

Canonical (m, n) -Ary Hypermodules over Krasner (m, n) -Ary Hyperrings

S. M. Anvariye^{a,*} and S. Mirvakili^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran,

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Payame Noor Universtiy, P.O. BOX
19395-3697, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: anvariye^a@yazduni.ac.ir

E-mail: saeed_mirvakili@pnu.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. The aim of this research work is to define and characterize a new class of n -ary multialgebra that may be called canonical (m, n) -hypermodules. These are a generalization of canonical n -ary hypergroups, that is a generalization of hypermodules in the sense of canonical and a subclasses of (m, n) -ary hypermodules. In addition, three isomorphism theorems of module theory and canonical hypermodule theory are derived in the context of canonical (m, n) -hypermodules.

Keywords: Canonical m -ary hypergroup, Krasner (m, n) -hyperring, (m, n) -ary hypermodules.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 16Y99, 20N20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dörnte introduced n -ary groups in 1928 [15], which is a natural generalization of groups. The notion of n -hypergroups was first introduced by Davvaz and Vougiouklis as a generalization of n -ary groups [11], and studied mainly by Davvaz, Dudek and Vougiouklis [13] and many other authors [13, 21, 22]. Generalization of algebraic hyperstructures (see [14, 18, 24]) especially of n -ary

*Corresponding Author

hyperstructures is a natural way for further development and deeper understanding of their fundamental properties.

Krasner has studied the notion of a hyperring in [19]. Hyperrings are essentially rings, with approximately modified axioms in which addition is a hyperoperation (i.e., $a + b$ is a set). Then this concept has been studied by a number of authors. The principal notions of hyperstructure and hyperring theory can be cited in [6, 7, 10, 12, 25, 26].

(m, n) -rings were studied by Crombez [8], Crombez and Timm [9] and Dudek [16]. Recently, the notation for (m, n) -hyperrings using was defined by Mirvakili and Davvaz and they obtained (m, n) -rings from (m, n) -hyperrings using fundamental relations [23]. Also, they defined a certain class of (m, n) -hyperrings called Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings. Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings are a generalization of (m, n) -rings and a generalization of Krasner hyperrings [23].

Recently, the research of (m, n) -ary hypermodules over (m, n) -ary hyperrings has been initiated by Anvariye, Mirvakili and Davvaz who introduced these hyperstructures in [4]. In addition, in [5], Anvariye and Davvaz defined a strongly compatible relation on a (m, n) -ary hypermodule and determined a sufficient condition such that the strongly compatible relation is transitive.

In this paper, we consider a new class of n -ary multialgebra and we defined a certain class of (m, n) -ary hypermodules called canonical (m, n) -ary hypermodules. Canonical (m, n) -ary hypermodules can be considered as a natural generalization of hypermodules with canonical hypergroups and also a generalization of (m, n) -ary modules. In addition, several properties of canonical (m, n) -hypermodules are presented.

Finally, we adopt the concept of normal (m, n) -ary canonical subhypermodules and we prove the isomorphism theorems for canonical (m, n) -ary hypermodules.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC DEFINITION

Let H be a non-empty set and h be a mapping $h : H \times H \rightarrow \wp^*(H)$, where $\wp^*(H)$ is the set of all non-empty subsets of H . Then h is called a binary hyperoperation on H . We denote by H^n the cartesian product $H \times \dots \times H$, which appears n times and an element of H^n will be denoted by (x_1, \dots, x_n) , where $x_i \in H$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. In general, a mapping $h : H^n \rightarrow \wp^*(H)$ is called an n -ary hyperoperation and n is called the arity of hyperoperation.

Let h be an n -ary hyperoperation on H and A_1, \dots, A_n subsets of H . We define

$$h(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \bigcup \{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

We shall use the following abbreviated notations: the sequence x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j will be denoted by x_i^j . Also, for every $a \in H$, we write $h(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n) = h(\overset{(n)}{a})$ and

for $j < i$, x_i^j is the empty set. In this convention for $j < i$, x_i^j is the empty set and also

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

will be written as $h(x_1^i, y_{i+1}^j, x_{j+1}^n)$.

A non-empty set H with an n -ary hyperoperation $h : H^n \rightarrow P^*(H)$ will be called an n -ary hypergroupoid and will be denoted by (H, h) . An n -ary hypergroupoid (H, h) is commutative if for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and for every $a_1^n \in H$, we have $h(a_1^n) = h(a_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)})$.

An element $e \in H$ is called *scalar neutral element*, if $x = h(\overset{(i-1)}{e}, x, \overset{(n-i)}{e})$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for every $x \in H$.

An n -ary hypergroupoid (H, h) will be an n -ary semihypergroup if and only if the following associative axiom holds:

$$h(x_1^{i-1}, h(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1})) = h(x_1^{j-1}, h(x_j^{n+j-1}), x_{n+j}^{2n-1})),$$

for every $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in H$.

An n -ary semihypergroup (H, h) , in which the equation $b \in h(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_{i+1}^n)$ has the solution $x_i \in H$ for every $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called n -ary hypergroup.

If H is an n -ary groupoid and $t = l(n-1)+1$, then the t -ary hyperoperation given by

$$h_{(l)}(x_1^{l(n-1)+1}) = h(h(\dots, h(h(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}), \dots), x_{(l-1)(n-1)+2}^{l(n-1)+1}),$$

will be denoted by $h_{(l)}$.

