

## Properties of Central Symmetric $X$ -Form Matrices

A. M. Nazari\* , E. Afshari and A. Omid Bidgoli

Department of Mathematics, University of Arak, Arak, 38156, Iran

E-mail: a-nazari@araku.ac.ir

E-mail: e-afshari@arashad.araku.ac.ir

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce a special form of symmetric matrices that is called central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix and study some of their properties, the inverse eigenvalue problem and inverse singular value problem for these matrices.

**Keywords:** Inverse eigenvalue problem, Inverse singular value problem, eigenvalue, singular value.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 15A29; 15A18.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3,4] H. Pickman et. studied the inverse eigenvalue problem of symmetric tridiagonal and symmetric bordered diagonal matrices. In this paper we introduce the odd and even order central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix for an integer number  $n$  respectively as below:

suppose

---

\*Corresponding Author

$$(1) \quad A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & & & & & & & & b_n \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & & b_2 & & & & \\ & & & a_1 & & b_1 & & & \\ & & & b_2 & & a_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\ b_n & & & & & & & & a_n \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)},$$

$$(2) \quad B_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & & & & & & & & b_n \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & & b_2 & & & & \\ & & & a_1 & b_1 & & & & \\ & & & b_1 & a_1 & & & & \\ & & & b_2 & & a_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\ b_n & & & & & & & & a_n \end{pmatrix}_{(2n) \times (2n)}$$

For a given  $2n - 1$  real numbers such as

$$\lambda_1^{(n)} < \lambda_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \lambda_1^{(2)} < \lambda_1^{(1)} < \lambda_2^{(2)} < \dots < \lambda_n^{(n)},$$

or for a given  $2n$  real numbers such as

$$\lambda_1^{(2n)} < \lambda_1^{(2(n-1))} < \dots < \lambda_1^{(2)} < \lambda_2^{(2)} < \dots < \lambda_{2(n-1)}^{(2(n-1))} < \lambda_{2n}^{(2n)},$$

we construct a matrix  $A_n$  such that  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  and  $\lambda_j^{(j)}$  are the maximal and minimal eigenvalues of submatrix  $A_j$  respectively for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $A_j$  is defined by

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & & & & & & & & b_j \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & & b_2 & & & & \\ & & & a_1 & & b_1 & & & \\ & & & b_2 & & a_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\ b_j & & & & & & & & a_j \end{pmatrix}_{(2j-1) \times (2j-1)}, \quad (3)$$

matrix  $B_j$  such that  $\lambda_1^{(2j)}$  and  $\lambda_{2j}^{(2j)}$  are the maximal and minimal eigenvalues of submatrix  $B_j$  respectively for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $B_j$  is defined by  $B_j =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_j & & & & & & & b_j \\ & \ddots & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & & & & b_2 & \\ & & & a_1 & b_1 & & & \\ & & & b_1 & a_1 & & & \\ & & b_2 & & & a_2 & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & \\ b_j & & & & & & & a_j \end{pmatrix}_{(2j) \times (2j)}$$

2. PROPERTIES OF THE MATRICES  $A_n$  AND  $B_n$

Let  $p_0(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $q_0(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $p_j(\lambda) = \det(A_j - \lambda I_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $q_j(\lambda) = \det(B_j - \lambda I_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 1.** For a given matrix  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  the sequence  $p_j(\lambda)$  and  $q_j(\lambda)$  satisfy in the following recurrence relations:

- a)  $p_1(\lambda) = (a_1 - \lambda)$ ,
- b)  $p_j(\lambda) = [(a_j - \lambda)^2 - b_j^2]p_{j-1}(\lambda)$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .
- c)  $q_1(\lambda) = ((a_1 - \lambda)^2 - b_1^2)$ ,
- b)  $q_j(\lambda) = [(a_j - \lambda)^2 - b_j^2]q_{j-1}(\lambda)$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** The proof is clear by extending determinants of  $(A_j - \lambda I_j)$  and  $(B_j - \lambda I_j)$  on their first columns.

