

Nutrition and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Four Age Groups of Female Individuals: The PEP Family Heart Study

Peter. Schwandt^{1,3}, Gerda-Maria Haas¹, Thomas Bertsch²

¹ Arteriosklerose-Präventions-Institut
Munich-Nuremberg (Germany)

² Inst. Clinical Chem. Klinikum Nuremberg

³ Ludwig-Maximilians-University
Munich

Correspondence to:

Prof. Dr. Peter Schwandt,
Arteriosklerose-Präventions-Institut
Munich-Nuremberg, Germany
E-mail: API.Schwandt.Haas@t-online.de

Date of Submission: 14 Oct 2009

Date of Acceptance: 24 Nov 2009

INTRODUCTION

Each 5% increase in energy intake from saturated fat is associated with a 17% increase in coronary disease in women.¹ Diets using unsaturated fats, whole grains and an abundance of vegetables and fruits are protective against cardiovascular diseases (CVD).² This is supported by a large meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials using the Bradford Hill guidelines for causality demonstrating a valid association of a limited number of dietary factors and dietary patterns with coronary heart disease (CHD).³ However, a meta-analysis of prospective epidemiologic studies found no evidence that saturated fat is associated with increased CVD risk; and replacement of saturated fat by unsaturated fat lowers both low density lipopro-

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Assessment of nutritional habits and associations with cardio-metabolic risk factors in four age groups of women participating in the Prevention Education Program, Family Heart Study.

Methods: Anthropometric variables, systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP, DBP), lipoproteins, glucose and insulin were measured in 141 children, 211 adolescents, 151 women <55 years and 150 women ≥ 55 years. Nutritional data were assessed by 7 days weighted dietary records. For statistics, SPSS 15.0 was used; associations were calculated by multiple logistic regression; $p < 0.05$ was considered for significance.

Results: The prevalence of CVD risk factors was similar in children and adolescents except for hypertriglyceridemia which was > 3 times more common in adolescents. Thirty six percent of junior women were overweight (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) and 21% had central adiposity obese. Sixty eight year-old women had a far more adverse risk profile than 35 year-old women. In terms of energy consumption, 14 year-old women had the lowest fat intake and the highest consumption of carbohydrates whereas intake of protein was lowest in 10 year-old girls. Intake of unsaturated fat was lower in youths than in adults amounting to 37 g unsaturated fat respectively 53.4% of total fat consumption. The association between energy consumption and overweight was significant and calorie intake was associated with clustering of ≥ 3 cardiovascular risk factors (OR 4.72; 95% CI 1.22-18.33).

Conclusions: The prevalence of CVD risk factors increased continuously from girls and adolescents to junior and senior women. However, dietary intake was different in the four age groups. Caloric intake was associated with overweight and clustering of risk factors in adult women.

Keywords: Women, Age groups, Cardiovascular risk factors, Nutrition.

Int J Prev Med 2010, 1(2): 104-110

tein cholesterol (LDL-C) and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) and replacement with high-carbohydrate diets increased triglycerides and decreased HDL-C.^{4,5} The FIT Heart study reported that adult family members with non-optimal LDL-C significantly improved their diet score.⁶ A low-fat (32% of energy) polyunsaturated fatty acid-rich (10.1% of energy) diet lowered very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) and LDL concentrations without affecting HDL-C levels in women.⁷ Adherence to lifestyle recommendations including dietary advice was associated with lower risk of CHD in 30 to 55 year-old women participating in the Nurses Heart Study.⁸ The Women's Health Initiative describes that dietary reduction of total fat intake and increased consumption of vegetables,

fruits and grains did not significantly reduce risk of CVD in postmenopausal women.⁹ With advancing age, prediction of CHD by lipids seems to decline.¹⁰ These data on the relation between nutrition and CVD risk factors are heterogeneous regarding dietary components, risk factors, age and gender. Therefore, we examined the prevalence of three major risk factors, i.e., hypertension, dyslipoproteinemia and adiposity, and their associations with dietary components in four age groups of women.

