





Original Article

# The significance of coronary artery calcium score as a predictor of coronary artery stenosis in individuals referred for CT angiography

Reza Kiani<sup>1</sup>, Hamidreza Pouraliakbar<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Javad Alemzadeh-Ansari<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Ali Khademi<sup>1</sup>, Mohamad Mehdi Peighambari<sup>1</sup>, Bahram Mohebbi<sup>1</sup>, Ata Firouzi<sup>1</sup>, Ali Zahedmehr<sup>1</sup>, Farshad Shakerian<sup>1</sup>, Zahra Hosseini<sup>1</sup>, Alireza Rashidinejad<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cardiovascular Intervention Research Center, Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Cardio-Oncology Research Center, Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center, Tehran, Iran

Article info

Article History:

Received: 6 June 2020  
Accepted: 11 August 2020  
published: 3 September 2020

Keywords:

Coronary Artery Disease  
Angiography  
Coronary Calcium Score

Abstract

**Introduction:** Cardiovascular diseases, including coronary artery disease (CAD), are among the most common causes of death in the elderly population. Recent studies have found that coronary artery calcium score (CACS) is a strong independent predictor of CAD. Here we aimed to investigate the association between CACS and demographic, clinical, laboratory, and CT angiographic findings in patients with suspected CAD.

**Methods:** From June 2008 to August 2018, we retrospectively reviewed 219 consecutive patients suspected with CAD who were referred for CT angiography in Rajaie Cardiovascular, Medical, and Research Center. Medical records were reviewed, and relevant demographic, clinical, laboratory and imaging were collected.

**Results:** A total of 219 patients with an average age of 62.64±12.39 were included. Twelve patients (5.5%) had normal coronary angiography, and 50.2% had mild CAD. An obstructive CAD was found in 97 patients (44.3%). The median CACS was 76.4 (IQR, 13.0-289.1). The frequency of obstructive CAD was 28.1% in the CACS <100 group, and 67.0% in CACS >100 group ( $P < 0.001$ ). On multiple logistic regression analysis, age (OR=1.04 [1.01-1.07],  $P=0.006$ ), CACS (OR= 4.31 [2.33-7.98],  $P < 0.001$ ), and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) (OR = 0.82 [0.68-0.98],  $P=0.027$ ) were independent predictors of obstructive CAD.

**Conclusion:** We found a direct association between higher CACS and obstructive patterns in coronary CT angiography. Our findings indicate that the possibility of the presence of obstructive CAD was higher among symptomatic patients with older age, lower NLR, and CACS >100.

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases, including coronary artery disease (CAD), are among the most common causes of death in the elderly population. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), cardiovascular diseases take nearly 18 million lives annually.<sup>1</sup> The lifetime risk of developing CAD is estimated to be 49 percent in men and 32 percent in women.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, identifying people at risk and early diagnosis is important.

Older age, male gender, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking, and low physical activity are among the most established risk factors for cardiovascular diseases.<sup>3,4</sup> Recent studies have found that coronary artery calcium (CAC) is a strong independent predictor of CAD.<sup>5-8</sup> Calcification of the coronary arteries has an important role in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis. CAC can be easily measured by noninvasive

imaging methods, including electron-beam tomography (EBT) or multidetector computed tomography (CT).<sup>9</sup> CAC score (CACS) measured by noncontrast cardiac CT scan is a low-radiation and relatively cheap test that provide a quantitative assessment of the overall coronary atherosclerotic burden.<sup>10</sup> Growing evidence suggests that CACS is a useful test for risk stratification of both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.<sup>11-13</sup> Higher CACs has been shown to be associated with a higher risk of major cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality.<sup>5,14,15</sup>

Several studies have claimed that there are some associations between CACS and cardiac risk factors.<sup>16-18</sup> However, there were great inconsistencies between the reported results. Here we aimed to investigate the association between CACS and demographic, clinical, laboratory, and CT angiographic findings in patients with suspected CAD. We also evaluated the predictive value of



\*Corresponding Author: Mohammad Javad Alemzadeh-Ansari, Email: mj.aansari@gmail.com

© 2020 The Author (s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CACS beyond traditional cardiovascular risk factors for obstructive CAD.

