



Serum Hepatitis B Surface Antigen Levels and Their Utility as a Predictor of Sustained Virological Response After Antiviral Treatment

Peter Karayiannis^{1*}

¹ Hepatology and Gastroenterology Section Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, England

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:
Editorial

Article history:
Received: 27 Oct 2011
Revised: 01 Apr 2012
Accepted: 08 Apr 2012

Keywords:
Serum
Hepatitis B
Hepatitis B Surface Antigens

► Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Treatment of chronic hepatitis B with antiviral agents averts the development of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Identification of markers likely to predict a favourable outcome in treated patients is therefore essential. HBsAg may be one such marker.

► Please cite this paper as:

Karayiannis P, Serum Hepatitis B Surface Antigen Levels and Their Utility as a Predictor of Sustained Virological Response After Antiviral Treatment. *Hepat Mon.*2012;12(7):420-2. DOI: 10.5812/hepatmon.6201

Copyright © 2012 Kowsar Corp. All rights reserved.

The hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), first named as Australia antigen, was identified and linked to hepatitis in the late 1960s by Blumberg and colleagues. It is now well established that this protein forms the outer envelope of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) and constitutes the main diagnostic marker of both acute and chronic infection. This is facilitated by the fact that the protein is produced in large amount, not all of which is virus associated. Apart from the mature infectious virions known as Dane particles, two types of subviral particles devoid of nucleic acid are also produced; the 22nm spheres and filaments of longer length. The latter two forms outnumber virions by as much as 105. The high levels of circulating HBsAg have been linked to potential T-cell anergy during chronic infection, thus constituting a mechanism of immune evasion. There are 3 forms of the protein known as the small (S), medium (M) and Large (L), the latter two of which contain the S domain at their carboxyl end which is preceded by the Pre-S2 domain in the case of the M protein and Pre-S1+Pre-S2 domains

in the L protein. These proteins are translated from the relevant mRNAs which are transcribed from the intrahepatic covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), and their level may thus reflect the replicative capacity of the virus. However, HBsAg may also be encoded by HBV-DNA sequences integrated into the hepatocyte DNA (1). The efficacy of antiviral drugs such as pegylated interferon alpha (Peg-IFNa) or nucleos(t)ide analogues (NUCs) which are used in the treatment of chronic HBV carriers is monitored by measurement of HBV-DNA levels by sensitive real time polymerase chain reaction techniques. In recent years, quantitative measurement of HBsAg levels expressed in IU/ml has become possible through the use of two commercially available tests, namely the Architect QT and the Elecsys HBsAg II Quant assays. A number of studies have attempted to correlate HBsAg levels with the various phases of the natural course of chronic HBV infection, as well as determine whether they can be used as a predictive factor for a favourable outcome following antiviral treatment. In the former case, HBsAg levels during the immune tolerant phase appear to have a mean of 4.75 log₁₀ IU/ml, as opposed to 4.2, 2.7 and 3.5 log₁₀ IU/ml in the immune clearance, immune control and reactivation phases respectively. HBV DNA levels appear to mirror the HBsAg changes during the various phases, except for the immune control phase where HBV-DNA may be

* Corresponding author: Peter Karayiannis, Hepatology and Gastroenterology Section Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, Variety Wing Floor D, St. Mary's Campus, Norfolk Place, W2 1PG, London, England. Tel: +44-2075949048, Fax: +44-2077249369, E-mail: p.karayiannis@imperial.ac.uk

detectable at very low levels or not at all (2, 3). There is evidence to suggest that HBsAg levels may differ depending on genotype, these being higher in patients infected with genotype A and lowest in genotype D, with those for genotypes B and C being intermediate in a transfection system (4). However, using patient sera in the immune clearance phase, Sonneveld and colleagues reported higher levels of HBsAg for genotypes A and D than B and C (5). Clearly, this issue warrants further thorough investigation with clear definition of the patients' stage of chronic liver disease. Various investigators have attempted to use HBsAg and HBV-DNA levels as a guide in defining a cut-off value for separating inactive carriers from those with active disease. HBsAg and HBV-DNA levels of <1000 and ≤ 2000 IU/ml in HBeAg-ve patients have been shown to identify patients with inactive disease with a positive predictive value of 87.9% (3, 5, 6). What is more, it has been suggested that HBsAg and HBV DNA levels of <100 and <200 IU/ml respectively, can predict HBsAg loss within 6 years with a diagnostic accuracy of 91.5%, sensitivity of 83.3%, specificity of 92.1%, positive predictive value of 45.5%, and negative predictive value of 98.6% (7).