According to [17], an n -ary polygroup is an n -ary hypergroup (P, f) such that the following axioms hold for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $x, x_1^n \in P$:

1. There exists a unique element $0 \in P$ such that $x = f(\overset{(i-1)}{0}, x, \overset{(n-i)}{0})$,
2. There exists a unitary operation $-$ on P such that $x \in f(x_1^n)$ implies that $x_i \in f(-x_{i-1}, \dots, -x_1, x, -x_n, \dots, -x_{i+1})$.

It is clear that every 2-ary polygroup is a polygroup. Every n -ary group with a scalar neutral element is an n -ary polygroup. Also, Leoreanu-Fotea in [20] defined a canonical n -ary hypergroup. A *canonical n -ary hypergroup* is a commutative n -ary polygroup.

An element 0 of an n -ary semihypergroup (H, g) is called *zero element* if for every $x_2^n \in H$ we have

$$g(0, x_2^n) = g(x_2, 0, x_3^n) = \dots = g(x_2^n, 0) = 0.$$

If 0 and $0'$ are two zero elements, then $0 = g(0', \overset{(n-1)}{0}) = 0'$ and so zero element is unique.

A *Krasner hyperring* [19] is an algebraic structure $(R, +, \cdot)$ which satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $(R, +)$ is a *canonical hypergroup*, i.e.,

- i) for every $x, y, z \in R$, $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$,
 - ii) for every $x, y \in R$, $x + y = y + x$,
 - iii) there exists $0 \in R$ such that $0 + x = x$ for all $x \in R$,
 - iv) for every $x \in R$ there exists a unique element $x' \in R$ such that $0 \in x + x'$;
(We shall write $-x$ for x' and we call it the opposite of x .)
 - v) $z \in x + y$ implies $y \in -x + z$ and $x \in z - y$;
- (2) Relating to the multiplication, (R, \cdot) is a semigroup having zero as a bilaterally absorbing element.
- (3) The multiplication is distributive with respect to the hyperoperation $+$.

Definition 2.1. [23]. A Krasner (m, n) -hyperring is an algebraic hyperstructure (R, f, g) which satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) (R, f) is a canonical m -ary hypergroups,
- (2) (R, g) is a n -ary semigroup,
- (3) the n -ary operation g is distributive with respect to the m -ary hyperoperation f , i.e., for every $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, x_1^m \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,
 $g(a_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) = f(g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n))$,
- (4) 0 be a zero element (absorbing element) of n -ary operation g , i.e., for every $x_2^{n-1} \in R$, we have

$$g(0, x_2^n) = g(x_2, 0, x_3^n) = \dots = g(x_2^n, 0) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE 1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and G be a normal subgroup of (R, \cdot) , i.e., for every $x \in R$, $xG = Gx$. Set $\bar{R} = \{\bar{x} | x \in R\}$, where $\bar{x} = xG$ and define m -ary hyperoperation f and n -ary multiplication g as follows:

$$\begin{cases} f(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) &= \{\bar{z} | \bar{z} \subseteq \bar{x}_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_m\}, \\ g(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) &= \overline{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}. \end{cases}$$

It can be verified obviously that (\bar{R}, f, g) is a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring.

EXAMPLE 2. If (L, \wedge, \vee) is a relatively complemented distributive lattice and if f and g are defined as:

$$\begin{cases} f(a_1, a_2) = \{c \in L | a_1 \wedge c = a_2 \wedge c = a_1 \wedge a_2, a_1, a_2 \in L\}, \\ g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i, \forall a_1^n \in L. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that (L, f, g) is a Krasner $(2, n)$ -hyperring.

Definition 2.2. A non-empty set $M = (M, h, k)$ is an (m, n) -ary hypermodule over an (m, n) -ary hyperring (R, f, g) , if (M, h) is an m -ary hypergroup and there exists the map

$$k : \underbrace{R \times \dots \times R}_{n-1} \times M \longrightarrow \wp^*(M)$$

such that

- (1) $k(r_1^{n-1}, h(x_1^m)) = h(k(r_1^{n-1}, x_1), \dots, k(r_1^{n-1}, x_m)),$
- (2) $k(r_1^{i-1}, f(s_1^m), r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x) = h(k(r_1^{i-1}, s_1, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x), \dots, k(r_1^{i-1}, s_m, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x)),$
- (3) $k(r_1^{i-1}, g(r_i^{i+n-1}), r_{i+m}^{n+m-2}, x) = k(r_1^{n-1}, k(r_m^{n+m-2}, x)),$
- (4) $k(r_1^{i-1}, 0, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x) = 0,$

where $r_i, s_i \in R$ and $x, x_i \in M$.

3. CANONICAL (m, n) -ARY HYPERMODULES

A canonical (m, n) -ary hypermodule (namely canonical (m, n) -hypermodule) is an (m, n) -ary hypermodule with a canonical m -ary hypergroup (M, h) over a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring (R, f, g) .

In the following in this paper, an (m, n) -ary hypermodule is a canonical (m, n) -ary hypermodule.

EXAMPLE 3. Let M be a module over ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ and G be a normal subgroup of (R, \cdot) , then by Example 1, (\bar{R}, f, g) is a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring. Now, we define on M an equivalence relation \sim defined as follows:

$$x \sim y \iff x = ty, t \in G.$$

Let $\bar{M} = \{\bar{x} | x \in M\}$ be the set of the equivalence classes of M modulo \sim . We define hyperoperation h and k as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m) &= \{\bar{w} | \bar{w} \subseteq \bar{x}_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_m\}, \text{ where } x_1^m \in M \\ k(\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_{n-1}, \bar{x}) &= \overline{r_1 r_2 \dots r_{n-1} x}, \text{ where } r_1^{n-1} \in R \text{ and } x \in M. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that (\bar{M}, h, k) is a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule over a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring (\bar{R}, f, g) .

