

Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics  
Vol.2, No.1 (2007),pp 39-45

ON QUASI UNIVERSAL COVERS FOR GROUPS ACTING  
ON TREES WITH INVERSIONS

R. M. S. MAHMOOD

NEW YORK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ABU DHABI, P.O. BOX 51216, UAE.

EMAIL: RASHEEDMSM@YAHOO.COM

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that if  $G$  is a group acting on a tree  $X$  with inversions and if  $(T; Y)$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  on  $X$ , then there exist a group  $\tilde{G}$  and a tree  $\tilde{X}$  induced by  $(T; Y)$  such that  $\tilde{G}$  acts on  $\tilde{X}$  with inversions,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{G}$ , and  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{X}$ . The pair  $(\tilde{G}; \tilde{X})$  is called the quasi universal cover of  $(G; X)$  induced by the  $(T; Y)$ .

**Keywords:** Groups acting on trees with inversions, Fundamental domains, Quasi universal cover, Isomorphic trees.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** Primary 20F65, 20E07, 20E08.

1. INTRODUCTION

The structure of groups acting on trees without inversions known as Bass-Serre theory obtained in [6], and the action with inversions obtained by Mahmud in [5]. Let  $G$  is a group acting on a tree  $X$  without inversions,  $T$  be a maximal tree of the quotient graph  $Y$  for the action of  $G$  on  $X$ , and  $\tilde{G} = \pi(G, Y, T)$  be the fundamental group of the graph of groups associated with  $Y$  relative  $T$  as defined in [6, p 42]. Various trees  $\tilde{X}$  were constructed on which  $\tilde{G}$  acts on  $\tilde{X}$  without inversions,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{G}$ , and  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{X}$ . For more details we refer the readers to [1, p 419], or [2, p 205], or [6, p 55]. In this case  $(\tilde{G}; \tilde{X})$  is called the universal cover of  $(G; X)$ . In this paper we generalize such result to groups acting on trees with inversions as follows. Let  $G$  is a group acting on a tree  $X$  with inversions,  $(T; Y)$  be a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  on  $X$ , and  $\tilde{G} = \pi(T; Y)$  be the fundamental group of  $(T; Y)$  defined

later. Then there exists a tree denoted  $\tilde{X} = \widetilde{(T, Y)}$  such that  $\tilde{G}$  acts on  $\tilde{X}$  with inversions,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{G}$ , and  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{X}$ . The pair  $(\tilde{G}; \tilde{X})$  is called the quasi universal cover of  $(G; X)$  relative to  $(T; Y)$ .

We begin by giving preliminary definitions. By a *graph*  $X$  we understand a pair of disjoint sets  $V(X)$  and  $E(X)$  with  $V(X)$  non-empty, together with three functions  $\partial_0 : E(X) \rightarrow V(X)$ ,  $\partial_1 : E(X) \rightarrow V(X)$ , and  $\eta : E(X) \rightarrow E(X)$  satisfying the conditions that  $\eta \partial_0 = \partial_1$ ,  $\eta \partial_1 = \partial_0$ , and  $\eta$  is an involution fixing some elements of  $E(X)$ . For simplicity, if  $e \in E(X)$ , we write  $\partial_0(e) = o(e)$ ,  $\partial_1(e) = t(e)$ , and  $\eta(e) = \bar{e}$ . This implies that  $o(\bar{e}) = t(e)$ ,  $t(\bar{e}) = o(e)$ , and  $\bar{\bar{e}} = e$  on which the case  $\bar{e} = e$  is allowed. We call the elements of  $V(X)$  *vertices* and those of  $E(X)$  *edges*. For  $e \in E(X)$ , we call  $o(e)$  the *initial* of  $e$ ,  $t(e)$  the *terminal* of  $e$ , and  $\bar{e}$  the *inverse* of  $e$ . If  $A$  is a set of edges of  $X$ , define  $\bar{A}$  to be the set of inverses of the edges of  $A$ . That is,  $\bar{A} = \{\bar{y} : y \in A\}$ .

