

# Onconephrology

## What Should the Internist Know About Targeted Therapy in Solid Tumors?

Elie El Rassy,<sup>1</sup> Fadi El Karak,<sup>1</sup> Jamale Rizkallah,<sup>2</sup> Dania Chelala<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology-Oncology, Hotel Dieu de France University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Saint Joseph University, Lebanon

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology, Hotel Dieu de France University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Saint Joseph University, Lebanon

**Keywords.** oncology, nephrology, targeted therapies, kidney disease vascular endothelial growth factor, endothelial growth factor

Advances in medical oncology has led cancer patients to live longer. Moreover, the field of molecular oncology is rapidly evolving, new therapies emerge, and drugs are approved quickly. This has led nephrologists to encounter new and partially unrecognized treatments of the targeted therapy agents with kidney adverse effects. These agents fall mainly into 2 categories affecting the vascular endothelial growth factor and endothelial growth factor pathways. This review covers the incidence of kidney disease induced by these agents, pathophysiologic mechanisms, and clinical presentation, and is the first to recommend an adequate management for each pathophysiology.

IJKD 2016;10:169-75  
www.ijkd.org

### INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of both cancer and kidney disease is high and requires oncologists and nephrologists awareness about new cancer treatments and their potential adverse effects on the kidney function. Effectively, multiple experts raised the need for the development of a new subspecialty field of *onconephrology*.<sup>1</sup> Targeted therapy is one of the major developments in cancer treatment and is being incorporated in most treatment regimens. Moreover, these drugs are usually marketed to healthcare providers and patients as indolent with mild adverse effects.<sup>2</sup>

The toxicity profile of these drugs is well different from that of the conventional chemotherapy. However, due to their accelerated approval, knowledge of their toxicity profiles is still missing and their upcoming use confronted clinicians to new or partially recognized adverse effects. This toxicity was attributed to co-expression of same target molecules by both normal and cancer cells. This article aims to review what the nephrologists should know about the two most common pathways for targeted therapies in solid cancer: vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and epidermal growth factor (EGF). The incidence of kidney disease

induced by targeted agents, pathophysiologic mechanisms, clinical presentation, and adequate management are reviewed.

### VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR PATHWAY INHIBITORS

#### Overview

Vascular endothelial growth factor plays a major role in tumor growth and development of metastasis by increasing vascular permeability and endothelial cell migration, proliferation, and survival.<sup>3</sup> Renal epithelial cells produce VEGF that binds to peritubular capillaries, mesangium, and glomeruli. This local VEGF allows repair and normal functioning of these cells and maintains integrity of the filtration system. Because of these different roles, targeting VEGF raises the possibility of adverse effects.<sup>3</sup> Two different approaches have been developed to inhibit the VEGF pathway. The first group of agents binds to the VEGF or VEGF receptor (VEGFR) and inhibits their action, such as bevacizumab, ramucirumab, and aflibercept. The others use small tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) that blocks the intracellular domain of the VEGFR. These include sunitinib, ponatinib, sorafenib, axitinib, pazopanib, cabozantinib, and vandetanib.

### Pathophysiology and Management

Proteinuria and hypertension are two main clinical syndromes that are particularly associated with the VEGF pathway inhibitors. Their pathogenesis and management in this setting are not well elucidated (Table 1).

### Proteinuria

Animal studies of mice injected with anti-VEGF revealed disruption of epithelial cell slit diaphragm, swelling and vacuolization of glomerular endothelial cells, and downregulation of nephrin.<sup>17</sup> In fact, it is not yet established whether proteinuria is an adverse effect or on-target effect.<sup>18</sup> Factors affecting its occurrence and severity are incompletely characterized. Predisposing factors include pre-existence of kidney disease, diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma, combination of the targeted agent with chemotherapy, and increased dosages.<sup>19-23</sup> Interestingly, duration of infusions do not seem to affect the development of proteinuria.<sup>24</sup> Differences in affinities to VEGFR dictates the injury type: the complex VEGF-A bevacizumab deposits in the kidneys whilst VEGF-A aflibercept complex remains in the circulation.<sup>25,26</sup>