2.1. LU factorization of central symmetric X-form matrix.

Let  $A$  be a central symmetric X-form matrix in form (1) and  $B$  be a central symmetric X-form matrix in form (2), then we see that the LU Doolittle factorization of  $A$  and  $B$  are given by

$$L_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & \ell_{n+1,n-1} & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ \ell_{2n-1,1} & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)},$$

$$U_A = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & u_{1,2n-1} \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & u_{n-1,n-1} & & u_{n-1,n+1} & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & u_{n,n} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & u_{n+1,n+1} & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & u_{2n-1,2n-1} \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)},$$

where the elements  $l_{i,j}$  and  $u_{i,j}$  are as the following

$$l_{n+i,n-i} = \frac{b_{i+1}}{a_{i+1}} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

Also

$$\begin{cases} u_{i,2n-i} = b_{n+1-i} & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \\ u_{ii} = a_{n+1-i} & i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ u_{n+i,n+i} = \frac{a_{i+1}^2 - b_{i+1}^2}{a_{i+1}} & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$

and  $L_B$  and  $U_B$  in factorization of  $B = L_B U_B$  are as below

$$L_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & \frac{b_1}{a_1} & & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \frac{b_n}{a_n} & & & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2n) \times (2n)},$$

$$U_B = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & b_n \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & a_2 & & & & b_2 & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & a_1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & \frac{a_1^2 - b_1^2}{a_1} & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \frac{a_2^2 - b_2^2}{a_2} & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \frac{a_n^2 - b_n^2}{a_n} \end{pmatrix}_{(2n) \times (2n)},$$

**Remark 1.** We observe that the matrices  $L_A$  and  $L_B$  in LU factorization of central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix has a unit  $\lambda$ -matrix.

**Corollary 1.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are odd-order and even-order of a central symmetric  $X$ -form matrices in form (1) and (2) respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= a_1 \prod_{i=2}^n (a_i^2 - b_i^2), \\ \det(B) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i^2 - b_i^2). \end{aligned}$$

**2.2. Inverse of  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ .** It is clear that the necessary and sufficient conditions for invertibility of  $A_n$  are  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $a_i \neq \pm b_i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . If the matrix  $\Phi$  be the inverse of  $A_n$ , then we have  $A_n \Phi = I$ . If the elements of column  $j$  of  $\Phi$  be  $(\Phi_{1j}, \Phi_{2j}, \dots, \Phi_{nj})^T$  then we have the following linear system of equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_n & & & & & & & & & & & & b_n \\ & a_{n-1} & & & & & & & & & & & b_{n-1} \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & a_2 & & b_2 & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & a_1 & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & b_2 & & a_2 & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & a_{n-1} & & & & \vdots \\ b_n & & & & & & & & & a_n & & & \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{1,j} \\ \Phi_{2,j} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{j-1,j} \\ \Phi_{j,j} \\ \Phi_{j+1,j} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{2n-2,j} \\ \Phi_{2n-1,j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With solving the above linear system for all column of  $\Phi$  we have

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{ii} = \Phi_{2n-i, 2n-i} = -\frac{a_{n+1-i}}{b_{n+1-i}^2 - a_{n+1-i}^2} & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ \Phi_{nn} = \frac{1}{a_1} \\ \Phi_{2n-i, i} = \Phi_{i, 2n-i} = \frac{b_{n+1-i}}{b_{n+1-i}^2 - a_{n+1-i}^2} & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{cases},$$

and this shows that  $\Phi$  is also the central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix. For the inverse of  $B_n$  we also have similar relations.

### 3. INVERSE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $\lambda_1^{(j)}, \lambda_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are the  $2n - 1$  distinct real numbers, then there exist a central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix in form (1) such that  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  and  $\lambda_j^{(j)}$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of submatrix  $A_j$  respectively in form (3) if and only if

$$(4) \quad \lambda_1^{(n)} < \lambda_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \lambda_1^{(2)} < \lambda_1^{(1)} < \lambda_2^{(2)} < \dots < \lambda_n^{(n)}.$$

