

Investigation of Mental Health in Patients with Medically Unexplained Physical Symptoms

Frough Riahi, MD¹
 Maryam Izadi-mazidi, PhD²
 Niloufar Khajeddin, MD¹
 Shahriar Nasirzadeh, MD³
 Fatemeh Shafieian, MD⁴
 Ammar Helalinasab, MD⁴
 Mozghan Deilamani, MD⁴

1. Department of Psychiatry,
 Ahvaz Jundishapur University of
 Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

2. Department of Clinical
 Psychology, Shahed University,
 Tehran, Iran

3. Specialist in Physical Medicine
 and Rehabilitation, Imam Khomeini
 Hospital, Ahvaz, Iran

4. School of Medicine, Jundishapur
 University of Medical Sciences,
 Ahvaz, Iran

Corresponding author:

Maryam Izadi-Mazidi,
 Department of Clinical Psychology,
 Shahed University, Tehran, Iran
 PO Box: 613715794 Ahvaz, Iran
 Tel: +98 611 3367543-50
 Fax: +98 611 3332036
 Email:
 maryam.izadi.psy@gmail.com

Objective: Medically unexplained symptoms are physical symptoms, which cannot be explained by organic causes. This study aimed to investigate mental health in patients with medically unexplained physical symptoms.

Method: One hundred outpatients who were admitted to the Electro Diagnosis Clinic of Imam Khomeini hospital, Ahvaz/Iran, participated in this study. Data were collected using physical examination, paraclinical examinations, and SCL-90-R, and analyzed through multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test.

Results: The findings revealed significant differences between clients with medically explained and unexplained symptoms in obsessive compulsive and somatization ($p < 0.05$). Differences in depression, anxiety, phobia, psychosis, aggression and paranoia were not significant ($p > 0.05$).

Conclusion: The present study suggested an association between some psychological problems and somatic symptoms. Therefore, screening for psychological impairments can improve clinical outcomes.

Key words: *Medically Unexplained Symptom, Mental health, Electro Diagnosis*

Iran J Psychiatry 2016; 11:1: 24-29

Many patients have a range of persistent bodily complaints that have no identified pathology and cannot be corresponded to any organic disease (1). These symptoms, which are called medically unexplained symptoms (MUS), are common in all ages and all field of medicine around the world (2). Prevalence of MUS has been reported to be about 25–50% in primary health care and 30 to 70% in specialist care settings (3). Some of the examples of such illnesses are chest pain, headache, backache, fatigue, irritable bowel syndrome, abdominal pain and other gastrointestinal complaints that often coexist in a patient (4). The symptoms are disabling and as severe as those with organic pathology (5). Quality of life of patients is negatively affected by the symptoms and both the patient and the society are burdened by this phenomenon (6).

Treatment of patients with MUS can be frustrating (7). Because of unidentified pathology, they are often

managed by multiple referrals and treated inadequately (4). They receive therapeutic interventions that are often unhelpful and expensive (8).

There is evidence to suggest that psychological trauma relate to vulnerability to more medical symptoms, and psychological factors play an important role in development of MUS (9).

Between 26 to 58% of patients with MUS have been reported to have co morbid anxiety or/and depression (10, 11, 12) and 37-88.6% of patients have comorbid personality disorder (13, 14, 15, 16, 17). Barsky, Orav and Bates (2005) found significantly higher number of comorbid somatic disorders in these patients than in general primary-care patients (18). Zarghami et al. (2006) and Khajehmoghahi and Ahmadi (2008) reported that patients with low back pain experience impairment in some of the dimensions of mental health measured by SCL-90-R (19, 20).

Although several studies support the link between psychological problems and MUS, there are some lines

of evidence that challenge this association; for example, Morriss et al. (1999) did not find an association between depression and the reporting of pain, psychophysiological syndromes and medically unexplained symptoms in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome (21). Jackson (2005) and Nimnuan et al. (2001) did not find an association between anxiety and / or depression with MUS (22). Moreover, some studies have reported higher scores of depression in some somatic syndromes than others (23).

