

## Uniform Boundedness Principle for Operators on Hypervector Spaces

Ali Taghavi\* and Roja Hosseinzadeh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of  
Mazandaran, P. O. Box 47416-1468, Babolsar, Iran

E-mail: Taghavi@nit.ac.ir

E-mail: ro.hosseinzadeh@umz.ac.ir

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of this paper is to prove the Uniform Boundedness Principle and Banach-Steinhaus Theorem for anti linear operators and hence strong linear operators on Banach hypervector spaces. Also we prove the continuity of the product operation in such spaces.

**Keywords:** Hypervector space, Normed hypervector space, Operator.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 46J10, 47B48.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of hyperstructure was first introduced by Marty [3] in 1934 and has attracted attention of many authors in last decades and has constructed some other structures such as hyperrings, hypergroups, hypermodules, hyperfields, and hypervector spaces. These constructions has been applied to many disciplines such as geometry, hypergraphs, binary relations, combinatorics, codes, cryptography, probability, and etc. A wealth of applications of this concepts are given in [1, 2, 4, 12 – 14].

In 1988 the concept of hypervector space was first introduced by Tallini. She studied more properties of this new structure in [6]. We considered the

---

\*Corresponding Author

generalization of a vector space in the viewpoint of analysis and proved some important results in this field. See [7 – 11]. This paper is arranged as follows. In section 2 we define the hypervector spaces, norm and different types of operators in such spaces and give some examples. In section 3 we prove the Uniform Boundedness Principle and Banach-Steinhaus Theorem for anti linear operators and hence strong linear operators on Banach hypervector spaces. Also we show the continuity of the product operation in these spaces.

We denote the set of all complex numbers by  $\mathbb{C}$  and real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also in this note the field  $F$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** ([6]) Let  $(X, +)$  be an abelian group and  $F$  be a field. Then a hypervector space is a quadruple  $(X, +, o, F)$  where  $o$  is a mapping:

$$o : F \times X \longrightarrow P_*(X)$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\forall a \in F, \forall x, y \in X, ao(x + y) \subseteq aox + aoy$  (right distributivity),
- (2)  $\forall a, b \in F, \forall x \in X, (a + b)ox \subseteq aox + box$  (left distributivity),
- (3)  $\forall a, b \in F, \forall x \in X, ao(box) = (ab)ox$  (associativity),
- (4)  $\forall a \in F, \forall x \in X, ao(-x) = (-a)ox$ ,
- (5)  $\forall x \in X, x \in 1ox$ .

Note that the set  $ao(box)$  in (3) is of the form  $\cup_{y \in box} aoy$ .

**Example 2.2.** Suppose  $z$  and  $a$  are two nonzeros arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively.  $\mathbb{C}$  with the usual sum and the following product is a weak hypervector space on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$aaz = \{re^{i\theta}; 0 < r \leq |a| |z|, \theta = \arg(z)\}.$$

If  $a = 0$  or  $z = 0$ , then we define  $aaz = 0$ .

**Example 2.3.** Suppose  $z$  and  $a$  are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively.  $\mathbb{C}$  with the usual sum and the following product is a weak hypervector space on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$a.z = \{re^{i\theta}; 0 \leq r \leq |a| |z|, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** ([6]) Let  $(X, +, o, F)$  be a hypervector space over a field  $F$ . We define a pseudonorm in  $X$  as being a mapping  $\| \cdot \| : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , of  $X$  into the real numbers such that:

- (i)  $\| 0 \| = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall x, y \in X, \| x + y \| \leq \| x \| + \| y \|$ ,
- (iii)  $\forall a \in F, \forall x \in X, \sup \| aox \| = |a| \| x \|$ .

