

Development of Desertification Indicator System Base on DPSIR (Take advantages of Fuzzy-TOPSIS)

A. Sepehr. M. R. Ekhtesasi. S.A. Almodaresi

Received: January 28, 2011 / Accepted: December 18, 2011, 9-11 P

Extended abstract

1- Introduction

Desertification assessment and monitoring studies have focused on providing reliable data and information sources, to underscore the understanding of the causes of desertification, in order to forecast and combat future desertification, as well as to mitigate the effects of on-going processes. Seems whatever has most important in all of desertification studies is selecting, ranking, scoring and preference of desertification indicators to develop desertification indicator systems which is guideline to apply management projects to combat desertification process. Ranking objects is a simple and natural procedure for organizing data.

It is often performed by assigning a quality score to each indicator according to its relevance to the problem at hand. Ranking is widely used for indicator selection, when resources are limited and it is necessary to select a subset of most relevant objects for further processing. In real world situations, the object's scores are often calculated from noisy measurements, casting doubt on the ranking reliability.

2- Methodology

In this paper have been introduced a Fuzzy-MCDM method for developing desertification indicator system. This paper tries to illustrate TOPSIS method for selection, scoring and preference of desertification indicators. In the first step, were identified the main desertification indicators based on main criteria. Then, to reduce uncertainty a triangular fuzzy set was applied for weighting borders of indicators. Ultimately a Fuzzy TOPSIS algorithm was developed.

Author(s)

A. Sepehr (✉)

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environment,
Ferdowsi University Of Mashhad (FUM), Mashhad, Iran
e-mail: adelsepehr@um.ac.ir & adelsepehr@aol.com

M. R. Ekhtesasi

Associate Professor, Faculty of Natural Resources and Desert
Studies, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

S.A. Almodaresi

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Islamic Azad
University, Yazd Branch, Yazd, Iran

3- Discussion

According to the applied Fuzzy-TOPSIS algorithm, the anthropogenic parameters such as human activities and land use alternations are main criteria to desertification process.

Also to make a risk management pattern, attention to the pressure and state indicators must be notable. Another word, the results indicated the pressure and state indicators as main and high preference indicators for desertification risk management.

Results indicated that selection of fuzzy borders can be a reliable way to reduce uncertainty. Also TOPSIS method of decision making is a suitable tool to rank indicators.

4- Conclusion:

TOPSIS proved to be a cost-effective and flexible method, as it provides a screening tool to identify, prefer and weight indicators for further investigation. However, this approach is not intended to substitute a scientific analysis of the indicators based on experimental research. After ranking indicators based on expert (and policy) relevance, they have then to be transformed into operational indicators, by conducting field research where necessary, to actually develop and integrate them into structured indicator sets. Furthermore, indicators can be selected and substituted to match the specific characteristics of each region. Since the land degradation conditions are various in different regions, the method can be applied with proper adjustment, provided the principal factors affecting

desertification are identified and the relevant data layers are available.

Keywords: TOPSIS, Fuzzy, MCDM, Risk Management, Desertification Indicators

References

- Ahmadzadeh, M.R., M. Petrou. (2003). Use of Dempster-Shafer Theory to Combine Classifiers Which Use Different Class Boundaries, *Journal of IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, Vol 6: 41-46
- Akbari, N., K. Zahedi (2008). Application of MCDM, Shahr-dari Press, first edition, 463p
- Asgharpoor, M.J (2005). Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM), University of Tehran Press, 4th Edition, 237p
- Ekhtesasi, M.R., A. Sepehr (2011). Methods and Models of Desertification Assessment and Mapping, Yazd University Press, First Edition, 312p
- Harris, R. (1998). Introduction to Decision Making, VirtualSalt. <http://www.virtualsalt.com/crebook5.htm>
- Higgs, G. (2006). Integrating multi-criteria techniques with geographical information systems in waste facility location to enhance public participation, *Journal of Waste Management & Research*, Vol 24: 105-117
- Hurley W.J. (2001). "The analytic hierarchy process: A note on an approach to sensitivity which preserves rank order"; *Computers & Operations Research*, Vol 28.

- Jamali, A.K., J. Ghodusi, and M. Farahpour. (2005). GIS and Spatial Decision Support System for Desertification Mitigation in Watershed, ACRS 2005.
- Kamal M. Al-Subhi Al-Harbi. (2001). Application of the AHP in Project Management. International Journal of Project Management. Vol 19: 19-27
- Kosmas, C., A. Ferrara, H. Briassouli, and A. Imeson. (1999). Methodology for mapping environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs) to desertification, In: Kosmas, C.; Kirkby, M.; Geeson, N. (eds.), The Medalus project: Mediterranean desertification and land use. Manual on key indicators of desertification and mapping environmentally sensitive areas to desertification, Project report, European Commission
- Levy, J. K. (2005). Multiple Criteria Decision Making and Decision Support Systems for Flood Risk Management, Journal of Stochastic Environmental Research for Risk Assessment. Vol 19: 438-447
- Min Wu. (2007). TOPSIS-AHP Simulation Model and Its Application to Supply Chain Management, World Journal of Modeling and Simulation. Vol 3 (3): 196-201
- Olson D.L., D. Wu. (2005). "Simulation of fuzzy multi attribute models or grey relationships"; European Journal of Operational Research
- Phua, Mui-How., M. Minowa. (2005). A GIS-based multi-criteria decision making approach to forest conservation planning at a landscape scale: a case study in the Kinabalu Area, Sabah, Malaysia, Journal of Landscape and Urban Planning, Vol 71: 207-222
- Pietersen, K. (2006). Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA), a Tool to Support Sustainable Management of Groundwater Resources in South Africa. Journal of Water Research, Vol 32 (2): 119-128
- Sasilkala, K.R., M. Petrou. (2001). Generalized Fuzzy Aggregation in Estimating the Risk Desertification of a Burned Forest. Journal of Fuzzy Set and Systems, Vol 118(1): 121-137
- Sepehr. A (2010). Development of Desertification Risk Managenet Pattern under Uncertainty, Ph.D Thesis, University of Isfahan