The underlying pathogenesis for the development of kidney injury is not well elucidated. Of the few renal biopsies performed, pathology demonstrated glomerulopathies, thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA), and rarely, interstitial nephritis.<sup>18,27</sup> Literature also describes the occurrence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, acute interstitial nephritis, and minimal change disease.<sup>3,28-30</sup> Moreover, clinical trials reported development of renal insufficiency and diabetes insipidus in these patients, albeit absence of a proof of causality.<sup>31,32</sup>

Patients present clinically with asymptomatic proteinuria, nephrotic syndrome, or acute kidney failure. Monitoring for proteinuria in oncology practice is performed by either dipstick or calculation of the urine protein-creatinine ratio on spot urine samples.<sup>17</sup> There are no evidence-based guidelines established by neither the National Cancer Care Network nor the European Society of Medical Oncology for the management of proteinuria induced by VEGF TKI. Commonly, asymptomatic proteinuria auto-resolves after discontinuation of treatment but can rarely progress to nephrotic

**Table 1.** Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Pathway Inhibitors-related Nephrotoxicity\*

Molecule	Target	Malignancies	Incidence of hypertension	Incidence of proteinuria	References
Pazopanib (Votrient)	TK, VEGFR	Advanced renal cell carcinoma and advanced soft tissue sarcoma	Any grade: 40% Grade 3/4: 4%	Any grade: < 10% Grade 3/4: < 1%	4
Regorafenib (Stivarga)	TK, VEGFR	Metastatic colorectal carcinoma	Any grade: 28% Grade 3/4: 7%	Any grade: 7% Grade 3/4: 7%	5
Cabozantinib (Cometriq)	TK, VEGFR	Metastatic medullary thyroid cancer	Any grade: 22% to 40% Grade 3/4: 4% to 12%	Any grade: < 10% Grade 3/4: < 1%	6,7
Sunitinib (Sutent)	VEGF	Metastatic renal cell carcinoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor not responding to imatinib, or pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors	Any grade: 22% to 24% Grade 3/4: 5% to 8%	NA	8,9
Sorafenib (Nexavar)	VEGF	Advanced renal cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thyroid cancer	Any grade: 17% to 19.5% Grade 3/4: 4%	NA	10,11
Ziv-aflibercept (Zaltrap)	VEGF	Metastatic colorectal carcinoma	Any grade: 41.4% Grade 3/4: 19.3%	Any grade: 62.2% Grade 3/4: 7.8%	12
Axitinib (Imlyta)	VEGF	Metastatic colorectal carcinoma	Any grade: 40% Grade 3/4: 16%	NA	13
Bevacizumab (Avastin)	VEGF	Glioblastoma, nonsmall cell lung cancer, metastatic colorectal carcinoma and renal cell carcinoma	Any grade (7.5 mg/kg): 14.3% Grade 3/4 (7.5 mg/kg): 0.8% Any grade (15 mg/kg): 21.9% Grade 3/4 (15 mg/kg): 4.5%	Any grade (7.5 mg/kg): NA Grade 3/4 (7.5 mg/kg): 0.8% Any grade (15 mg/kg): NA Grade 3/4 (15 mg/kg): 2%	14,15
Vandetanib (Caprelsa)	TK, EGFR, VEGF,RET	Metastatic medullary thyroid cancer	Any grade: NA Grade 3/4: 34%	NA	16

\*TK indicates tyrosine kinase; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; NA: not available; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; and RET, rearranged during transfection.

