

Farsi Version of Social Skills Rating System-Secondary Student Form: Cultural Adaptation, Reliability and Construct Validity

Ahmad Ali Eslami PhD^{*}, Maryam Amidi Mazaheri PhD^{*}, Firoozeh Mostafavi PhD^{*},
Mohamad Hadi Abbasi MD^{*}, Ensieh Noroozi MSc^{*}

(Received: 11 Apr 2014; Revised: 13 Mar 2014; Accepted: 6 Jul 2014)

Objective: Assessment of social skills is a necessary requirement to develop and evaluate the effectiveness of cognitive and behavioral interventions. This paper reports the cultural adaptation and psychometric properties of the Farsi version of the social skills rating system-secondary students form (SSRS-SS) questionnaire (Gresham and Elliot, 1990), in a normative sample of secondary school students.

Methods: A two-phase design was used that phase 1 consisted of the linguistic adaptation and in phase 2, using cross-sectional sample survey data, the construct validity and reliability of the Farsi version of the SSRS-SS were examined in a sample of 724 adolescents aged from 13 to 19 years.

Results: Content validity index was excellent, and the floor/ceiling effects were low. After deleting five of the original SSRS-SS items, the findings gave support for the item convergent and divergent validity. Factor analysis revealed four subscales. Results showed good internal consistency (0.89) and temporal stability (0.91) for the total scale score.

Conclusion: Findings demonstrated support for the use of the 27-item Farsi version in the school setting. Directions for future research regarding the applicability of the scale in other settings and populations of adolescents are discussed.

Declaration of interest: None.

Citation: Eslami AA, Amidi Mazaheri M, Mostafavi F, Abbasi MH, Noroozi E. Farsi version of social skills rating system-secondary student form: Cultural adaptation, reliability and construct validity. *Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci* 2014; 8(2): 97-104.

Key words: • Iranian Adolescent • Reliability • Social Skills Rating System-Secondary Students Form • Validity

Introduction

Adolescence is a developmental stage in which significant changes happen in all aspects of physical, cognitive, emotional, and social. These changes create a new feeling of identity in adolescents and lead them toward socialization with its entire emergence of developing a distinct identity (1). These features, along with a sense of attachment to the peer group, their vulnerability has been higher than other age groups. Many researchers believe that adolescence is a

growing problem. Therefore, more recent research efforts have focused on increasing the competence and success factors in adolescents (2). Development of social skills leads to the emergence of the social competence and the protection of youth against risk factors (3). Social skills are observable behaviors that individual exhibits to perform competently on a social task (e.g., active listening, interpersonal relationships, the understanding of others' feelings, etc.). Several tools have been developed to help identify the social problems in children and adolescents. The social skills rating system (SSRS) is one of the most practical means of measuring the dimensions of social skills and behavior problems in children and adolescents that it is applicable in both the normal and the abnormal (4).

Authors' affiliation: * Department of Health Education and Health Promotion, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

• **Corresponding author:** Ahmad Ali Eslami, Department of Health Education and Health Promotion, School of Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.
Tele: +98 9124214268
Fax: +98 311668-2509
Email: eslamiaa@gmail.com

The SSRS consists of three forms for students, parents, and teachers. Each of forms of SSRS, in a separate analysis and based on data obtained from several samples from the society of America, showed a different factor structure. Factor analysis showed three factors similar (cooperation, assertiveness, and self-control) for each forms. The secondary level students form consisted of an additional factor, "Empathy" and is comprised of 39 items.

The SSRS has been widely used in diagnostic studies as a screening tool to identify individuals at risk (5-9). It has also been applied as a tool to measure outcomes of cognitive and behavioral interventions (10-14).