EXAMPLE 4. Let (H, f, g) be a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring in Example 1, and set $M = H$, $h = f$ and $k = g$, then (M, h, k) is a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule over the Krasner (m, n) -hyperring (H, f, g) . In general, If R is a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring, then (R, f, g) is a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule over the Krasner (m, n) -hyperring R .

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, h, k) be a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule over an (m, n) -ary hyperring (R, f, g) , then*

- (1) For every $x \in M$, we have $-(-x) = x$ and $-0 = 0$.
- (2) For every $x \in M$, $0 \in h(x, -x, \begin{smallmatrix} (m-2) \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$.
- (3) For every x_1^m , $-h(x_1, \dots, x_m) = h(-x_1, \dots, -x_m)$, where $-A = \{-a | a \in A\}$.
- (4) For every $r_1^{n-1} \in R$, we have $k(r_1^{n-1}, 0) = 0$.

Proof. (1) $x = h(x, \begin{smallmatrix} (m-1) \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$, hence we have $0 \in h(-x, x, \begin{smallmatrix} (m-2) \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$ and this means $x \in h(-(-x), \begin{smallmatrix} (m-1) \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) = -(-x)$.

(2) $x = h(x, \binom{(m-1)}{0})$ implies that $0 \in h(x, -x, \binom{(m-1)}{0})$.

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in h(x_1, -x_1, \binom{(m-1)}{0}) \\ &\subseteq h_{(2)}(x_1^2, -(x_1^2), \binom{(2m-5)}{0}) \\ &\dots \\ &\subseteq h(h(x_1^m), h(-(x_1^m)), \binom{(m-2)}{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$h(-(x_1^m)) \subseteq h(-h(x_1^m), \binom{(m-1)}{0}) = -h(x_1^m)$$

and

$$h(x_1^m) \subseteq h(-h(-(x_1^m)), \binom{(m-1)}{0}) = -h(-(x_1^m)).$$

So $-h(x_1^m) \subseteq -(-h(-(x_1^m))) = h(-(x_1^m))$. Hence

$$-h(x_1, \dots, x_m) = h(-x_1, \dots, -x_m).$$

(4) We have

$$\begin{aligned} k(r_1^{n-1}, 0) &= k(r_1^{n-1}, k(\binom{(n-1)}{0}, 0)) \\ &= k(r_1^{n-2}, g(r_{n-1}, \binom{(n-1)}{0}), 0) \\ &= k(r_1^{n-2}, 0, 0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let N be a non-empty subset of canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, h, k) . If (N, h, k) is a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule, then N called a *subhypermodule* of M . It is easily to see that N is a subhypermodule of M if and only if

- (1) N is a subhypergroup of the canonical m -ary hypergroup (M, h) , i.e., (N, h) is a canonical m -ary hypergroup.
- (2) For every $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$, $k(r_1^{n-1}, x) \subseteq N$.

Lemma 3.2. *A non-empty subset N of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule is a subhypermodule if*

- (1) $0 \in N$.
- (2) For every $x \in N$, $-x \in N$.
- (3) For every $a_1^m \in N$, $h(a_1^m) \subseteq N$.
- (4) For every $r_1^{n-1} \in R$, and $x \in N$, $k(r_1^{n-1}, x) \subseteq N$.

Proof. It is straightforward. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule. Then*

- (1) If N_1, \dots, N_m are subhypermodules of M , then $h(N_1^m)$ is a subhypermodule of M .

(2) If $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ are subhypermodules of M , then $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$ is a subhypermodule of M .

(3) If N is a subhypermodule of M and $a_2^m \in N$, then $h(N, a_2^m) = N$.

Proof. (1) Let $N = h(N_1^m)$. Then for every $a_1^m \in N$ we have $a_i = h(x_{i1}^{im})$, where $x_{ij} \in N_j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h(a_1^m) &= h(h(x_{11}^{1m}), \dots, h(x_{1m}^{mm})), \text{ } h \text{ is commutative and associative,} \\ &= h(h(x_{11}^{m1}), \dots, h(x_{1m}^{mm})), \text{ } N_i \text{ is a subhypermodule,} \\ &\subseteq h(N_1, \dots, N_m). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in N$, then there exists $x_i \in N_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $a = h(x_1^m)$. Hence we obtain $-a = -h(x_1^m) = h(-(x_1^m)) \in h(N_1^m) = N$. Also, $0 = h(\binom{m}{0}) \in h(N_1^m) = N$. Therefore (N, h) is a canonical m -ary hypergroup.

Now, let $r_1^{n-1} \in R$, then

$$k(r_1^{n-1}, h(x_1^m)) = h(k(r_1^{n-1}, x_1), \dots, k(r_1^{n-1}, x_m)) \subseteq h(N_1^m)$$

Therefore (N, h, k) is a subhypermodule of M .

(2) It is clear.

