

REVIEW ARTICLE

Benign Enlargement of Subarachnoid Space in Infancy: “A Review with Emphasis on Diagnostic Work-Up”

How to Cite This Article: Khosroshahi N, Nikkhah A. Benign Enlargement of Subarachnoid Space in Infancy: “A Review with Emphasis on Diagnostic Work-Up”. *Iran J Child Neurol*. Autumn 2018; 12(4):7-15

Nahid KHOSROSHAHI MD ¹,
Ali NIKKHAH MD ²

1. Department of Pediatric Neurology, Bahrami Children's Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2. Pediatric Neurology Research Center of Mofid children Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding Author:
Khosroshahi N. MD
Department of Pediatric Neurology, Bahrami Children's Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
Email: na.khosroshahi@gmail.com

Received: 06- May -2018

Last Revised: 27- May -2018

Accepted: 19-Jul-2018

Abstract

Macrocephaly is one of the most frequent reasons for referral to a pediatric neurologist. Benign enlargement of subarachnoid space (BESS) in infancy is the most common cause of macrocephaly and characterized clinically with large head circumference, normal or mildly motor and language delay and increased cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in the subarachnoid space with normal ventricles or mild ventriculomegaly. In this review, we describe the etiology, epidemiology, clinical presentation, pathogenesis, neuroimaging, differential diagnosis, treatment and outcome of this entity from current literature with emphasis on diagnostic work-up.

Keywords: Benign enlargement of subarachnoid space; External hydrocephalus; Macrocephaly; Infant

Introduction

Large head (Macrocephaly) means head circumference more than two standard deviations above the mean for age, sex, and body size, established using measurements and a standard growth chart (1). About 2% of normal population has macrocephaly (1, 2). The causes of a large head include hydrocephalus (an excess volume of CSF intracranially), megalencephaly (enlargement of the brain), thickening of the skull and hemorrhage or non-bloody fluid into the subdural or epidural spaces (1, 2). Macrocrania in infancy can be due to both benign and pathologic causes. Pathologic cases are rare, alternatively, benign macrocrania of infancy is more common (3). External hydrocephalus is the most common cause of macrocephaly in infants (2-4). It is a condition in infants and children with enlarged subarachnoid space accompanied by increasing head circumference with normal or mildly dilated ventricles (4, 5).

Benign enlargement of subarachnoid space (BESS) encompasses a variety of names in literatures, such as benign external hydrocephalus (BEH), extraventricular hydrocephalus, benign subdural effusion, benign

extracellular fluid collection, extraventricular obstructive hydrocephalus, subdural hygroma, pseudo-hydrocephalus, benign extra-axial collections, subarachnomegaly, and subdural effusions of infancy which demonstrating the confusion surrounding the entity (5-7).

BESS is the most common cause of macrocephaly in infancy (4, 8, 9). It is more common in males (4, 10, 11). A genetic cause is likely in some cases,

with the infants' father often having a large head (3, 12). Enlarging extra-axial fluid space is leading to an expansion of head circumference around 3 to 12 months of age, with head circumference measurement crossing percentile lines and often reaching above the 90th-98th percentile (Figure 1) (2, 3, 13). Mean age at presentation was 7.3 months (14). Head circumference at birth is normal (2, 13, 15) or slightly higher than normal (14, 15).




Figure 1. BESS. Head circumference is large at birth and grows above 98th percentile (Fenichel Clinical Pediatric Neurology, 2013)

Etiology

Some causes for external hydrocephalus are mentioned but there is no definite cause for external hydrocephalus, therefore it is classified as idiopathic condition (2, 4). Hydrocephalus due to IVH, prematurity, meningitis, metabolic disorder,

neurosurgery and trauma is not considered here (4, 5). About 40% of children with external hydrocephalus had at least one male person in their family close relative with macrocephaly (5, 8, 10, 11). This coherence was 80%-90% (2, 3).

Autosomal dominant (3, 4, 15) and multifactorial model of inheritance have been assumed (4, 8, 16).

