randomly assigned to two main groups (n=15). The first group was filled with RMGI (Fuji II LC, GC) and the second group was filled with RMGI plus 0.5%wt. silica nanoparticles. Then, each main group was divided into three subgroups, and then stored in an incubator at 37 °C with 100% humidity for 1, 7, and 30 days. The μ SBS test was performed using a universal testing machine (1 mm/min). The data were analyzed by t-test, repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey test ($p < 0.05$).

Results: There were no statistically significant differences between the mean μ SBS of the groups with and without nanoparticles along the different storage periods ($p > 0.05$). There was significant difference in μ SBS values among the three different storage periods in all the tested materials ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Incorporation of 0.5 %wt. silica nanoparticles did not compromise the μ SBS of Fuji II LC RMGI to dentin.

Corresponding Author: Siabani S., Dept. of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Tel: +98-83-38361512 Email: sabasiabani@yahoo.com

Received March 2018;
Received in Revised Form June 2018;
Accepted July 2018;

Cite this article as: Rezvani MB., Atai M., Safar Alizade H., Mohammadi Basir M., Koohepeima F., Siabani S. The Effect of Incorporation of 0.5 %wt. Silica Nanoparticles on the Micro Shear Bond Strength of a Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Cement. *J Dent Shiraz Univ Med Sci.*, June 2019; 20(2): 124-130.

Introduction

Glass ionomer cements have certain properties such as fluoride release and chemical bonding to the tooth substrate. However, glass ionomer cements have some limitations such as low wear resistance and poor physical and mechanical properties. Many attempts have been made to overcome drawbacks, which include the addi-

tion of different fillers [1-2]. To improve the mechanical properties of conventional glass ionomer, resin modified glass ionomer (RMGI) have been developed in 1980. Despite advantages of RMGI, its short comes have limited its usage only to non-stress bearing areas [3-5].

Many efforts have been made in order to improve the mechanical properties of RMGI, such as incorpora-

tion of silica as an inorganic fillers resulted in its physical and mechanical properties improvement including increasing the compressive strength, diametral tensile strength and flexural strength and decreasing marginal gaps, water uptake, water solubility and micro leakage [6-10]. However, inert particles of silica have no interfere in setting reaction of cement. Recent studies demonstrated its antibacterial effect and the ability to adhere to matrix by chemical bonding and reinforced the structural matrix of cement [11-12].

Some authors used different nanoparticles (nanoZnO, nanoHA, and nanoTiO₂) to improve the mechanical, physical, and biological properties of RMGI, and have obtained encouraging results [13-15]. It has also been suggested the incorporation of silica nanoparticles to resin matrix could improve mechanical and physical properties of composite resins [16-17].

The latest development in glass ionomer technology is nano ionomer that incorporated nanosilica / zirconia particles to RMGI [3]. Few studies demonstrate that nano ionomer have better properties, such as higher aesthetics, abrasion resistance, optical properties and adhesion [3, 16, 18]. Moreover, according to previous study, adding nanosilica can improve the mechanical properties of commercial GIC Fuji II by optimum concentration of 0.5 wt. percentage [19]. Apart from the obvious enhancements, until now, bonding properties of RMGI containing nanosilica particles has not been reported. On the other hand, the changes in material composition may affect its bonding characteristics, and makes it unsuitable as a restorative material. Thus, the research hypothesis that has been tested was that incorporating nanosilica to RMGI would adversely affect its μ SBS to dentin.

Therefore, the objective of present study was to evaluate the effect of incorporation of 0.5%wt of nanosilica particles on μ SBS of RMGI. Based on the null hypothesis of the study, nanosilica particles would have no effect on the bond strength of RMGIC to dentin even in long-term.