There are obvious definitions of subgraphs, trees, morphisms of graphs and  $Aut(X)$ , the set of all automorphisms of the graph  $X$  which is a group under the composition of morphisms of graphs. For more details we refer the readers to Serre [6], or to Mahmud [5]. We say that a group  $G$  acts on a graph  $X$ , if there is a group homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow Aut(X)$ . If  $x \in X$  (vertex or edge) and  $g \in G$ , we write  $g(x)$  for  $(\phi(g))(x)$ . If  $y \in E(X)$  and  $g \in G$ , then  $g(o(y)) = o(g(y))$ ,  $g(t(y)) = t(g(y))$ , and  $g(\bar{y}) = \overline{g(y)}$ . The case  $g(y) = \bar{y}$  for some  $g \in G$  and some  $y \in E(X)$  may occur. That is,  $G$  acts on  $X$  with inversions.

We have the following notations related to the action of the group  $G$  on the graph  $X$ .

(1) If  $x \in X$  (vertex or edge), we define  $G(x) = \{g(x) : g \in G\}$ , and this set is called the orbit of  $x$ . (2) If  $x, y \in X$ , we define  $G(x \rightarrow y) = \{g \in G : g(x) = y\}$  and  $G(x \rightarrow x) = G_x$ , the stabilizer of  $x$ . Thus  $G(x \rightarrow y) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same orbit. It is clear that if  $v \in V(X)$ ,  $y \in E(X)$ , and  $u \in \{o(y), t(y)\}$ , then  $G(v, y) = \emptyset$ ,  $G_{\bar{y}} = G_y$ , and  $G_y \leq G_u$ .

## 2. STRUCTURE OF GROUPS ACTING ON TREES WITH INVERSIONS

The aim of this section is to establish various notational conventions and results that we shall use throughout the paper.

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a tree  $X$  with inversions. Let  $T$  and  $Y$  be two subtrees of  $X$ ,  $T \subseteq Y$  satisfying the conditions that  $T$  contains exactly one vertex from each vertex orbit, and each edge of  $Y$  has at least one end in  $T$  and  $Y$  contains exactly one edge  $y$  from each edge orbit such that  $G(y \rightarrow \bar{y}) = \emptyset$ , and exactly one pair  $x$  and  $\bar{x}$  from each edge orbit such that  $G(x \rightarrow \bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$ . The pair  $(T; Y)$  is called a *fundamental domain* for the action of  $G$  on  $X$ . It is clear that the structure of  $Y$  implies that if  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are two edges of  $Y$  such that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are in the same  $G$ -edge orbit, then  $e_1 = e_2$ , or  $e_1 = \bar{e}_2$ . For the existence of  $T$  and  $Y$  we refer the readers to [3].

For the rest of this section  $G, X, T$  and  $Y$  will be as above. We have the following notations.

- (i) Let  $+Y$  and  $-Y$  be the sets defined as follows.  $+Y = \{y \in E(Y) : o(y) \in V(T), t(y) \notin V(T), G(y \rightarrow \bar{y}) = \emptyset\}$ , and  $-Y = \{x \in E(Y) : o(x) \in V(T), t(x) \notin V(T), G(x \rightarrow \bar{x}) \neq \emptyset\}$ . It is clear that  $Y = E(T) \cup +Y \cup +\bar{Y} \cup -Y \cup -\bar{Y}$ .
- (ii) For each vertex  $v$  of  $X$ , let  $v^*$  be the unique vertex of  $T$  such that  $G(v^* \rightarrow v) \neq \emptyset$ . That is,  $v$  and  $v^*$  are in the same vertex orbit.
- (iii) For each edge  $e$  of  $E(T) \cup +Y \cup -Y$  define  $[e]$  be an element be an arbitrary element of  $G(t(e) \rightarrow (t(e))^*)$ . That is,  $[e]((t(e))^*) = t(e)$  to be chosen as follows.  $[e] = 1$  if  $e \in E(T)$ , and  $[e](e) = \bar{e}$  if  $e \in -Y$ .  
It is clear that  $[e]^{-1}G_e[e]$  is a subgroup of  $G_{(t(e))^*}$ , and if  $e \in -Y$ , then  $[e]^2 \in G_e$ .