syndrome.<sup>33</sup> In the latter situation, the drug should be permanently discontinued. On the other hand, often disregarded low levels of proteinuria reflect renal TMA and serious renal injury in 35% of patients; subsequently, withdrawal of the culprit drug is recommended.<sup>34</sup> Its reintroduction requires close monitoring and discontinuation in case of TMA recurrence. Withdrawal of anti-VEGF agents commonly reduces proteinuria; however, its persistence is possible.<sup>35</sup> Based on his case series, Izzedin suggested in 2014 to differentiate between renal TMA that necessitates drug withdrawal in contrary to minimal change disease or focal segmental glomerulosclerosis that responds to antihypertensive and antiproteinuric treatments.<sup>30</sup>

### Hypertension

Hypertension is definitely one of the most prevalent comorbidities found in cancer patients and happens to be the most reported grade 3 event in patients with preexisting hypertension.<sup>36,37</sup> Its occurrence and severity depends on the type of drug, dose, schedule used, age, and coexistence of cardiac disease.<sup>36</sup> Cardiac ischemia and infarction and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome are the possible secondary events reported with hypertension attributed to anti-VEGF agents.<sup>10,38-40</sup> Interestingly, hypertension predicts response to therapy, time to progression, and survival, and should encourage physicians to continue its use along with proper blood pressure control, and preferably, without dose reduction.<sup>41,42</sup>

Hypertension commonly occurs within the first year after drug initiation. New-onset hypertension in previously nonhypertensive patients may be due to different pathogenesis: renal TMA, glomerular lesions, and isolated drug-induced hypertension.<sup>36</sup> For the first two, management is identical to that of proteinuria. As for the latter, Izzidine and colleagues attribute isolated hypertension to an increased systemic vascular resistance since VEGF infusions decreases cardiac ejection fraction.<sup>36,43</sup> This increased systemic vascular resistance is explained by neurohormonal factors, microvascular rarefaction, and endothelial dysfunction.<sup>44</sup>

The optimal monitoring pattern of blood pressure is not elucidated yet. One method is 3 ambulatory measures at 5-minute intervals and 3 night measurements for 3 days per week.<sup>45</sup> Another acceptable method is 1 weekly measure

for the 1st 6 weeks.<sup>46</sup> Medical literature does not advocate a management different from that of noncancer patients. Hence, hypertension is managed no differently from the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure recommendations.<sup>36</sup> Lifestyle modifications would be the first approach by limiting saturated and unsaturated fat intake with salt restriction.<sup>47</sup> If ineffective, most patients respond to oral hypertensive treatments without dose reduction. The antihypertensive treatment is personalized according to the patient comorbidities. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers are privileged in patients with proteinuria and chronic kidney disease. Dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are preferred in elderly and black patients whereas nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are contraindicated in patients receiving CYP450 inhibitors.<sup>36</sup> If refractory hypertension occurs, management encloses temporary or permanent discontinuation of the offending agent.<sup>46</sup>

### ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR PATHWAY INHIBITORS

#### Overview

Endothelial growth factor is a tyrosine kinase receptor found on almost all cells except for hematopoietic cells.<sup>48</sup> It has been found responsible for the activation of cancer invasion, apoptosis, and angiogenesis.<sup>49,50</sup> Subsequently, it has been targeted for the treatment of multiple malignancies and has been proven efficient in numerous clinical trials.<sup>51,52</sup> Ligands to EGFR were shown to increase in response to acute tubular and renal injury and administration of EGF accelerated recovery from kidney injuries.<sup>53-59</sup> Two different approaches have been developed to inhibit the EGF pathway. The first binds to EGF receptor (EGFR) and inhibits its action, such as cetuximab and panitumumab. The latter uses TKI that blocks the intracellular domain of the EGFR, such as erlotinib, gefitinib, and afatinib.