Internal consistency reliability of the SSRS subscales ranged from 0.51 to 0.91, with a mean of 0.75. In addition, 4-week test-retest reliability was $r = 0.75$ for the total scale, and ranges from 0.65 to 0.75. Criterion-related validity analysis (CBCL-YSR) revealed that correlation coefficients between SSRS and CBCL-YSR were from 0.30 to 0.72 (4). The convergent validity estimates showed significant relationships among the subscales of the SSRS forms (15). Several studies have examined factor structures of the SSRS forms (8, 16-18). Van der Oord and colleagues offered the two-factor first order model including empathy/assertiveness and self-control/cooperation (8). The findings of a recent study emphasized on the three-factor structure (self-control/cooperation, empathy and assertiveness). In this structure, items had substantial loadings on more than one factor (17).

The present study

Although some versions of the SSRS, as an evaluation tool, were used in Iran (19), in reviewing the literature, using the version of SSRS-SS (age 13-18 years) did not found in an Iranian population. The purpose of the present study was to create a Farsi version of the SSRS-SS questionnaire to Iranian. Psychometric analyses were performed on the translated version by testing the floor and ceiling effects, the multi-trait scaling and exploratory factor analysis, internal consistency, and stability

reliability in a normative sample of secondary school students.

In conclusion, our study can provide important evidence of the potential ability of the SSRS-SS to identify the primary social problems (which can inhibit proper development of social, emotional, educational teen does). Furthermore, the findings this study can be used in the design and evaluation of prevention programs of behavioral problems.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The study design was a cross-sectional survey using stratified, two-stage, cluster sampling. Potential participants were secondary school students (grades 8-10) in Isfahan city. The sample size, with 10% probability of loss, 360 cases for each sex classes, and in total 724 cases were studied. Of the 724 participants, 41 adolescents were excluded because SSRS-SS data were incomplete. Finally, the 683 participants (334 girls and 349 boys) were included in the analysis.

Measures

The SSRS-SS (Gresham and Elliot 1990) is comprised of 39 items from the main domains of social skills (self-control, cooperation, empathy, and assertion). Item 11 ("I avoid doing things with others that may get me in trouble with adults"), for both the cooperation and self-control, are evaluated. The self-control subscale contains behaviors that typically emerge in conflict situations, such as responding appropriately to teasing, and in non-conflict situations that require taking turns and compromising. The cooperation subscale assesses behaviors such as sharing materials, and following rules. The empathy subscale includes behaviors that reflect concern and respect for others' feelings and viewpoints. The assertion subscale includes initiating behaviors, such as introducing oneself and asking others for information; and a total social skills scale score is computed, with a range of 0-80. The SSRS utilizes a three point rating scale to rate the perceived frequency of social behaviors ranging from 0 to 2: 0 = "never occurs,"

1 = “sometimes occurs” and 2 = “occurs very often”.

The SSRS was standardized on a sample of 4170 children (ranged from 3rd- to 12th- graders) stratified on age, gender, geographic region, and disability status. The SSRS-SS form takes approximately 5-10 min to complete. The total scale internal consistency (0.85-0.91) and test-retest reliability (0.87) were excellent (4). In a recent study, internal consistency of the total scale equal to 0.81 reported (17).

Procedure

Participation of students in this study was voluntary. Students completed the self-administered questionnaire in the classroom without the teacher present (15-20 min). At the end of 4 weeks, 154 participants were asked to complete the SSRS-SS to assess the test-retest reliability.

Data analysis

At the first step, we translated and adapted the SSRS-SS to the Farsi language according to established guidelines (20, 21). Translation/back-translation process performed. The back translated, and original items reviewed by the research group and found to be highly similar in meaning. The content validity index (CVI) determined by an expert panel of seven members. The experts rated each item of the Farsi version of SSRS based on relevance on a Likert type ordinal scale. Finally, we pilot tested the in a classroom setting with 52 secondary school students to assess the difficulty and degree of comprehension of the questionnaire.

The next step was the psychometric validation. We tested the translated version on the 683 secondary school students. Floor and ceiling effects were estimated for global and subscales of SSRS by calculating percentages of participants that had minimum and maximum possible scores, respectively. The threshold of 20% used to define a floor or a ceiling effect. Construct validity determined by the multi-trait scaling and exploratory factor analysis. Item convergent validity examined by calculating the corrected for overlap (the recommended value above 0.4),

and item divergent validity which is successful when the correlation between scores of each item and its hypothesized subscale is significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than with other subscales. Results of item divergent are expressed as a percentage of scaling success.