METHODS

Study population

Prevention Education Program (PEP) Family Heart Study is a prospective community-based study consisting of 15 cross-sectional surveys of CVD risk factors and lifestyle behaviors in families living in Nuremberg, Germany.^{11,12} PEP was approved by the ethical committee of the medical faculty of Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, the Bavarian Ministry of Science and Education, and the local school authorities. Written informed consent was obtained from all parents together with additional oral consent from children and adolescents. The present cross-sectional study selected 653 German women participants in the 14th survey of the PEP Family Heart Study. Exclusion criteria were apparent cardiovascular, metabolic, endocrine, or malignant disease, currently taken medication, non-German ethnicity to avoid ethnic bias and incomplete data sets. The four age groups included 141 girls, aged 3-11 years (mean age 9.5 ± 1.7 years), 211 female adolescents aged 12-18 years (mean age 14.7 ± 2.0), 151 women aged 19-55 years (mean age 31.4 ± 7.7) and 150 senior women above 55 years (mean age 68.6 ± 4.8) who were recruited in 2007/2008.

Measurements

Anthropometry

All measurements were made in accordance with the study manual by regularly trained research assistants performing all assessments as previously described.¹³ Waist circumference (WC) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm according to WHO recommendations¹⁴ at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest. Hip circumference (HC) was measured over the major trochanters to the nearest 0.1 cm. Two measurements were obtained, and the mean value was used in the calculation of the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) and waist-to-hip

ratio (WHR). The skinfold thickness (SFT) was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm on the left side of the body as previously described.¹⁵ All SFT measurements were done in triplicate and mean values were used for analysis. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured in a sitting position.¹³ Age- and gender-specific percentile curves were used for BMI¹⁶ and blood pressure.¹⁷

Laboratory Methods

Venous blood was taken after an overnight fast. The samples were collected at central school buildings using cool boxes before centrifugation. Aliquots were stored either at -80°C for later measurements or at 4°C for lipid measurements within the following 3-4 days. Lipids and lipoproteins were measured as previously described.¹³ Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was determined with a glucose analyzer (AU 2700 Clinical Chemistry System, Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). Apolipoproteins A-I and B were determined with a nephelometric assay (Beckman coulter on the Image 800 immunochemistry system, Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). Plasma insulin was measured on the Centaur Immunoassay System (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Eschborn, Germany). Insulin resistance was determined by homeostatic model assessment¹⁸ and calculated as the product of the fasting plasma insulin level (in micro units per milliliter) and fasting glucose level (in millimole per liter), divided by 22.5 (HOMA Calculator version 2.2 Diabetes Trial Unit, Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Medicine, Oxford, United Kingdom).

CVD risk factors were defined as described previously.¹³ Fasting hyperglycemia was characterized by $\text{FPG} \geq 100$ mg/dl. Insulin resistance was defined by $\text{HOMA-IR} \geq 2$. Central adiposity was characterized by $\text{BMI} \geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile in children and $\text{WC} \geq 88$ cm in adults. General obesity was defined as $\text{BMI} \geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile in children and $\text{BMI} \geq 30$ kg/m² in adults.

Nutrition

All participants were trained to precisely document their daily intake of food and beverages over 7 consecutive days including a weekend. Each participant was issued a dietary record form together with an accurately calibrated digital food scale (Soehnle Combi Plus, Nassau, Germany). The weighted dietary records of children were completed by mothers or fathers and adolescents were trained to write their own

protocol under parental supervision. Low-calorie soft drinks included all low-calorie, no added sugar, and sugar-free types of concentrated, carbonated, and ready-to-drink soft drinks. The completed records were analyzed by trained dieticians with the computer program PRODI (version 4.5, NutriScience, Freiburg, Germany) which includes “Deutscher Lebensmittelschlüssel” that was supplemented with individual special items. The PRODI data were transferred by ASCI into SPSS (version 15.0 for windows) for documentation and calculation. “Normal nutrition” was defined according to the reference values for nutrient intake in Germany, Austria and Switzerland.¹⁹

Statistical analysis

Risk factors among children and parents were described by mean \pm SD, range for continuous variables and percentage for categorical variables. Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the degree of significance. For the calculation of associations between CVD risk factors and macronutrients, multivariate logistic regression was used. All tests were 2-sided and p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All of the statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 15.0 version for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) according to a predefined analysis plan and program.