**Proof.** Existence of matrices  $A_n$  such that  $\lambda_1^{(j)}, \lambda_j^{(j)}$  are the its maximal and minimal eigenvalues respectively of its submatrix for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is equivalence to finding the solution for the following linear system of equations:

$$(5) \quad p_j(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = [(a_j - \lambda_1^{(j)})^2 - b_j^2] p_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0,$$

$$(6) \quad p_j(\lambda_j^{(j)}) = [(a_j - \lambda_j^{(j)})^2 - b_j^2] p_{j-1}(\lambda_j^{(j)}) = 0,$$

$$(5) \implies [(a_j - \lambda_1^{(j)})^2 - b_j^2] [(a_{j-1} - \lambda_1^{(j)})^2 - b_{j-1}^2] \dots [(a_2 - \lambda_1^{(j)})^2 - b_2^2] [a_1 - \lambda_1^{(j)}] = 0,$$

$$(6) \implies [(a_j - \lambda_j^{(j)})^2 - b_j^2] [(a_{j-1} - \lambda_j^{(j)})^2 - b_{j-1}^2] \dots [(a_2 - \lambda_j^{(j)})^2 - b_2^2] [a_1 - \lambda_j^{(j)}] = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (a_j - \lambda_1^{(j)})^2 - b_j^2 &= 0 & \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, n \\ (a_j - \lambda_j^{(j)})^2 - b_j^2 &= 0 & \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_1 = \lambda_1^{(1)}$  and whereas  $\lambda_1^{(j)} \neq \lambda_j^{(j)}$ , we have  $a_j = \frac{\lambda_1^{(j)} + \lambda_j^{(j)}}{2}$  and  $b_j^2 = \left(\frac{\lambda_j^{(j)} - \lambda_1^{(j)}}{2}\right)^2$  for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , therefore we can find all entries of matrix  $A_n$ .

Conversely since  $p_j(\lambda) = [(a_j - \lambda)^2 - b_j^2] p_{j-1}(\lambda)$ , then each root of  $p_{j-1}$  is a root of  $p_j$ , and we know that  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  and  $\lambda_j^{(j)}$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of submatrix  $A_j$  in form (3) respectively, thus  $\lambda_1^{(j-1)}$  and  $\lambda_{j-1}^{(j-1)}$  are in between  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  and  $\lambda_j^{(j)}$ , i.e

$$(7) \quad \lambda_1^{(j)} < \lambda_1^{(j-1)} < \lambda_{j-1}^{(j-1)} < \lambda_j^{(j)}.$$

and so on we can write

$$\lambda_1^{(n)} < \lambda_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \lambda_1^{(2)} < \lambda_1^{(1)} < \lambda_2^{(2)} < \dots < \lambda_n^{(n)}.$$

So the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $\lambda_1^{(2j)}, \lambda_{2j}^{(2j)}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are the  $2n$  distinct real numbers, then there exist an even-order central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix in form (2) such that  $\lambda_1^{(2j)}$  and  $\lambda_{2j}^{(2j)}$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of submatrix  $B_j$  respectively, if and only if

$$(8) \quad \lambda_1^{(2n)} < \lambda_1^{(2n-2)} < \dots < \lambda_1^{(2)} < \lambda_2^{(2)} < \dots < \lambda_{2n}^{(2n)}.$$

**Proof.** Proof is similar to proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** If  $b_j$  for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , are positive, then the matrix  $A_n$  is unique.

**Remark 3.** Whereas all eigenvalues of  $A_{j-1}$  are the subset of eigenvalues  $A_j$  then all eigenvalues relation (4) are all eigenvalues of  $A_n$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $A_n$  is a central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix in form (1), then we have

(a)  $\lambda_1^{(j)} < a_j < \lambda_j^{(j)}$  where  $\lambda_1^{(j)}, \lambda_j^{(j)}$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of submatrix of  $A_n$ , for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

(b) If  $b_i > 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, j$ , then  $\|A_j\|_\infty = \|A_j\|_1 = \lambda_j^{(j)}$ , and if  $b_i < 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, j$ , then  $\|A_j\|_\infty = \|A_j\|_1 = \lambda_1^{(j)}$ .

**Proof.** (a) According to the previous theorem 2, we have  $a_j = \frac{\lambda_1^{(j)} + \lambda_j^{(j)}}{2}$  and also we have  $\lambda_1^{(j)} < \lambda_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{(j)} + \lambda_1^{(j)}}{2} < \frac{\lambda_1^{(j)} + \lambda_j^{(j)}}{2} < \frac{\lambda_j^{(j)} + \lambda_j^{(j)}}{2} \implies \lambda_1^{(j)} < a_j < \lambda_j^{(j)}.$$

(b) Case (I):  $b_i > 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$\|A_j\|_\infty = \|A_j\|_1 = \max \{a_i + b_i = \frac{\lambda_1^{(i)} + \lambda_i^{(i)}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_i^{(i)} - \lambda_1^{(i)}}{2} = \lambda_i^{(i)} \quad i = 2, \dots, j\} = \lambda_j^{(j)}$$

for  $j=2, \dots, n$ .