**Proposition 2.1.**  $G$  is generated by the elements  $[e]$  and by the generators of  $G_v$ , where  $e$  runs over the edges of  $Y$  and  $v$  runs over the vertices of  $T$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 5.1 of [5].

### 3. QUASI UNIVERSAL COVERS FOR GROUPS ACTING ON TREES WITH INVERSIONS

Throughout this section  $G$  will be a group acting on a tree  $X$  with inversions, and  $(T; Y)$  be a fundamental domain for the action  $G$  on  $X$ . In [4], Mahmood introduced the concept of a subfundamental domain  $(T_1; Y_1)$  for the action of  $G$  on  $X$ , and defined it is fundamental group  $\pi(T_1; Y_1)$ , and then showed that there exists a tree denoted  $(\widetilde{T_1}; \widetilde{Y_1})$  on which  $\pi(T_1; Y_1)$  acts with inversions. In this section we take  $T_1$  and  $Y_1$  of Definition 4.1 of [4] to be  $T_1 = T$  and  $Y_1 = Y$ , and  $\tilde{G}_v = G_v$ ,  $\tilde{G}_y = [y]^{-1}G_y[y]$  such that  $\phi_y : [y]^{-1}G_y[y] \rightarrow G_y$  is given by  $\phi_y(g) = [y]g[y]^{-1}$  and  $\phi_y(g) = [y]g[y]^{-1}$  if  $G(y, \bar{y}) \neq \emptyset$  for any vertex  $v$  of  $T$  and any edge  $y$  of  $Y$ . Then by Proposition 5.2 of [4] implies that the group  $\pi(T, Y)$  has the presentation

$$\pi(T, Y) = \langle G_v, t_y, t_x \mid \text{rel}G_v, G_m = G_{\bar{m}}, \\ t_y \cdot [y]^{-1}G_y[y] \cdot t_y^{-1} = G_y, t_x \cdot G_x \cdot t_x^{-1} = G_x, t_x^2 = [x]^2 \rangle$$

where  $v \in V(T)$ ,  $m \in E(T)$ ,  $y \in +Y$ , and  $x \in -Y$ .

The notations of the presentation of  $\pi(T, Y)$  are defined as follows.

- (i)  $\langle G_v \mid \text{rel}G_v \rangle$  is any presentation of  $G_v$ .
- (ii)  $G_m = G_{\bar{m}}$  is the set of relations  $w(g) = w'(g)$ , where  $w(g)$  and  $w'(g)$  are words in the generating symbols of  $G_{t(m)}$  and  $G_{o(m)}$  respectively of value  $g$ , where  $g$  is an element in the set of the generators of  $G_m$ .
- (iii)  $t_y \cdot [y]^{-1}G_y[y] \cdot t_y^{-1} = G_y$  is the set of relations  $t_y w([y]^{-1}g[y]) t_y^{-1} = w(g)$ , where  $w([y]^{-1}g[y])$  and  $w(g)$  are words in the sets of generating symbols of  $G_{(t(y))^*}$  and  $G_{o(y)}$  of values  $[y]^{-1}g[y]$  and  $g$  respectively, where  $g$  is an element in the set of the generators of  $G_y$ .
- (iv)  $t_x \cdot G_x \cdot t_x^{-1} = G_x$  is the set of relations  $t_x w(g) t_x^{-1} = w'(g)$ , where  $w(g)$  and  $w'(g)$  are words in the set of generating symbols of  $G_{o(x)}$  of values  $g$  and  $[x]g[x]^{-1}$  respectively, where  $g$  is an element in the set of the generators of  $G_x$ .
- (v)  $t_x^2 = [x]^2$  is the relation  $x^2 = w([x]^2)$ , where  $w([x]^2)$  is a word in the set of the generating symbols of  $G_{o(x)}$  of value  $[x]^2$ .