RESULTS

Except WHtR and WHR, all anthropometric parameters as well as lipids and apolipoproteins continuously increased over the 4 age groups whereas fasting insulin and HOMA-IR values were higher in adolescents (Table 1). The prevalence of CVD risk factors was similar in children and adolescents except for hypertriglyceridemia which was > 3 times more common in adolescents (Table 2). The prevalence of general (BMI \geq 90th percentile) and central (WC \geq 90th percentile) overweight was similar in girls and adolescents (~10%). Thirty six percent of junior women were overweight (BMI \geq 25 kg/m²) and 21% were centrally obese (WC \geq 88 cm). However, younger women had a lower prevalence of general (36%) and central (20%) overweight than senior women (49% and 53%, respectively). Among adults, 68 year-old women had a far more adverse risk profile than 35 year-old women though the prevalence of low HDL-C was twice in the younger women. Alcohol con-

sumption of children and adolescents mainly came from soft drinks.

Women with median age of 14 years had the lowest fat intake and the highest consumption of carbohydrates whereas intake of protein was lowest in 10 year-old girls (Table 3). Relative (~36 energy %) and absolute (~70 g/day) fat consumption were similar in both groups of adults. The highest intake of saturated fat (~30 g/day) was seen in the 35 year-old women. Intake of unsaturated fat was lower in youths than in adults amounting to 37 g unsaturated fat respectively 53.4% of total fat consumption. The 68 year-old women had the highest intake of alcohol compared with young adults and adolescents and reported high consumption of fruit (226 g/day), vegetable (183 g/day) and whole grain bread (33 g/day). Daily intake of fiber increased continuously by age.

Overweight (OR 4.14; 95% CI 1.29-13.3) and central adiposity (5.9; 95% CI 1.6-22.5) were significantly associated with energy intake only in senior women. For all women, energy consumption was significantly associated with clustering of \geq 3 cardiovascular risk factors (OR 4.72; 95% CI 1.22-18.33), low HDL-C (3.60; 95% CI 1.41-9.20) and high non-HDL-C (2.74; 95% CI 1.38-5.45). However, we did not find any association between dietary fat consumption and CVD risk factors in any age group of women.

DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional community-based study demonstrates that the prevalence of CVD risk factors increases continuously in women from childhood to senior age. Consumption of carbohydrates was higher in youths than in adults but daily intake of fiber increased continuously by age but was far less than 30-35 g/day recommended by heart health fare.²⁰

In 6-7 year-old Spanish children from 4 cities with slightly lower mean age and lower BMI, the intakes of Kcal/day, fat and protein were higher while the carbohydrate consumption was considerably lower (37-39 energy %) compared to our study.²¹ The DISC Study described that 9.5 \pm 0.7 year-old US children consuming 33.4% fat and 12.5% saturated fat at baseline had total cholesterol of 200 mg/dl, LDL-C of 130 mg/dl, triglycerides of 334 mg/dl and HDL-C of 57 mg/dl²² which were considerably higher than those in our study. Comparison with two studies demonstrates that senior participants of the multiethnic Women's Health Initiative⁹ were

Table 1. Characteristics in four age groups of 653 female individuals (mean \pm SD)