Material and Method

Preparation of experimental cement

A commercially available RMGI, Fuji II LC improved (GC, Tokyo, Japan), with a recommended powder to liquid ratio of 3.2/1 was used in this study as the control

and base material. The specific amount of nanosilica particles Fumed SiO₂ (Aerosil 200, Evonik Germany) consists of spherical particles, with a specific surface area of 200 m² g⁻¹ and mean diameter of 12 nm weighted by a digital scale (Mettler Toledo-AB 204) and added to glass powder in order to achieve the 0.5 weight percentages of nanosilica in glass powder. For the sake of homogenous distribution of particles, powders were hand mixed by mortar and pestle for 20 minutes [15]. Two experimental powders were prepared including RMGI with 0 wt. % of nanosilica (as control group), and RMGI plus 0.5 wt. % of nanosilica.

Micro-shear bond strength (μ SBS) and failure analysis

Thirty intact human thirds molar teeth extracted within past six months were selected, cleaned and stored in thymol solution for a week, then were stored in distilled water at 4°C till the experiment. Each tooth was embedded in self-polymerizing acrylic resin in the manner that occlusal surface was accessible for testing. Occlusal surfaces of the teeth were transversally grounded by a diamond bur (Tizkavan, Iran) to 1 mm below the central groove, then polished with 600, 1000 and 1200 grit wet silicon carbide abrasive paper (3M, USA) to achieve a smooth dentin surface. Then occlusal surfaces were conditioned with cavity conditioner (GC, Tokyo, Japan) using a micro brush for 10 seconds based on manufacturer instructions, then washed for 20 seconds, and dried by cotton pellet. Lastly, teeth were divided in two group defined as RMGI without any additive (control), and RMGI with nanosilica. Then 0.5 %wt. nanosilica was added to RMGI powder and mixed with RMGI liquid according to manufacturer instruction. Silicon tubes with an internal diameter of 0.9mm and a height of 1mm were fixed on a thin glass slide by sticky wax, used as mold, and filled from the one side. Thereupon specimens were positioned on dentin and light cured for 40 seconds using light emitting diode (Demetron LC, SDS Kerr, USA). Each group subdivided into three subgroups (one day, one week, and one month) and stored in incubator (Automatic CO₂ Incubator, NuAire, Inc.) at 37°C with 100% humidity. Three tubes were placed per tooth and 15 specimens were prepared in each subgroup [20-21]. Just before testing, the mold was removed by a scalpel blade #11, and a thin steel wire with a diameter of 0.2mm was looped around each cylindrical sample in touch with the lower half-circle of

the cylinder [22]. The shear force was applied by pulling the wire loop up using a universal testing machine (Zwick Roell, Germany) at crosshead speed of 1 mm.min⁻¹ until failure occurred. The bond strength values were calculated as ratio of the maximum load required to the bonded surface area and reported in MPa [21]. Then the fractured specimens were observed under a stereomicroscope (40×) to find out the mode of failure, which were classified as adhesive, cohesive, and mixed failure.

Statistical analysis

Recorded values were analyzed with SPSS software (version 16) using the repeated measures ANOVA, t-test and Tukey post hoc HSD. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

The means and standard deviations of μ SBS of two groups (control and containing 0.5 wt. % nSiO₂) on different time intervals are shown in Table 1. The maximum and minimum μ SBS mean values were recorded at third (one month) and first (24h) intervals for both groups, respectively. Concerning each tested group or material, as shown in Table 1, there were no significant differences between μ SBS values of two groups along the three different storage periods ($p=0.563$, $p=0.147$ and $p=0.995$, respectively). The statistical analysis of variance (using repeated measures ANOVA) consider-

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation (SD) of micro shear bond strength (MPa) of two tested groups

Groups (n=15)	1 day	7 day	30 day
	Mean(SD)	Mean(SD)	Mean (SD)
RMGI*	10.6(2.66) ^{aA}	12.66(2.31) ^{aB}	16.35(4.95) ^{aC}
RMGI- 0.5 wt.% nSiO ₂ **	10.03(2.64) ^{aA}	14.48(4.22) ^{aB}	16.34(3.97) ^{aC}

*RMGI: resin modified glass ionomer

**nSiO₂: Silica nanoparticles

Differences in capital letters indicate statistically significant differences within rows, and differences in lowercase letters indicate statistically significant differences within columns ($p < 0.05$).

ing the storage time as a factor revealed a significant difference in μ SBS values among the three different storage periods in both tested groups as the amounts of bond strength increased by time pass. The μ SBS of group1 at one month was significantly higher than 24h and one week ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.006$ respectively) which were significantly different compared to each other ($p < 0.005$). Also the μ SBS of group 2 at one month was significantly higher than 24h and one week ($p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.002$ respectively) which were significantly different compared to each other ($p < 0.001$).