Case (II):  $b_i < 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$\|A_j\|_\infty = \|A_j\|_1 = \max \{a_i + b_i = \frac{\lambda_1^{(i)} + \lambda_i^{(i)}}{2} + \frac{\lambda_1^{(i)} - \lambda_i^{(i)}}{2} = \lambda_1^{(i)} \quad i = 2, \dots, j\} = \lambda_1^{(j)}$$

for  $j=2, \dots, n$ .

so that proof is completed.  $\square$

4. INVERSE SINGULAR VALUE PROBLEM

In this section we study two inverse singular value problems as below:

**problem I.** Given  $2n - 1$  nonnegative real numbers  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We find  $(2n - 1) \times (2n - 1)$  central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix  $A_n$  in form (1), such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are minimal and maximal singular value of submatrix  $A_j$  of  $A_n$  in form (3), and for given  $2n$  nonnegative real numbers  $\sigma_1^{(2j)}$  and  $\sigma_{2j}^{(2j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , similarly we find  $(2n) \times (2n)$  central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix  $B_n$  in form (2), such that  $\sigma_1^{(2j)}$  and  $\sigma_{2j}^{(2j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are minimal and maximal singular value of submatrix  $B_j$  of  $B_n$ .

**problem II.** Given  $2n - 1$  nonnegative real numbers  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we find the  $\lambda$ -matrix  $\Lambda_n$  in form (9) such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrix  $\Lambda_j$  from  $\Lambda_n$ , where

$$(9)\Lambda_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & \alpha_2 & & & & & & \\ & & & \alpha_1 & & & & & \\ & & \beta_2 & & \sqrt{\alpha_2^2 - \beta_2^2} & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ \beta_n & & & & & & & & \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 - \beta_n^2} \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)}$$

Furthermore for  $2n$  given nonnegative real numbers  $\sigma_1^{(2j)}$  and  $\sigma_{2j}^{(2j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we find the  $\lambda$ -matrix  $\Gamma_n$  in form (10) such that  $\sigma_1^{(2j)}$  and  $\sigma_{2j}^{(2j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrix  $\Gamma_j$  from  $\Gamma_n$ , where

$$(10)\Gamma_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & \alpha_2 & & & & & & \\ & & & \alpha_1 & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \beta_2 & & \alpha_1 & & & & \\ & & & & & \sqrt{\alpha_2^2 - \beta_2^2} & & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ \beta_n & & & & & & & & \sqrt{\alpha_n^2 - \beta_n^2} \end{pmatrix}_{(2n) \times (2n)}$$

**Theorem 3.** Assume  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the  $(2n - 1)$  real nonnegative numbers, then there exist a central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix in form (1) such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrix  $A_j$  respectively in form (3) if and only if  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  satisfy in the following

relation:

$$(11) \quad \sigma_1^{(n)} < \sigma_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \sigma_1^{(2)} < \sigma_1^{(1)} < \sigma_2^{(2)} < \dots < \sigma_n^{(n)}$$

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be the real nonnegative number that satisfy in (11). It is clear that  $(\sigma_1^{(j)})^2$  and  $(\sigma_j^{(j)})^2$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , satisfy in there relations, this means

$$(12) \quad (\sigma_1^{(n)})^2 < (\sigma_1^{(n-1)})^2 < \dots < (\sigma_1^{(2)})^2 < (\sigma_1^{(1)})^2 < (\sigma_2^{(2)})^2 < \dots < (\sigma_n^{(n)})^2.$$

By Theorem 1 there exist an odd-order central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix that  $(\sigma_1^{(j)})^2$  and  $(\sigma_j^{(j)})^2$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of its submatrices respectively. We show this matrix by  $A_n$  as follows:

$$(13) \quad A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & & & & b_n \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & & b_2 \\ & & & a_1 & \\ & & & b_2 & a_2 \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots \\ b_n & & & & a_n \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)},$$

where

$$a_i = \frac{(\sigma_1^{(i)})^2 + (\sigma_i^{(i)})^2}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$b_i = \frac{((\sigma_i^{(i)})^2 - (\sigma_1^{(i)})^2)^2}{2}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