| Age groups | 3 – 11 years | 12 – 18 years | 19 – 55 years | > 55 years |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mean age, years | 9.5 \pm 1.7 | 14.7 \pm 2.0 | 31.4 \pm 7.7 | 68.6 \pm 4.8 |
| Median age, years | 10 | 14 | 35 | 68 |
| Weight, kg | 35.5 \pm 9.4 | 55.4 \pm 10.8 | 66.9 \pm 14.8 | 68.0 \pm 10.4 |
| Height, cm | 141.3 \pm 12.8 | 163.2 \pm 7.6 | 165.2 \pm 6.6 | 163.5 \pm 5.7 |
| Body mass index , kg/m ² | 17.5 \pm 2.6 | 20.7 \pm 3.4 | 24.5 \pm 4.8 | 25.4 \pm 3.7 |
| Waist circumference, cm | 63.1 \pm 7.9 | 73.0 \pm 8.4 | 82.3 \pm 12.0 | 90.5 \pm 10.6 |
| Waist to height ratio | 0.5 \pm 0.04 | 0.5 \pm 0.1 | 0.5 \pm 0.1 | 0.6 \pm 0.1 |
| Hip circumference, cm | 74.6 \pm 8.6 | 91.8 \pm 8.5 | 100.7 \pm 9.7 | x |
| Waist to hip ratio | 0.9 \pm 0.1 | 0.8 \pm 0.1 | 0.8 \pm 0.1 | x |
| Biceps, mm | 5.9 \pm 2.5 | 6.7 \pm 2.8 | 9.1 \pm 6.0 | x |
| Triceps, mm | 10.3 \pm 4.2 | 13.0 \pm 4.7 | 17.8 \pm 6.4 | x |
| Subscapular, mm | 7.6 \pm 4.2 | 10.8 \pm 4.7 | 16.4 \pm 7.9 | x |
| Sum of skinfold thickness | 23.8 \pm 10.1 | 30.5 \pm 11.1 | 43.2 \pm 18.7 | x |
| Systolic blood press, mm Hg | 102.3 \pm 9.7 | 110.1 \pm 10.8 | 113.5 \pm 12.0 | 132.7 \pm 15.4 |
| Diastolic blood press, mm Hg | 66.4 \pm 7.4 | 71.4 \pm 8.1 | 75.8 \pm 10.7 | 81.7 \pm 9.1 |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dl | 166.0 \pm 24.4 | 163.3 \pm 28.7 | 181.4 \pm 35.6 | 234.7 \pm 38.0 |
| Triglycerides, mg/dl | 67.5 \pm 26.0 | 78.2 \pm 32.6 | 84.6 \pm 43.5 | 104.3 \pm 43.5 |
| HDL-Cholesterol, mg/dl | 55.8 \pm 8.4 | 54.9 \pm 9.9 | 60.0 \pm 13.9 | 66.1 \pm 15.3 |
| LDL-Cholesterol, mg/dl | 96.6 \pm 21.4 | 92.7 \pm 22.7 | 104.7 \pm 29.0 | 147.7 \pm 32.4 |
| NonHDL-Cholesterol, mg/dl | 110.2 \pm 22.8 | 108.3 \pm 25.3 | 121.6 \pm 30.9 | 168.6 \pm 35.5 |
| Total Cholesterol /HDL-C | 3.0 \pm 0.6 | 3.0 \pm 0.6 | 3.1 \pm 0.7 | 3.7 \pm 0.9 |
| LDL-C/HDL-C | 1.8 \pm 0.5 | 1.7 \pm 0.5 | 1.8 \pm 0.6 | 2.3 \pm 0.7 |
| TG/HDL-C | 1.3 \pm 0.7 | 1.5 \pm 0.8 | 1.5 \pm 1.0 | 1.7 \pm 1.0 |
| Apolipoprotein A-I, mg/dl | 148.1 \pm 16.5 | 150.3 \pm 25.9 | 168.4 \pm 35.2 | 181.6 \pm 31.6 |
| Apolipoprotein B, mg/dl | 72.7 \pm 15.0 | 73.4 \pm 17.7 | 83.7 \pm 20.6 | 109.6 \pm 23.3 |
| Glucose, mg/dl | 81.0 \pm 5.8 | 81.9 \pm 9.7 | 83.8 \pm 17.0 | 89.4 \pm 10.5 |
| Fasting insulin, μ U/ml | 7.7 \pm 4.5 | 10.0 \pm 5.0 | 7.7 \pm 4.5 | 7.3 \pm 4.8 |
| HOMA-IR | 1.6 \pm 1.0 | 2.1 \pm 1.3 | 1.6 \pm 1.0 | 1.6 \pm 1.2 |

slightly younger (61.8 ± 6.9 vs. 68.6 ± 4.8 years) but had a more adverse lipid profile, higher SBP and higher BMI than women participating in the PEP Family Heart Study. The Nurses' Health Study found that the inverse association between polyunsaturated fat intake and CHD risk was stronger in overweight (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) and in younger (≤ 65 years) women.²³ This might correspond to our findings that the association between energy intake and overweight

was considerably stronger in the younger adults compared with the senior group; however, we did not find any significant association between fat intake and overweight. Also, the EPIC Study did not find any association between weight gain and the amount and the type of fat in women of comparable age and BMI consuming a similar diet (35 % energy fat, 45% energy carbohydrates, and 17% of energy protein).²⁴ Thirty six percent of energy intake of fat in this study