By Theorem 7.14 of [4], we have the tree  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$  defined as follows.  $V(\widetilde{(T, Y)}) = \{[g, v] : g \in \pi(T, Y), v \in V(T)\}$ , and  $E(\widetilde{(T, Y)}) = \{[g, y] : g \in \pi(T, Y), y \in E(T) \cup +Y \cup +\overline{Y} \cup -Y\}$ , where  $[g, v]$  is the ordered pair  $(gG_v, v)$  and  $[g, y]$  is the ordered pair  $(gG_y, y)$ . (Note that if  $g \in G_v$ , or  $g \in G_y$ , then  $[g, v] = [1, v]$ , and  $[g, y] = [1, y]$ ). Define the ends and the inverse of the edge  $[g, y]$  of  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$  to be as follows.  $o([g, y]) = [g, (o(y))^*]$ ,  $t([g, y]) = [gt_y, (t(y))^*]$ , and

$$\overline{[g, y]} = \begin{cases} [gt_y, \bar{y}] \text{ if } y \in E(T) \cup +Y \cup +\overline{Y} \\ [gt_y, y] \text{ if } y \in -Y \end{cases} .$$

Proposition 7.4 of [4], implies that  $\pi(T, Y)$  acts on  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$  with inversions as follows. If  $f \in \pi(T, Y)$ ,  $[g, v] \in V(\widetilde{(T, Y)})$ , and  $[g, v] \in E(\widetilde{(T, Y)})$ , then  $f[g, v] = [fg, v]$ , and  $f[g, y] = [fg, y]$ .

We note that Corollary 7.5 of [4], implies that if  $y \in -Y$ , then  $\pi(T, Y)$  inverts all edges  $[g, y]$  in  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$ .

For example, the element  $t_y$  takes the edge  $[1, y]$  into its inverse  $[t_y, y]$ , because  $\overline{[1, y]} = [t_y, y] = t_y[1, y]$ .

Now we show that  $\pi(T, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $G$  and  $\pi(T, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $X$ . First we start by the following definitions and propositions.

**Definition 3.1.** Define the mapping  $\theta : \pi(T, Y) \rightarrow G$  by the identity mapping on  $G_v$  and by the mapping  $t_y \rightarrow [y]$ ,  $t_x \rightarrow [x]$ , where  $v \in V(T)$ ,  $y \in +Y$ , and  $x \in -Y$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\theta$  is an onto homomorphism.

**Proof.** It is clear that the images  $[y]$  and  $[x]$  of  $y$  and  $x$  respectively under the given mapping  $t_y \rightarrow [y]$ ,  $t_x \rightarrow [x]$ , where  $y \in +Y$ , and  $x \in -Y$  satisfy the defining relations  $t_y \cdot [y]^{-1} G_y [y] \cdot t_y^{-1} = G_y$ ,  $t_x \cdot G_x \cdot t_x^{-1} = G_x$ , and  $t_x^2 = [x]^2$  of  $\pi(T, Y)$ . So, by Dyck's Theorem [2, Th.14. p.19] the given mapping defines the given homomorphism  $\theta : \pi(T, Y) \rightarrow G$ . Since by Proposition 2.1,  $G$  is generated by  $G_v$  and by  $[y]$  and  $[x]$ , where  $v \in V(T)$ ,  $y \in +Y$ , and  $x \in -Y$ , therefore  $\theta$  is an onto homomorphism. This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.3.** Define  $\sigma : \widetilde{(T, Y)} \rightarrow X$  by  $\sigma([g, v]) = (\theta(g))(v)$ , and

$$\sigma([g, y]) = \begin{cases} (\theta(g))(y) \text{ if } o(y) \in V(T) \\ (\theta(g))[y](y) \text{ if } o(y) \notin V(T) \end{cases}$$

where  $v \in V(T)$ , and  $y \in E(T) \cup +Y \cup +\overline{Y} \cup -Y$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**  $\sigma$  is an onto morphism.

**Proof.** It is clear that  $\sigma$  maps vertices to vertices and edges to edges. If  $[f, u]$  and  $[g, v]$  are two vertices of  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$  such that  $[f, u] = [g, v]$ , then  $u = v$  and  $fG_v = gG_v$ .