On the other hand if  $C_n$  be an odd-order central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix as follows

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & & & & \beta_n \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots \\ & & \alpha_2 & & \beta_2 \\ & & & \alpha_1 & \\ & & & \beta_2 & \alpha_2 \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots \\ \beta_n & & & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)},$$

then



$$C_n C_n^T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n^2 + \beta_n^2 & & & & & & & & 2\alpha_n \beta_n \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 & & & & & & \\ & & & 2\alpha_2 \beta_2 & & & & & \\ & & & & \alpha_1^2 & & & & \\ & & & 2\alpha_2 \beta_2 & & \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\ 2\alpha_n \beta_n & & & & & & & & \alpha_n^2 + \beta_n^2 \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)} \quad (14)$$

Since  $A_n = C_n C_n^T$ , we can find the all elements of matrix  $C_n C_n^T$  as the following

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \alpha_1^2, \\ a_i &= \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n \\ b_i &= 2\alpha_i \beta_i, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

by combination of the above relations we have

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha_i + \beta_i)^2 = a_i + b_i \\ (\alpha_i - \beta_i)^2 = a_i - b_i \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \alpha_i = \frac{\sqrt{(a_i+b_i)+\sqrt{(a_i-b_i)}}}{2} \\ \beta_i = \frac{\sqrt{(a_i+b_i)-\sqrt{(a_i-b_i)}}}{2} \end{cases} \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

Therefore the matrix  $C_n$  is solution of our problem.

Conversely at first, assume  $C_n$  is a matrix of form (1) of order  $(2n - 1) \times (2n - 1)$  such that  $\sigma_1^j$  and  $\sigma_j^j$  are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrix  $C_j$  in form (3) respectively. Then  $(\sigma_1^j)^2$  and  $(\sigma_j^j)^2$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of submatrices  $(C_n C_n^T)_j$  of  $C_n C_n^T$  respectively. By Theorem 1 we have

$$(15) \quad (\sigma_1^{(n)})^2 < (\sigma_1^{(n-1)})^2 < \dots < (\sigma_1^{(2)})^2 < (\sigma_1^{(1)})^2 < (\sigma_2^{(2)})^2 < \dots < (\sigma_n^{(n)})^2,$$

consequently we have

$$\sigma_1^{(n)} < \sigma_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \sigma_1^{(2)} < \sigma_1^{(1)} < \sigma_2^{(2)} < \dots < \sigma_n^{(n)},$$

and proof will be completed.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** There is a similar result for even-order of above Theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Assume  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the  $(2n - 1)$  positive real numbers, then there exist a matrix in form (9) such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrix  $\Lambda_j$  of  $\Lambda_n$  respectively, if and only if  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  satisfy in the following relation

$$(16) \quad \sigma_1^{(n)} < \sigma_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \sigma_1^{(2)} < \sigma_1^{(1)} < \sigma_2^{(2)} < \dots < \sigma_n^{(n)}$$

**Proof.** Assume  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$ , are  $2n - 1$  positive real numbers which satisfy in the relation (11), consider the squares  $(\sigma_1^{(j)})^2$  and  $(\sigma_j^{(j)})^2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , it is clear that

these satisfy in relation (15), then from Theorem 1 there exist a central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & & & & & & & & b_n \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & a_2 & & b_2 & & & & \\ & & & a_1 & & & & & \\ & & b_2 & & a_2 & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ b_n & & & & & & & & a_n \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)}$$

such that  $(\sigma_1^{(j)})^2$  and  $(\sigma_1^{(j)})^2$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of  $A_j$  from  $A$  respectively. We observe that if matrix  $\Lambda$  has form (9) then  $\Lambda\Lambda^T$  has form (1) as follows

$$\Lambda\Lambda^T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n^2 & & & & & & & & \alpha_n\beta_n \\ & \alpha_{n-1}^2 & & & & & & & \alpha_{n-1}\beta_{n-1} \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & & \alpha_2^2 & & \alpha_2\beta_2 & & & \\ & & & \alpha_2\beta_2 & & \alpha_1^2 & & & \\ & & & & & & \alpha_2^2 & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & \alpha_{n-1}^2 \\ \alpha_n\beta_n & & & & & & & & \alpha_n^2 \end{pmatrix}_{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)}$$