Table 2. Prevalence (%) of risk factors in female participants

| Age groups | 3 – 11 years | 12 – 18 years | 19 – 55 years | > 55 years |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | n = 141 | n = 211 | n = 151 | n = 150 |
| General overweight (BMI >90 th / ≥ 25 kg/m ²) | 9.2 | 9.0 | 35.8 | 49.3 |
| Central adiposity (WC >90 th percentile/ 88 cm) | 11.3 | 10.0 | 21.2 | 53.3 |
| High LDL-C (>130 mg/dl) | 7.1 | 5.7 | 18.5 | 67.3 |
| Low HDL-C (<50 mg/dl) | 2.8 | 3.8 | 18.5 | 9.3 |
| High Triglycerides (≥ 150 mg/dl) | 5.7 | 18.5 | 8.6 | 14.7 |
| High SBP (>95 th / >130 mm Hg) | 4.3 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 58.7 |
| High DBP (95 th / >85 mm Hg) | 5.7 | 5.2 | 17.2 | 38.7 |

Table 3. Daily consumption of macronutrients (mean \pm SD) in female participants

| Age, years | 3 – 11 years | 12 – 18 years | 19 – 55 years | > 55 years |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | n = 50 | n = 57 | n = 40 | n = 138 |
| Kcal | 1566.1 \pm 272.4 | 1728.2 \pm 451.4 | 1790.2 \pm 448.7 | 1715.8 \pm 383.2 |
| Fat % energy | 35.1 \pm 4.4 | 32.7 \pm 6.0 | 35.6 \pm 6.1 | 36.2 \pm 5.5 |
| Carbohydrates % energy | 51.2 \pm 5.1 | 52.3 \pm 6.7 | 47.1 \pm 7.9 | 45.7 \pm 6.5 |
| Protein % energy | 13.6 \pm 2.2 | 14.5 \pm 2.2 | 15.9 \pm 4.3 | 15.7 \pm 2.8 |
| Fat g | 61.1 \pm 13.7 | 63.4 \pm 20.6 | 70.8 \pm 22.5 | 69.7 \pm 22.2 |
| SAFA g | 26.8 \pm 7.2 | 27.3 \pm 9.8 | 30.1 \pm 11.1 | 27.6 \pm 10.2 |
| MUFA g | 21.3 \pm 5.0 | 21.5 \pm 7.4 | 24.5 \pm 8.0 | 25.0 \pm 8.6 |
| PUFA g | 8.7 \pm 2.1 | 10.1 \pm 3.5 | 11.2 \pm 3.9 | 12.3 \pm 4.5 |
| Cholesterol mg | 217.3 \pm 63.0 | 239.1 \pm 108.3 | 250.1 \pm 96.7 | 242.3 \pm 104.6 |
| Carbohydrates g | 197.0 \pm 38.7 | 221.0 \pm 62.6 | 207.5 \pm 60.3 | 190.9 \pm 44.0 |
| Monosaccharide g | 34.0 \pm 13.1 | 42.3 \pm 24.9 | 37.7 \pm 16.6 | 37.0 \pm 14.6 |
| Disaccharide g | 55.2 \pm 19.0 | 59.7 \pm 27.7 | 61.1 \pm 25.7 | 54.3 \pm 21.2 |
| Polysaccharides g | 105.6 \pm 24.1 | 116.9 \pm 34.1 | 106.6 \pm 32.8 | 96.2 \pm 24.2 |
| Saccharose g | 44.9 \pm 15.4 | 49.1 \pm 25.8 | 50.9 \pm 22.6 | 43.7 \pm 17.7 |
| Fiber g | 15.5 \pm 4.1 | 17.3 \pm 6.2 | 19.3 \pm 6.6 | 21.7 \pm 6.0 |
| Protein g | 52.2 \pm 11.6 | 60.7 \pm 15.2 | 67.6 \pm 17.8 | 65.8 \pm 17.5 |
| Plant Protein g | 22.0 \pm 4.8 | 25.0 \pm 7.8 | 25.2 \pm 7.1 | 24.7 \pm 6.0 |
| Water ml | 1445.7 \pm 315.4 | 2067.6 \pm 1117.0 | 2554.5 \pm 805.4 | 2512.3 \pm 737.5 |
| Alcohol g | 0.3 \pm 0.3 | 0.9 \pm 2.9 | 4.2 \pm 5.8 | 6.0 \pm 7.4 |
| Alcohol % energy | 0.0 \pm 0.1 | 0.3 \pm 1.0 | 1.6 \pm 2.3 | 2.3 \pm 2.9 |