A pseudonorm in  $X$  is called norm if:

$$(iv) \quad \|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0.$$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be hypervector spaces over  $F$ . A map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is called

(i) linear if and only if

$$T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y), \quad T(aox) \subseteq aoT(x), \quad \forall x, y \in X, a \in F$$

(ii) anti linear if and only if

$$T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y), \quad T(aox) \supseteq aoT(x), \quad \forall x, y \in X, a \in F,$$

(iii) strong linear if and only if

$$T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y), \quad T(aox) = aoT(x), \quad \forall x, y \in X, a \in F.$$

**Example 2.6.** Let  $T$  be a map on hypervector space  $\mathbb{C}$  (that was defined in example 2.3) into  $\mathbb{C}$  (that was defined in example 2.2) over  $\mathbb{R}$  and defined by  $x \mapsto x$ . We see that  $T$  is an anti linear operator, because in this space for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(aox) &= \{Ty; y \in a.x\} \\ &= \{y; y \in a.x\} \\ &= \{re^{i\theta}; 0 < r \leq |a| \|z\|, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$aoTx = a.x = \{re^{i\theta}; 0 \leq r \leq |a| \|z\|, \theta = \arg(z)\}.$$

So  $T(a.x) \supseteq aoTx$  and hence  $T$  is anti linear.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 3.1.** ([7]) *If  $X$  is a weak hypervector space over  $F$ ,  $0 \neq a \in F$  and  $x \in X$ , then there exists a  $z$  in  $aox$  such that we have  $x \in a^{-1}oz$ .*

Note that if  $X$  is a normed weak hypervector space, then it is easy to check that  $\|z\| = |a| \|x\|$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A Banach hypervector space is a complete normed hypervector space in the metric defined by its norm.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a set of bounded anti linear operators on a Banach hypervector space  $X$  into a normed hypervector space  $Y$ , such that  $\{\|Tx\|; T \in A\}$  is bounded for every  $x \in X$ , say,*

$$\|Tx\| \leq c_x, \quad \forall T \in A,$$

*where  $c_x$  is a real number. Then the set of the norms  $\{\|T\|; T \in A\}$  is bounded, that is, there is a  $c$  such that*

$$\|T\| \leq c, \quad \forall T \in A.$$

*Proof.* For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_k \subseteq X$  be defined by the following form

$$A_k = \{x \in X; \|Tx\| \leq k, \forall T \in A\}.$$

$A_k$  is closed. Indeed, for any  $x \in \overline{A_k}$  there is a sequence  $\{x_j\}$  in  $A_k$  converging to  $x$ . This means that for every fixed  $T$  we have  $\|Tx_j\| \leq k$  and obtain  $\|Tx\| \leq k$ , because  $T$  is continuous and so is the norm. Hence  $x \in A_k$ , and  $A_k$  is closed.

By assumption, each  $x \in X$  belongs to some  $A_k$ . Hence

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k.$$

Since  $X$  is complete, Baire's Theorem implies that some  $A_k$  contains an open ball, say,

$$B_0 = B(x_0, r) \subset A_{k_0}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary, not zero. We set

$$Z = x_0 + \gamma ox, \quad (2)$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{r}{2\|x\|}$ . Then  $\sup \|Z - x_0\| = \sup \|\gamma ox\| = \frac{r}{2} < r$ , so that  $Z \in B_0$ . By (1) and the definition of  $A_{k_0}$  we thus have

$$\|Tz\| \leq k_0, \quad \forall T \in A, \forall z \in Z. \quad (3)$$

Also since  $x_0 \in B_0$

$$\|Tx_0\| \leq k_0. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, by  $T(\gamma ox) \supseteq \gamma oTx$  and (2) we obtain  $T(Z - x_0) \supseteq \gamma oTx$ . So  $\|T(\gamma ox)\| \supseteq \|\gamma oTx\|$  and Lemma 3.1 imply that  $\gamma \|Tx\| \leq \|T(Z - x_0)\|$ . Thus there exists a  $z_0 \in Z$  such that  $\|T(z_0 - x_0)\| = \gamma \|Tx\|$ . (3) and (4) yield for all  $T \in A$

$$\gamma \|Tx\| = \|T(z_0 - x_0)\| \leq \|Tz_0\| + \|Tx_0\| \leq 2k_0,$$

this implies

$$\|Tx\| \leq \frac{4}{r} \|x\| k_0.$$

Hence by Proposition 3.7 in [6] for all  $T \in A$ ,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| \leq \frac{4}{r} k_0$$

which is the assertion with  $c = 4k_0/r$ . □

By Theorem 3.3 we easily have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a set of bounded strong linear operators on a Banach hypervector space  $X$  into a normed hypervector space  $Y$  such that  $\{\|Tx\|; T \in A\}$  is bounded for every  $x \in X$ . Then the set of the norms  $\{\|T\|; T \in A\}$  is bounded.*

We want to prove the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem for hypervector spaces. To this end, we need the following Lemmas.

