

Tehran University of Medical Sciences Publication http://tums.ac.ir

Iranian J Parasitol

Open access Journal at http://ijpa.tums.ac.ir



Iranian Society of Parasitology http://isp.tums.ac.ir

Original Article

The Problem of Mixing up of *Leishmania* Isolates in the Laboratory: Suggestion of ITS1 Gene Sequencing for Verification of Species

*H Mahmoudzadeh-Niknam¹, F Abrishami¹, M Doroudian¹, M Moradi¹, MH Alimoham-madian¹, P Parvizi², GhR Hatam³, M Mohebali⁴, V Khalaj⁵

¹Dept. of Immunology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

²Dept. of Parasitology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

⁵Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

(Received 25 Apr 2010; accepted 22 Jan 2011)

ABSTRACT

Background: Leishmaniasis is endemic in Iran. Different species of *Leishmania* (*L.*) parasites are causative agents of this disease. Correct identification of *Leishmania* species is important for clinical studies, prevention, and control of the diseases. Mix up of *Leishmania* isolates is possible in the laboratory, so there is need for verification of species for isolates of uncertain identity. Different methods may be used for this purpose including isoenzyme electrophoresis and molecular methods. The isoenzyme electrophoresis, due to its drawbacks, is feasible only in specialized laboratories while molecular methods may be more feasible. The aim of this research was to study the application of the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) sequencing method, in comparison to isoenzyme electrophoresis method, for verification of *Leishmania* species.

Methods: Six *Leishmania* isolates were received from different research institutions in Iran. The species of these isolates were known by donating institution according to their isoenzyme profile. The species of these isolates were re-identified in Pasteur Institute of Iran by PCR amplification of ITS1 followed by sequencing and comparison of these sequences with *Leishmania* sequences in GenBank. Isoenzyme electrophoresis was performed for confirmation of the results of ITS1.

Results: ITS1 sequence showed that some isolates were mixed up or contaminated with *Crithidia*. Isoenzyme electrophoresis confirmed the results of ITS1 sequences.

Conclusion: ITS1 sequencing is relatively more feasible than the traditional isoenzyme electrophoresis method and is suggested for verification of *Leishmania* species.

Keywords: Leishmania, Crithidia, Internal transcribed spacer (ITS), Isoenzyme electrophoresis

*Corresponding author: Tel: # 98 21 66953311, Fax: # 98 21 66968857, E-mail: mahmoudzadehh@yahoo.com

www.SID.ir

³Dept. of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran ⁴Dept. of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Introduction

he leishmaniases are a spectrum of diseases of humans and other mammals that are endemic in 88 countries with an estimated yearly incidence of 1-1.5 million cases of cutaneous leishmaniasis and 500000 cases of visceral leishmaniasis (1). Cutaneous and visceral leishmaniases are endemic in Iran (2, 3). Different species of Leishmania (L.) parasites are causative agent of these diseases in Iran (4-6). Leishmania species differ from each other from many aspects including clinical presentation of the disease, their and reservoirs. vectors and their epidemiological criteria. Hence, identification of Leishmania species is important in Leishmania studies including clinical research, evaluation of vaccines and drugs, epidemiology, prevention and control of the diseases (7).

Different methods are used for species identification of Leishmania parasites including: use of monoclonal antibodies (6), study of isoenzyme (8), and molecular methods (9). Not all clinical and experimental laboratories have sufficient facilities necessary for identification of Leishmania parasites at species level. Laboratories lacking such facilities usually use Leishmania species that have already been identified by other laboratories. Hence, exchange of Leishmania parasites with identified species between different laboratories takes place usually. It is possible that Leishmania isolates are mixed up or they are contaminated with non-Leishmania trypanosomatids (e.g. Crithidia) in laboratory. Mixing up or contamination of Leishmania isolates in one laboratory and exchange of this parasite between laboratories can lead to incorrect data and conclusions. This pitfall can be prevented by verification of Leishmania isolates of uncertain identity. The gold standard for species identification is still isoenzyme electrophoresis (10). However, only specialized laboratories have sufficient facilities and expertise to perform this method. The internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) sequencing is an alternative method for species identification that does not have many technical drawbacks of the isoenzyme electrophoresis.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the relative feasibility of ITS1 sequencing, in comparison to isoenzyme electrophoresis for verification of *Leishmania* species.

Material and Methods

Parasite

Six Leishmania isolates were received as gifts from different research laboratories (see Table 1). The species of these isolates were assumed to be known based on isoenzyme profile provided by the donating laboratories. The isolates were stored in liquid nitrogen and were recovered for the present study by thawing and culturing them in NNN media (11). Logarithmic parasites were harvested and washed by phosphate buffer saline (PBS) two times and were stored in aliquots of 100×10⁶ parasites (for DNA extraction) 1×10⁹ parasites (for isoenzyme and electrophoresis) in -70°C.