**Materials and Methods**

From June 2008 to August 2018, we retrospectively reviewed 219 consecutive patients suspected with CAD who were referred for CT angiography in Rajaie Cardiovascular, Medical, and Research Center, affiliated to Iran University of Medical Sciences. Inclusion criteria included all adult patients with stable angina candidate for CT angiography. Patients with a prior history of CVDs, chronic kidney disease, significant liver dysfunction were excluded. Also, who had history of previous percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting were excluded. The patient with suspicious or confirmed acute coronary syndrome excluded. Patients' demographic, clinical, and laboratory findings were obtained from data bank.

**Computed tomography scanning protocol**

Coronary CT angiography was performed with 192-slice CT scanner (SOMATOM FORCE, Forchheim, Germany). In all patients, a non-contrast enhanced scan (120-kV tube voltage and 3-mm slice thickness) to calculate the total CACS was performed prior to CCTA (120-kV tube voltage, 0.75-mm slice thickness, 0.3 or 0.4-mm reconstruction increment). A 50 -60-ml contrast (IOHEXOLE: Omnipaque 350 mgI/mL, GE HealthCare Inc, USA), followed by a 20-ml saline solution chaser, was injected at 3.5–5.5 mL/s. Nitroglycerine sublingually was administered immediately before contrast injection. The Agatston scoring method was used to measure the CACS.<sup>19</sup> The total CACS was categorized as low (0–100) and high (>100). Coronary CT angiography scans were evaluated by experienced radiologists, blinded to the CACS results. Obstructive CAD was defined as [50% luminal narrowing of C1 coronary segment on CCTA.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package of Social Science version 25. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages. The independent samples *t* test or chi-square test was used to compare variables between the groups. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify independent risk factors of obstructive CAD. A two-sided *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 219 patients were studied. Patients' demographic, clinical, and laboratory findings are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. The mean EF was 44.39±12.48. Twelve patients (5.5%) had normal coronary angiography, and nearly half of the participants had mild CAD. An obstructive CAD was found in 97 patients (44.3%).

**Table 1.** Patients' characteristics

Characteristics	
Age, years [mean±SD]	62.6±12.4
Male, n (%)	121 (55.3)
Risk factors, n (%)	
Diabetes	60 (27.4)
Dyslipidemia	93 (42.5)
Hypertension	120 (54.8)
Smoking*	51 (65.4)
Positive family history	44 (20.1)
Chief complaint, n (%)	
Chest pain	132 (60.3)
Dyspnea	105 (47.9)
SBP, mm Hg [mean±SD]	127.7±17.9
DBP, mm Hg [mean±SD]	78.2±12.4
Ejection fraction [mean±SD]	44.4±12.5
CCTA, n (%)	
Normal	12 (5.5)
Mild CAD	110 (50.2)
1-vessel disease	44 (20.1)
2-vessel disease	28 (12.8)
3-vessel disease	25 (11.4)
CACS, n (%)	
0-100	128 (58.4)
100-300	39 (17.8)
>300	52 (23.7)

CACS, coronary artery calcium score; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCTA, coronary computed tomographic angiography; SD, standard deviation

\* Data were available from 78 patients.

**Table 2.** Laboratory findings

Test	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.4±1.8
White blood cells (/mm <sup>3</sup> )	7403.2±2571.0
Neutrophil (%)	65.3±11.3
Lymphocyte (%)	24.6±10.6
Platelets (/mm <sup>3</sup> )	193.2±55.0
Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio	134.3±74.0
Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio	3.5±2.2
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	144.6±86.2
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	153.4±40.5
LDL (mg/dL)	88.8±31.0
HDL (mg/dL)	39.7±10.1
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)	120.1±40.9
BUN (mg/dL)	21.3±15.6
Creatinine (mg/d)	1.1±0.6
ESR	18.2±14.5
HsCRP* (mg/L)	11.0±17.9

BUN: blood urea nitrogen; HsCRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

\*Data were available from 65 patients.

Other important CT angiographic findings were positive remodeling in nine patients (4.1%), napkin ring sign in four patients and low-density plaque in three patients. The median CACS was 76.4 (IQR, 13.0-289.1).

Patients' characteristics are compared across the CACS groups in Table 3. Patients with higher CACSs were significantly older than patients with CACS<100 (P<0.001). The frequency of obstructive CAD was 28.1% in the CACS< 100 group, and 67.0% in CACS>100 group (P<0.001).