(3) Since N is a subhypermodule, then for every $a_2^m \in N$, we have $h(N, a_2^m) \subseteq N$. Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N = h(N, \binom{m-1}{0}) &\in h(N, h(a_2^m, 0), -h(a_2^m, 0), \binom{m-3}{0}) \\ &= h(N, h(a_2^m, 0), h(-(a_2^m), 0), \binom{m-3}{0}) \\ &= h(h(N, -(a_2^m)), a_2^{m-1}, h(a_m, \binom{m-1}{0})) \\ &\subseteq h(N, a_2^m). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $N = h(N, a_2^m)$. □

Definition 3.4. A subhypermodule N of M is called *normal* if and only if for every $x \in M$,

$$h(-x, N, x, \binom{m-3}{0}) \subseteq N.$$

If N is a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M , then

$$N = h(N, \binom{m-1}{0}) \subseteq h(N, h(-x, x, \binom{m-2}{0}), \binom{m-2}{0}) = h(-x, N, x, \binom{m-3}{0}) \subseteq N.$$

Thus for every $x \in M$, $h(-x, N, x, \binom{m-3}{0}) = N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } s \in h(N, x, \binom{m-2}{0}), \text{ then } h(N, s, \binom{m-3}{0}) &\subseteq h(N, h(N, x, \binom{m-3}{0})) \\ &= h(N, x, \binom{m-3}{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $s \in h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$ implies that $r \in h(-N, s, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) = h(N, s, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$ and so we obtain $h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) \subseteq h(N, s, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$. Therefore we have

$$s \in h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) \implies h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) = h(N, s, \binom{(m-2)}{0}).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let N be a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M . Then for every $s_i \in h(N, x_i, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$, $i = 2, \dots, m$, we have $h(N, x_2^m) = h(N, s_2^m)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(N, s_2^m) &\subseteq h(N, h(N, x_2, \binom{(m-2)}{0}), \dots, h(N, x_m, \binom{(m-2)}{0})) \\ &\subseteq h(h(N, x_2^m), h_{(m-2)}(\binom{((m-2)(m-1)+1)}{0})) \\ &= h(N, x_2^m). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have $h(N, x_i, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) = h(N, s_i, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$ and so $x_i \in h(N, s_i, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$. The similar way implies $h(N, x_2^m) \subseteq h(N, s_2^m)$. \square

EXAMPLE 5. (Construction). Let $(M, +, \cdot)$ be a canonical R -hypermodule over a Krasner hyperring R . Let f be an m -ary hyperoperation and g be an n -ary operation on R as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1^m) &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \quad \forall x_1^m \in R, \\ g(x_1^n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \forall x_1^n \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that (R, f, g) is a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring. Let h be an m -ary hyperoperation and k be an n -ary scalar hyperoperation on M as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_1^m) &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \quad \forall x_1^m \in M, \\ k(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}, x) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i \right) \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

Since $+$ and \cdot are well-defined and associative so h and k are well-defined and associative. If 0 is a zero element of $(M, +, \cdot)$, then 0 is a zero element of (M, h, k) . Now, let $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $x, x_1^m \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in h(x_1^m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \quad + \text{ is commutative} \\ &= x_1 + \dots + x_{j-1} + x_{j+1} + \dots + x_m + x_j \\ &= X + x_j, \quad X = x_1 + \dots + x_{j-1} + x_{j+1} + \dots + x_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x \in z + x_j$ such that $z \in X$ and hence $x_j \in -z + x$, But $-z \in -X = -(x_1 + \dots + x_{j-1} + x_{j+1} + \dots + x_m)$. Therefore

$$x_j \in (-x_{j-1}) + \dots + (-x_1) + x + (-x_m) + \dots + (-x_{j+1}) =$$

$$h(-x_{j-1}, \dots, -x_1, x, -x_m, \dots, -x_{j+1}).$$

This implies that (M, h) is a canonical m -ary hypergroup.

Since M is an R -hypermodule, it is not difficult to see that the properties of M as an R -hypermodule, guarantee that the canonical m -hypergroup (M, h, k) is a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule.

Definition 3.6. The canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, h, k) derived from canonical hypermodule $(M, +, \circ)$ in Example 5, denote by $(M, h, k) = der_{(m,n)}(M, +, \cdot)$.

Theorem 3.7. Every canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M extended by a canonical $(2, n)$ -hypermodule.

Proof. We define the hyperoperation $+$ as follows:

$$x + y = h(x, y, \binom{m-2}{0}), \forall x, y \in R.$$

It is clear that $+$ is commutative and associative. Also, 0 is a scalar neutral and a zero element of $(M, +, k)$. Now, let $x \in y + z$ then $x \in h(x, y, \binom{m-2}{0})$. This implies that $y \in h(-x, y, \binom{m-2}{0}) = -x + y$ and so $(M, +)$ is a canonical hypergroup. It is easy to see that n -ary operation k is distributive with respect to the hyperoperation $+$. Therefore $(M, +, k)$ is a canonical $(2, n)$ -hypermodule. \square

4. RELATIONS ON A CANONICAL (m, n) -HYPERMODULES

In this section, we introduce two relations on a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M . In addition, three isomorphism theorems of module theory and canonical hypermodule theory are derived in the context of canonical (m, n) -hypermodules by these relations. In order to see the relations on the hypermodules, one can see [1, 2, 3]. Also, the concepts of normal (m, n) -ary canonical subhypermodules are defined.

Suppose that N is a normal subhypermodule of M .

(1) The relation N^* on M is defined as follows:

$$x N^* y \text{ if and only if } h(x, -y, \binom{m-2}{0}) \cap N \neq \emptyset, \forall x, y \in M.$$

(2) Also, the relation N_* on M may be defined as follows:

$x N_* y$ if and only if there exist $x_2^m \in M$, such that $x, y \in h(N, x_2^m), \forall x, y \in M$.