The data examined using both a varimax and a promax rotation. The factor structure assessed using several criteria, including (a) analysis of the Eigen values greater than 1 in the Scree plot, (b) item-scale correlations ≥ 0.40 , and (c) and cross-loadings < 0.40 (22). Stability assessed in 154 adolescents using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) and an ICC value of at least 0.70. Of the 154 participants, 123 completed both the test and re-test questionnaires.

Results

Descriptive statistics

The sample (349 males and 334 females) consisted of students from grades 8 (53% females), 9 (47% females) and 10 (49% females). Participants' ages ranged from 14 to 17 years ($M = 15.4$, $SD = 0.93$). The sample mean score of SSRS-SS (40 items) was 75.4 ($SD = 15.61$). As expected, the female group reported more scores than the male, but two means were not significantly different ($t(474) = 1.12$, $p > 0.05$). There were no also significant differences in SSRS-SS scores among grades 8-10 students (Table 1).

Table 1. Description of sample and comparison of mean total social skills rating system-secondary students form scale scores by grade and gender (n = 683)

	N (%)	Mean \pm SD	
8 th Grade	194 (28.5)	38.70 \pm 12.94	F = 0.391, NS
9 th Grade	245 (36.0)	40.03 \pm 12.11	
10 th Grade	242 (35.5)	40.11 \pm 13.62	
Gender			
Female	334 (49)	40.47 \pm 12.91	t = 1.12, NS
Male	349 (51)	38.94 \pm 12.64	
Total	683 (100)	39.70 \pm 12.78	

Content validity

The expert panel indicated that Farsi version of SSRS-SS has acceptable content validity. The means CVI (item relevance) for the final version of scale and subscales of SSRS-SS were 0.9 and higher that indicating adequate content validity (23). Of the 39 items in the tool, only

item 33 from the assertion (“I start conversations with opposite-sex friends without feeling uneasy or nervous.”), omitted because of cultural problems. The review of the pilot test findings led to minor modifications in the wording of several items. Result of cultural adaptation process indicated the conceptual similarity of Persian version with the original version, and so 38 items were entered into PCA.

Construct validity

Floor and ceiling effects

Mean scores for participants' SSRS subscales ranged between 8.8 and 10.2, and SDs, from 3.6 to 4.6. In general, floor effects were negligible (range: 1.7-4.2), and ceiling effects were low (range: 2.5-9.3) on global and four subscales of SSRS (Table 2).

Item convergent/divergent validity

The corrected item total correlation (CITC) for SSRS subscales ranged from 0.19 to 0.67. With regard to acceptable α values greater than 0.4 for item convergent validity, four items (assertion items 16 and 38, cooperation item 13, self-control item 19) were omitted from the tool. Although there were three higher item correlations (empathy item 39 on assertion, cooperation item 35 and assertion item 30 on self-control) with unexpected subscales, results for item divergent validity on the remaining items were relatively good, and showed that item correlations with own respective subscale were significantly greater than correlations with other subscales (Table 2). Item 11 (that assigned to two subscales in original SSRS) more closely correlated with the cooperation subscale.

Exploratory factor analysis

Initially, the two diagnostic indicators were used to determine the suitability of data for

factor analysis. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) for 34 questions, equal to 0.89, and Bartlett's test was significant ($\chi^2 = 3,537.1$, $p < 0.001$). Values of these two indicators, as well as suggest the suitability of sample size and correlation of questions for factor analysis. In the principal component analysis (PCA), the factor structure of 34 selected items with both vari- and pro-max rotations were almost the same. Due to the high correlation between factors, factor Loadings reported based on the oblique (promax) rotation (Table 3). Initial PCA with promax rotation ($k = 4$) yielded seven components with Eigen-values >1 , accounting for 54.8% of the total variance. Three-, four-, and five-factor structures were considered as the initial solutions. The scree plot showed an elbow after factor 4 as observed a clear drop in the percent of variance (8.57-3.07%) between the fourth and fifth components. Factor 5 had only three items that its two items loaded on other factors. Thus, 4-factor solution best describes the data.