Amplification of ITS1 and RFLP analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted by LiCl extraction (12). The ITS1 region was amplified using DNA extracted from parasite and ITS1 specific primers LITSR and L5.8S and analyzed by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis using the restriction endonuclease *Hae*III (13).

Sequencing of ITS1

PCR amplification of genomic DNA from each of the isolates No. 1, 2, 4, and 5 resulted in a sharp single band in agarose gel electrophoresis. These PCR products were directly sent for sequencing (Macrogene, Seoul, Korea). Each PCR product was sequenced at least twice by ITS1 specific primers: once by the forward primer (LITSR) and once by the reverse primer (L5.8S). Nucleotide in each position was considered correct if the two sequencing results (which were sequenced in opposite directions) confirmed each other. The ITS1 sequences of isolates No. 3 and 6 were determined through a T/A cloning approach. This approach was done because PCR amplification of these isolates did not resulted in a single sharp band in electrophoresis; hence direct sequencing of PCR products were not sufficiently informative and conclusive. The T/A cloning was performed by using InsTA cloning kit (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada) according to the manufacturer instructions. Briefly, ITS1 fragment was amplified through PCR by ITS1 specific primers. The product of ITS1-PCR was run in agarose gel electrophoresis. The band that was compatible to ITS1 molecular weight (about 300 base pairs) was excised and extracted from agarose gel by Silica Bead DNA Gel Extraction kit (Fermentas Co.). Gel extracted DNA fragment was inserted into pTZ57R plasmid by ligase enzyme. The insert containing plasmid was transformed into DH5a strain of Escherichia coli bacteria. The transformed bacteria were cultured overnight and plasmids were purified by a plasmid purification kit (Bioneer Co.) according to the manufacturer instruction. This kit purifies the plasmids by the modified alkaline lysis method. The purified plasmids were sequenced by plasmid specific forward and reverse primers.

Isoenzyme electrophoresis

The isoenzyme study was performed using polyacrylamide discontinuous electrophoresis (PAGE) as described earlier (14). Each Leishmania strain was tested for the activity of at least four enzyme systems out of the following enzymes: glucose phosphate isomerase (GPI), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), nucleoside hydrolase (NH), malic enzyme (ME), super oxide dismutase (SOD) and phosphoglucomutase (PGM). Performance of this technique, due to fund limitation, was restricted to the isolates whose species were revealed to be different from the assumed species (i.e. declared by the donating institution) and for the only true L. infantum isolate studied in the present study.

Results

RFLP

RFLP patterns of isolate No.1 was compatible with *L. major*. RFLP pattern for isolates No 2 and 4 were suggestive of other trypanosomatids such as *Crithidia* species (e.g. *C. fasciulata* with GenBank accession number **Y00055.1**). RFLP patterns for isolates 3, 5 and 6 were compatible with the reported RFLP pattern for *L. tropica* or *L. infantum* (data not shown).

ITS1 sequencing

The ITS1 of the *Leishmania* isolates studied in the present report (six isolates) were sequenced and deposited in GenBank (Fig. 1). Comparison of the ITS1 sequences of the six isolates with other *Leishmania* species in GenBank

(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?d b=nucleotide) revealed the following results: isolate 1 was 99% and 100% identical to *L. major* Friedlin strain and *L. major* MRHO/IR/75/ER strain respectively (Gen-Bank accession numbers **CP000079.1** and **EF653269.1** respectively), isolate 2 and 4

(these two isolates showed complete identical ITS1 sequences) have 96% identity to C. fasciculata(accession number Y00055.1), isolate 3 had 100% identity with many L. tropica strains e.g. L. tropica with GenBank accession number FJ948456.1. isolate 5 was identical 100% to L. infantum MCAN/ES/98/LLM-877 strain (GenBank accession number: AM502245.1), and isolate 6 was 99% identity to L. tropica MHOM/SU/60/OD strain (accession number EU326226.1). It was concluded that isolate 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were L. major, C. fasciculata, L. tropica, C. fasciculata, L. infantum, and L. tropica respectively (as are shown in Table 1). It is noteworthy that ITS1 sequences of the two Crithidia isolates were completely identical. This ITS1 sequence (accession number HM004585.1) has 11 different nucleotides in comparison to its closest match in GenBank (C. fasciculata accession number Y00055.1).