We also compared the characteristics of patients with and without obstructive CAD (Table 4). Patients with obstructive CAD were significantly older than patients with normal angiography or mild CAD (P=0.001). Patients with obstructive CAD had significantly lower neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) values compared to the other group (P=0.005).

On multiple logistic regression analysis, age, CACS, and NLR were independent predictors of obstructive CAD (Table 5). Older age and lower NLR values were associated with a higher probability of obstructive CAD. Patients with CACS>100 had a 4.31-fold greater risk of obstructive CAD.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we evaluated the association between CACS and demographic, clinical, laboratory, and CT angiographic findings of patients suspected with CAD. In the univariate analyses, we found that patients with greater CACSs (>100) were older and the frequency of obstructive CAD was higher among them. When we compared the characteristics of patients with and without obstructive CAD in CT angiography, we realized that patients with obstructive CAD were older and had lower NLR values. Finally, we found that older age, lower NLR, and CACS>100 are independent significant predictors of the presence of obstructive CAD in CT angiography.

Previous studies have shown that increased CACS is significantly associated with increased cardiovascular risk factors and more severe coronary artery stenosis.<sup>20-22</sup> Ho et al,<sup>20</sup> reported that patients with higher CACS were older and the prevalence of male gender, hypertension, and significant CT angiographic stenosis were higher among them. Likewise, Ueda et al,<sup>22</sup> noticed that greater CACSs in patients with suspected CAD was significantly associated with older age, male gender, and presence of hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia. The also realized that the prevalence of obstructive CAD increased with the CACS. Similar to these studies, we found that the prevalence of obstructive CAD was greater in patients with CACS>100 compared with those with lower CACS levels (67.0% vs 28.1%). CACS>100 was associated with a 4.31-fold increased risk of obstructive CAD.

Older age was another independent risk factor for obstructive CAD in this study. We also found that the mean age of patients with increased CACS was

**Table 3.** Clinical characteristics according to CACS groups

	CACS <100 (n=128)	CACS >100 (n=91)	P
Age, years [mean±SD]	60.1±12.6	66.2±11.3	<0.001
Male, n (%)	65 (50.8)	56 (61.5)	0.130
Diabetes, n (%)	34 (26.6)	26 (28.6)	0.760
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	52 (40.6)	41 (45.1)	0.579
Hypertension, n (%)	70 (54.7)	50 (54.9)	1.000
Smoking, n (%)	33 (67.3)	18 (62.1)	0.806
Positive family history, n (%)	29 (22.7)	15 (16.5)	0.306
Chief complaint, n (%)			
Chest pain	76 (59.4)	65 (61.5)	0.781
Dyspnea	61 (47.7)	44 (48.4)	1.000
LVEF [mean±SD]	44.2±13.3	44.6±11.1	0.815
Obstructive CAD	36 (28.1)	61 (67.0)	<0.001
Remodeling	8 (6.3)	1 (1.1)	0.084
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.5±1.9	13.3±1.8	0.655
WBC (/mm <sup>3</sup> )	7594.1±2639.7	7134.5±2460.4	0.193
Neutrophil (%)	66.5±11.8	63.7±10.4	0.070
Lymphocyte (%)	24.2±10.6	25.2±10.6	0.511
Platelets(/mm <sup>3</sup> )	197.3±60.3	187.4±46.1	0.189
PLR	134.1±71.0	134.5±78.5	0.970
NLR	3.6±2.2	3.3±2.2	0.355
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	145.5±88.3	143.5±83.8	0.871
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	150.6±38.1	157.3±43.5	0.235
LDL (mg/dL)	88.0±29.2	89.8±33.4	0.668
HDL (mg/dL)	38.9±10.3	40.8±9.8	0.169
FBS (mg/dL)	120.2±43.8	119.8±32.9	0.954
BUN (mg/dL)	20.4±11.3	22.4±19.8	0.397
Creatinine (mg/d)	1.1±0.7	1.0±0.3	0.492
ESR	17.8±15.0	18.8±13.9	0.622
HsCRP (mg/L)	8.4±7.4	9.4±8.4	0.618

ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; HsCRP, high sensitivity C reactive protein; FBS, fasting blood sugar; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NLR, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet to lymphocyte ratio; WBC, white blood cells.

significantly higher than patients with lower CACSs. This finding is in line with earlier studies which reported a direct association between age and CACS.<sup>23</sup> Unlike the abovementioned studies, we did not find any significant association between CACS and male gender, diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and smoking.<sup>20-22</sup> Variation in study design, CACS cut-off points, sample size, and analytic approaches could explain these inconsistencies.