Based on the exclusion criteria, items 4, 7, 25, 27, 30, 31, and 36 were omitted from the SSRS-S-Scale because items 7, 25, 30, 31, and 36 had multiple loadings greater than 0.40, and items 4 and 27 were unrelated to any of the factors. When factor analysis was run after excluding these items using previously described parameters, four strong factors emerged that explained 47.7% of the total variance. Items had high factor loadings (>0.54), and Eigen-values were 7.01, 2.50, 2.03 and 1.23. All 27 items except item 1 and 8 were loaded on the same respective factors as in the original version. Item 1 of assertion subscale (“I make friends easily”) loaded on self-control, and item 8 of empathy subscale (“I ask friends for help with my problems”) loaded on assertion (Table 3).

Table 2. Structure of subscales, distributional characteristics, internal validity, and reliability of social skills rating system-secondary students form ($n = 663$)

Subscale	No. of items (range)	Mean (SD)	Median	Floor (%)	Ceiling (%)	Item convergent (CITC [†] , range (S/T [‡]))	Item divergent, range (% SS [§])	Alpha
Empathy	10 (0-20)	9.8 (4.6)	8.0	4.2	9.3	0.40-0.65 (10/10)	0.11-0.60 (0.972)	0.87
Self-control	9 (0-18)	8.8 (4.0)	8.0	2.3	2.5	0.38-0.67 (8/9)	0.15-0.51 (0.972)	0.85
Assertion	9 (0-18)	9.6 (3.6)	10.0	1.7	4.2	0.19-0.58 (7/9)	0.05-0.72 (0.916)	0.73
Cooperation	10 (0-18)	10.2 (4.1)	11.0	2.3	4.5	0.26-0.62 (9/10)	0.08-0.63 (0.950)	0.83

[†]Corrected item total correlation; [‡]Success/total: Number of correlations exceeding the 0.40 standard/total number of correlations.

[§]Scaling success: percentage of items correlating higher with their hypothesized subscale than with other SSRS subscales

The first subscale, self-control, contained eight items and accounted for 26.25% of the item variance (items 15, 22, 18, 10, 32, 34, item 1 from assertion subscale and item 35 from cooperation subscale). The second subscale, empathy, contained seven items and accounted for 9.27% of the item variance (items 29, 12, 21, 24, 28, 2, and 5). The third subscale, Assertion, contained 6 items and accounted for 7.52% of the item variance (items 3, 26, 20, 23, and items 8 and 39 from empathy subscale). The fourth subscale, cooperation, contained six items and

accounted for 4.57% of the item variance (items 37, 9, 14, 17, 6, and 11).

Reliability

The total scale and subscales appeared to be stable and internally consistent. Internal consistency reliability of the total SSRS-SS scale was 0.89. Cronbach's alpha coefficients for the four subscales ranged from 0.72 to 0.83 ($p < 0.001$). The ICC value with a 4-week interval ($n = 123$) was 0.88 for the total scale and varied between 0.69 and 0.81 for the four subscales (Table 4).

Table 3. Factor loadings and corrected item-subscale correlation for social skills rating system-secondary students form (N = 683)