Lemma 4.1. *The relation N^* is an equivalence relation on a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M .*

Proof. Since $0 \in h(x, -x, \binom{m-2}{0}) \cap N$, then the relation N^* is reflexive. If xN^*y , then there exists an element $a \in N$ such that $a \in h(x, -y, \binom{m-2}{0})$. Therefore, we have $-a \in -h(x, -y, \binom{m-2}{0}) = h(-x, y, \binom{m-2}{0})$ and commutativity of (M, h) implies that $-a \in h(y, -x, \binom{m-2}{0}) \cap N$. So yN^*x and the relation N^* is symmetric. Now, suppose that xN^*y and yN^*z . Then there exist $a, b \in N$ such that $a \in h(x, -y, \binom{m-2}{0})$ and $b \in h(y, -z, \binom{m-2}{0})$. Thus $x \in h(a, y, \binom{m-2}{0})$ and $-z \in h(-y, b, \binom{m-2}{0})$. But, N is a normal subhypermodule of N and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, -z, \binom{m-2}{0}) &\subseteq h(h(a, y, \binom{m-2}{0}), h(-y, b, \binom{m-2}{0}), \binom{m-2}{0}) \\ &= h(y, h(a, b, \binom{m-2}{0}), -y, \binom{m-3}{0}) \\ &\subseteq h(y, N, -y, \binom{m-3}{0}) \\ &\subseteq N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore xN^*z and the relation N^* is transitive. □

Let $N^*[x]$ be the equivalence class of the element $x \in M$, then

Lemma 4.2. *If N is a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M , then*

$$N^*[x] = h(N, x, \binom{m-2}{0}).$$

Proof. we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^*[x] &= \{y \in M \mid yN^*x\} \\ &= \{y \in M \mid \exists a \in N \text{ such that } a \in h(y, -x, \binom{m-2}{0})\} \\ &= \{y \in M \mid \exists a \in N \text{ such that } y \in h(a, x, \binom{m-2}{0})\} \\ &= h(N, x, \binom{m-2}{0}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let N be a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M . Then for all $a_2^m \in M$, we have $h(N, a_2^m) = N^*[x]$ for all $x \in h(N, a_2^m)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we prove that $h(N, a_2^m) = h(N, x, \binom{m-2}{0})$, for all $x \in h(N, a_2^m)$.

Let $x \in h(N, a_2^m)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) &\subseteq h(N, h(N, a_2^m), \binom{(m-2)}{0}) \\ &= h(h(N, N, \binom{(m-2)}{0}), a_2^m) \\ &= h(N, a_2^m). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $x \in h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) \subseteq h(N, h(N, a_2^m), \binom{(m-2)}{0})$ implies that $h(N, a_2^m) \in h(-N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) = h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$. Therefore, we obtain $h(N, a_2^m) = h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0})$. \square

Corollary 4.4. Let N be a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M and $h(N, a_2^m) \cap h(N, b_2^m) \neq \emptyset$, then $h(N, a_2^m) = h(N, b_2^m)$.

Proof. Let $x \in h(N, a_2^m) \cap h(N, b_2^m)$, then Lemma 4.3, implies $h(N, a_2^m) = N^*[x] = h(N, b_2^m)$ \square

Corollary 4.5. Let N be a normal subhypermodule. Then $N^* = N_*$ and the relation N_* is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Let $N_*[x]$ be the equivalence class of the element $x \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_*[x] &= \{y \in M \mid xN_*y\} \\ &= \{y \in M \mid \exists a_2^m \in M, x, y \in h(N, a_2^m)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in h(N, a_2^m)$, thus by Lemma 4.3, $N^*[x] = h(N, x, \binom{(m-2)}{0}) = h(N, a_2^m)$ and we obtain $N_*[x] = \{y \in M \mid y \in N^*[x]\} = N^*[x]$. Therefore $N^* = N_*$. \square

Lemma 4.6. Let N be a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, h, k) , then for all $a_1^m \in M$, we have $N^*[h(a_1^m)] = N^*[a]$ for all $a \in h(a_1^m)$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \in h(a_1^m)$, then $N^*[a] \subseteq N^*[h(a_1^m)]$.

On the other hand, let $a \in N^*[h(a_1^m)] = h(N, h(a_1^m), \binom{(m-2)}{0}) = h(h(N, a_1^{m-1}), \binom{(m-2)}{0})$

, a_m). Thus $a_m \in h(-h(N, a_1^{m-1}), \binom{m-2}{0}, a)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
h(a_1^m) &\subseteq h(a_1^{m-1}, h(h(-N, -(a_1^{m-1})), \binom{m-2}{0}, a)) \\
&= h_{(2)}(h(a_1, N, -a_1, \binom{m-3}{0}), a_2^{m-1}, -(a_2^{m-1}), 0, a), \quad N \text{ is normal,} \\
&\subseteq h_{(2)}(N, a_2^{m-1}, -(a_2^{m-1}), 0, a) \\
&= h_{(2)}(h(a_2, N, -a_2, \binom{m-3}{0}), a_3^{m-1}, -(a_3^{m-1}), \binom{3}{0}, a), \quad N \text{ is normal,} \\
&\subseteq h_{(2)}(N, a_3^{m-1}, -(a_3^{m-1}), 0, a) \\
&\dots \\
&= h_{(2)}(h(a_m, N, -a_m, \binom{m-3}{0}), \binom{2m-2}{0}, a) \\
&\subseteq h(N, \binom{m-2}{0}, a) \\
&= h(N, a, \binom{m-2}{0}) \\
&= N^*[a].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h(a_1^m) \subseteq N^*[a]$ and so $N^*[h(a_1^m)] \subseteq N^*[a]$ and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let N be a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, h, k) . Then*

- (1) *For all $x_1^m \in M$, we have $N^*[h(N^*[x_1], \dots, N^*[x_m])] = h(N^*[x_1], \dots, N^*[x_m])$.*
- (2) *For all $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$, we have $N^*[N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]] = N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]$.*

Proof. (1) The proof easily follows from Lemma 4.6.