was higher than that recommended for adults by the heart-health fare diet²⁰ and the central European recommendations¹⁹ whereas 47% of energy consumption of carbohydrates was lower than that recommended (55% of energy) by these diets. Sixteen percent of energy protein intake corresponds to that of the European recommendations while the American advice 18-25% of energy protein intake. As demonstrated previously, a diet providing 37% of energy by fat with a P/S ratio (polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acids) of 1 decreased LDL-C without affecting HDL, the sub-fractions HDL₂ and HDL₃ and apolipoproteins A-I and A-II.²⁵

Though 1-year intervention did not improve the adverse lipid profile of the participants in the FIT Heart Study, the dietary score did improve significantly in the intervention group (18.4%) compared with the control group (5.0%). This is explained by the specific information and guidance in the intervention group of which 50% were unaware of their elevated blood pressure and increased LDL-C.⁶ This suggestion is supported by our experience demonstrating that regular control of risk factors was the first step of successful intervention and that awareness of risk is easily obtained by simple and inexpensive measurements.^{13,15} This is strongly recom-

mended as one way to implement the current American Heart Association diet and lifestyle recommendations in children and adults.^{25,26}

In summary, the CVD risk profile was lower in girls and adolescents than in the age group of 19-55 years but, steeply increased beyond age 55 reaching prevalence rates above 50% for adiposity, hypertension and LDL-Cholesterol. Caloric intake was not different in adolescents and adults, but consumption of carbohydrates decreased and intake of fat and proteins increased with age among adults compared with youths. The daily intake of fiber, polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fats increased continuously with increasing age. While fat consumption was not associated with CVD risk factors, we found a significant association of energy intake with low HDL-C, high non-HDL-C and risk factor clustering in the whole sample of female participants. The strength of this cross-sectional mono-ethnic study lay in the inclusion of three generations of women participating in this community-based family study with yearly controls of CVD risk factors and 7-day dietary records. Limitations are the missing information on the association between diet and CVD events and the lack of comparisons between questionnaires and weighted food records. Another limi-

tation is that confounding factors like physical activity were not evaluated in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

From children to senior women, the prevalence of CVD risk factors increased continuously resulting in increasing prevalence rates for systolic hypertension, increased LDL-cholesterol, and general and central adiposity of above 50–60% compared with the prevalence rates of about 10% in children and adolescents. Consumption of protein and fiber increased continuously over the age groups whereas carbohydrate intake decreased and total fat consumption was similar in children and adults while adolescents had a 3% lower energy intake. There was no significant association between fat intake and CVD risk factors. However, we found a very strong association between energy intake and adiposity in adults but not in youths. Prospective studies in larger samples of both genders including confounding influences like physical activity are needed.

Conflict of interest statement: Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Sources of funding: Foundation for the Prevention of Atherosclerosis, Nuremberg, Germany; Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany; Bavarian Ministry of Health, Munich; City of Nuremberg.