Factors and items	Item content	Loadings	CITC [†]
Factor 1: Self-control (8 items and score range: 0-16)			
15	I do nice things for my parents like helping with household chores without being asked	0.729	0.613
1	I make friends easily (assertion)	0.725	0.620
35	I follow the teacher's directions (cooperation)	0.695	0.589
22	I end fights with my parents calmly	0.688	0.582
18	I compromise with parents or teachers when we have disagreements	0.678	0.575
10	I disagree with adults without fighting or arguing	0.657	
32	I control my temper when people are angry with me	0.646	0.538
34	I take criticism from my parents without getting angry	0.631	0.521
Factor 2: Empaty (7 items and score range: 0-14)			
29	I stand up for my friends when they have been unfairly criticized	0.734	0.604
12	I feel sorry for others when bad things happen to them	0.712	0.592
21	I listen to my friends when they talk about problems they are having	0.666	0.524
24	I tell other people when they have done something well	0.643	0.573
28	I let friends know I like them by telling or showing them	0.643	0.571
2	I say nice things to others when they have done something well	0.615	0.494
5	I try to understand how my friends feel when they are angry, upset, or sad	0.535	0.404
Factor 3: Assretion (6 items and score range: 0-12)			
3	I start talks with classroom members	0.752	0.623
26	I start conversations with opposite-sex friends without feeling uneasy or nervous	0.744	0.618
8	I ask friends for help with my problems (empathy)	0.732	0.594
20	I ask someone I like for a date	0.727	0.673
23	I give compliments to members of the opposite sex	0.687	0.583
39	I talk things over with classmates when there is a problem or an argument.(empathy)	0.680	0.593
Factor 4: Cooperatin (6 items and score range: 0-12)			
37	I ask friends to do favors for me	0.709	0.481
9	I ask before using other people's things	0.664	0.512
14	I keep my desk clean and neat	0.651	0.523
17	I finish classroom work on time	0.642	0.523
6	I listen to adults when they are talking with me	0.584	0.483
11	I avoid doing things with others that may get me in trouble with adults	0.553	0.458

[†]Corrected item-subscale correlation

Table 4. Internal consistency ($n = 683$), means (SD), and test-retest reliability ($n = 123$, after 4 weeks) of the social skills rating system-secondary students form

Subscale (no. of items)	Internal consistency	Test, mean \pm SD	Retest, mean \pm SD	ICC [†] (range)	P-value (95% CI [†])
Self-control (8)	0.83	8.2 \pm 3.6	8.3 \pm 3.9	0.74 (0.64-0.81)	$p < 0.0001$
Empaty (7)	0.78	6.7 \pm 3.2	7.0 \pm 3.1	0.81 (0.73-0.85)	$p < 0.0001$
Assretion (6)	0.82	6.8 \pm 2.8	6.9 \pm 2.7	0.69 (0.58-0.77)	$p < 0.0001$
Cooperatin (6)	0.72	5.5 \pm 2.3	5.6 \pm 2.3	0.77 (0.68-0.83)	$p < 0.0001$
Total scale (27)	0.89	27.4 \pm 8.9	27.8 \pm 9.1	0.88 (0.83-0.91)	$p < 0.0001$

[†]Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC); [†]95% confidence interval

Discussion

Development of social competence and skills in children is a crucial component for positive outcomes in schools and other settings. Screening young children for developmental problems is a necessary to reduce the secondary internalizing and externalizing behavior problems. Many tools in the assessment of adolescent social competence have been developed, but few have been validated for use in an Iranian population. SSRS is developed from empirical studies in the West; it may be cultural biased when applying in the Iran situation. Cultural bias suggests that the construct under consideration has different content across different cultural groups or that individuals from different groups attach a different meaning to the construct (24). The present study provided the first empirical evaluation of the content validity, construct validity and reliability of the 27-item Farsi version the SSRS-SS in a nonclinical sample of Iranian adolescent students. The present study showed that girls scored higher than boys on social skills, but two means were not significantly different. In comparison, the several studies found that girls had significantly higher social skills scores (4, 19, 25). The Farsi version of the SSRS indicated good content validity because the CVI for the scale and subscales were 0.9 and higher. Construct validity supported in the factor analysis. The results of initial exploratory factor analysis showed that some items had low loadings (below 0.40) on their respective subscales, and cross loading above 0.40. Nevertheless, these results are in line with the previous studies.