Epidemiology

An incidence of 0.4 per 1000 live births was reported only in one study (8). It is approximately 50% of hydrocephalic condition in retrospective and population based study in Norway (14). A review of incidental findings in a tertiary pediatric neurology center showed that 0.6% of the children had external hydrocephalus (15).

Historical notes

Initially intracranial fluid collections in infants were described in 1850s (17). The term benign external hydrocephalus (BEH) was first introduced in 1917 (7, 17, 18). Recently, the most usual name is BESS.

Clinical manifestations

The main feature of BESS is macrocephaly in a normal infant (2, 13-15). An otherwise normal infant is referred to medical attention because enlarging head size. Most studies report no signs and symptoms of increased intracranial pressure such as irritability, lethargy, vomiting, tense and bulging anterior fontanel (2, 3, 5). Rare studies reported a tense anterior fontanel (19, 20), dilated scalp veins (21), and frontal bossing (22). Sunset sign is not reported in any article (4). Neurologic findings are normal, but mild motor delay is often seen and final developmental status is often normal (2-4, 15, 16, 23).

Pathophysiology

The most accepted theory about pathophysiology of external hydrocephalus is delayed maturation of the arachnoid villi not able to absorb the CSF

produced continuously (3, 24). Expansion of subarachnoid space due to excessive amount of circulatory CSF is not leading to intracranial hypertension (24, 25). Maturation of arachnoid villi occurs in 18 months of age and the process was ended. There is the discrepancy between the skull and brain parenchymal growing which leading to a transient subarachnoid space enlargement (8, 26). On the other hand, external hydrocephalus may be associated with some conditions such as; hypomagnesemia, mucopolysaccharidosis, achondroplasia, agenesis of corpus callosum, sotos syndrome and glutamic aciduria (4, 7, 27, 28).

Differential Diagnosis

1. Brain Atrophy: Is the first and important differential diagnosis because of presence of subdural fluid collection in both conditions (3, 6, 7). In brain atrophy, CSF collection remains equal anteriorly and posteriorly but in BESS larger anterior convexity collections were seen (3, 5, 6). There is global widening of cerebral sulci in brain atrophy not associated with an increasing head circumference (28).

2. Benign Familial megalencephaly: This familial condition is benign and head circumference may be normal at birth but increases during infancy and ultimately should be above 98th percentile. Development and neurologic examination are normal (28, 29).

3. Subdural fluid collection (SDE): In this disorder there is CSF collection without hemorrhage in subdural space. SDE usually occurs in infants and young children after intracranial infections and less commonly after minor head injuries or neurosurgical operations (30, 31).

4. Other causes of communicating hydrocephaly

such as; achondroplasia, choroid plexus papilloma, post meningitis hydrocephalus, basilar impression, Sotos syndrome and Glutaric aciduria type 1 (32).

Neuroimaging & Diagnostic work up

Neuroimaging findings are one of the criteria for the diagnosis of external hydrocephalus (7). The first step in confronting with an infant with macrocephaly is doing brain sonography via anterior fontanel (1, 33). This modality is fast, safe and non expensive tool used worldwide. Technical improvement in brain sonography have allowed more accurate visualization of the intracranial

structures and may accurately evaluate ventricular size, extracerebral fluid collection and a significant number of a structural abnormality (33). Increased subarachnoid space was used as a diagnostic criterion. Three measurement tools for evaluation are sinocortical width (SCW), craniocortical width (CCW) and interhemispheric distance (IHD) (7, 5, 17). Ventricles are normal size or mildly enlarged without periventricular lucency. Normal ranges for CCW, SCW and IHD are from 4 mm to 10 mm, 2 mm to 10 mm and 6 mm to 8.5 mm, respectively (7, 9, 26, 34).




Figure 2: Main neuroradiological criteria for BESS evaluation: A) inter-hemispheric fissure; B) sinocortical width; C) craniocortical width (Schematic view).