Inflammation plays a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis.<sup>24</sup> Some earlier studies have shown that higher levels of inflammatory markers, including erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-10, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) may predict poor cardiovascular prognosis.<sup>24-26</sup> In the present study, we found no significant association between ESR or CRP and CACS. Also, we realized that none of these

**Table 4.** Clinical characteristics according to stenosis status

	Obstructive CAD + (n=97)	Obstructive CAD - (n=122)	P
Age, years [mean±SD]	65.7±11.4	60.2±12.6	<b>0.001</b>
Male, n (%)	63 (51.6)	58 (59.8)	0.274
Diabetes, n (%)	37 (30.3)	23 (23.7)	0.290
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	50 (41.0)	43 (44.3)	0.680
Hypertension, n (%)	66 (54.1)	54 (55.7)	0.891
Smoking, n (%)	30 (63.8)	21 (67.7)	0.810
Positive family history, n (%)	28 (23.0)	16 (16.5)	0.308
Chief complaint, n (%)			
Chest pain	70 (57.4)	62 (63.9)	0.335
Dyspnea	60 (49.2)	45 (46.4)	0.686
LVEF [mean±SD]	44.8±11.7	44.0±13.1	0.649
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.3±1.8	13.5±1.8	0.467
WBC (/mm <sup>3</sup> )	7207.5±2548.4	7558.7±2588.7	0.316
Neutrophil (%)	63.8±9.6	66.6±12.4	0.074
Lymphocyte (%)	26.0±9.1	23.5±11.5	0.093
Platelets (/mm <sup>3</sup> )	195.0±50.9	191.7±58.2	0.658
PLR	124.4±57.2	142.1±84.5	0.066
NLR	3.0±1.8	3.8±2.4	<b>0.005</b>
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	139.5±72.9	148.7±95.6	0.433
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	153.6±40.3	153.3±40.8	0.945
LDL (mg/dL)	88.0±30.6	89.3±31.4	0.754
HDL (mg/dL)	39.5±9.3	39.9±10.7	0.806
FBS (mg/dL)	114.4±29.0	124.3±47.6	0.162
BUN (mg/dL)	20.5±8.1	21.8±19.4	0.574
Creatinine (mg/d)	1.1±0.9	1.0±0.2	0.180
ESR	19.2±14.8	17.5±14.3	0.398
HsCRP (mg/L)	10.1±8.6	7.7±6.9	0.221

ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; HsCRP, high sensitivity C reactive protein; FBS, fasting blood sugar; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NLR, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet to lymphocyte ratio; WBC, white blood cells.

**Table 5.** Independent predictors of obstructive CAD

	OR (95% CI)	P
Age	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	<b>0.006</b>
Male sex	0.75 (0.41-1.40)	0.371
CACS>100	4.31 (2.33-7.98)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
NLR	0.82 (0.68-0.98)	<b>0.027</b>
PLR	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.678

CACS, coronary artery calcium score; NLR, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet to lymphocyte ratio.

two inflammatory markers contributed to the risk of obstructive CAD. In line with our findings, two previous studies with relatively large sample sizes did not report strong associations between CRP and CACS.<sup>27-29</sup> Lack of

association between CACS and inflammatory markers in these studies may indicate that inflammation plays a non-significant role in calcification of coronary arteries.

Neutrophils secrete inflammatory mediators that promote plaque formation.<sup>24</sup> Elevated NLR values were linked to adverse cardiovascular outcomes and higher mortality rates.<sup>30</sup> Two Korean studies conducted in asymptomatic adults found a direct association between NLR and CACS.<sup>31,32</sup> In contrast to these studies, we found lower levels of NLR among patients with higher CACS and obstructive CAD. This could be related to sample selection and study design differences. We included symptomatic patients suspected with CAD, while the previous studies evaluated asymptomatic individuals without any known cardiac disease. Therefore, we guess that higher NLR values may indicate active plaque formation. In patients with established plaques, increased anti-inflammatory responses might be the reason for diminished NLR values.

Relatively small sample size, retrospective design and lack of asymptomatic control group are important limitations to the present study which warrant further caution in interpreting the results. Additional prospective studies with larger sample sizes and more robust designs are needed.