HBsAg levels decline with Peg-IFN treatment in both HBeAg+ and HBeAg- patients. In HBeAg+ patients, this decline from baseline to week 48 averages $0.75 \log_{10}$ IU/ml and there appears to be an additive effect when used in combination with a NUC (Lamivudine, Telbivudine) leading to a drop of $1.3 \log_{10}$ IU/ml (8, 9). In the case of HBeAg- patients the drops are still substantial, but there is no difference when Peg-IFN is used in combination with a NUC ($0.65 \log_{10}$ IU/ml) (10). When NUCs are used alone a more modest drop in HBsAg level is recorded ranging from 0.1 – $0.4 \log_{10}$ IU/ml (9–11). Quantitative measurements of HBsAg levels at 12 and 24 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN in HBeAg+ patients have shown that a no decline in HBsAg or levels >20000 IU/ml are associated with poor sustained virological response (SVR) ranging from 3–18% at both time points (8), whilst a decline to 1500 IU/ml is associated with SVR in 54–58% of patients (12, 13), rising to 62–75% if the levels drop to <300 IU/ml (14). Similarly, HBeAg- patients with a decline in HBsAg levels by 10% or more had a 47% and 43% chance of reduced HBV-DNA levels to $<4 \log_{10}$ at 1 year depending on whether treatment response could be predicted by measurements at 12 or 24 weeks respectively. Moreover, such patients had a 22.5% chance of losing HBsAg at 5 years, and this increased to 30% if at 48 weeks HBsAg levels had dropped by $>1 \log_{10}$ (15, 16). The utility of measuring HBsAg levels during NUC treatment is less clear as can be deduced from the small number of studies published, which appear to have included in the analysis heterogeneous groups of patients. The decline in HBsAg levels is slower and does not correlate with HBV-DNA levels. However, a rapid decline in HBsAg by $>1 \log_{10}$ IU/ml during therapy with Entecavir was associated with a higher loss of HBeAg after 1 year of treatment (11). HBsAg seroclearance in HBeAg+ patients

treated either with Tenofovir, Entecavir or Lamivudine ranged between 2–8% after 1–3 years of treatment, whilst in the case of HBeAg- patients, HBsAg loss occurred less frequently and estimates suggest that this may require periods longer than 10 years (17, 18).

In conclusion, a reduction in HBsAg levels during treatment, particularly at 12 and 24 weeks, may be predictive of a favourable outcome in the case of Peg-IFN treatment in HBeAg+ positive patients. However, suitable cut-off levels need to be more accurately defined. In the case of HBeAg- patients, both HBsAg and HBV-DNA decline levels at 12 weeks can be used as a guide to applying stopping rules. The picture in patients treated with NUCs is far from clear at the moment and clarification of the issues involved must await further detailed studies.

Authors' Contribution

Completely has been done by author.

Financial Disclosure

None declared.