(2) We have $N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)] \subseteq N^*[N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]]$. Now, let $a \in N^*[N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]]$. Then, there exists $b \in N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]$ such that $a \in N^*[b]$. So aN^*b and $bN^*k(r_1^{n-1}, x)$ which implies that $aN^*k(r_1^{n-1}, x)$. Hence $a \in N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]$ and $N^*[N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]] \subseteq N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, x)]$ \square

By definition of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule and Theorem 4.7, we have:

Theorem 4.8. *(Construction). Let N be a normal subhypermodule of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, h, k) . Then the set of all equivalence classes $[M : N] = \{N^*[x] \mid x \in M\}$ is a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule with the m -ary hyperoperation h/N and the scalar n -ary operation k/N , defined as follows:*

$$h/N(N^*[x_1], \dots, N^*[x_m]) = \{N^*[z] \mid z \in h(N^*[x_1], \dots, N^*[x_m])\}, \quad \forall x_1^m \in M,$$

$$k/N(r_1^{n-1}, N^*[x]) = N^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, N^*[x])], \quad \forall r_1^{n-1} \in R, \quad x \in M.$$

EXAMPLE 6. Suppose $R := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and define a 2-ary hyperoperation $+$ on R as follows:

$+$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	$\{0, 1\}$	3	$\{2, 3\}$
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	$\{2, 3\}$	1	$\{0, 1\}$.

It follows that $(R, +)$ is a canonical 2-ary hypergroup. If g is an n -ary operation on R such that

$$g(x_1^n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x_1^n \in \{2, 3\}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have $(R, +, g)$ is a Krasner $(2, n)$ -hyperring.

Now, set $M = R$, $\oplus = +$ and $k = g$, then it can be verified (M, \oplus, k) is a canonical $(2, n)$ -hypermodule over Krasner $(2, n)$ -hyperring $(R, +, g)$.

Let $N := \{0, 1\}$, then N is a normal subhypermodule of M . Also, it is not difficult to see that $N^*[0] = \{0, 1\}$ and $N^*[2] = \{2, 3\}$ and so

\oplus/N	$N^*[0]$	$N^*[2]$
$N^*[0]$	$N^*[0]$	$N^*[2]$
$N^*[2]$	$N^*[2]$	$N^*[0]$

and

$$N^*[k/N(r_1^{n-1}, N^*[x])] = \begin{cases} N^*[2], & \text{if } r_1^{n-1}, x \in \{2, 3\}, \\ N^*[0], & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily to see that $([M : N], \oplus/N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$.

Let (M_1, h_1, k_1) and (M_2, h_2, k_2) be two canonical (m, n) -hypermodules, a mapping $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called an R -homomorphism (or homomorphism), if for all $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $x_1^m, x \in M$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_m)) &= h_2(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_m)) \\ \varphi(k_1(r_1^{n-1}, x)) &= k_2(r_1^{n-1}, \varphi(x)) \end{aligned}$$

A homomorphism φ is an isomorphism if φ is injective and onto and we write $M_1 \cong M_2$ if M_1 is isomorphic to M_2 .

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a homomorphism, then*

- (1) $\varphi(0_{M_1}) = 0_{M_2}$.
- (2) For all $x \in M$, $\varphi(-x) = -\varphi(x)$.

- (3) Let $\ker \varphi = \{x \in M_1 \mid \varphi(x) = 0_{M_2}\}$, then φ is injective if and only if $\ker \varphi = \{0_{M_1}\}$.

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

Lemma 4.10. Let N_1^m be subhypermodules of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M and there exists $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that N_j be a normal subhypermodule. Then

- (1) $\bigcap_{i=1}^m N_i$ is a normal subhypermodule of N_k , where $1 \leq k \leq m$.
 (2) N_j is a normal subhypermodule of $h(N_1^m)$.

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

The First Isomorphism Theorem comes next.

Theorem 4.11. (First Isomorphism Theorem). Let φ be a homomorphism from the canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M_1, h_1, k_1) into the canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M_2, h_2, k_2) such that $K = \ker \varphi$ is a normal subhypermodule of M_1 , then $[M_1 : K^*] \cong Im\varphi$.

Proof. We define $\rho : [M_1 : K^*] \rightarrow Im\varphi$ by $\rho(K^*[x]) = \varphi(x)$. First, we prove that ρ is well-define. Suppose that $K^*[x] = K^*[y]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K^*[x] = K^*[y] &\Leftrightarrow h_1(K, x, \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}}) = h_1(K, y, \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \varphi(h_1(K, x, \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}})) = \varphi(h_1(K, y, \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}})) \\ &\Leftrightarrow h_2(\varphi(K), \varphi(x), \overset{(m-2)}{\varphi(0_{M_1})}) = h_2(\varphi(K), \varphi(y), \overset{(m-2)}{\varphi(0_{M_1})}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow h_2(\overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_2}}, \varphi(x), \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_2}}) = h_2(\overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_2}}, \varphi(y), \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_2}}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \varphi(x) = \varphi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ρ is well-define.