The second step in evaluation of infant with abnormal brain sonography is brain CT scan or brain MRI (1, 7, 15, 17). Recently use of CT scan is limited because of its radiation and probable risk of malignancies especially in infants and young children (35, 36). MRI appears essential in the

differential diagnosis between benign enlargement of subarachnoid space and subdural collection in infants and preferred to CT (37-39). CT and MRI without contrast are also important for evaluating the most common complications associated with external hydrocephalus (7, 38, 39) (Figure 3).




Figure 3. BESS: (Left): Axial T2W MR image of the brain reveals mild prominence of both the lateral ventricles (black arrows) with increased anterior inter-hemispheric distance (green arrow). (Right): Axial T2W MR image of the same infant shows enlarged subarachnoid space along the anterior aspect of the brain (black arrows) with prominent of bilateral lateral ventricles (green arrows). Moreover, the anterior cranio-cortical distance (black arrows) is obviously increased.

There is a very important note in diagnostic work up of BESS. Repeated imaging is unnecessary unless head growth deviates from the normal curve, neurological examination is abnormal, or social and language development are slow (2, 3, 13, 15, 17, 20, 33).

Final diagnostic note: Patients with typical findings in brain ultrasonography suggestive of external hydrocephalus with normal neurodevelopment without any complications and focal neurologic findings do not require subsequent brain CT / MRI (40-42).

Complications

The most common complication of BESS in infants and young children is increased risk of subdural hematoma after minimal or even without head trauma (3, 4, 12).

Outcome

The head circumference usually stabilizes before the age of 18 months (2, 9). Measurements afterwards typically lie above but parallel to the upper (95th-98th) percentiles (2, 19). Overall, 11%-87% of these infants ending up with macrocephaly (10, 11). Mild gross motor delay with minimal language delay that decreased and disappeared within 1-4 years (15, 23). Most studies report in general normal physical and neurological findings on last follow-up (10, 20, 24, 44). Some studies report failure to reach developmental milestones especially in gross motor function (10, 11, 44). Mental retardation seems relatively rare (22, 23). The symptoms related to increased intracranial pressure which often can be seen initially, all appear to be absent at long-term follow-up (4). Generally the developmental delays are transient

and children catch up milestones by the age of 2 yr (44, 45).

Treatment

BESS is a self-limiting condition (3-5, 44, 45). A few old articles suggested use of a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor (Acetazolamide) for few weeks. Acetazolamide therapy for 4-8 wk in 125 mg/bd was recommended (22, 23, 45). This drug decreases CSF production (6, 23, 24, 45). There is no clear evidence of effectiveness of this agent in final outcome because of excellent nature of disease (25). Most patients do not need neurosurgical intervention (3, 4) and ventricular shunts (2, 18, 25, 45).

In conclusion, BESS is a benign self-limited condition, mostly were seen in infants. It is characterized by macrocephaly and enlargement of subarachnoid space with normal or mildly dilated ventricles. Neuroimaging study is necessary for establishing of diagnosis. First step for evaluation of infant with macrocephaly is brain sonography. If sonographic findings are matching with clinical findings, it is enough for diagnosis and further neuroimaging modalities are unnecessary. Second step for evaluation especially if any complication or suspicious underlying structural abnormality occurs is brain MRI.

Acknowledgment

None

Authors' Contribution

Nahid Khosroshahi participated in design and coordination and she drafted this manuscript.

Ali Nikkhah critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Pediatric Head Imaging Guidelines. Macrocephaly, Microcephaly, Hydrocephaly and Related Conditions. Version 17: 02-16-2015.
2. Haws MD, Linscot L, Thomas C, Orscheln E, Radhakrishnan R, Kline-Fath BK. A retrospective analysis of the utility of head computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging in the management of benign macrocrania. *J Pediatr* 2017;182:283-9.
3. Kuruvilla LC, Benign enlargement of subarachnoid spaces in infancy. *J Pediatr Neurosci* 2014;9(2):129-31.
4. Zahi SM, Egge A, Helseth E, Wester K. Benign external hydrocephalus: a review, with emphasis on management. *Neurosurg Rev* 2011;34(4):417-32.
5. Kumar.R. External hydrocephalus in small children. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2006;22:1237-41.
6. Marino MA, Morabito R, Vinci S, Germano A, Briquigio M, Alafaci C, et al. Benign external hydrocephalus in infants. A single centre experience and literature review. *Neuroradiol J* 2014; 27(2)245-50.

