



Comparing Attachment Style, Quality of Intimate Relationship and Anger Experience in Patients with Borderline Personality and Bipolar-II Disorders

Parvin Rahmatinejad,^{1*} Zohre Khosravi,² and Seyed Davood Mohammadi³

¹Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, IR Iran

²Faculty of Education and Psychology, Alzahra University, Tehran, IR Iran

³Faculty of Medicine, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Parvin Rahmatinejad, Psychiatric Ward of Kamkar-Arabnia Hospital, Ruhollah Square, Moallem Boulevard, Postal Code: 3715614566. Qom, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9125759026, Fax: +98-2537839933, E-mail: rahmatinejadp@yahoo.com

Received 2017 January 27; Revised 2017 April 11; Accepted 2017 October 15.

Abstract

Background: Borderline personality disorder (BPD) might be conceptualized as belonging to the bipolar disorder spectrum. For this purpose, we compared these disorders' attachment styles, intimate relationship, and anger experience.

Objectives: Objectives of the current research were investigating of attachment style, quality of intimate relationship, and anger experience in patients with borderline personality and bipolar-II disorders and comparing these variables in these groups.

Methods: The method of research was comparative. The sample consisted of 37 BPD and 41 BP-II outpatients that were selected through convenience sampling method. They were requested to answer "Attachment Style Questionnaire", "Quality of relationship inventory" and "Multidimensional Anger Inventory".

Results: The t-test showed that there was no significant difference between BPD and bipolar disorder Type II (BP-II) groups in anxious and secure attachment styles. However, BPD patients showed higher levels of avoidant attachment styles compared to the BP-II patients. In addition, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups in the quality of intimate relationship and anger experience in general. However, BPD and BP-II patients had a significant difference in "conflict with friends", "conflict with partner", and "anger arousal" subscales, in a way that BPD patients had higher scores on these subscales.

Conclusions: The results of our study suggest that insecure attachment style is the common underlying psychopathology of both BPD and Bipolar disorders that leads to similar intimate relationships and anger experience. These findings support the re-conceptualization of BPD in the Bipolar spectrum.

Keywords: Anger Experience, Attachment Style, Bipolar-II, Borderline Personality Disorder, Quality of Intimate Relationship

1. Background

According to the DSM-V diagnostic criteria, borderline personality disorder (BPD) is classified as a personality disorder while it can be considered a complex and controversial issue (1).

Psychiatric literature indicates that BPD often presents highly comorbidity with affective disorders (2). Also, many of the diagnostic criteria for BPD (e.g., interpersonal sensitivity, impulsivity, and affective instability) are observed in affective disorders that resulted some researchers attempt to link BPD with mood disorders (3-6). In this respect, Akiskal first suggested that BPD is actually part of a bipolar spectrum (3). He primarily suggested the concept of spectrum to describe mania and hypomania but also mild, sub-clinical and atypical bipolar disorders. Moreover, Bipolar

spectrum definition includes personality traits and temperaments such as cyclothymic or hyperthymic. By definition, spectrum is a range of linked conditions, symptoms and traits that are supposed to be caused by the same underlying mechanism and may represent a range of severity from relatively severe disorders to relatively mild and non-clinical deficits (7). Based on this suggestion, characteristics such as affective instability and impulsive behaviors in BPD and bipolar disorder derive from the same underlying mechanism. Reich and colleagues (8) suggested that bipolar disorders and BPD may share a cyclothymic temperament involving affective reactivity and interpersonal sensitivity.

An important corollary of the attachment theory is that emotion regulation and interpersonal relationships

are related to the attachment style. Therefore, based on this theory, insecure attachment may be the cause of many of pathological conditions including dysfunctional anger or disturbed relationships. Thus, to better understand the relationship between these 2 syndromes, it is useful to investigate the phenomenological similarities and differences between these 2 disorders. Reviewing the literature on this issue (9) suggests that BPD patients represent 2 prototypes of insecure attachments: disorganized/disoriented form of attachment in childhood that is characterized by denial, confusion, or fearfulness about dependency and anxious/preoccupied form of attachment in adulthood, which is described by pleas for attention or help, clinging, and checking for proximity (10, 11). Patients with BPD are characterized by severe difficulties within intimate relationships (12-14). Most literature indicates that severe intimate relationship dysfunction in patients with BPD is characterized by frequent conflict (15), higher risk of abuse (16), lower rate of marriage (17), higher number of relationships (but not more time-spending in relationship), higher chronic stress in the romantic relationship, poorer relationship satisfaction (18), higher perceived parental criticism (19), and higher perceived parental conflicts as well as fewer appropriate responses (20).