Let $K^*[x_1], \dots, K^*[x_m] \in [M_1 : K^*]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(h_1/K(K^*[x_1], \dots, K^*[x_m])) &= \rho(\{K^*[z] \mid z \in h_1(K^*[x_1], \dots, K^*[x_m])\}) \\ &= \rho(\{K^*[z] \mid z \in h_1(h_1(K, x_1, \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}}), \dots, h_1(K, x_m, \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}}))\}) \\ &= \rho(\{K^*[z] \mid z \in h_1(K, h_1(x_1^m), \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}})\}) \\ &= \{\varphi(z) \mid z \in K^*[h_1(x_1^m)]\} \\ &= \varphi(K^*[h_1(x_1^m)]) \\ &= \varphi(h_1(K, h_1(x_1^m), \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_1}})) \\ &= h_2(\varphi(K), \varphi(h_1(x_1^m)), \overset{(m-2)}{\varphi(0_{M_1})}) \\ &= h_2(\overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_2}}, h_2(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_m)), \overset{(m-2)}{0_{M_2}}) \\ &= h_2(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_m)) \\ &= h_2(\rho(x_1), \dots, \rho(x_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Also, let $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $K^*[x] \in [M_1 : K^*]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k_1/K(r_1^{n-1}, K^*[x])) &= \rho(K^*(k_1(r_1^{n-1}, K^*[x]))) \\ &= \{\varphi(k_1(r_1^{n-1}, x)|x \in K^*[x])\} \\ &= k_2(r_1^{n-1}, x)|x \in \varphi(K^*[x]) \\ &= k_2(r_1^{n-1}, \rho(K^*[x])). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ρ is an R -homomorphism.

Also, we have $\rho(0_{[M_1:K^*]}) = \rho(K^*[0_{M_1}]) = \varphi(0_{M_1}) = 0_{M_2}$.

Let $y \in \text{Im}\varphi$, so there exists $x \in M_1$ such that $y = \varphi(x) = \rho(K^*[x])$. Thus ρ is onto.

Now, we show that ρ is an injective homomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \rho &= \{K^*[x] \in [M_1 : K^*] \mid \rho(K^*[x]) = 0_{M_2}\} \\ &= \{K^*[x] \in [M_1 : K^*] \mid \varphi(x) = 0_{M_2}\} \\ &= K^*(\ker \varphi), \quad \text{Since } K = \ker \varphi, \\ &= h_1(K, K, 0_{M_1}^{(m-2)}) \\ &= K = 0_{[M_1:K^*]}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ρ is an isomorphism and so $[M_1 : K^*] \cong \text{Im}\varphi$. □

Theorem 4.12. (Second Isomorphism Theorem). *If N_1^n are subhypermodules of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, h, k) and there exists $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that N_j be a normal subhypermodule of M . Let for every $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $y \in M$, we have $N_j^*[k(r_1^{n-1}, y)] = k(r_1^{n-1}, N_j^*(y))$. Then*

$$[h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m) : (h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m) \cap N_j)^*] \cong [h(N_1^m) : N_j^*],$$

where N_{j+1}^m are subhypermodules of M .

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, N_j is a normal subhypermodule of $h(N_1^m)$ and so $[h(N_1^m) : N_j^*]$ is defined. Define $\rho : h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m) \rightarrow [h(N_1^m) : N_j^*]$ by $\rho(x) = N_j^*[x]$. Since N^* is an equivalence relation then ρ is well-defined. It is not difficult to see that ρ is an R -homomorphism. Consider $N_j^*[y] \in [h(N_1^m) : N_j^*]$, $y \in h(N_1^m)$. Thus, there exists $a_k \in N_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that $y \in h(a_1^m)$. By

Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_j^*[y] &= N_j^*[h(a_1^m)] \\
 &= h(N_j, h(a_1^m), \binom{m-2}{0}) \\
 &= h(a_1^{j-1}, h(N_j, a_j, \binom{m-2}{0}), a_{j+1}^m) \\
 &= h(a_1^{j-1}, N_j, a_{j+1}^m) \\
 &= h(N_j, h(a_1^{j-1}, 0, a_{j+1}^m), \binom{m-2}{0}) \\
 &= N_j^*[h(a_1^{j-1}, 0, a_{j+1}^m)] \\
 &= h_j^*[x], \quad x \in h(a_1^{j-1}, 0, a_{j+1}^m) \subseteq h(N_1^{j-1}, 0, N_{j+1}^m), \\
 &= \rho(x), \quad x \in h(N_1^{j-1}, 0, N_{j+1}^m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ρ is onto. Now, we prove that $\ker \rho = h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m) \cap N_j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in \ker \rho &\Leftrightarrow \rho(x) = N_j \\
 &\Leftrightarrow N_j^*[x] = N_j \\
 &\Leftrightarrow h(N_j, x, \binom{m-2}{0}) = N_j \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x \in N_j \cap h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have $[M : (\ker \rho)^*] \cong \text{Im } \rho$ and so

$$[h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m) : (h(N_1^j, 0, N_{j+1}^m) \cap N_j)^*] \cong [h(N_1^m) : N_j^*].$$

□

Theorem 4.13. (Third Isomorphism Theorem). *If A and B are normal subhypermodules of a canonical (m, n) -hypermodule M such that $A \subseteq B$, then $[B : A^*]$ is a normal subhypermodule of canonical (m, n) -hypermodule $[M : A^*]$ and $[[M : A^*] : [B : A^*]] \cong [M : B^*]$.*