#### Pathophysiology and Management

The EGF pathway has been shown to affect the kidney through various mechanisms. Experimental animal studies have demonstrated an important role of local growth factors in stimulating proliferation and differentiation of cells after acute

tubular necrosis.<sup>60</sup> This finding correlates with the delayed recovery in renal proximal tubule epithelial cells after experimental deletion of EGF.<sup>61,62</sup> Consequently, alterations disturbing the EGF pathway result in tubulopathy manifestations. Particularly, the basolateral membrane encompasses EGFR that is responsible in part to the reabsorption of magnesium in the distal convoluted tubule. Upon activation, EGFR stimulates the translocation of the cation channel transient receptor potential M6 into the apical membrane where the magnesium is reabsorbed.<sup>63-65</sup> Moreover, recent studies described a cross-talk between mineralocorticoid receptors and EGFR responsible for proliferation, fibrosis, and hypertrophy.<sup>62</sup> Subsequently, EGFR pathways inhibitors present with tubulopathies or glomerulopathies (Table 2).

Tubulopathy is often described in patients receiving ligands to EGFR. These drugs are known to induce a renal magnesium wasting syndrome because of their affinity to EGFR that is 10-fold greater than that of the natural ligan.<sup>58,63-65</sup> When measured rigorously, all patients receiving ligands to EGFR present a decline in magnesium concentration with 50% developing hypomagnesaemia.<sup>63-65</sup> Risk factors include older age, baseline magnesium concentration, drug-induced diarrhea, and duration of administration.<sup>76</sup>

Very few cases report a correlation between EGFR TKI and renal complications. These were attributed to baseline hepatic impairment, severe dehydration secondary to diarrhea, and glomerulopathies. Erlotinib induces hepatorenal syndrome, acute renal failure, and pauci-immune crescentic glomerulonephritis secondary to leucocytoclastic vasculitis.<sup>77-79</sup> Moreover, gefitinib was also associated with leucocytoclastic vasculitic glomerulonephritis and manifesting with tubulointerstitial nephritis secondary to interstitial lymphoplasmacytic infiltration.<sup>80-82</sup>

Management of TKI nephrotoxicity is empiric and aims at treating the underlying pathogenesis. In the case of kidney dysfunction attributed only to glomerulopathies secondary to TKI, the drug was discontinued and kidney function was normalized.<sup>83</sup> On the other hand, EGFR ligands monitoring is clearly established. Its administration warrants serum potassium, magnesium, and calcium periodic monitoring during treatment and 8 weeks thereafter. For grade 1-2 hypomagnesaemia,

**Table 2.** Epidermal Growth Factor Pathway Inhibitors-related Nephrotoxicity\*

Molecule	Target	Malignancies	Renal Effect All Grades	Renal Effect Grade 3/4	Diarrhea All Grades	Diarrhea Grade 3/4	References
Gefitinib (Iressa)	EGFR, TK	Advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer	NA	NA	15% to 46.6%	0.9% to 5%	66,67
Erlotinib (Tarceva)	EGFR, TK	Metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer and pancreatic cancer	Renal toxicity: 8%	2%	18% to 55%	3% to 6%	68,69
Afatinib (Gilotrif)	EGFR, TK	Advanced lung adenocarcinoma	Hypokalemia: 9%	2%	87% to 95.2%	14.4% to 22%	70
Cetuximab (Eribix)	EGFR	Squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck or colorectal cancer	Hypokalemia: 25.8% to 54% Hypomagnesaemia: 33.8% to 57% Hypocalcaemia: 18%	Hypokalemia: 4.5% to 7% Hypomagnesaemia: 3.3% to 9% Hypocalcaemia: 3.2	22% to 81%	3% to 28.4%	71,72,73
Panitumumab (Vectibix)	EGFR	Metastatic colon cancer	Hypokalemia: NA Hypomagnesaemia: 28%	Hypokalemia: 7% Hypomagnesaemia: 3%	9% to 74%	1% to 24%	74,75

\*EGF indicates epidermal growth factor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; TK, tyrosine kinase; and NA, not available.