7. Nelson SL, Johnston MV. Extracerebral fluid collections in infants. *Med Link Neurology* 2016.
8. Alvarez LA, Maytal J, Shinnar S. Idiopathic external hydrocephalus: natural history and relationship to benign familial macrocephaly. *Pediatrics* 1986;77:901-7.
9. Hamza M, Bodensteiner JB, Noorani PA, Barnes PD. Benign extracerebral fluid collections: A cause of macrocrania in infancy. *Pediatr Neurol* 1987;3(4):218-21.
10. Alper G, Ekinçi G, Yılmaz Y, Arıkan C, Telyer G, Erzen C. Magnetic resonance imaging characteristics of benign macrocephaly in children. *J Child Neurol* 1999;14(10):678-6.
11. Castro-Gago M, Perez-Gomez C, Novo-Rodriguez MI, Blanco-Barca O, Alonso-Martin A, Eiris-Punal J. Benign idiopathic external hydrocephalus (benign subdural collection) in 39 children: natural history and relationship to familial macrocephaly. *Rev Neurol* 2005;40(9):513-7.
12. Karimzadeh P, Tonekaboni SH, Shariatmadari F. Benign external hydrocephalus and its relation to familial megalencephaly: A analysis of 20 cases. *Pediatr Neurol* 2009;7(2):157-63.
13. Hellbusch LC. Benign extracerebral fluid collections in infancy: clinical presentation and long-term follow-up. *J Neurosurg* 2007;107(2): 119-25.
14. Wiig US, Zahl SM, Egge A, Helseth E, Wester K. Epidemiology of Benign External Hydrocephalus in Norway-A population –Based Study. *Pediatr Neurol* 2017;73:36-41.
15. Asch AJ, Myers GJ. Benign familial macrocephaly: report of a family and review of the Literature. *Pediatrics* 1976;57:535-9.
16. Arbour L, Watters GV, Hall JG, Fraser FC. Multifactorial inheritance of non-syndromic macrocephaly. *Clin Genet* 1996;50-62.
17. Gupta SN, Belay B. Intracranial incidental findings on brain MR images in a pediatric neurology practice: a retrospective study. *J Neurol Sci* 2008;264:34-7.
18. Mikkelsen R, Rodevand LN, Wiig US, Zahl SM, Berntsen T, Skarbo AB, et al. Neurocognitive and psychosocial function in children with benign external hydrocephalus(BEH)-a long-term follow-up study. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2017; 33(1)91-99.
19. Andersson H, Elfverson J, Svendsen P. External hydrocephalus in infants. *Childs Brain* 1984;11:398-402.
20. Gooskens RH, Willemsse J, Faber JA, Verdonck AF. Macrocephalies-a differentiated approach. *Neuropediatrics* 1989;20:164-9.
21. Laubscher B, Deonna T, Uske A, Melle G. Primitive megalencephaly in children: natural history, medium term prognosis with special reference to external hydrocephalus. *Eur J Pediatr* 1990;149:502-7.
22. Ment LR, Duncan CC, Geehr R. Benign enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces in