As indicated by the DSM-V criteria for BPD (number 8 criteria, inappropriate intense anger or difficulty controlling anger) dysfunctional anger has been identified as the key problematic aspect of BPD. Accordingly, empirical researches indicated that patients with BPD typically show high levels of trait anger (21). Furthermore, Gardner et al. (22) found heightened levels of anger, hostility, and irritability among individuals with BPD compared to healthy controls.

According to the results of previous researches, we hypothesized that patients with BPD and bipolar type II (BD-II) disorder would have similar attachment styles, namely anxious or insecure attachment styles. Another purpose of this study was to compare the similarities and differences of quality of intimate relationship and anger experience in both groups. Our assumption is that regarding the same attachment style these 2 groups would have similar intimate relationships and anger experiences. Finally, in this study we implicitly investigate the validity of re-classification of BPD on the axis I disorders, especially bipolar spectrum disorders.

2. Objectives

Objectives of the current research were investigating of attachment style, quality of intimate relationship, and anger experience in patients with borderline personality

and bipolar-II disorders and comparing these variables in these groups

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Participants

Research method of the current study is comparative. A total of 37 borderline personality disorder patients (13 males and 24 females, mean age: 26.25 ± 5.6 , range: 18 - 45 years old) and 41 stable bipolar-II (22 males and 19 females, mean age: 27.36 ± 8.3 , range: 18 - 42 years old) that met the DSM-V criteria (according to psychiatrist diagnosis) participated in this study (Table 1). Subjects were gathered by Convenience Sampling method and the numbers were according to the research team ability, while these numbers are quite proper for comparing purposes. All participants were selected in similar circumstances that are hospital conditions. Gathering data in similar situations make it more possible to gather unbiased data. All patients were recruited from the psychiatric ward of Kamkar-Arabnia hospital, Qom, Iran. BPD and bipolar-II diagnostic evaluation consisted of psychiatrist diagnosis, Borderline Personality Inventory (BPI), and mood disorder questionnaire (MDQ). Inclusion criteria were a minimum age of 18, ability to read and answer questions, and patients' agreement to participate in the study. Participants were excluded if they were currently psychotic, substance abusers, or suicidal, if they had a lifetime history of schizophrenia, schizoaffective, or other psychotic disorders, and serious organic conditions.

Table 1. Demographic Data for Patients with BPD and BD-II^a

Variable	BPD (n = 37)	BD-II (n = 41)
Sex, female	64.9	46.3
Age, y	26.25 ± 5.6	27.36 ± 8.3
Education, y	10 ± 3	12 ± 3
Marital status, s, m, d	59.5, 16.2, 24.3	53.7, 41.5, 4.9
History of hospitalization, yes	73.0	75.6

Abbreviations: BD-II, Bipolar II disorder; BPD, Borderline Personality Disorder; D, divorced; M, married; S, single.

^aValues are expressed as mean \pm S.D or %.

3.2. Measures

Borderline personality inventory (BPI) is a self-reported questionnaire that was designed by Leichsenring (23) on the basis of Kernberg's (24) concept of borderline personality organization, DSM-V diagnostic criteria, and Gunderson's (25) concept of borderline personality disorder. According to Leichsenring (23), the BPI combines dimensional and categorical models of BPD. Internal

consistency and test-retest reliability for BPI have been satisfactory (Cronbach's alpha = 0.68 - 0.91, $r_{tt} = 0.73 - 0.89$). Results for sensitivity have been 0.85 to 0.89, and for specificity 0.78 to 0.89.

Mood disorder questionnaire (MDQ) is used in clinical and investigative practice for screening patients with bipolar I and II disorders. Results have shown that MDQ has relatively good sensitivity of 0.73 and specificity of 0.90 in an outpatient psychiatric sample (26).

Hazan and Shaver (27) created adult attachment styles questionnaire to assess attachment styles in adults. In this study, the alpha coefficient for it was .81 for the whole scale and test-retest reliability was 0.78.