Species identification by isoenzyme electrophoresis

The isolate No. 3 was revealed *L. tropica* according to ITS1 sequence while it was stated to be *L. infantum* by the donating institution. Isoenzyme electrophoresis, as the

gold standard for species identification, was performed for resolving this discrepancy. Characterization of isolate No. 3, using 4 enzyme systems (GPI, MDH, NH, and PGM), with reference strains indicated that its isoenzyme profile in all 4 enzyme sysis compatible with L. tropica tems MHOM/IR/72/NADIM3 strain. The isolate No. 5 was revealed L. infantum according to ITS1 sequence and according to data of the institution. The donating isoenzyme electrophoresis was performed for confirmation of species of this isolate. Isoenzyme profile of this isolate was compatible with L. infantum MHOM/TN/80/IPT1 strain using 4 enzyme systems (MDH, GPI, ME, and SOD). Isoenzyme electrophoresis was not done for Crithidia isolates (isolates No. 2 and 4) due to fund restriction and with respect to their lack of clinical relevancy. It is noteworthy that blastocrithidia form of Crithidia was seen in microscopical examination of Geimsa stained slides of the Crithidia isolate (isolate No. 2) whereas no promastigote form of Leishmania was observed in the slides. In addition, intravenous injection of this isolate into golden hamster did not resulted in parasite growth after 6 months.

Table 1: Leishmania isolates studied in the present study

Isolate No.	International code	Assumed species according to the donating institutions	Real species as identified in the present study
1	MHOM/IR/75/ER	L. major ^a	L. major
2	MHOM/IR/05/SHZ5	L. infantum	C. fasciculata
3	MCAN/IR/97/LON 49	L. infantum	L. tropica
4	Not available	L. infantum	C. fasciculata
5	MHOM/IR/04/IPI-UN10	L. infantum	L. infantum
6	MHOM/AF/88/KK27	L. tropica	L. tropica

^aAbbreviations used: *L.: Leishmania, C.: Crithidia*.

Leishmania major strain MRHO/IR/75/ER (GenBank Accession: GQ471900.1)

```
1 ctggatcatt ttccgatgat tacaccccaa aaaacatata caactcgggg aggettatte 61 tatatatata tagtatagge ttttcccaca tacacagcaa acttttatac tcaaaatttg 121 cagtaaaaaa ggccgatcga cgttgtagaa cgcaccgcct atacacaaaa gcaaaaatgt 181 ccgtttatac aaaaaaatag acggcgtttc ggtttttggc gggagggaga gagagggggg 241 tgcgtgcgcg tggataacgg ctcacataac gtgtcgcgat ggatgacttg gcttcctatt 301 tcgttgaaga acgcagtaaa gtgcgataag tggtatca
```

Leishmania tropica strain MHOM/AF/88/KK27 (GenBank Accession: GO913688.1)

```
1 ctggatcatt ttccgatgat tacaccccaa aaaaaaacat atacaaaact cggggaggcc 61 tattatatac attataggcc tttcccacat acacagcaaa cttttatact cgaagtttgc 121 agtaaacaaa aggccgatcg acgttataac gcaccgccta tacacaaaag caaaaatgtc 181 cgtttataca aatatacggc gtttcggttt tgttggcgg gggtgcgtgt gtggataacg 241 gctcacataa cgtgtcgcga tggatgactt ggcttcctat ttcgttgaag aacgcagtaa 301 agtgcgataa gtggtatca
```

Leishmania infantum isolate MHOM/IR/04/IPI-UN10 (GenBank Accession: GO444144.1)

```
1 ctggatcatt ttccgatgat tacacccaaa aaacatatac aactcggga gacctatgta 61 tatatatgta ggcctttccc acatacacag caaagttttg tactcaaaat ttgcagtaaa 121 aaaaaggccg atcgacgtta taacgcaccg cctatacaaa agcaaaaatg tccgtttata 181 caaaaaatat acggcgttc ggtttttggc ggggtgggtg cgtgtgtgga taacggctca 241 cataacgtgt cgcgatggat gacttggctt cctatttcgt tgaagaacgc agtaaagtgc 301 gataagtggt atca
```

Leishmania tropica (GenBank Accession: HM004586.1)

Crithidia fasciculate (GenBank Accession: HM004585.1)

```
1 ctggatcatt ttccgatgat accatacaca aaaacaaaaa ccggagggtt tgggtgtgc 61 gtgtatgtgt gtatgtgtt gcgtgtaaaa agcgcatgcg catatatgca tgcatagtag 121 tgcccggctc tctacgttgg gaggagcgga aactaaacat ttccgtttct ctctaacaca 181 taaacaaaca caacatagcc cagcgccgtt gcgtgcttc tctctctct aactctct 241 cttgtggggg gtgttgtgt tgggggtttg tgcgcgcgtg tgccggaaca aggccaatcg 301 atgcacgtgt gtgtattgta ttgttcttc ttagagaacg atataaaaac cgcgtgcatg 361 gatgacggct caaataacgt gtcgcgatgg atgacttggc ttcctatctc gttgaagaac 421 gcagtaaagt gcgataagtg gtatca
```