The results of the microscopic investigation in the different subgroups are shown in Figure 1. Microscopic examination of interfacial debonding revealed that the majority of failure modes were adhesive failure followed by mixed failure, while cohesive failure was not found in any of the samples except for the group 2 at second interval that one cohesive failure was observed.



*RMGI: resin modified glass ionomer

**nSiO₂: Silica nanoparticles

Figure 1: Frequency bar chart of fracture modes of two sample groups at three different intervals

Discussion

In recent decade, the employment of nanotechnology in dentistry has vastly developed. Incorporation of different nanoparticles to the cement matrix is a novel idea for enhancing the strength and ameliorating the mechanical properties of glass ionomer cements [10, 14-15].

The composition of the restorative materials can interfere with their bond strength; some studies have demonstrated that incorporation of nanoparticles to glass ionomer would limit the acid base reaction of the RMGI and interfere with the chemical adhesion [21, 23-24]. There bond strength to dental structures decreases when a large amount of fillers is added. Since silica particles did not bond with the RMGI matrix, they may act as an impurity that prevents the reaction in cement [25].

This *in vitro* study was performed to evaluate the effect of incorporation of silica nanoparticles on μ SBS of RMGI cement, since it was reported that bond strength testing could determine valuable clinical information, when accumulated in a well-controlled design [24]. *In vitro* studies, testing bond strength of glass ionomer cements, typically have large standard deviations that consequently challenge inter-study evaluation and appraisal [26].

Shear bond strength is a simple and widely used test to assess the bonding performance of restorative material, particularly regarding the glass ionomer cements, which present low bond strength [20-21, 24, 26]. Recently, the μ SBS test has become popularized as an alternative to the conventional shear bond test. In the μ SBS test, the stress distribution is more concentrated at the interface compared with the conventional shear bond test. This would decrease the chance of cohesive failure in the material or enamel/dentin that does not display the true interfacial bond strength [20-21, 27-28]. This method is an especially useful test for those substrates that are susceptible to the specimen preparation effects and micro tensile bond strength testing conditions, such as glass ionomer or enamel [21, 28-29]. However, there are some questions concerning the interdependence of multiple specimens from the same tooth in micro test, which may exaggerate the statistical significance levels for comparison between materials. It is highly possible that the measurements originating from one tooth would be biased by the individual featur-

es of the tooth, which the test was carried on [20].

In the current study, the mean μ SBS of Fuji II LC RMGIC to dentin in control group at first interval (24h) was 10.6 ± 2.66 MPa that was similar to previously reported values for RMGIC [5, 30]. On the other hand, the mean μ SBS of RMGIC plus nanosilica at first interval was 10.03 ± 2.64 MPa that was nearly similar to control group and higher than previously reported values for nano ionomer [21, 30]. It indicates that incorporation of nanosilica had no influence on the short-term μ SBS of RMGIC. Furthermore, μ SBS was recorded after three different storage periods (24 h, 1 week, and 1 month) in order to evaluate the effect of time on bond strength of glass ionomer materials. Both groups showed a significant increase in the μ SBS over time. This result can be explained by incomplete maturation of the glass ionomer cement after 24 h of storage that reasons for the lowest values of bond strength. The improvement of the bond strength after one week and one-month storage was due to aging that allows sufficient time for complete cement maturation. This was supported by a previous study [24], which reported that adhesion between glass ionomer cement, and tooth structure is based initially on hydrogen bonding, matures over time, and develops into a stronger chemical bond [23-24, 31].