The present study using accurate validation procedures and removal poor items at each stage of the psychometric analysis and assigning a unexpected subscale for some items based on inspection of their loadings, a 4-factor structure with 27 items for the Persian version of the SSRS-Student form has proposed (“self-control”, “empathy”, “assertion”, and “cooperation”) that were the same as those reported by Gresham and Elliot (4). In comparison to findings of this study, Whiteside and colleague’s study was unable

to identify a specific factor structure for the SSRS (18). Also, the number of previous studies reported different factor structures of the present study and the original SSRS versions (8, 17, 26). For example, the study of Van der Oord et al. (using two criteria for retention of items; factor loading of 0.35 or above, and no dual factor loading with a difference of <0.15 between the loadings on both factors) suggested a two factor structure including assertion/empathy and cooperation/self-control with 26 items for SSRS-student in a normal sample. Although in our study did not identify such two factor structure, but this study found evidence of considerable intercorrelations among items (especially among items of assertion and empathy subscales), and the existence of some substantial loadings on unexpected factors (Table 3). These results are almost identical with what reported by Mota and colleagues (2011). These researchers demonstrated the three-factor solution (self-control/cooperation, empathy, and assertion) that some of the cooperation items had strong loading on unexpected dimensions such as empathy and self-control. Four-factor structure of the present study with 27 items was explained 47.7% of the variance that is acceptable in comparison with some other studies (8, 17). Based on the results of this study, 27 items all had factor loadings higher than 0.5 and were statistically significant with a range from 0.54 to 0.75, which is similar to previous results (17, 27). Reliability analyses showed acceptable internal consistency and stability for the for the Farsi version SSRS-SS scale. Several studies have found favorable support for the SSRS in terms of internal consistency, test-retest estimates (4, 28).

The Cronbach’s alpha value was 0.89 for the total scale and ranged from 0.72 to 0.83 for the four subscales. The corrected item-subscale correlation coefficients ranged from 0.40 (empathy item 5) to 0.67 (assertion item 20). Consistent with these findings, Mota et al. have reported internal consistency reliability of 0.87 (ranging from 0.58 to 0.72) for the total scale in a sample of 573 secondary school adolescents (17). In another study, it was equal to 0.84 and with a range

from 0.51 to 0.66 for the subscales (8). In addition, ICC value between test and retest scores showed good temporal stability that suggested that the total SSRS-SS scale and subscales are precisely repeatable. Although the values of reliability and stability of the scale and subscales of SSRS-SS are high among cross-culture adaptations and validity studies, these observed results may be Due to differences in the number of factors and items. Therefore, it is difficult to compare these results with results of previous studies.

Limitations and Future Directions

In summary, the present study provides preliminary evidence of good psychometric properties of the 27-item Farsi version of the SSRS-SS. Although the Farsi version is relatively short and its implementation is requires less time, indeed there are important differences in the factor structure between the Farsi and the original 40-item version. Therefore, continued research is needed to develop a valid and reliable research tool and its appropriateness for the purpose of assessing social skills in different adolescent's populations in Iran. A study using confirmatory factor analysis is needed to assess the validity of this scale in a large sample of respondents. Results showed that girls scored higher than boys on social skills. Future research should also examine the features of gender differences in adolescents' social skills.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Public Health Students for their complete cooperation throughout the data collection phase of the study.

Authors' contributions

AAE participated in designing the evaluation and drafting the manuscript, interpreted the clinical data, and performed the statistical analysis. MAM conceived and designed the evaluation, helped in drafting the manuscript. FM participated in designing the evaluation and drafting the manuscript, and revised it critically for important intellectual

content. MHA collected the clinical data and interpreted them, and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. EN collected the clinical data, and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Landry SH, Smith KE, Swank PR. New directions in evaluating social problem solving in childhood: early precursors and links to adolescent social competence. *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev* 2009; 2009(123): 51-68.
2. Smetana JG, Campione-Barr N, Metzger A. Adolescent development in interpersonal and societal contexts. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2006; 57: 255-84.
3. Botvin GJ, Griffin KW. Life skills training as a primary prevention approach for adolescent drug abuse and other problem behaviors. *Int J Emerg Ment Health* 2002; 4(1): 41-7.
4. Gresham FM, Elliott SN. Social skills rating system: Manual. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service; 1990.
5. Frankel F, Feinberg D. Social problems associated with ADHD vs. ODD in children referred for friendship problems. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 2002; 33(2): 125-46.
6. Rutherford LE, Dupaul GJ, Jitendra AK. Examining the relationship between treatment outcomes for academic achievement and social skills in school-age children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychol Schools* 2008; 45(2): 145-57.
7. Moren-Cross JL, Wright DR, LaGory M, Lanzi RG. Perceived neighborhood characteristics and problem behavior among disadvantaged children. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 2006; 36(3): 273-94.
8. Van der Oord S, Van der Meulen EM, Prins PJ, Oosterlaan J, Buitelaar JK, Emmelkamp PM. A psychometric evaluation of the social skills rating system in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Behav Res Ther* 2005; 43(6): 733-46.