Proof. First, we show that $[B : A^*]$ is a normal subhypermodule of canonical (m, n) -hypermodule $[M : A^*]$. Since $0 \in B$ then $0_{[M:A^*]} = A^*[0] \in [B : A^*]$. If $A^*[x_1], \dots, A^*[x_m] \in [B : A^*]$, then $A^*[x_1], \dots, A^*[x_m] \subseteq B$ and since B is a subhypermodule of M , we obtain $h(A^*[x_1], \dots, A^*[x_m]) \subseteq B$. Thus $h/N(A^*[x_1], \dots, A^*[x_m]) \in [B : A^*]$. If $A^*[x] \in [B : A^*]$ then $A^*[x] \subseteq B$ and so $-A^*[x] \subseteq -B = B$. We leave it to reader to verify that for every $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $A^*[x] \in [B : A^*]$, $k/N(r_1^{n-1}, A^*[x]) \in [B : A^*]$. Now, Lemma 3.2 implies that $[B : A^*]$ is a subhypermodule of M .

Also, let $A^*[y] \in [M : A^*]$ and $A^*[x] \in [B : A^*]$, so $A^*[y] \subseteq M$ and $A^*[x] \subseteq B$. Since B is a normal subhypermodule, then $h(-y, x, y, \binom{m-3}{0}) \subseteq B$. This

implies that

$$h(-A^*[y], A^*[x], A^*[y], A^*[0]) = A^*[h(-y, x, y, 0)] \in [B : A^*].$$

Therefore $[B : A^*]$ is a normal subhypermodule of canonical (m, n) -hypermodule $[M : A^*]$.

Now, $\rho : [M : A^*] \rightarrow [M : B^*]$ defined by $\rho(A^*[x]) = B^*[x]$ is an R -homomorphism and onto with kernel $\ker \rho = [B : A^*]$. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors sincerely thank the referees for their careful reading of this paper and valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, θ^* -Relation on hypermodules and fundamental modules over commutative fundamental rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **36**, (2008), 622-631.
- [2] S. M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, Transitivity of θ^* -relation on hypermodules, *Iranian J. Science Tech., Transaction A*, **32**(A3), (2008), 188-205.
- [3] S. M. Anvariye and B. Davvaz, Strongly transitive geometric spaces associated to hypermodules, *Journal of Algebra*, **322**, (2009), 1340-1359.
- [4] S. M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, Fundamental relation on (m, n) -ary hypermodules over (m, n) -ary hyperrings, *Ars Combinatoria*, **94**, (2010), 273-288.
- [5] S. M. Anvariye and B. Davvaz, Strongly transitive geometric spaces associated with (m, n) -ary hypermodules, *Scientific Annals of "Al.L. Cuza" University of Iasi*. (To appear).
- [6] P. Corsini, *Prolegomena of hypergroup theory*, Second edition, Aviani editor 1993.
- [7] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Applications of hyperstructures theory*, Advanced in Mathematics, Kluwer Academic Publisher 2003.
- [8] G. Crombez, On (n, m) -rings, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg*, **37**, (1972), 180-199.
- [9] G. Crombez and J. Timm, On (n, m) -quotient rings, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg*, **37**, (1972), 200-203.
- [10] B. Davvaz, Isomorphism theorems on hyperrings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **35**(3), (2004), 321-331.
- [11] B. Davvaz and T. Vougiouklis, n -ary hypergroups, *Iranian J. Science Tech., Transaction A*, **30**(A2), (2006), 165-174.
- [12] B. Davvaz and T. Vougiouklis, Commutative rings obtained from hyperrings (H_v -rings) with α^* -relations, *Comm. Algebra*, **35**(11), (2007), 3307-3320.
- [13] B. Davvaz, W. A. Dudek and T. Vougiouklis, A Generalization of n -ary Algebraic Systems, *Comm. Algebra*, **37**, (2009), 1248-1263.
- [14] B. Davvaz, Groups in polygroups, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **1**(1), (2006), 25-31.
- [15] W. Dörnte, Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, *Math. Z.*, **29**, (1928), 1-19.
- [16] W.A. Dudek On the divisibility theory in (m, n) -rings, *Demonstratio Math.*, **14**, (1981), 19-32.
- [17] M. Ghadiri and B. N. Waphare, n -ary polygroups, *Iranian J. Science Tech., Transaction A*, **33**(A2), (2009), 145-158.

- [18] M. Golmohammadian and M. M. Zahedi, BCK-Algebras and hyper BCK-algebras induced by a deterministic finite automaton, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **4**(1), (2009), 79-98.
- [19] M. Krasner, A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **2**, (1983), 307-312.
- [20] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Canonical n -ary hypergroups, *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **24**, (2008), 247-254.
- [21] V. Leoreanu-Fotea and B. Davvaz, n -hypergroups and binary relations, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **29**, (2008), 1027-1218.
- [22] V. Leoreanu-Fotea and B. Davvaz, Roughness in n -ary hypergroups, *Information Sciences*, **178**, (2008), 4114-4124.
- [23] S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, Relations on Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **31**, (2010), 790-802.
- [24] T. Roudbari and M. M. Zahedi, Some result on simple hyper K-algebras, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **3**(2), (2008), 29-48.
- [25] T. Vougiouklis, *Hyperstructures and their representations*, Hadronic Press, Inc, 115, Palm Harber, USA, 1994.
- [26] T. Vougiouklis, The fundamental relation in hyperrings. The general hyperfield, *Proc. Fourth Int. Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications (AHA 1990)*, *World Scientific*, (1991), 203-211.

Archive of SID