Because of these controversial findings, and since the association between medically unexplained symptoms and mental health has received little attention in Iran, the present study was aimed to compare the mental health of patients with medically unexplained physical symptoms and those with a medical diagnosis in Ahvaz, Southwest Iran.

Materials and Method

This study was conducted at the Electro Diagnosis Clinic of Imam Khomeini Hospital affiliated to Jundishapur University of medical sciences in Ahvaz, Iran. The sample of the study consisted of 100 patients (18 years and older) who referred to the Electro Diagnosis Clinic by endocrinologists, orthopedists, neurologists or neurosurgeons. The clinical appraisals by these specialists showed that the patients' physical complaints had no medical explanations. Therefore, they referred to the center for paraclinical appraisals.

Paraclinical appraisals including imaging (CT and MRI), the specific neurologic examination and EMG and NVC were done. Paraclinical assessments were prescribed by a specialist and no additional cost was imposed to the patients.

After describing the procedures and purposes of the study, informed consent was obtained from the participants. To obtain demographic data about ethnicity, gender, age, level of education and marital status, each participant was asked to complete the Persian version of Symptom Distress Check List (SCL-90-R) as well as a questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included the presence of intense pain, mental retardation ($IQ < 70$), and dissatisfaction with the cooperation.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University (No: Eth-105). Data were collected over a period of 12 months from 2014 to 2015.

According to the clinical and paraclinical appraisals and specialist diagnosis, patients were divided into two groups:

Group I: Patients with medically unexplained or poorly explained symptoms

Group II: Patients with medically explained symptoms

Instruments:

- Physical Examinations
- Paraclinical Tests including Imaging (CT and MRI), EMG, NCV

- Symptom Distress Check List (SCL-90-R): SCL-90-R is a self-report scale which has ten subscales including obsessive-compulsive (OC), somatization (SOM), phobic anxiety (PHOB), interpersonal sensitivity (INT), depression (DEP), anxiety (ANX), anger-hostility (HOS), psychotism (PYS), paranoid thought (PAR) and extra scale (ES). The scale has a general symptom score. Higher scores represent more problems (24).

Statistical analysis

We used Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to determine if the data had normal distribution. Data were normally distributed into two groups for total score of SCL-90 and its subscales (all $p > 0.05$). Data were analyzed using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. The probability level of 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 16.

Results

Among the participants, 19 (19%) had medically unexplained symptoms and 81(81%) had symptoms with identified pathology.

The mean age of the participants was 37.42 years (± 14.03) for the patients with MUS and 44.76 years (± 12.45) for patients with clinical diagnosis. There was a significant difference between the two groups in age ($t = 2.258$, $p = 0.02$).

Frequencies of the participants' demographic features are listed in Table 1. There was no difference in demographic features including gender, marital status, ethnicity and level of education between the two groups (all $p > 0.05$, Table 1). Nevertheless, difference in age groups was statistically significant ($p = 0.01$). MUS were more prevalent in age groups of 20-29 years and 30-39 years, and less prevalent in age group of <20 years and 60-70 years (Table1).

Table 2 demonstrates physical complaints of the participants. Pain in the back, and head and neck were significantly more prevalent in patients with MUS compared to patients without MUS ($P < 0.05$).

The mental health of the patients with MUS and patients with medical diagnosis was compared using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). There were significant differences between the two groups in obsessive-compulsive ($F = 2.67$, $p = 0.04$) and somatization ($F = 2.95$, $p = 0.03$).