Then  $f = gh$ ,  $h \in G_v$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma([f, u]) &= \sigma([gh, v]) \\
 &= (\theta(gh))(v) \\
 &= (\theta(g)\theta(h))(v) \text{ because } \theta \text{ is a homomorphism} \\
 &= \theta(g)(\theta(h))(v) \\
 &= \theta(g)(\sigma[h, v]) \\
 &= \theta(g)(\sigma[1, v]) \text{ because } h \in G_v \\
 &= \theta(g)(\theta(1))(v) \\
 &= \theta(g)(1)(v) \\
 &= \theta(g)(v) \\
 &= \sigma([g, v]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $[f, x]$  and  $[g, y]$  are two edges of  $(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{Y})$  such that  $[f, x] = [g, y]$ , then  $\sigma[f, x] = \sigma[g, y]$ .

This implies that  $\sigma$  is a well-defined mapping.

Now let  $[g, y]$  be an edge of  $(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{Y})$ . We need to prove the following.

- (i)  $\sigma(o[g, y]) = o(\sigma[g, y])$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma(t[g, y]) = t(\sigma[g, y])$ , and
- (iii)  $\sigma(\overline{[g, y]}) = \overline{\sigma[g, y]}$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(o([g, y])) &= \sigma([g, (o(y))^*]) \\
 &= (\theta(g))(o(y))^* \\
 &= (\theta(g))(o(y)^*) \\
 &= \begin{cases} (\theta(g))(o(y)) & \text{if } o(y) \in V(T) \\ (\theta(g))[y](o(y)) & \text{if } o(y) \notin V(T) \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} o((\theta(g))(y)) & \text{if } o(y) \in V(T) \\ o((\theta(g))[y](y)) & \text{if } o(y) \notin V(T) \end{cases} \\
 &= o(\sigma[g, y]).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(t([g, y])) &= \sigma([gt_y, (t(y))^*]) \\
 &= (\theta(g)t_y)((t(y))^*) \\
 &= (\theta(g)[y])(t(y))^* \\
 &= \begin{cases} (\theta(g)[y][\bar{y}](t(y)) & \text{if } o(y) \in V(T) \\ (\theta(g)[y](t(y)) & \text{if } o(y) \notin V(T) \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} t((\theta(g))(y)) & \text{if } o(y) \in V(T) \\ t((\theta(g))[y](y)) & \text{if } o(y) \notin V(T) \end{cases} \\
 &= t(\sigma[g, y]),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(\overline{[g, y]}) &= \begin{cases} [gt_y, \bar{y}] & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup +Y \cup \overline{+Y} \\ [gt_y, y] & \text{if } y \in -Y \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (\theta(gt_y))[\bar{y}](\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup +Y \\ (\theta(gt_y))(\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup \overline{+Y} \\ (\theta(gt_y))(y) & \text{if } y \in -Y \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (\theta(g[y]))[\bar{y}](\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup +Y \\ (\theta(g[y]))(\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup \overline{+Y} \\ (\theta(g[y]))(y) & \text{if } y \in -Y \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (\theta(g))(\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup +Y \\ (\theta(g[y]))(\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup \overline{+Y} \\ (\theta(g))(\bar{y}) & \text{if } y \in -Y \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \overline{(\theta(g))(y)} & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup +Y \\ \overline{(\theta(g[y]))(y)} & \text{if } y \in E(T) \cup \overline{+Y} \\ (\theta(g))(y) & \text{if } y \in -Y \end{cases} \\
 &= \overline{\sigma[g, y]}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sigma$  is a well-defined morphism. Since  $\theta$  is onto, therefore  $\sigma$  is onto. This completes the proof.

The following concept is needed in order to show that  $\sigma : \widetilde{(T, Y)} \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism.

If  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are two graphs, and  $f : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  is a morphism, then locally injective if for every two edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  of  $\Gamma_1$  such that  $o(e_1) = o(e_2)$ , and  $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$ , then  $e_1 = e_2$ .

The following proposition is essential to prove the main theorem of this section.