There was no significant difference between two groups at each test time. Therefore, the null hypothesis regarding the long-term μ SBS was accepted. This finding may be explained by the incorporation of inert particle of nanosilica, which not silanized, could not interfere with bonding reaction of cement. On the other hand, the amount of nanoparticles added was very small and might not have detrimental effect on bond strength of cement. Similar finding obtained with nanoTiO₂ addition in Garcia-Contreras *et al.* [14] and Elsaka *et al.* [32] studies, which reported incorporation of nanoTiO₂ to powder of glass ionomer does not interfere with the shear bond strength to dentin. Dissimilar results were obtained in previous studies that evaluated bonding effectiveness of a commercial nano-filled RMGI (Ketac N100) which is claimed contains nanoclusters of silica fillers and stated that the non-primed nano-filled RMGI bonded less effectively than conventional RMGI. This incongruity might be due to different utilized test methodology and materials. In spite of conventional RMGI cement, nano ionomer is not able to bond to dental

structure simultaneously and need to Ketac primer (Ketac primer; 3M ESPE, USA) to improve the wettability of dentin and monomer penetration into dentin substrate. It could be speculated that nano ionomer perhaps behaves more like a resin composite rather than a true glass ionomer [3, 33-34]. Additionally, Ketac N100 (Ketac N100/Ketac Nano; 3M ESPE, USA) is based on a prior RMGI from the same manufacturer (Vitremer), which was lower than Fuji II LC in terms of shear bond strength [3, 5, 34]. In other ways, lower bond strength of non-primed nano ionomer to dentin, may be related to the very superficially interaction of nano ionomer with dentin without evidence of demineralization and/or hybridization [3, 33]. In our study, we utilized poly acrylic acid as cavity conditioner that led to partially demineralization of smear layer and enhanced HEMA penetration to dentin [3, 35]. Generally, the various bond strength values found in different studies can be possibly attributed to several factors such as the type of material, application method, tooth preparation methods, storage conditions, and aging protocols [21, 24, 26].

In this study, all specimens were assessed under a stereomicroscope following μ SBS testing to assess the mode of fracture and it was revealed that most fractures were of adhesive type, which indicates that the values obtained were clearly the strength of the bonded interface. This finding is similar to previous studies [6, 21-22, 27]. It may be related to the method of testing, which produced fewer cohesive failures. In addition, the results of stereomicroscopic assessment confirmed the results of μ SBS tests. It was also suggested that under higher magnifications, the incidence of cohesive and mixed failure modes might have been increased. Scanning electron microscope examination is surely advisable but costly and time consuming. However, it remains contemplative how failure site descriptions should be figured out [24, 26].

At last, the obvious effect of incorporation of 0.5 %wt. nanosilica on flexural and compressive strength of RMGI [19] cannot be neglected. Along with the conclusions drawn from the present *in vitro* study, it can be fairly said that nanosilica added RMGI holds a promise to be employed as a restorative material particularly on high stress bearing areas. It is noteworthy that the silanized spherical silica fillers strongly interact with RMGI matrix and decrease the diffusion rate of fluoride

[25]. Although in present study non-silanized nanosilica particles have been used, it may have influenced the fluoride release properties of RMGI. This effect should be taken into consideration and could be assessed in future studies.

Although we tried to simulate clinical conditions, there are always limitations associated with any *in vitro* studies such as the inability to simulate the biologic changes such as masticatory forces and chemical attacks by acids and enzymes that challenge the durability of restoration in the oral cavity. In order to assess the validity of novel cement in clinical application, further *in vitro* and *in vivo* investigations should be carried out to test the effect of the complex oral environmental conditions on the mechanical properties and chemical adhesion to the different tooth substrates.

Conclusion

On the basis of this study, it was determined that incorporation of certain weight percentage of silica nanoparticles to Fuji II LC RMGI cement had no significant change in its μ SBS.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to Dr. Shahram Hamedani (DDS, MSc DMFR) for his suggestions and English editorial assistance and Dr. Soraya Siabani (MD, PhD, Assistant Professor) at the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences for the statistical analysis.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interests in this research. The research was funded by Dental Research Center of Shahed Dental School (Tehran, Iran).