Differences in aggression, paranoia, depression, anxiety and phobia were not significant at $p < 0.05$. (Table 3)

Table 1: Frequencies of the participants' demographic features according to the group

Feature		Patients with medically unexplained symptoms	Patients with medically explained symptoms	P value
Age(years)	<20	1(5.26%)	3(3.7%)	0.01
	20-29	5(26.31%)	7(8.64%)	
	30-39	7(36.84%)	14(17.28%)	
	40-49	2(10.52%)	25(30.86%)	
	50-59	3(15.78%)	24(29.62%)	
	60-70	1(5.26%)	8(9.87%)	
Gender	Female	15(78.94%)	53(65.43%)	0.1
	Male	4(21.05%)	28(34.56%)	
Marital status	married	14(73.68%)	70(86.41%)	0.1
	Single	5(26.31%)	6(7.40%)	
	Divorced/widowed	0	3(3.7%)	
Ethnicity	Lor	8 (42.10%)	31(38.27%)	0.7
	Arab	8(42.10%)	27(33.33%)	
	Fars	2(10.52%)	21(25.92%)	
	Kord	0(0)	1(1.23%)	
	Tork	1(5.2%)	1(1.23%)	
Level of Education	Grade school	14 (73.68%)	55(97.90%)	0.8
	12 years/high school	3(15.78%)	15(18.51%)	
	University degree	2(10.52%)	10(12.34%)	

Table2: Frequencies of the participants with different pain location according to the group

Pain locations		Backache	Pain in Lower extremities	Pain in Upper extremities	chest	Head and neck
Patients	Patients with MUS	10	17	18	4	8
	Patients without MUS	3	14	17	0	3

Table 3: Comparison of mental health and its subscales in patients with and without MUS using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOV)

Mental health and its subscales	Patients with MUS		Patients without MUS		F _{1,98}	p-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
SCL-90 General symptom score	182	69.51	174.52	56.79	2.48	0.06
Somatization (SOM)	29.5	11.44	28.67	9.64	2.95	0.03
Obsessive-compulsive (OC)	19.18	8.4	20.55	7.68	2.67	0.048
Interpersonal sensitivity (INT)	16.56	8.37	14.08	5.99	1.37	0.2
Anger/hostility	10.62	4.22	10.64	3.91	1.08	0.3
Anxiety (ANX)	18.87	6.98	17.79	5.94	1.71	0.1
Depression(DEP)	30.5	12.03	29.55	11.36	2.16	0.09
Phobic anxiety (PHOB)	10.62	4.47	9.58	3.45	1.89	0.1
Paranoid thought (PAR)	13.06	4.99	12.44	4.9	1.26	0.2
Psychotism (PYS)	18.87	7.84	18.14	8.29	2.1	0.1

Discussion

This study was conducted to investigate mental health in patients with medically unexplained physical symptoms and was the first to report mental health in patients with painful somatic symptoms in different parts of body including the head and neck, chest, back, abdomen, hip, lower limb and upper limbs in the Iranian population. Previous researches (19, 20) in Iran were conducted mainly on patients with low back pain (LBP).

The results revealed significant differences in obsessive-compulsive and somatization between the two groups of patients with and without medically unexplained physical symptoms. Differences in depression, anxiety, phobia, psychosis, aggression and paranoia were not significant. However, Zarghami et al. (2006) found impairment in all dimensions of mental health measured by SCL-90-R in patients with unexplained LBP than in those with well-defined LBP (19). In addition, Khajehmoghahi and Ahmadi (2008) (20) reported somatization, psychosis and hostility in patients with LBP.

In contrast to our results, many studies showed depression and anxiety in chronic pain patients with MUS (Carson et al, 2000; Henningsen 2003; Ston et al, 2002; Burton et al, 2011) (25-28). Nevertheless, Jackson (2005), Morriss et al. (1999) and Nimnuan et al. (2001) (21, 22) in line with the current research, did not find any association between anxiety and / or depression with MUS.

We found no significant difference between the two groups in gender, marital status, ethnicity and level of education. Maiden et al. (2002) also reported no association between unexplained symptoms and educational level (29).

But Verhaak (2006) found that patients with MUS are more often female and less educated than the average patients or patients with identified diagnosis (30). Zarghami et al. (2006) reported that MUS are more common in married participants than in single ones (19).

Prevalence of MUS has been shown to have a wide range of 1.6–70%, 2.4–87%, and 4.6–18%, in the younger, middle (50–65 years) and older (≥ 65 years) age groups, respectively (31). In the present research, the prevalence of MUS was 78.96%, 15.78%, and 5.26% for the younger, middle-aged (50–65 years) and older age (≥ 65 years) group, respectively.