electrolytes supplementation is administered as needed after early assessment and management of possible drug-induced diarrhea. In cases of grade 3-4 hypomagnesaemia, withdrawal of the offending drug is recommended for four to 8 weeks after which the magnesium wasting syndrome resolve.<sup>84</sup> The drug may then be reintroduced following reversal of hypomagnesaemia.<sup>85</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this article reports on targeted therapy in solid cancer from an onconeurology point of view. It covers the incidence of kidney disease induced by targeted agents, pathophysiology mechanisms, clinical presentations, and adequate managements. This treatment modality is continuously being developed, incorporated in cancer treatment regimens, and used among patients with comorbid kidney disease. Subsequently, onconeurology is undoubtedly an essential field that requires close collaboration between oncologists and nephrologists. Physicians should get quickly involved in cancer biology and familiar with the clinical and laboratory manifestations of these drugs for them to provide the optimum management for their patients. As proven throughout this article, multicenter randomized clinical trials should be promoted to fill the gaps.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

## REFERENCES

- Finkel KW, Howard SC. Onco-nephrology: an invitation to a new field. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32:2389-90.
- Al-Dasooqi N, Gibson R, Bowen J, Keefe D. HER2 targeted therapies for cancer and the gastrointestinal tract. *Curr Drug Targets*. 2009;10:537-42.
- Gurevich F, Perazella MA. Renal effects of anti-angiogenesis therapy: update for the internist. *Am J Med*. 2009;122:322-8.
- Sternberg CN, Davis ID, Mardiak J, et al. Pazopanib in locally advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma: results of a randomized phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:1061-8.
- Grothey A, Van CE, Sobrero A, et al. Regorafenib monotherapy for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (CORRECT): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381:303-12.
- Smith DC, Smith MR, Sweeney C, et al. Cabozantinib in patients with advanced prostate cancer: results of a phase II randomized discontinuation trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31:412-9.
- Vaishampayan U. Cabozantinib as a novel therapy for renal cell carcinoma. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2013;15:76-82.
- Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, et al. Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:115-24.
- Gore ME, Szczylik C, Porta C, et al. Safety and efficacy of sunitinib for metastatic renal-cell carcinoma: an expanded-access trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10:757-63.
- Escudier B, Eisen T, Stadler WM, et al. Sorafenib in advanced clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:125-34.
- Beck J, Procopio G, Bajetta E, et al. Final results of the European Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma Sorafenib (EU-ARCCS) expanded-access study: a large open-label study in diverse community settings. *Ann Oncol*. 2011;22:1812-23.
- Van CE, Tabernero J, Lakomy R, et al. Addition of aflibercept to fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan improves survival in a phase III randomized trial in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer previously treated with an oxaliplatin-based regimen. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30:3499-506.
- Rini BI, Escudier B, Tomczak P, et al. Comparative effectiveness of axitinib versus sorafenib in advanced renal cell carcinoma (AXIS): a randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378:1931-9.
- Miles DW, Chan A, Dirix LY, et al. Phase III study of bevacizumab plus docetaxel compared with placebo plus docetaxel for the first-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:3239-47.
- Pivot X, Schneeweiss A, Verma S, et al. Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab in combination with docetaxel for the first-line treatment of elderly patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer: results from AVADO. *Eur J Cancer*. 2011;47:2387-95.
- Leboulleux S, Bastholt L, Krause T, et al. Vandetanib in locally advanced or metastatic differentiated thyroid cancer: a randomised, double-blind, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13:897-905.
- Sugimoto H, Hamano Y, Charytan D, et al. Neutralization of circulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) by anti-VEGF antibodies and soluble VEGF receptor 1 (sFlt-1) induces proteinuria. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278:12605-8.
- Izzedine H, Massard C, Spano JP, Goldwasser F, Khayat D, Soria JC. VEGF signalling inhibition-induced proteinuria: Mechanisms, significance and management. *Eur J Cancer*. 2010;46:439-48.
- Tomita Y, Uemura H, Fujimoto H, et al. Key predictive factors of axitinib (AG-013736)-induced proteinuria and efficacy: a phase II study in Japanese patients with cytokine-refractory metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer*. 2011;47:2592-602.
- Wu S, Kim C, Baer L, Zhu X. Bevacizumab increases risk for severe proteinuria in cancer patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2010;21:1381-9.
- Zhu X, Wu S, Dahut WL, Parikh CR. Risks of proteinuria and hypertension with bevacizumab, an antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor: systematic review and

- meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2007;49:186-93.
22. Zhu X, Stergiopoulos K, Wu S. Risk of hypertension and renal dysfunction with an angiogenesis inhibitor sunitinib: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Oncol.* 2009;48:9-17.
  23. Shord SS, Bressler LR, Tierney LA, Cuellar S, George A. Understanding and managing the possible adverse effects associated with bevacizumab. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2009;66:999-1013.
  24. Shah SR, Gressett Ussery SM, Dowell JE, et al. Shorter bevacizumab infusions do not increase the incidence of proteinuria and hypertension. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24:960-5.
  25. Chu QS. Aflibercept (AVE0005): an alternative strategy for inhibiting tumour angiogenesis by vascular endothelial growth factors. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* 2009;9:263-71.
  26. Rudge JS, Holash J, Hylton D, et al. VEGF Trap complex formation measures production rates of VEGF, providing a biomarker for predicting efficacious angiogenic blockade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007;104:18363-70.
  27. Barakat RK, Singh N, Lal R, Verani RR, Finkel KW, Foringer JR. Interstitial nephritis secondary to bevacizumab treatment in metastatic leiomyosarcoma. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2007;41:707-10.
  28. Eremina V, Jefferson JA, Kowalewska J, et al. VEGF inhibition and renal thrombotic microangiopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:1129-36.
  29. Izzedine H, Rixe O, Billefont B, Baumelou A, Deray G. Angiogenesis inhibitor therapies: focus on kidney toxicity and hypertension. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2007;50:203-18.
  30. Izzedine H. Anti-VEGF Cancer Therapy in Nephrology Practice. *Int J Nephrol.* 2014;2014:143426.
  31. Robinson BG, Paz-Ares L, Krebs A, Vasselli J, Haddad R. Vandetanib (100 mg) in patients with locally advanced or metastatic hereditary medullary thyroid cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2010;95:2664-71.
  32. Wells SA, Jr., Gosnell JE, Gagel RF, et al. Vandetanib for the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic hereditary medullary thyroid cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:767-72.
  33. Avastin (bevacizumab). package insert. Genentech Inc, 2008.
  34. Izzedine H, Soria JC, Escudier B. Proteinuria and VEGF-targeted therapies: an underestimated toxicity? *J Nephrol.* 2013;26:807-10.
  35. Patel TV, Morgan JA, Demetri GD, et al. A preeclampsia-like syndrome characterized by reversible hypertension and proteinuria induced by the multitargeted kinase inhibitors sunitinib and sorafenib. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2008;100:282-4.
  36. Izzedine H, Ederhy S, Goldwasser F, et al. Management of hypertension in angiogenesis inhibitor-treated patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20:807-15.
  37. Piccirillo JF, Tierney RM, Costas I, Grove L, Spitznagel EL, Jr. Prognostic importance of comorbidity in a hospital-based cancer registry. *JAMA.* 2004;291:2441-7.
  38. Ozcan C, Wong SJ, Hari P. Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome and bevacizumab. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354:980-2.
  39. Govindarajan R, Adusumilli J, Baxter DL, El-Khoueiry A, Harik SI. Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome induced by RAF kinase inhibitor BAY 43-9006. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:e48.
  40. Martin G, Bellido L, Cruz JJ. Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome induced by sunitinib. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25:3559.
  41. Copur MS, Obermiller A. An algorithm for the management of hypertension in the setting of vascular endothelial growth factor signaling inhibition. *Clin Colorectal Cancer.* 2011;10:151-6.
  42. De SA, Carlomagno C, Pepe S, Bianco R, De PS. Bevacizumab-related arterial hypertension as a predictive marker in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2011;68:1207-13.
  43. Henry TD, Annex BH, McKendall GR, et al. The VIVA trial: Vascular endothelial growth factor in Ischemia for Vascular Angiogenesis. *Circulation.* 2003;107:1359-65.
  44. Veronese ML, Flahert KT, Townsend R, et al. Pharmacodynamic study of the rat kinase inhibitor BAY 43-9006: mechanisms of hypertension. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22:135s.
  45. Azizi M, Chedid A, Oudard S. Home blood-pressure monitoring in patients receiving sunitinib. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:95-7.
  46. Wu S, Chen JJ, Kudelka A, Lu J, Zhu X. Incidence and risk of hypertension with sorafenib in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2008;9:117-23.
  47. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, et al. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;336:1117-24.
  48. O'Keefe E, Battin T, Payne R, Jr. Epidermal growth factor receptor in human epidermal cells: direct demonstration in cultured cells. *J Invest Dermatol.* 1982;78:482-7.
  49. Sporn MB, Todaro GJ. Autocrine secretion and malignant transformation of cells. *N Engl J Med.* 1980;303:878-80.
  50. Salomon DS, Brandt R, Ciardiello F, Normanno N. Epidermal growth factor-related peptides and their receptors in human malignancies. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 1995;19:183-232.
  51. Sharma SV, Bell DW, Settleman J, Haber DA. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2007;7:169-81.
  52. Zandi R, Larsen AB, Andersen P, Stockhausen MT, Poulsen HS. Mechanisms for oncogenic activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Cell Signal.* 2007;19:2013-23.
  53. Hise MK, Salmanullah M, Liu L, Drachenberg CI, Papadimitriou JC, Rohan RM. Control of the epidermal growth factor receptor and its ligands during renal injury. *Nephron.* 2001;88:71-9.
  54. Homma T, Sakai M, Cheng HF, Yasuda T, Coffey RJ, Jr., Harris RC. Induction of heparin-binding epidermal growth factor-like growth factor mRNA in rat kidney after acute injury. *J Clin Invest.* 1995;96:1018-25.
  55. Sakai M, Zhang M, Homma T, et al. Production of heparin binding epidermal growth factor-like growth factor in