Quality of relationship inventory (QRI) is a measure for assessment of support, conflict, and depth in particular relationships (i.e. familial, romantic, intimate and peer relationships) (28, 29). The quality of relationship scale resulted in good internal consistency (support scale = 0.80, conflict scale = 0.88, and depth scale = 0.81) (28).

Multidimensional Anger Inventory (MAI) is designed to assess the following dimensions of anger: frequency, duration, magnitude, range of anger-arousing stimuli, mode of expression, and hostile outlook (30). The MAI proved adequate test-retest reliability ($r = 0.75$) and moderate to high internal consistency alpha coefficients ranging from .84 to .89 for the 2 samples.

3.3. Procedure

All patients announced their consent before beginning. In order to confirm the psychiatric diagnosis, Borderline Personality Inventory (BPI) was administered to patients who received the diagnosis of BPD and mood disorder questionnaire (MDQ) to patients who received the diagnosis of BD-II. Then, patients were asked to complete the adult attachment styles questionnaire, (QRI) and (MAI). Current study was approved by the ethics committee of Al-Zahra University, Tehran, Iran.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Independent sample t-test was used to compare the patients with borderline and bipolar-II. The t-test is used for parametric data like the data in our research. The 2 tailed significance level was set at .05. Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS version 20.

4. Results

The BPD patients were similar to the BD-II patients in age, sex ratio, education, history of hospitalization, and marital status (Table 1).

In general, 19 (51.2%) of BD-II patients had secure attachment style and 22 (53.7%) insecure attachment style. The t-test comparing BPD and BD-II patients showed no significant difference in Secure and Anxious attachment styles scores ($P > 0.05$). However, 2 groups had significant difference in Avoidant attachment styles subscale. [$t(76) = 2.21, P < 0.05$]. BPD patients showed higher levels of Avoidant attachment styles compared to the BD-II patients (Table 2).

Analysis revealed no significant difference in 7 scales. However, difference in the subscales of «conflict with parents» and «conflict with friends» were significant. BPD patients showed higher levels of «conflict with parents» [$t(27) = 1.23, P < 0.05$] and higher levels of «conflict with friends» [$t(76) = 1.36, P < 0.05$] compared to the BD-II patients (Tables 3 and 4).

The t-test results on MAI indicated no significant difference in all scales between 2 patient groups except for the «anger arousal» that was statistically higher in BPD patients compared to the BD-II patients [$t(76) = 2.19, P < 0.05$].

5. Discussion

The present study aimed to examine the proposal that borderline personality disorder is understood as an axis I disorder within the bipolar spectrum.

The results showed that insecure attachment style are more frequent in the BPD as some prior studies had acquired this result (31). In addition, patients with PBD had higher levels of avoidant attachment style. This observation can be due to the core of BPD such as fear of rejection and having unstable feelings in relation to other important people. Conversely, bipolar patients usually do not have these fears and worries of BPD patients that may have resulted to this observation.

Anxious attachment style had the highest frequency in both groups as it can be concluded that patients with BPD and BD-II may have the same attachment experiences. Findings from longitudinal studies (32) on the development of BPD have revealed that traumatic events, especially early negative experiences in parent-child relationship, play a central role in the impairments to the underlying attachment organization and later BPD symptomatology. It appears that the occurrence of these traumatic events early in life leads to vulnerability and development of insecure attachment and later results in bipolar disorder symptoms. For example, results from Levitan and colleagues' (33) study indicated that there is a strong relationship between childhood physical abuse and mania. Traumatic experiences can deeply disrupt the ability to trust and form secure attachments.

There was no significant difference between patients in 2 groups in regards to the quality of intimate relation-

Table 2. T-Test Results for the Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) and Bipolar II Disorder (BD II) Patients' Scores on the Attachment Style Questionnaire

		N	Mean ± S.D	T	Sig	df
Avoidant	BPD	37	10.13 ± 4.11	2.21	0.030	76
	BD_II	41	8.19 ± 3.64			
Secure	BPD	37	10.32 ± 4.12	-1.88	0.063	76
	BD_II	41	12.04 ± 3.95			
Anxious	BPD	37	10.91 ± 4.33	1.44	0.154	76
	BD_II	41	9.48 ± 4.42			

Table 3. T-Test Results for the Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) and Bipolar II Disorder (BD II) Patients' Scores on the QRI