In conclusion, we found a direct association between higher CACS and obstructive patterns in coronary CT angiography. Our findings indicate that the possibility of the presence of obstructive CAD was higher among symptomatic patients with older age, lower NLR, and CACS>100.

### Competing interests

None declared.

### Ethical approval

The protocol of this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences (the ethical code No. IR.IUMS.FMD.REC1396.9411171011). An informed consent form was signed by all subjects prior to inclusion.

### References

- Mendis S, Puska P, Norrving B (Eds.). **Global atlas on cardiovascular disease prevention and control.** World Health Organization; 2011.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Beiser A, Levy D. Lifetime risk of developing coronary heart disease. *Lancet* 1999;353(9147):89-92. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)10279-9.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet* 2004;364(9438):937-52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17018-9.
- Greenland P, Knoll MD, Stamler J, Neaton JD, Dyer AR, Garside DB, et al. Major risk factors as antecedents of fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease events. *JAMA*

- 2003;290(7):891-7. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.7.891.
5. Detrano R, Guerci AD, Carr JJ, Bild DE, Burke G, Folsom AR, et al. Coronary Calcium as a Predictor of Coronary Events in Four Racial or Ethnic Groups. **N Engl J Med** 2008;358(13):1336-45. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa072100.
  6. Elias-Smale SE, Proenca RV, Koller MT, Kavousi M, van Rooij FJ, Hunink MG, et al. Coronary calcium score improves classification of coronary heart disease risk in the elderly: the Rotterdam study. **J Am Coll Cardiol** 2010;56(17):1407-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.06.029.
  7. Vliegenthart R, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Oei HH, van Dijck W, van Rooij FJ, et al. Coronary calcification improves cardiovascular risk prediction in the elderly. **Circulation** 2005;112(4):572-7. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.104.488916
  8. Tota-Maharaj R, Blaha MJ, McEvoy JW, Blumenthal RS, Muse ED, Budoff MJ, et al. Coronary artery calcium for the prediction of mortality in young adults <45 years old and elderly adults >75 years old. **Eur Heart J** 2012;33(23):2955-62. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs230
  9. Mao SS, Pal RS, McKay CR, Gao YG, Gopal A, Ahmadi N, et al. Comparison of coronary artery calcium scores between electron beam computed tomography and 64-multidetector computed tomographic scanner. **J Comput Assist Tomogr** 2009;33(2):175-8. doi: 10.1097/RCT.0b013e31817579ee
  10. Madaj P, Budoff MJ. Risk stratification of non-contrast CT beyond the coronary calcium scan. **J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr** 2012;6(5):301-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jcct.2012.02.008.
  11. Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, Liu ST, Weinstein SR, Mosler TP, Tseng PH, et al. Long-term prognosis associated with coronary calcification: observations from a registry of 25,253 patients. **J Am Coll Cardiol** 2007;49(18):1860-70. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.10.079
  12. Lo-Kioeng-Shioe MS, Vavere AL, Arbab-Zadeh A, Schuijff JD, Rochitte CE, Chen MY, et al. Coronary Calcium Characteristics as Predictors of Major Adverse Cardiac Events in Symptomatic Patients: Insights From the CORE 320 Multinational Study. **J Am Heart Assoc** 2019;8(6):e007201. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.007201
  13. Greenland P, Bonow RO, Brundage BH, Budoff MJ, Eisenberg MJ, Grundy SM, et al. ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Clinical Expert Consensus Task Force (ACCF/AHA Writing Committee to Update the 2000 Expert Consensus Document on Electron Beam Computed Tomography) developed in collaboration with the Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. **J Am Coll Cardiol** 2007;49(3):378-402. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.10.001.
  14. Arad Y, Goodman KJ, Roth M, Newstein D, Guerci AD. Coronary calcification, coronary disease risk factors, C-reactive protein, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease events: the St. Francis Heart Study. **J Am Coll Cardiol** 2005;46(1):158-65. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.02.088.
  15. Shemesh J, Morag-Koren N, Goldbourt U, Grossman E, Tenenbaum A, Fisman EZ, et al. Coronary calcium by spiral computed tomography predicts cardiovascular events in high-risk hypertensive patients. **J Hypertens** 2004;22(3):605-10. doi: 10.1097/00004872-200403000-00024.
  16. Valdes AM, Wolfe ML, Tate HC, Geffer W, Rut A, Rader DJ. Association of traditional risk factors with coronary calcification in persons with a family history of premature coronary heart disease: the study of the inherited risk of coronary atherosclerosis. **J Investig Med** 2001;49(4):353-61. doi: 10.2310/6650.2001.33901.
  17. Nasir K, Budoff MJ, Wong ND, Scheuner M, Herrington D, Arnett DK, et al. Family history of premature coronary heart disease and coronary artery calcification: Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). **Circulation** 2007;116(6):619-26. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.11.042.
  18. Hoff JA, Daviglius ML, Chomka EV, Krainik AJ, Sevrakov A, Kondos GT. Conventional coronary artery disease risk factors and coronary artery calcium detected by electron beam tomography in 30,908 healthy individuals. **Ann Epidemiol** 2003;13(3):163-9. doi: 10.1016/s1047-2797(02)00277-6.
  19. Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M, Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. **J Am Coll Cardiol** 1990;15(4):827. doi: 10.1016/0735-1097(90)90282-t.
  20. Ho JS, Fitzgerald SJ, Stolfus LL, Wade WA, Reinhardt DB, Barlow CE, et al. Relation of a coronary artery calcium score higher than 400 to coronary stenoses detected using multidetector computed tomography and to traditional cardiovascular risk factors. **Am J Cardiol** 2008;101(10):1444-7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.01.022.
  21. Rosen BD, Fernandes V, McClelland RL, Carr JJ, Detrano R, Bluemke DA, et al. Relationship between baseline coronary calcium score and demonstration of coronary artery stenoses during follow-up MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). **JACC Cardiovasc Imaging** 2009;2(10):1175-83. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.06.014.
  22. Ueda H, Harimoto K, Tomoyama S, Tamaru H, Miyawaki M, Mitsusada N, et al. Relation of cardiovascular risk factors and angina status to obstructive coronary artery disease according to categorical coronary artery calcium score. **Heart Vessels** 2012;27(2):128-34. doi: 10.1007/s00380-011-0128-2.
  23. Shaw LJ, Raggi P, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Coronary artery calcium as a measure of biologic age. **Atherosclerosis** 2006;188(1):112-9. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2005.10.010.
  24. Hansson GK, Robertson AK, Soderberg-Naucler C. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. **Annu Rev Pathol** 2006;1:297-329. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pathol.1.110304.100100.
  25. Ross R. Atherosclerosis--an inflammatory disease. **N Engl J Med** 1999;340(2):115-26. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199901143400207.
  26. Cesari M, Penninx BW, Newman AB, Kritchevsky SB, Nicklas BJ, Sutton-Tyrrell K, et al. Inflammatory markers and cardiovascular disease (The Health, Aging and Body Composition [Health ABC] Study). **Am J Cardiol** 2003;92(5):522-8. doi:10.1016/S0002-9149(03)00718-5
  27. Jenny NS, Brown ER, Detrano R, Folsom AR, Saad MF, Shea S, et al. Associations of inflammatory markers with coronary artery calcification: Results from the Multi-Ethnic