- the early phase of regeneration after acute renal injury. Isolation and localization of bioactive molecules. *J Clin Invest*. 1997;99:2128-38.
56. Yano T, Yazima S, Hagiwara K, Ozasa H, Ishizuka S, Horikawa S. Activation of epidermal growth factor receptor in the early phase after renal ischemia-reperfusion in rat. *Nephron*. 1999;81:230-3.
  57. Lin JJ, Cybulsky AV, Goodyer PR, Fine RN, Kaskel FJ. Insulin-like growth factor-1 enhances epidermal growth factor receptor activation and renal tubular cell regeneration in postischemic acute renal failure. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1995;125:724-33.
  58. Humes HD, Cieslinski DA, Coimbra TM, Messana JM, Galvao C. Epidermal growth factor enhances renal tubule cell regeneration and repair and accelerates the recovery of renal function in postischemic acute renal failure. *J Clin Invest*. 1989;84:1757-61.
  59. Norman J, Tsau YK, Bacay A, Fine LG. Epidermal growth factor accelerates functional recovery from ischaemic acute tubular necrosis in the rat: role of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1990;78:445-50.
  60. Toback FG. Regeneration after acute tubular necrosis. *Kidney Int*. 1992;41:226-46.
  61. Tang J, Liu N, Tolbert E, et al. Sustained activation of EGFR triggers renal fibrogenesis after acute kidney injury. *Am J Pathol*. 2013;183:160-72.
  62. Grossmann C, Gekle M. Interaction between mineralocorticoid receptor and epidermal growth factor receptor signaling. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2012;350:235-41.
  63. Glaudemans B, Knoers NV, Hoenderop JG, Bindels RJ. New molecular players facilitating Mg(2+) reabsorption in the distal convoluted tubule. *Kidney Int*. 2010;77:17-22.
  64. Schrag D, Chung KY, Flombaum C, Saltz L. Cetuximab therapy and symptomatic hypomagnesemia. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2005;97:1221-4.
  65. Dietrich A, Chubanov V, Gudermann T. Renal TRP channels. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2010;21:736-44.
  66. Lee DH, Park K, Kim JH, et al. Randomized Phase III trial of gefitinib versus docetaxel in non-small cell lung cancer patients who have previously received platinum-based chemotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2010;16:1307-14.
  67. Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:947-57.
  68. Gridelli C, Ciardiello F, Gallo C, et al. First-line erlotinib followed by second-line cisplatin-gemcitabine chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the TORCH randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30:3002-11.
  69. Shepherd FA, Rodrigues PJ, Ciuleanu T, et al. Erlotinib in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:123-32.
  70. Sequist LV, Yang JC, Yamamoto N, et al. Phase III study of afatinib or cisplatin plus pemetrexed in patients with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31:3327-34.