		N	Mean ± SD	T	df	Sig
Perceived support from parents	BPD	37	11.40 ± 5.48	-1.75	76	0.72
	BD_II	41	13.68 ± 5.90			
Perceived support from partner	BPD	10	11.30 ± 6.18	-1.72	27	0.65
	BD_II	19	14.05 ± 5.84			
Perceived support from friends	BPD	37	9.86 ± 4.66	0.67	76	0.43
	BD_II	41	9.12 ± 5.00			
Conflict with parents	BPD	37	24.08 ± 6.41	1.93	76	0.24
	BD_II	41	20.95 ± 7.39			
Conflict with partner	BPD	10	26.00 ± 3.52	1.23	27	0.02
	BD_II	19	23.21 ± 7.46			
Conflict with friends	BPD	37	15.27 ± 7.14	1.36	76	0.04
	BD_II	41	13.29 ± 5.66			
Intimacy with parents	BPD	37	15.43 ± 5.00	-1.89	76	0.60
	BD_II	41	17.60			
Intimacy with partner	BPD	10	15.00 ± 4.92	0.83	27	0.29
	BD_II	19	17.15 ± 6.06			
Intimacy with friends	BPD	37	12.48 ± 6.66	0.75	76	0.14
	BD_II	41	12.39 ± 4.55			

ship except 2 subscales. Prior researches broadly show that both patient groups possess the same impaired interpersonal processes. These impaired interpersonal processes lead to increased conflicts, intimate relationship impairment, and difficulties in perceived support in meaningful relationships. Alongside, Chen et al. (15) found that BPD symptoms are associated with sustained elevations in partner conflict. In this study, patients with BPD had higher scores on the "Conflict with partner" and "Conflict with friends" subscales of (QRI) compared with bipolar disorder group, although non-significant. As it is discussed in the prior section, BPD is determined with more disrupted and chaotic relational dynamics where bipolar can explain this issue.

Some authors suggested that affective instability and

impulsivity might be the important causes of interpersonal dysfunction for patients with PBD (34, 35). In patients with bipolar disorders, as some (36) have proposed, irritability during both the manic and depressive episode can lead to the interpersonal problems and tendency to either despise or idealize others. Based on this study, BPD and bipolar-II patients experience similar intimate relationships. It seems that, these same experiences are the result of the same affective instability.

Findings from our study indicated that the same attachment style and high overlap of quality of intimate relationship and anger experience in the BPD and BD-II patients provide the empirical evidence for placing BPD in bipolar spectrum. Psychiatric researchers such as Akiskal (4, 37) as well as Bradford Reich et al. (8) suggested a com-

Table 4. T-Test Results for the Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) and Bipolar II Disorder (BD II) Patients' Scores on the MAI

		N	Mean ± SD	T	df	Sig
Anger arousal	BPD	37	42.86 ± 13.32	2.19	76	0.03
	BD_II	41	37.00 ± 10.25			
Anger-eliciting situations	BPD	37	31.75 ± 4.90	0.58	76	0.56
	BD_II	41	33.34 ± 3.97			
Hostile outlook	BPD	37	34.70 ± 6.91	0.03	76	0.96
	BD_II	41	34.75 ± 5.21			
Anger-in	BPD	37	20.32 ± 5.03	0.23	76	0.81
	BD_II	41	12.34 ± 4.44			
Anger-out	BPD	37	12.05 ± 2.34	-0.56	76	0.57
	BD_II	41	12.34 ± 2.12			
Total score	BPD	37	1.24 ± 21.97	0.96	76	0.33
	BD_II	41	1.20 ± 16.79			

mon biological and temperamental basis for bipolar disorders and BPD. This biological and temperamental basis is responsible for phenomenological similarities and overlapping symptoms between the 2 disorders. Attachment patterns can be considered a common underlying factor in the development of a variety of disorders. Attachment insecurity in 2 groups generally results in the same experiences in intimate relationships and anger emotions.

We are suggesting that BPD and BD-II due to having the same attachment styles and similar phenomenology in their intimate relationships and anger experiences, maybe considered on a single continuum, namely bipolar spectrum. Reclassification of BPD in bipolar spectrum would result in new clinical and research implications. On the other hand, shifting BPD to bipolar spectrum would require further research on the nature, pathology, and treatment of it. Finally, we affirm and confirm that comparing design of this study is not quite fit to meet this proposal completely, although finding similarities and differences between 2 disorders could be precursors of reclassifying them in 1 category as this research tried to do.