**Proposition 3.5.**  *$\sigma$  is locally injective.*

**Proof.** Let  $[a_1, e_1]$  and  $[a_2, e_2]$  be two edges of  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$  such that  $o[a_1, e_1] = o[a_2, e_2]$  and  $\sigma[a_1, e_1] = \sigma[a_2, e_2]$ . We need to show that  $e_1 = e_2$ , and  $a_1^{-1}a_2 \in G_{e_1}$ . It is clear that  $(o(e_1))^* = (o(e_2))^*$ ,  $a_1^{-1}a_2 \in G_{(o(e_1))^*}$ , and  $\theta(a_1^{-1}a_2)(e_1) = e_2$ . This implies that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are in the same  $G$ -edge orbit on  $X$ . Since  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are in  $Y$ , therefore the properties of  $Y$  imply that  $e_1 = e_2$ , or  $e_1 = \bar{e}_2$ . If  $e_1 = e_2$ , then it is clear that  $\theta(a_1^{-1}a_2) \in G_{e_1}$ . Since  $G_{e_1} \leq G_{(o(e_1))^*}$ , and  $\theta$  is the identity on  $G_{(o(e_1))^*}$ , therefore  $\theta$  is the identity on  $G_{e_1}$ . This implies that  $a_1^{-1}a_2 \in G_{e_1}$ . Consequently  $[a_1, e_1] = [a_2, e_2]$ .

If  $e_1 = \bar{e}_2$ , then  $G(e_1, \bar{e}_1) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $e_2$  is in  $\overline{-Y_1}$ . This contradicts the fact that the edges of  $\widetilde{(T, Y)}$  are of the forms  $[g, e]$ , where  $g \in \pi(T, Y)$ , and  $y \in E(T) \cup +Y \cup \overline{+Y} \cup -Y$ . This completes the proof.

For the proof of the following lemma we refer the readers to [6, Lemma 5, p 39].

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $\Gamma_1$  is a connected graph,  $\Gamma_2$  is a tree, and  $f : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  is locally injective, then  $f$  is injective.*

Now we state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $G, X, T, Y, \sigma$ , and  $\theta$  be as above such that  $X$  is a tree. Then (1)  $\sigma : \widetilde{(T, Y)} \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism. (2)  $\theta : \pi(T, Y) \rightarrow G$  is an isomorphism.*

**Proof.** (i) By Proposition 3.4,  $\sigma$  is an onto morphism, and by Proposition 3.5,  $\sigma$  is locally injective. Since  $X$  is a tree, therefore by Lemma 3.6.  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism.

(ii) Suppose that  $h \in \ker(\theta)$ , and  $v$  be any vertex of  $T$ . Then  $\sigma[1, v] = (\theta(1))(v) = v$ , because  $\theta$  is a homomorphism, and  $\sigma[h, v] = (\theta(h))(v) = v$ , since  $h \in \ker(\theta)$ . Then we have  $\sigma[h, v] = \sigma[1, v]$ . Since  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism, therefore  $[h, v] = [1, v]$ . This implies that  $h \in G_v$ . Since  $\theta$  restricted to  $G_v$  is an isomorphism, therefore  $h = 1$ . Since by Proposition 3.2,  $\theta$  is an onto homomorphism, therefore  $\theta$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. M. Chiswell, Embedding theorems for groups with an integer-valued length function, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **85** (1979), 417-429.
- [2] D. E. Cohen, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, a topological approach (London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), (1989).
- [3] M. Khanfar, and R. M. S. Mahmud, A note on groups acting on connected graphs, *J. Univ. Kuwait Sci.* **16** (1989), no. **2**, 205-208.
- [4] R. M. S. Mahmood, Groups acting on graphs with inversions. Submitted.
- [5] R. M. S. Mahmud, Presentation of groups acting on trees with inversions, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **113** (1989), no. **3-4**, 235-241.
- [6] J. P. Serre, Arbres, Amalgames,  $SL_2$ , *Astérisque* No. **46**, Société Mathématique France, Paris, (1977) (French), English translation, Trees, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980).