- the infant. *J Neurosurg* 1981;54(4):504-8.
23. Barlow CF. CSF dynamics in hydrocephalus. *Brain Dev* 1984;6:119-27.
 24. Kendall B, Holland I, Benign communicating hydrocephalus in children. *Neuroradiology* 1981;21:93-6.
 25. Piatt J. Monozygotic twins discordant for external hydrocephalus. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 2001;35:211-5.
 26. Girard N, Gire C, Sigaudy S, Porcu G, d'Ercole C, Figarella-Branger D. MR imaging of acquired fetal brain disorders. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2003;19:490-500.
 27. Maytal J, Alvarez LA, Elkin CM, Shinnar S. External hydrocephalus: radiologic spectrum and differentiation from cerebral atrophy. *Am J Roentgenol* 1987;148:1223-30.
 28. Lorber J, Priestly BL. Children with large head: a practical approach to diagnosis in 557 children, with special reference to 109 children with megalencephaly. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1981;23:494-504.
 29. Mcneely PD, Atkinson JD, Saigal G, O'Gorman AM, Farmer JP. Subdural hematomas in infants with benign enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces are not pathognomonic for child abuse. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27(8):1725-28.
 30. Tucher J, Choudhary AK, Piatt J. Macrocephaly in infancy: benign enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces and subdural collections. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 2016;18:16-20.
 31. Paciorkowski AR, Greenstein RM. When is enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces not benign? A genetic perspective. *Pediatr Neurol* 2007;37:1-7.
 32. Smith R, Leonidas JC, Maytal J, The value of head ultrasound in infants with macrocephaly. *Pediatr Radiol* 1998;28:143-6.
 33. Fessell DP, Frankel DA, Wolfson WP. Sonography of extraaxial fluid in neurologically normal infants with head circumference greater than or equal the 95th percentile for age. *J Ultrasound Med*.2000;19:443-7.
 34. Lam WW, Ai VH, Wong V, Leong LI. Ultrasonographic measurement of subarachnoid space in normal infants and children. *Pediatr Neurol* 2001;25:380-4.
 35. Kurata H, Shirai K, Saito Y, Okazaki T, Ohno K, Oguri M, et al. Neurodevelopmental disorders in children with macrocephaly: A prevalence study and PTEN gene analysis. *Brain Dev* 2018;40:36-41.
 36. Chen CY, Chou TY, Zimmerman RA, Lee CC, Chen FH, Faro SH. Pericerebral fluid collection: differentiation of enlarged subarachnoid spaces from subdural collections with color Doppler US. *Radiology* 1996;201(2):389-92.
 37. Wilms G, Vanderschueren G, Demaerel PH, Smet MH, Plets C, Goffin J et al. CT and MR in infants with pericerebral collections and macrocephaly: benign enlargement of the subarachnoid spaces versus subdural collections. *Am J Neuroradiol* 1993;

- 14(4):855-60.
38. Aoki N. Extracerebral fluid collections in infancy: role of magnetic resonance imaging in differentiation between subdural effusion and subarachnoid space enlargement. *J Neurosurg* 1994;81(1):20-3.
 39. Fisher PG. Does macrocephaly require MRI, CT, ultrasound, or a tape measure? *J Pediatr* 2017;182(5):283.
 40. Babcock DS, Han BK, Dine MS. Sonographic findings in infants with macrocrania. *Am J Roentgenol* 1988;150:1359-65.
 41. Carolan PL, McLaurin, Towbin RB, ET AL. Benign extra-axial collections of infancy. *Pediatr Neurosci* 1985-1986;12:140-4.
 42. Gout A, Gautier I, Bellaiche M, Pinard JM, Tremon M, Rodriguez D, et al. Idiopathic peri-cerebral enlargement in infants: simple anatomical variant or hemorrhagic risk factor? *Arch Pediatr* 1997; 4:983-7.
 43. Muendhberger H, Assaad N, Joy P, Brunson R, Shores EA. Idiopathic macrocephaly in the infant: long-term neurological and neuropsychological outcome. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2006;22(10):1242-8.
 44. Yew AY, Maher CO, Muraszko KM, Garton HJ. Long-term health status in benign external hydrocephalus. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 2011;47(1):1-6.
 45. Pouplard F, Pineau P. Use of acetazolamide in external hydrocephalus in infants. *Annales de Pediatric* 1990;37(5):310-2.