Acknowledgments

Researchers thank all patients, their families, and personnel of Kamkar-Arabnia psychiatric hospital who took part or helped us gather the data of this research.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Parvin Rahmatinejad designed and conducted the study. Zohre Khosravi did data entry

and analyzed the data. Seyyed Davood Mohammadi contributed to data collection and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of Interest: All authors agreed about content of the manuscript. There was no conflict of Interest.

Funding/Support: This research was not funded by any research center or university. All of its tools and financial requirements have been provided by the researchers.

References

- Zanarini MC, Frankenburg FR, Dubo ED, Sickel AE, Trikha A, Levin A, et al. Axis II comorbidity of borderline personality disorder. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1998;**39**(5):296-302. [PubMed: [9777282](#)].
- O'Connell RA, Mayo JA, Scitutto MS. PDQ-R personality disorders in bipolar patients. *J Affect Disord*. 1991;**23**(4):217-21. [PubMed: [1791267](#)].
- Akiskal HS, Chen SE, Davis GC, Puzantian VR, Kashgarian M, Bolinger JM. Borderline: an adjective in search of a noun. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1985;**46**(2):41-8. [PubMed: [3968045](#)].
- Akiskal HS. Demystifying borderline personality: critique of the concept and unorthodox reflections on its natural kinship with the bipolar spectrum. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2004;**110**(6):401-7. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-0447.2004.00461.x](#). [PubMed: [15521823](#)].
- Levitt AJ, Joffe RT, Ennis J, MacDonald C, Kutcher SP. The prevalence of cyclothymia in borderline personality disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1990;**51**(8):335-9. [PubMed: [2380158](#)].
- Perugi G, Akiskal HS, Lattanzi L, Cecconi D, Mastrocinque C, Patronelli A, et al. The high prevalence of "soft" bipolar (II) features in atypical depression. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1998;**39**(2):63-71. [PubMed: [9515190](#)].
- Maser JD, Akiskal HS. Spectrum concepts in major mental disorders. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2002;**25**(4):xi-xiii. [PubMed: [12462854](#)].
- Reich DB, Zanarini MC, Fitzmaurice G. Affective lability in bipolar disorder and borderline personality disorder. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2012;**53**(3):230-7. doi: [10.1016/j.comppsy.2011.04.003](#). [PubMed: [21632042](#)].
- Yeomans FE, Levy KN. An object relations perspective on borderline personality. *Acta Neuropsychiatr*. 2002;**14**(2):76-80. doi: [10.1034/j.1601-5215.2002.140205.x](#). [PubMed: [26983969](#)].