References

1. Karayiannis P. Hepatitis B virus: old, new and future approaches to antiviral treatment. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2003;**51**(4):761–85.
2. Nguyen T, Thompson AJ, Bowden S, Croagh C, Bell S, Desmond PV, et al. Hepatitis B surface antigen levels during the natural history of chronic hepatitis B: a perspective on Asia. *J Hepatol.* 2010;**52**(4):508–13.
3. Jaroszewicz J, Calle Serrano B, Wursthorn K, Deterding K, Schlue J, Raupach R, et al. Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) levels in the natural history of hepatitis B virus (HBV)-infection: a European perspective. *J Hepatol.* 2010;**52**(4):514–22.
4. Sugiyama M, Tanaka Y, Kato T, Orito E, Ito K, Acharya SK, et al. Influence of hepatitis B virus genotypes on the intra- and extracellular expression of viral DNA and antigens. *Hepatology.* 2006;**44**(4):915–24.
5. Sonneveld MJ, Zoutendijk R, Janssen HL. Hepatitis B surface antigen monitoring and management of chronic hepatitis B. *J Viral Hepat.* 2011;**18**(7):449–57.
6. Brunetto MR, Oliveri F, Colombatto P, Moriconi F, Ciccorossi P, Coco B, et al. Hepatitis B surface antigen serum levels help to distinguish active from inactive hepatitis B virus genotype D carriers. *Gastroenterology.* 2010;**139**(2):483–90.
7. Tseng TC, Liu CJ, Su TH, Wang CC, Chen CL, Chen PJ, et al. Serum hepatitis B surface antigen levels predict surface antigen loss in hepatitis B e antigen seroconverters. *Gastroenterology.* 2011;**141**(2):517–25, 25 e1–2.
8. Sonneveld MJ, Rijckborst V, Boucher CA, Hansen BE, Janssen HL. Prediction of sustained response to peginterferon alfa-2b for hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B using on-treatment hepatitis B surface antigen decline. *Hepatology.* 2010;**52**(4):1251–7.
9. Marcellin P, Avila C, Wursthorn K, Chuang W-L, Lau GK, Peng C-Y, et al. Telbivudine (LdT) plus peg-interferon (pegIFN) in HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B-very potent antiviral efficacy but risk of peripheral neuropathy (PN). *J Hepatol.* 2010;**52**(Suppl 1):S6–S7.
10. Brunetto MR, Moriconi F, Bonino F, Lau GK, Farci P, Yurdaydin C, et al. Hepatitis B virus surface antigen levels: a guide to sustained response to peginterferon alfa-2a in HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology.* 2009;**49**(4):1141–50.
11. Reijnders JG, Rijckborst V, Sonneveld MJ, Scherbeijn SM, Boucher CA, Hansen BE, et al. Kinetics of hepatitis B surface antigen differ

- between treatment with peginterferon and entecavir. *J Hepatol*. 2011;**54**(3):449-54.
12. Lau G, Marcellin P, Brunetto M. On treatment monitoring of HBsAg levels to predict response to peginterferon alpha-2a in patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol*; **50**:S333.
 13. Gane E, Jia J, Han K, Tanwandee T, Chuang WL, Marcellin P, et al. NEPTUNE study: on-treatment HBsAg level analysis confirms prediction of response observed in phase 3 study of peginterferon alpha-2a in HBeAg patients. *J Hepatol*. 2011;**54**(1):S31.
 14. Chan HL, Wong VW, Chim AM, Chan HY, Wong GL, Sung JJ. Serum HBsAg quantification to predict response to peginterferon therapy of e antigen positive chronic hepatitis B. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;**32**(11-12):1323-31.
 15. Ebihara T, Shingai M, Matsumoto M, Wakita T, Seya T. Hepatitis C virus-infected hepatocytes extrinsically modulate dendritic cell maturation to activate T cells and natural killer cells. *Hepatology*. 2008;**48**(1):48-58.
 16. Pallier C, Rodriguez C, Brillet R, Nordmann P, Hezode C, Pawlotsky JM. Complex dynamics of hepatitis B virus resistance to adefovir. *Hepatology*. 2009;**49**(1):50-9.
 17. Wursthorn K, Jung M, Riva A, Goodman ZD, Lopez P, Bao W, et al. Kinetics of hepatitis B surface antigen decline during 3 years of telbivudine treatment in hepatitis B e antigen-positive patients. *Hepatology*. 2010;**52**(5):1611-20.
 18. Manesis EK, Hadziyannis ES, Angelopoulou OP, Hadziyannis SJ. Prediction of treatment-related HBsAg loss in HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B: a clue from serum HBsAg levels. *Antivir Ther*. 2007;**12**(1):73-82.