Fig. 1: ITS1 containing sequences of *Leishmania* species studied in this study

Discussion

Feasibility of ITS1 sequencing method

Species verification is necessary for isolates identity. Isoenzyme of uncertain electrophoresis is still the gold standard for this purpose (10). This technique has many limitations: it is demanding, laborious, time consuming, requires bulk cultivation of parasites and only specialized laboratories has the expertise to perform this method (10, 15). Molecular methods are increasingly becoming more popular for identification of Leishmania species (10). Amplification of ITS1 by PCR followed by restriction analysis of the amplification product or sequencing is a molecular method that has proved to be useful for species identification of Leishmania isolates (10, 15-20). Advantages of ITS1 sequencing, in comparison to isoenzyme electrophoresis, include: 1) ITS1 sequencing does not need mass cultivation of parasite. The amount of parasite needed for this technique is reported to be as little as 0.2 parasites that can be retrieved through microscopic glass slides used for routine diagnosis of the disease in clinics or filter paper that can be used in remote areas like villages (15). This advantage is especially critical for laboratories lacking cell culture facilities. 2) ITS1 sequencing does not need use of reference Leishmania strains, because this method uses the reliable database of reference strains (e.g. GenBank) for the comparison. This advantage is especially important for laboratories with no access to reference strains or no facilities for their storage. These two advantages of this method show the relative ease of performance of ITS1 sequencing in comparison to the traditional isoenzyme electrophoresis. Our data confirms the relative feasibility of the ITS1 sequencing method in comparison to isoenzyme electrophoresis method.

Pitfall of mixing up or contamination of isolates

Our findings show the possibility of mixing up of Leishmania isolates or contamination with other trypanosomatids (e.g. Crithidia) in the laboratory. Therefore, verification of Leishmania species identity is vital for Leishmania researches especially research involving different species of Leishmania. We studied ITS1 for confirmation of species of 6 Leishmania isolates currently in use in different research laboratories in Iran. The results of ITS1 sequence revealed that species of some isolates were not the assumed Table Isoenzyme species (See 1). electrophoresis confirmed the results of ITS1 sequencing for isolates No. 3 (L. tropica) and No. 5 (L. infantum). So ITS1 sequence and isoenzyme profile show compatible results. Two isolates (No. 2 and 4) were labeled L. infantum while they were C. fasciculata. These two Crithidia isolates have identical ITS1 sequences. This ITS1 sequence (accession number **HM004585.1**) is novel and has not been already reported. These Crithidia species were stated to be isolated from visceral leishmaniasis patients. Crithidia microorganisms are reported to infect exclusively invertebrates and predominantly insects (21) and we found no report for pathogenicity of this trypanosomatid in humans. The real sources of the Crithidia isolates studied in the present study remain to be identified. The Crithidia has been reported as a contaminant in another study of different Leishmania isolates (22).

Use of ITS1 sequence for species verification

Verification of *Leishmania* species is needed for isolates of uncertain identity. However, species verification by isoenzyme electrophoresis method is not feasible in

many laboratories due to its limitation as discussed above. An important application of our findings is use of ITS1 sequence for verification of *Leishmania* species. As we know this is the first study to apply ITS1 sequencing for verification of species identity of *Leishmania* parasites. A shortcoming of our study is the limited number of isolates studied which was due to limitations in fund and manpower. We propose further studies with larger number of isolates for solid conclusion.

In conclusion, our results documented the possibility of mixing up or contamination of *Leishmania* isolates in the laboratory and confirm the relative feasibility of the ITS1 sequencing in comparison to the isoenzyme electrophoresis for species verification. It is suggested that ITS1 sequencing method, with regard to its high specificity and relative ease of performance, can be used for verification of species of *Leishmania* isolates of uncertain identity.

Acknowledgement

Helpful advice of Dr Gabriele Schönian (Institute of Microbiology and Hygiene, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany) for species identification and generous advice and help of Dr Anis Jafari (Molecolar Biology Department, Pasteur Institute of Iran) for molecular methods are highly appreciated. This research received financial supports from Pasteur Institute of Iran (Research Project No. 314). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Desjeux P. The increase in risk factors for leishmaniasis worldwide. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2001; 95(3):239-243.
- 2. Edrissian GH, Nadim A, Alborzi AV, Ardehali S. Visceral leishmaniasis: the Iranian experiences. Arch Iran Med. 1999; 1(1):6-22.