  71. Sobrero AF, Maurel J, Fehrenbacher L, et al. EPIC: phase III trial of cetuximab plus irinotecan after fluoropyrimidine and oxaliplatin failure in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26:2311-9.
  72. Bokemeyer C, Bondarenko I, Makhson A, et al. Fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin with and without cetuximab in the first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:663-71.
  73. Reynolds NA, Wagstaff AJ. Cetuximab: in the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Drugs*. 2004;64:109-18.
  74. Hecht JR, Mitchell E, Chidiac T, et al. A randomized phase IIIB trial of chemotherapy, bevacizumab, and panitumumab compared with chemotherapy and bevacizumab alone for metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:672-80.
  75. Peeters M, Price TJ, Cervantes A, et al. Randomized phase III study of panitumumab with fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan (FOLFIRI) compared with FOLFIRI alone as second-line treatment in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:4706-13.
  76. Cao Y, Liao C, Tan A, Liu L, Gao F. Meta-analysis of incidence and risk of hypomagnesemia with cetuximab for advanced cancer. *Chemotherapy*. 2010;56:459-65.
  77. Genentech Inc [accessed 26 July 2009,]. Available from: <http://www.gene.com/gene/products/information/pdf/tarceva-prescribing.pdf>
  78. Boeck S, Wollenberg A, Heinemann V. Leukocytoclastic vasculitis during treatment with the oral EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib. *Ann Oncol*. 2007;18:1582-3.
  79. Kurita N, Mise N, Fujii A, Ikeda S, Sugimoto T. Crescentic glomerulonephritis in a patient with advanced lung cancer during erlotinib therapy. *NDT Plus*. 2009;2:512-3.
  80. Kumasaka R, Nakamura N, Shirato K, et al. Side effects of therapy: case 1. Nephrotic syndrome associated with gefitinib therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004;22:2504-5.
  81. Masutani K, Fujisaki K, Maeda H, et al. Tubulointerstitial nephritis and IgA nephropathy in a patient with advanced lung cancer treated with long-term gefitinib. *Clin Exp Nephrol*. 2008;12:398-402.
  82. Fernandez-Guarino M, Ryan AM, Perez-Garcia B, Gonzalez-Lopez C, Olasolo PJ. Necrotizing vasculitis due to gefitinib (Iressa). *Int J Dermatol*. 2007;46:890-1.
  83. Wan HL, Yao NS. Acute renal failure associated with gefitinib therapy. *Lung*. 2006;184:249-50.
  84. Perazella MA. Onco-nephrology: renal toxicities of chemotherapeutic agents. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2012;7:1713-21.
  85. Fakhri M. Management of anti-EGFR-targeting monoclonal antibody-induced hypomagnesemia. *Oncology (Williston Park)*. 2008;22:74-6.

Correspondence to:

Elie El Rassy, MD

Hotel Dieu de France University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Saint Joseph University, Lebanon

Tel: +961 161 5300

Fax: +961 161 5300

E-mail: [elie.rassy@hotmail.com](mailto:elie.rassy@hotmail.com)

Received August 2015

Accepted November 2015