REFERENCES

1. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rimm E, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, et al. Dietary fat intake and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 337(21): 1491-9.
2. Hu FB, Willett WC. Optimal diets for prevention of coronary heart disease. *JAMA* 2002; 288(20): 2569-78.
3. Mente A, de Koning L, Shannon HS, Anand SS. A systematic review of the evidence supporting a causal link between dietary factors and coronary heart disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169(7): 659-69.
4. Siri-Tarino PW, Sun Q, Hu FB, Krauss RM. Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies evaluating the association of saturated fat with cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; 91(3): 535-46.
5. Siri-Tarino PW, Sun Q, Hu FB, Krauss RM. Saturated fat, carbohydrate, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; 91(3): 502-9.
6. Mosca L, Mochari H, Liao M, Christian AH, Edelman DJ, Aggarwal B, et al. A novel family-based intervention trial to improve heart health: FIT Heart: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2008; 1(2): 98-106.
7. Weisweiler P, Janetschek P, Schwandt P. Influence of polyunsaturated fats and fat restriction on serum lipoproteins in humans. *Metabolism* 1985; 34(1): 83-7.
8. Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Manson JE, Rimm EB, Willett WC. Primary prevention of coronary heart disease in women through diet and lifestyle. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 343(1): 16-22.
9. Howard BV, Curb JD, Eaton CB, Kooperberg C, Ockene J, Kostis JB, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and lipoprotein risk factors: the women's health initiative dietary modification trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; 91(4): 860-74.
10. Simons LA, Simons J, Friedlander Y, McCallum J. Cholesterol and other lipids predict coronary heart disease and ischaemic stroke in the elderly, but only in those below 70 years. *Atherosclerosis* 2001; 159(1): 201-8.
11. Schwandt P, Geiss HC, Ritter MM, Ublacker C, Parhofer KG, Otto C, et al. The prevention education program (PEP). a prospective study of the efficacy of family-oriented life style modification in the reduction of cardiovascular risk and disease: design and baseline data. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1999; 52(8): 791-800.
12. Geiss HC, Parhofer KG, Schwandt P. Parameters of childhood obesity and their relationship to cardiovascular risk factors in healthy prepubescent children. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001; 25(6): 830-7.
13. Schwandt P, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Staehelin HB, Haas GM. Cardiovascular risk screening in school children predicts risk in parents. *Atherosclerosis* 2009; 205(2): 626-31.
14. World Health Organization. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Available from: http://www.who.int/childgrowth/publications/physical_status/en/index.html. Accessed September 2010.
15. Schwandt P, Bertsch T, Haas GM. Anthropometric screening for silent cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents: The PEP Family Heart Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2010. [Epub ahead of print]
16. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ* 2000; 320(7244): 1240-3.
17. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2004; 114(2 Suppl 4th Report): 555-76.
18. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985; 28(7): 412-19.
19. German Nutrition Society (DGE), Austrian Nutrition Society (ÖGE), Swiss society for nutrition research (SGE) & Swiss nutrition association (SVE). Refer-

- ence Values for Nutrient Intake Frankfurt am Main: Umschau/Braus 2002.
20. Stamler J. Diet-heart: a problematic revisit. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; 91(3): 497-9.
 21. Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Garces C, Gorgojo L, Lopez GE, Martin-Moreno JM, Benavente M, et al. Dietary patterns among children aged 6-7 y in four Spanish cities with widely differing cardiovascular mortality. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2002; 56(2): 141-8.
 22. Obarzanek E, Kimm SY, Barton BA, Van Horn LL, Kwiterovich PO, Jr, Simons-Morton DG, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of a cholesterol-lowering diet in children with elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol: seven-year results of the Dietary Intervention Study in Children (DISC). *Pediatrics* 2001; 107(2): 256-64.
 23. Oh K, Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Dietary fat intake and risk of coronary heart disease in women: 20 years of follow-up of the nurses' health study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005; 161(7): 672-9.
 24. Forouhi NG, Sharp SJ, Du H, van der AD, Halkjaer J, Schulze MB, et al. Dietary fat intake and subsequent weight change in adults: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohorts. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009; 90(6): 1632-41.
 25. Schwandt P, Janetschek P, Weisweiler P. High density lipoproteins unaffected by dietary fat modification. *Atherosclerosis* 1982; 44(1): 9-17.
 26. Gidding SS, Lichtenstein AH, Faith MS, Karpyn A, Mennella JA, Popkin B, et al. Implementing American Heart Association pediatric and adult nutrition guidelines: a scientific statement from the American heart association nutrition committee of the council on nutrition, physical activity and metabolism, council on cardiovascular disease in the young, council on aArteriosclerosis, thrombosis and vascular biology, council on cardiovascular nursing, council on epidemiology and prevention, and council for high blood pressure research. *Circulation* 2009; 119(8): 1161-75.

Archive of SID