- 3. Dowlati Y. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: clinical aspect. Clin Dermatol. 1996; 14(5):425-431.
- 4. Fazaeli A, Fouladi B, Sharifi I. Emergence of cutaneous leishmaniasis in a border area at south-east of Iran: an epidemiological survey. J Vector Born Dis. 2009; 46(1):36-42.
- 5. Razmjou S, Hejazy H, Motazedian MH, Baghaei M, Emamy M, Kalantary M. A new focus of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Shiraz, Iran. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2009; 103(7):727-730.
- 6. Ardehali S, Moattari A, Hatam GR, Hosseini SMH, Sharifi I. Characterization of *Leishmania* isolated in Iran: 1. Serotyping with species specific monoclonal antibodies. Acta Tropica. 2000; 75(3):301-307.
- 7. Mohebali M. Taxonomy of *Leishmania* parasites. In: Nadim A, Javadian E, Mohebali M, Momeni AZ, editors. *Leishmania* parasite and leishmanioses. 3rd ed. Tehran, Iran: Iran University Press; 2008. p. 24.
- 8. Evans D. Handbook on isolation, characterization and cryopreservation of *Leishmania*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1989.
- 9. Reithinger R, Dujardin JC. Molecular diagnosis of leishmaniasis: current status and future applications. J Clin Microbiol. 2007; 45(1):21-5.
- Schönian G, Mauricio I, Gramiccia M, Cañavate C, Boelaert M, Dujardin JC. Leishmaniases in the Mediterranean in the era of molecular epidemiology. Trends Parasitol. 2008; 24(3):135-42.
- 11. Mahmoudzadeh-Niknam H. Induction of partial protection against *Leishmania major* in BALB/c mice by *Leishmania tropica*. Scand J Lab Anim Sci. 2004; 31(4):201–207.
- 12. Medina-Acosta E, Cross GA. Rapid isolation of DNA from trypanosomatid protozoa using a simple 'mini-prep' procedure. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1993; 59(2):327–329.
- 13. el Tai NO, Osman OF, el Fari M, Presber W, Schönian G. Genetic heterogeneity of ribosomal internal transcribed spacer in clinical samples of *Leishmania donovani* spotted on filter paper as revealed by single-strand

- conformation polymorphisms and sequencing. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2000; 94(5):575-9
- Hatam GR, Hosseini SM, Ardehali S. Isoenzyme studies in characterization of *Leishmania* isolated in Iran. Iran J Med Sci. 1999; 24(1&2):8–13.
- 15. Schönian G, Nasereddin A, Dinse N et al. PCR diagnosis and characterization of *Leishmania* in local and imported clinical samples. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2003; 47(1):349-58.
- Nasereddin A, Bensoussan-Hermano E, Schonian G, Baneth G, Jaffe CL. Molecular Diagnosis of Old World Cutaneous Leishmaniasis and Species Identification by Use of a Reverse Line Blot Hybridization Assay. J Clin Microbiol. 2008; 46(9):2848–2855.
- Bensoussan E, Nasereddin A, Jonas F, Schnur LF, Jaffe CL. Comparison of PCR assays for diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. J Clin Microbiol. 2006; 44(4):1435-9.
- 18. Kumar R, Bumb RA, Ansari NA, Mehta RD, Salorta P. Cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania tropica* in Bikaner, India: parasite identification and characterization using

- molecular and immunologic tools. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 76(5):896-901.
- 19. Strauss-Ayali D, Jaffe CL, Burshtain O, Gonen L, Baneth G. Polymerase chain reaction using noninvasively obtained samples, for the detection of *Leishmania infantum* DNA in dogs. J Infect Dis. 2004; 189(9):1729-33.
- Marfurt J, Nasereddin A, Niederwieser I, Jaffe CL, Beck HP, Felger I. Identification and Differentiation of *Leishmania* Species in Clinical Samples by PCR Amplification of the mini exon sequence and subsequent restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. J Clin Microbiol. 2003; 41(7):3147-53.
- 21. Wallace FG. Biology of the *Kinetoplastida* of arthropods. In: Lumsden WHR, Evans DA editors. Biology of *Kinetoplastida*. London: Academic Press; 1979. P. 213–35.
- 22. Tashakori M, Ajdary S, Kariminia A, Mahboudi F, Alimohammadian MH. Characterization of *Leishmania* species and *L. major* strains in different endemic areas of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Iran. Iran Biomed J. 2003; 7(2):43-50.