10. Ainsworth MS, Blehar MC, Waters E, Wall SN. *Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation*. Psychology Press; 2015.
11. Melges FT, Bowlby J. Types of hopelessness in psychopathological process. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1969;**20**(6):690-9. [PubMed: 4890814].
12. Gunderson JG. The borderline patient's intolerance of aloneness: insecure attachments and therapist availability. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1996;**153**(6):752-8. doi: 10.1176/ajp.153.6.752. [PubMed: 8633685].
13. Agrawal HR, Gunderson J, Holmes BM, Lyons-Ruth K. Attachment studies with borderline patients: a review. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2004;**12**(2):94-104. doi: 10.1080/10673220490447218. [PubMed: 15204804].
14. Linehan M. *Cognitive-behavioral treatment of borderline personality disorder*. Guilford press; 1993.
15. Chen H, Cohen P, Johnson JG, Kasen S, Sneed JR, Crawford TN. Adolescent personality disorders and conflict with romantic partners during the transition to adulthood. *J Pers Disord*. 2004;**18**(6):507-25. doi: 10.1521/pe.18.6.507.54794. [PubMed: 15615664].
16. Duker Conrad S, Stevens Morrow R. Borderline personality organization, dissociation, and willingness to use force in intimate relationships. *Psychol Men Masculin*. 2000;**1**(1):37-48. doi: 10.1037/1524-9220.1.1.37.
17. Labonte E, Paris J. Life events in borderline personality disorder. *Can J Psychiatry*. 1993;**38**(10):638-40. [PubMed: 8313301].
18. Daley SE, Burge D, Hammen C. Borderline personality disorder symptoms as predictors of 4-year romantic relationship dysfunction in young women: addressing issues of specificity. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2000;**109**(3):451-60. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.109.3.451. [PubMed: 11016115].
19. Cheavens JS, Zachary Rosenthal M, Daughters SB, Nowak J, Kosson D, Lynch TR, et al. An analogue investigation of the relationships among perceived parental criticism, negative affect, and borderline personality disorder features: the role of thought suppression. *Behav Res Ther*. 2005;**43**(2):257-68. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2004.01.006. [PubMed: 15629754].
20. Allen DM, Abramson H, Whitson S, Al-Taher M, Morgan S, Veneracion-Yumul A, et al. Perceptions of contradictory communication from parental figures by adults with borderline personality disorder: a preliminary study. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2005;**46**(5):340-52. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2005.01.003. [PubMed: 16122534].
21. Linehan MM, Tutek DA, Heard HL, Armstrong HE. Interpersonal outcome of cognitive behavioral treatment for chronically suicidal borderline patients. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1994;**151**(12):1771-6. doi: 10.1176/ajp.151.12.1771. [PubMed: 7977884].
22. Gardner DL, Leibenluft E, O'Leary KM, Cowdry RW. Self-ratings of anger and hostility in borderline personality disorder. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 1991;**179**(3):157-61. [PubMed: 1997664].
23. Leichsenring F. Development and first results of the Borderline Personality Inventory: a self-report instrument for assessing borderline personality organization. *J Pers Assess*. 1999;**73**(1):45-63. doi: 10.1207/S15327752JPA730104. [PubMed: 10497801].
24. Kernberg OF. *Severe personality disorders: Psychotherapeutic strategies*. Yale University Press; 1993.
25. Gunderson JG, Kolb JE. Discriminating features of borderline patients. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1978;**135**(7):792-6. doi: 10.1176/ajp.135.7.792. [PubMed: 665789].
26. Hirschfeld RM, Williams JB, Spitzer RL, Calabrese JR, Flynn L, Keck PJ, et al. Development and validation of a screening instrument for bipolar spectrum disorder: the Mood Disorder Questionnaire. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;**157**(11):1873-5. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.157.11.1873. [PubMed: 11058490].
27. Hazan C, Shaver P. Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1987;**52**(3):511-24. [PubMed: 3572722].
28. Pierce GR, Sarason IG, Sarason BR. General and relationship-based perceptions of social support: are two constructs better than one? *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1991;**61**(6):1028-39. [PubMed: 1774625].
29. Pierce GR, Sarason IG, Sarason BR, Solky-Butzel JA, Nagle LC. Assessing the Quality of Personal Relationships. *J Soc Pers Relationships*. 2016;**14**(3):339-56. doi: 10.1177/0265407597143004.
30. Siegel JM. The Multidimensional Anger Inventory. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1986;**51**(1):191-200. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.51.1.191. [PubMed: 3735067].
31. Miklowitz DJ, Wisniewski SR, Miyahara S, Otto MW, Sachs GS. Perceived criticism from family members as a predictor of the one-year course of bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Res*. 2005;**136**(2-3):101-11. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2005.04.005. [PubMed: 16023735].
32. Crawford TN, Cohen PR, Chen H, Anglin DM, Ehrensaft M. Early maternal separation and the trajectory of borderline personality disorder symptoms. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2009;**21**(3):1013-30. doi: 10.1017/S0954579409000546. [PubMed: 19583895].
33. Benjamin LS, Wonderlich SA. Social perceptions and borderline personality disorder: the relation to mood disorders. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 1994;**103**(4):610-24. [PubMed: 7822562].
34. Bagge C, Nickell A, Stepp S, Durrett C, Jackson K, Trull TJ. Borderline personality disorder features predict negative outcomes 2 years later. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2004;**113**(2):279-88. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.113.2.279. [PubMed: 15122948].
35. Koenigsberg HW, Harvey PD, Mitropoulou V, New AS, Goodman M, Silverman J, et al. Are the interpersonal and identity disturbances in the borderline personality disorder criteria linked to the traits of affective instability and impulsivity? *J Pers Disord*. 2001;**15**(4):358-70. [PubMed: 11556702].
36. Hlatala SA, Frank E. Adapting interpersonal and social rhythm therapy to the developmental needs of adolescents with bipolar disorder. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2006;**18**(4):1267-88. doi: 10.1017/S0954579406060615. [PubMed: 17064438].
37. Akiskal HS. The bipolar spectrum—the shaping of a new paradigm in psychiatry. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2002;**4**(1):1-3. [PubMed: 11814388].