- Study of Atherosclerosis. **Atherosclerosis** 2010;209(1):226-9. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2009.08.037.
28. Reilly MP, Wolfe ML, Localio AR, Rader DJ. C-reactive protein and coronary artery calcification: The Study of Inherited Risk of Coronary Atherosclerosis (SIRCA). **Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol** 2003;23(10):1851-6. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000092327.60858.4A.
29. Hamirani YS, Pandey S, Rivera JJ, Ndumele C, Budoff MJ, Blumenthal RS, et al. Markers of inflammation and coronary artery calcification: A systematic review. **Atherosclerosis** 2008;201(1):1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2008.04.045.
30. Bhat T, Teli S, Rijal J, Bhat H, Raza M, Khoueiry G, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and cardiovascular diseases: a review. **Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther** 2013;11(1):55-9. doi: 10.1586/14779072.2016.1154788.
31. Park BJ, Shim JY, Lee HR, Lee JH, Jung DH, Kim HB, et al. Relationship of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio with arterial stiffness and coronary calcium score. **Clin Chim Acta** 2011;412(11-12):925-9. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2011.01.021.
32. Nam SH, Kang SG, Song SW. The Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio Is Associated with Coronary Artery Calcification in Asymptomatic Korean Males: A Cross-Sectional Study. **Biomed Res Int** 2017;2017:1989417. doi: 10.1155/2017/1989417.