References

- [1] Adams LK, Lyon DY, McIntosh A, Alvarez PJ. Comparative toxicity of nano-scale TiO₂, SiO₂ and ZnO water suspensions. *Water Sci Technol.* 2006; 54: 327-334.
- [2] Nicholson JW, Anstice HM, McLean JW. A preliminary report on the effect of storage in water on the properties of commercial light-cured glass-ionomer cements. *Br Dent J.* 1992; 173: 98-101.
- [3] Coutinho E, Cardoso MV, De Munck J, Neves AA, Van Landuyt KL, Poitevin A, et al. Bonding effectiveness and

- interfacial characterization of a nano-filled resin-modified glass-ionomer. *Dent Mater.* 2009; 25: 1347-1357.
- [4] Momoi Y, Hirosaki K, Kohno A, McCabe JF. Flexural properties of resin-modified "hybrid" glass-ionomers in comparison with conventional acid-base glass-ionomers. *Dent Mater J.* 1995; 14: 109-119.
- [5] Somani R, Jaidka S, Singh DJ, Sibal GK. Comparative Evaluation of Shear Bond Strength of Various Glass Ionomer Cements to Dentin of Primary Teeth: An in vitro Study. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2016; 9: 192-196.
- [6] Calvo AF, Kicuti A, Tedesco TK, Braga MM, Raggio DP. Evaluation of the relationship between the cost and properties of glass ionomer cements indicated for atraumatic restorative treatment. *Braz Oral Res.* 2016; 30. pii: S1806-83242016000100201.
- [7] Gan X, Liu T, Zhong J, Liu X, Li G. Effect of silver nanoparticles on the electron transfer reactivity and the catalytic activity of myoglobin. *Chembiochem.* 2004; 5: 1686-1691.
- [8] Kuhn AT, Wilson AD. The dissolution mechanisms of silicate and glass-ionomer dental cements. *Biomaterials.* 1985; 6: 378-382.
- [9] Sadeghi Aqbash M, Rahimnejad M. Effect of Zinc Phosphate Nanoparticles in Combination with Glass Ionomer Cements on *Streptococcus mutans*. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.* 2017; 27: 39-48.
- [10] Vanajassun P, Nivedhitha MS, Nishad NT, Soman D. Effects of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles in Combination with Conventional Glass Ionomer Cement: In vitro Study. *Advance Human Biology.* 2014; 4: 31-36.
- [11] Besinis A, De Peralta T, Handy RD. The antibacterial effects of silver, titanium dioxide and silica dioxide nanoparticles compared to the dental disinfectant chlorhexidine on *Streptococcus mutans* using a suite of bioassays. *Nanotoxicology.* 2014; 8: 1-16.
- [12] Esmi F MS, Saffari A, Taheri E. The Effect of light curing glass ionomer containing various amounts of silica nanoparticles on the *Streptococcus mutans* activity. *Daneshvar Medicine Journal of Shahed University.* 2014; 108: 1-10.
- [13] Aydin Sevinç B, Hanley L. Antibacterial activity of dental composites containing zinc oxide nanoparticles. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.* 2010; 94: 22-31.
- [14] Garcia-Contreras R, Scougall-Vilchis RJ, Contreras-Bulnes R, Sakagami H, Morales-Luckie RA, Nakajima H. Mechanical, antibacterial and bond strength properties of nano-titanium-enriched glass ionomer cement. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2015; 23: 321-328.
- [15] Mohammadi Basir M, Ataei M, Rezvani MB, Golkar P. Effect of incorporation of various amounts of nano-sized Hydroxyapatite on the mechanical properties of a resin modified glass ionomer. *Shahid Beheshti University Dental Journal.* 2013; 30: 216-223.
- [16] Korkmaz Y, Gurgan S, Firat E, Nathanson D. Shear bond strength of three different nano-restorative materials to dentin. *Oper Dent.* 2010; 35: 50-57.
- [17] Xu HH, Quinn JB, Giuseppetti AA. Wear and mechanical properties of nano-silica-fused whisker composites. *J Dent Res.* 2004; 83: 930-935.
- [18] Karabela MM, Sideridou ID. Synthesis and study of properties of dental resin composites with different nano-silica particles size. *Dent Mater.* 2011; 27: 825-835.
- [19] Esmi RM, Daryakenari Gh, Atayi M, Mohammadi Basir M. Evaluating the effect of various quantities of silica nanoparticle addition on the mechanical properties of a light cure-resin modified glass ionomer. *Advances in Environmental Biology.* 2015; 9: 509-513.
- [20] Hamirattisai C, Roengrungreang P, Rangsisiripaiboon U, Senawongse P. Shear and micro-shear bond strengths of four self-etching adhesives measured immediately and 24 hours after application. *Dent Mater J.* 2012; 31: 779-787.
- [21] Bonifácio CC, Shimaoka AM, de Andrade AP, Raggio DP, van Amerongen WE, de Carvalho RC. Micro-mechanical bond strength tests for the assessment of the adhesion of GIC to dentine. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2012; 70: 555-563.
- [22] Al-Hana DA, El-Messairy A, Shohayb F, Alhadainy H. Micro-shear bond strength of different composites and glass-ionomers used to reinforce root dentin. *Tanta Dental Journal.* 2013; 10: 58-66.
- [23] Moshaverinia A, Chee WW, Brantley WA, Schricker SR. Surface properties and bond strength measurements of N-vinylcaprolactam (NVC)-containing glass-ionomer cements. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2011; 105: 185-193.
- [24] Shebl E, Etman W, Genaid TM, Shalaby M. Durability of bond strength of glass-ionomers to enamel. *Tanta Dental Journal.* 2015; 12: 16-27.
- [25] Tjandrawinata R, Irie M, Suzuki K. Marginal gap formation and fluoride release of resin-modified glass-ionomer cement: effect of silanized spherical silica filler addition. *Dent Mater J.* 2004; 23: 305-313.