The proof of the following Lemma is not difficult. Hence it is omitted.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a normed hypervector space and  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $P_*(X)$ . A map  $D : P_*(X) \times P_*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is defined as following, is a meter on this space:*

$$D(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} \text{dist}\{x, B\}, \sup_{y \in B} \text{dist}\{A, y\}\}.$$

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $X$  be a normed hypervector space,  $A_n$  be a sequence of subsets of  $X$  and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . We say that  $A_n$  converges to  $A$  and write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$  or  $A_n \rightarrow A$ , when for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $N > 0$  such that  $D(A_n, A) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $n > N$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a normed hypervector space and  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $X$ . Let also  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  be sequences of  $P_*(X)$  that converges to  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. If there exists a  $N$  such that for any  $n > N$  we have  $A_n \subseteq B_n$ , then  $A \subseteq B$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $A_n - B_n = \emptyset$  for any  $n > N$ . So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n - B_n) = \emptyset$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = \emptyset$ . This implies  $A - B = \emptyset$  and hence  $A \subseteq B$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a normed hypervector space over  $F$  with the following property:*

$$\forall a \in \lambda ox, \exists b \in \mu ox \Rightarrow a + b \in (\lambda + \mu)ox, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in F, \forall x \in X.$$

*Then  $o$  is a continuous map with respect to  $x$  and by the meter defined in Lemma 3.5.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ ,  $a$  be a fixed element of  $F$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. So there exists  $N > 0$  such that for any  $n > N$  we have  $\|x_n - x\| \leq \varepsilon |a|^{-1}$ . Now if  $y \in aox$ , then by assumption for every fixed  $n$  there exists  $y_n \in aox_n$  such that

$$y_n - y \in ao(x_n - x),$$

and hence

$$\|y_n - y\| \leq |a| \|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > N.$$

This implies

$$\text{dist}\{aox_n, y\} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > N,$$

and so for  $n > N$  we obtain

$$\sup_{y \in aox} \text{dist}\{aox_n, y\} < \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, for  $n > N$  if  $y_n \in aox_n$ , there exists  $y \in aox$  such that  $y_n - y \in ao(x_n - x)$  and hence  $\|y_n - y\| \leq \|a\| \|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon$ . This implies

$$\sup_{y_n \in aox_n} \text{dist}\{y_n, aox\} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > N.$$

Thus for any  $n > N$  we obtain

$$D(aox_n, aox) = \max\{\sup_{y_n \in aox_n} \text{dist}\{y_n, aox\}, \sup_{y \in aox} \text{dist}\{aox_n, y\}\} < \varepsilon,$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence of bounded anti linear operators on a Banach hypervector space  $X$  into a normed hypervector space  $Y$  with the following property:*

$$\forall a \in \lambda ox, \exists b \in \mu ox \Rightarrow a + b \in (\lambda + \mu)ox, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in F, \forall x \in X.$$

*Also if for any  $x \in X$  the limit of  $\{T_n x\}$  exists and it is equal to  $Tx$ , then  $T$  is a bounded anti linear operator.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $T$  is an anti linear operator. It is clear that  $T$  is additive. So it is enough to show  $T(aox) \supseteq aoT(x)$  for all  $a \in F$  and  $x \in X$ . Since  $T_n x \rightarrow Tx$ , so by Lemma 3.8 we have

$$aoT_n x \rightarrow aoTx, \quad (5)$$

by the defined meter in Lemma 3.5. Set

$$A = \{Ty; Ty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n y, y \in aox\}.$$

If  $z \in A$ , then for a  $y$  in  $aox$  we have  $z = Ty$  and  $T_n y \rightarrow Ty$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary so there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $\|T_n y - z\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus we obtain

$$\text{dist}\{T_n(aox), z\} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > N.$$