This gap might be somewhat due to the features of the participants, methodological differences in the assessment of psychological factors, lack of agreement in defining MUS, or cultural differences in somatic presentations of psychological problem.

In this study, we used paraclinical examinations, while a few previous studies had used paraclinical assessments.

The association between psychological factors and medically unexplained manifestations in the current study may improve the screening of mental impairments in routine health examinations.

Psychological interventions could be included as an effective part of mental health improving interventions considered for patients with MUS. Therefore, a collaborative working relationship between physicians, psychologists and psychiatrists should be established to manage health care users with medically unexplained symptoms.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results revealed that some psychological problems might relate to vulnerability to somatic symptoms. Therefore, screening for psychological impairments can improve clinical outcomes.

Limitations

Several limitations should, however, be taken into account in interpreting our findings. It is possible that somatic manifestation of psychological problems is a cultural phenomenon (32). Therefore, our results should be generalized to other cultures and populations with caution.

Lack of psychiatric interview was another limitation of this study, so it is recommended for future studies. Finally, the present study included the relatively small sample size, so conducting further studies with a larger sample size is highly recommended.

In spite of these limitations, our findings had some important implications for physicians in management of their patients. They should be aware of the relationship between mental health and somatic symptoms.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical sciences and approved by the Ethics Committee by NO: ETH-105. The authors appreciate the support of the staffs of Imam Khomeini hospital.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Hansen HS, Rosendal M, Fink P, Bech Risor M. The General Practitioner's Consultation Approaches to Medically Unexplained Symptoms: A Qualitative Study. *Fam Med* 2013; 2: 1-7.
2. de C Williams AC, Cella M, Cella M. Medically unexplained symptoms and pain: misunderstanding and myth. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2012; 6: 201-206.
3. Ravenzwaaij J van, olde Hartman TC, Ravesteijn H van, Eveleigh R, Rijswijk E