Now we set  $\alpha_j^2 = a_j$   $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta_j\alpha_j = b_j$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , to compute the entries of an  $(2n-1) \times (2n-1)$  matrix  $\Lambda$  of the form (9) with the prescribed extremal singular values for the submatrices  $\Lambda_j$

The proof of the second part is similar to the proof of inverse Theorem 3 .  $\square$

**Remark 5.** There is a similar result for even-order of above Theorem.

## 5. EXAMPLES

**Example 1.** Assume  $n = 5$  and given 9 real numbers as below

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \lambda_1^{(5)} & \lambda_1^{(4)} & \lambda_1^{(3)} & \lambda_1^{(2)} & \lambda_1^{(1)} & \lambda_2^{(2)} & \lambda_3^{(3)} & \lambda_4^{(4)} & \lambda_5^{(5)}, \\ -5 & -3 & 0 & 2 & 6 & 9 & 10 & 12 & 23, \end{array}$$

find the central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix such that  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  and  $\lambda_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  are the eigenvalues of submatrix  $A_j$  respectively.

**Solution.** By theorem 1 and some simple calculations, the solution of problem obtain

in the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 \\ 0 & 4.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5.5 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 5.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.5 & 0 \\ 14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 2.** Assume  $n = 5$ , given 9 real numbers as below

$$\sigma_1^{(5)} \quad \sigma_1^{(4)} \quad \sigma_1^{(3)} \quad \sigma_1^{(2)} \quad \sigma_1^{(1)} \quad \sigma_2^{(2)} \quad \sigma_3^{(3)} \quad \sigma_4^{(4)} \quad \sigma_4^{(5)}$$

$$0.51338 \quad 0.56793 \quad 0.6448 \quad 0.76537 \quad 1 \quad 1.8478 \quad 2.5080 \quad 3.065 \quad 3.554$$

find the central symmetric  $X$ -form matrix  $C_n$  and  $\lambda$ -matrix  $\Lambda_n$  such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  are the singular values of submatrices  $\Lambda_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  respectively such that  $\Lambda_j$  has form (9) and  $C_j$  has form (3).

**Solution.** At first we find  $X$ -form matrix  $A$  by Theorem 1 as below

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 6.452214 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.188655 \\ 0 & 4.858691 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.536147 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.352916 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.937148 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2.000078 & 0 & 1.414287 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.414287 & 0 & 2.000078 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.937148 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.352916 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4.536147 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.858691 & 0 \\ 6.188655 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.452214 \end{pmatrix},$$

such that  $(\sigma_1^{(j)})^2$  and  $(\sigma_j^{(j)})^2$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  are the minimal and maximal eigenvalues of submatrices  $A$  respectively in form (3). Then by Theorem 3 we find  $X$ -form matrix  $C_n$ , such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrices  $C_n$  respectively in form (3)

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} -2.03369 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1.52101 \\ 0 & 1.816515 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.248585 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1.5764 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.9316 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1.306585 & 0 & -0.541215 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.541215 & 0 & -1.306585 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.9316 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1.5764 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.248585 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.816515 & 0 \\ -1.52101 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2.03369 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by Theorem 4 we find the  $\lambda$ -form matrix  $\Lambda_n$  such that  $\sigma_1^{(j)}$  and  $\sigma_j^{(j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  are the minimal and maximal singular values of submatrices  $\Lambda_n$  respectively in form (9)

$$\Lambda_n = \begin{pmatrix} 2.540121 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.204244 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.831097 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.414241 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.000032 & 0 & 1.000001 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.604038 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.883164 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.057915 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.789732 & 0 \\ 2.436362 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.718577 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Emedin Montano, Mario Salas, Ricardo L. Soto, Nonnegative matrices with prescribed extremal singular values, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **56**, (2008), 30-42.
- [2] Juan Peng, Xi-Yan Hu, Lei Zhang, Two inverse eigenvalue problems for a special kind of matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **416**, (2006), 336-347.
- [3] Hubert Pickmann, Juan Egana, Ricardo L. Soto, Extremal inverse eigenvalue problem for bordered diagonal matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **427**, (2007), 256-271.
- [4] Hubert Pickmann, Ricardo L. Soto, J. Egana, Mario Salas, An inverse eigenvalue problem for symmetrical tridiagonal matrices, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **54**, (2007), 699-708.

Archive of SID