Now let  $n_0$  be an arbitrary number and  $y_{n_0} \in T_{n_0}(aox)$ . So  $y_{n_0} = T_{n_0}z$ , for a  $z$  in  $aox$ . Set  $y_n = T_n z$ . It is clear that  $y_n \rightarrow Tz$ . So there exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $n > M$  we have  $\|y_n - Tz\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus we obtain

$$\text{dist}\{y_n, A\} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > N.$$

Finally, for  $n > \max\{N, M\}$  we obtain

$$D(T_n(aox), A) = \max\{\sup_{y_n \in T_n(aox)} \text{dist}\{y_n, A\}, \sup_{z \in A} \text{dist}\{T_n(aox), z\}\} < \varepsilon,$$

and hence

$$T_n(aox) \rightarrow A. \quad (6)$$

So by (5) and (6) and Lemma 3.7 we obtain

$$aoTx \subseteq A.$$

On the other hand,  $A \subseteq T(aox)$ . So  $aoTx \subseteq T(aox)$  and hence  $T$  is an anti linear operator. Now we must show that  $T$  is bounded. Since  $\{T_n x\}$  is convergent for all  $x \in X$ , so  $\{T_n x\}$  is bounded for all  $x \in X$ . Thus, by

Theorem 3.3 there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\|T_n\| \leq c$  for all  $n$ . If  $x$  be an arbitrary element of closed unit ball, then for every  $n$  we have

$$\|Tx\| \leq \|Tx - T_nx\| + \|T_nx\| \leq \|Tx - T_nx\| + c,$$

where for enough large  $n$  this implies

$$\|Tx\| \leq c,$$

and since  $x$  is belong to closed unit ball, by proposition 3.7 in [5] we obtain

$$\|T\| \leq c,$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This research is partially supported by the Research Center in Algebraic Hyperstructures and Fuzzy Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran. Also we are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of the paper and for the valuable comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Corsini, *Prolegomena of hypergroup theory*, Aviani editore, 1993.
- [2] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Applications of Hyperstructure theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Advances in Mathematics (Dordrecht), 2003.
- [3] F. Marty, *Sur nue generalization de la notion de group*, 8<sup>th</sup> congress of the Scandinavian Mathematics, Stockholm, 1934, pp. 45-49.
- [4] P. Raja, S. M. Vaezpour, Normed hypervector spaces, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **2**(2), (2007), 35-44.
- [5] M. Scafati-Tallini, *Characterization of remarkable Hypervector space*, Proc. 8<sup>th</sup> congress on "Algebraic Hyperstructures and Aplications", Samotraki, Greece, 2002, Spanidis Press, Xanthi, 2003, pp. 231-237.
- [6] M. Scafati-Tallini, *Weak Hypervector space and norms in such spaces*, Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications Hadronic Press, 1994, pp. 199-206.
- [7] A. Taghavi, R. Hosseinzadeh, A note on dimension of weak hypervector spaces, *Italian J. of Pure and Appl. Math*, To appear.
- [8] A. Taghavi, R. Hosseinzadeh, Hahn-Banach Theorem for functionals on hypervector spaces, *The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, **2**(4), (2011), 682-690.
- [9] A. Taghavi, R. Hosseinzadeh, Operators on normed hypervector spaces, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **35**, (2011), 367-372.
- [10] A. Taghavi, R. Parvinianzadeh, Hyperalgebras and Quotient Hyperalgebras, *Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math*, To appear.
- [11] A. Taghavi, T. Vougiouklis, R. Hosseinzadeh, A note on Operators on Normed Finite Dimensional Weak Hypervector Spaces, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Accepted.
- [12] T. Vougiouklis, *The fundamental relation in hyperrings. The general hyperfield. Algebraic hyperstructures and applications (Xanthi, 1990)*, World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1991, pp. 203-211.
- [13] T. Vougiouklis, *Hyperstructures and their representations*, Hadronic Press, 1994.

- [14] M. M. Zahedi, A review on hyper k-algebras, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, 1(1) (2006), 55-112.

Archive of SID