9. Grossman JM, Liang B. Discrimination distress among chinese American adolescents. *J Youth Adolesc* 2008; 37(1): 1-11.
10. Vitiello B, Abikoff HB, Chuang SZ, Kollins SH, McCracken JT, Riddle MA, et al. Effectiveness of methylphenidate in the 10-month continuation phase of the Preschoolers with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Study (PATS). *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2007; 17(5): 593-604.
11. de Boo GM, Prins PJ. Social incompetence in children with ADHD: possible moderators and mediators in social-skills training. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2007; 27(1): 78-97.
12. Flanagan R, Allen K, Henry DJ. The impact of anger management treatment and rational emotive behavior therapy in a public school setting on social skills, anger management, and depression. *Ration Emot Cogn Behav Ther* 2010; 28(2): 87-99.
13. Dingfelder HE, Jaffee SR, Mandell DS. The impact of social support on depressive symptoms among adolescents in the child welfare system: A propensity score analysis. *Child Youth Serv Rev* 2010; 32(10): 1255-61.
14. Castorina LL, Negri LM. The inclusion of siblings in social skills training groups for boys with Asperger syndrome. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2011; 41(1): 73-81.
15. Gresham FM, Elliott SN, Vance MJ, Cook CR. Comparability of the social skills rating system to the social skills improvement system: Content and psychometric comparisons across elementary and secondary age levels. *School Psychol Quart* 2011; 26(1): 27-44.
16. Shahim S. Reliability of the social skills rating system in a group of Iranian children. *Psychol Rep* 2001; 89(3): 566-70.
17. Mota CP, Matos PM, Lemos MS. Psychometric properties of the Social Skills Questionnaire: Portuguese adaptation of the student form (grades 7 to 12). *Span J Psychol* 2011; 14(1): 486-99.
18. Whiteside SP, McCarthy DM, Miller JD. An examination of the factor structure of the Social Skills Rating System parent elementary form. *Assessment* 2007; 14(3): 246-54.
19. Abdi B. Gender differences in social skills, problem behaviours and academic competence of Iranian kindergarten children based on their parent and teacher ratings. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci* 2010; 5(0): 1175-9.
20. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000; 25(24): 3186-91.
21. Hambleton RK. Guidelines for adapting educational and psychological tests: A progress report. *Eur Psychol Assess* 1994; 10: 229-44.
22. Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using multivariate statistics. 4th ed. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon; 2000.
23. Polit DF, Beck CT, Owen SV. Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. *Res Nurs Health* 2007; 30(4): 459-67.
24. Tyson EH. Ethnic differences using behavior rating scales to assess the mental health of children: a conceptual and psychometric critique. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 2004; 34(3): 167-201.
25. Anme T, Shinohara R, Sugisawa Y, Tong L, Tanaka E, Watanabe T, et al. Gender differences of children's social skills and parenting using Interaction Rating Scale (IRS). *Procedia Soc Behav Sci* 2010; 2(2): 260-8.
26. Manz PH, Fantuzzo JW, McDermott PA. The parent version of the preschool social skills rating scale: An analysis of its use with low-income, ethnic minority children. *School Psychol Rev* 1999; 28(3): 493-504.
27. Walthall JC, Konold TR, Pianta RC. Factor structure of the social skills rating system across child gender and ethnicity. *J Psychoeduc Assess* 2005; 23(3): 201-15.
28. Pedersen JA, Worrell FC, French JL. Reliability of the social skills rating system with rural appalachian children from families with low incomes. *J Psychoeduc Assess* 2001; 19(1): 45-53.