- [26] Braga RR, Meira JB, Boaro LC, Xavier TA. Adhesion to tooth structure: a critical review of "macro" test methods. *Dent Mater.* 2010; 26: e38-e49.
- [27] Andrade AM, Garcia E, Moura SK, Reis A, Loguercio A, Silva LM, et al. Do the microshear test variables affect the bond strength values? *Int J Dent.* 2012; 2012: 618960.
- [28] Armstrong S, Geraldini S, Maia R, Raposo LH, Soares CJ, Yamagawa J. Adhesion to tooth structure: a critical review of "micro" bond strength test methods. *Dent Mater.* 2010; 26: e50-e62.
- [29] Sirisha K, Rambabu T, Ravishankar Y, Ravikumar P. Validity of bond strength tests: A critical review-Part II. *J Conserv Dent.* 2014; 17: 420-426.
- [30] El Wakeel AM, Elkassas DW, Yousry MM. Bonding of contemporary glass ionomer cements to different tooth substrates; microshear bond strength and scanning electron microscope study. *Eur J Dent.* 2015; 9: 176-182.
- [31] Yap AU, Tan AC, Goh AT, Goh DC, Chin KC. Effect of surface treatment and cement maturation on the bond strength of resin-modified glass ionomers to dentin. *Oper Dent.* 2003; 28: 728-733.
- [32] Elsaka SE, Hamouda IM, Swain MV. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles addition to a conventional glass-ionomer restorative: influence on physical and antibacterial properties. *J Dent.* 2011; 39: 589-598.
- [33] El-Askary FS, Nassif MS. The effect of the pre-conditioning step on the shear bond strength of nano-filled resin-modified glass-ionomer to dentin. *Eur J Dent.* 2011; 5: 150-156.
- [34] Rai N, Naik R, Gupta R, Shetty S, Singh A. Evaluating the Effect of Different Conditioning Agents on the Shear Bond Strength of Resin-Modified Glass Ionomers. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2017; 8: 604-612.
- [35] Banomyong D, Palamara JE, Burrow MF, Messer HH. Effect of dentin conditioning on dentin permeability and micro-shear bond strength. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2007; 115: 502-509.