- van, Lucassen PLBJ. Explanatory models of medically unexplained symptoms: a qualitative analysis of the literature. *Ment Health Fam Med* 2010; 7: 223-231.
4. Creed F, Henningsen P, Fink P. Medically Unexplained Symptoms, Somatisation and Bodily Distress: Developing Better Clinical Services. 1st Ed. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2011.
5. Duddu V, Husain N, Dickens C. Medically unexplained presentations and quality of life: a study of a predominantly South Asian primary care population in England. *J Psychosom Res* 2008; 65: 311-317.
6. Dirkzwager, Anja JE, and Peter FM Verhaak. Patients with persistent medically unexplained symptoms in general practice: characteristics and quality of care. *BMC Fam Pract* 2007; 8: 33.
7. Edwards TM, Stern A, Clarke DD, Ivbijaro G, Kasney LM. The treatment of patients with medically unexplained symptoms in primary care: a review of the literature. *Ment Health Fam Med* 2010; 7: 209-221.
8. Reid S, Wessely S, Crayford T, Hotopf M. Frequent attenders with medically unexplained symptoms: service use and costs in secondary care. *B J Psych* 2002; 180: 248-253.
9. Katon W, Sullivan M, Walker E. Medical Symptoms without Identified Pathology: Relationship to Psychiatric Disorders, Childhood and Adult Trauma, and Personality Traits. *Ann. Intern. Med* 2001; 134: 917-925.
10. Lowe B, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Mussell M, Schellberg D, Kroenke K. Depression, anxiety and somatization in primary care: syndrome overlap and functional impairment. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2008; 30: 191-199.
11. De Waal MWM, Arnold IA, Eekhof JAH, Van Hemert AM. Somatoform disorders in general practice: prevalence, functional impairment and comorbidity with anxiety and depressive disorders. *B J Psych* 2004; 184: 470-476.
12. Barsky AJ, Orav EJ, Bates DW. Somatization increases medical utilization and costs independent of psychiatric and medical comorbidity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; 62: 903-910.
13. Garcia-Campayo J, Alda M, Sobradie N, Olivan B, Pascual A. Personality disorders in somatization disorder patients: a controlled study in Spain. *J Psychosom Res* 2007; 62: 675-680.
14. Maina G, Albert U, Gandolfo S, Vitalucci A, Bogetto F. Personality disorders in patient's with burning mouth syndrome. *J PERS DISORD* 2005; 19: 84-93.
15. Noyes R, Langbehn DR, Happel RL, Stout LR, Muller BA, Longley SL. Personality dysfunction among somatizing patients. *Psychosomatics* 2001; 42: 320-329.
16. Henderson M, Tannock C. Objective assessment of personality disorder in chronic fatigue syndrome. *J Psychosom Res* 2004; 56: 251-254.
17. Dammen T, Ekeberg O, Arnesen H, Friis S. Personality profiles in patients referred for chest pain: investigation with emphasis on panic disorder patients. *Psychosomatics* 2000; 41: 269-276.
18. Barsky AJ, Orav EJ, Bates DW. Somatization increases medical utilization and costs independent of psychiatric and medical comorbidity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; 62: 903-910.
19. Zarghami M, Shayesteh Azar M, Khalilian A, Aghabarari F, Arjmand A. Psychological aspects of low back pain in patients with radiculopathy and without any clinical signs. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci* 2006; 16: 57-64.
20. Khajehmoghahi N, Ahmadi Q. Psychological problems in low back pain patients. *Pak J Med Sci* 2008; 24: 447-451.
21. Morris R.K, Ahmed M, Wearden A.J, Mullis R, Strickland P, Appleby L, et al. The role of depression in pain, psychophysiological syndromes and medically unexplained symptoms associated with chronic fatigue syndrome. *J Affect Disord* 1999; 55: 143-148.
22. Creed F. Should general psychiatry ignores somatization and hypochondriasis? *World Psychiatry* 2006; 5: 146-50.
23. Henningsen P, Zimmermann T, Sattel H. Medically unexplained physical symptoms, anxiety, and depression: a meta-analytic review. *Psychosom Med* 2003; 65: 528-33.
24. Firat S, Somer Diler R, Avci A, Seydaoglu G. Comparison of Psychopathology in the Mothers of Autistic and Mentally Retarded Children. *KAMS* 2002; 17: 679-85.
25. Carson AJ, Ringbauer B, Stone J, McKenzie L, Warlow C, Sharpe M. Do medically unexplained symptoms matter? A prospective cohort study of 300 new referrals to neurology outpatient clinics. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000; 68: 207-210.
26. Henningsen PZ. Medically unexplained physical symptoms, anxiety, and depression: a meta-analytic review. *Psychosom Med* 2003; 65: 528-533.
27. Stone J, Carson a, Duncan R, Coleman R, Roberts R, Warlow C, et al. Symptoms 'unexplained by organic disease' in 1144 new neurology out-patients: how often does the diagnosis change at follow-up? *Brain* 2009; 132: 2878-2888.
28. Burton C, McGorm K, Weller D and Sharpe M. Depression and anxiety in patients repeatedly referred to secondary care with medically unexplained symptoms: a case-control study. *Sharpe. Psychol Med* 2011; 41: 555-563.
29. Maiden N L, Hurst N P, Lochhead A, Carson A J, Sharpe M. Medically unexplained symptoms in patients referred to a specialist rheumatology service: prevalence and associations. *Rheumatology* 2002; 42: 108-112.
30. Verhaak PFM, Meijer SA, Visser AP and Wolters G. Persistent presentation of

- medically unexplained symptoms in general practice. *J Fam Pract* 2006; 23: 414–420.
31. Hilderink P H, Collard R, Rosmalen JGM, Oude Voshaar RC. Prevalence of somatoform disorders and medically unexplained symptoms in old age populations in comparison with younger age groups: A systematic review. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013; 12: 151–156.
 32. Rolfe A. Medically Unexplained Symptoms. *Innov Ai T* 2011; 4: 250-256.