

## Brief Communication

# Risk Factors for Addiction Potential among College Students

### Abstract

**Background:** Tendency toward addiction is provided before drug use begins. The present study aimed to identify the risk factors for addiction potential in the students of Arak University of Medical Sciences. **Methods:** We conducted this cross-sectional study among 305 students from Arak University of Medical Sciences, Iran in 2016. We selected the students by stratified random sampling and collected the data by family and sociodemographic factors questionnaires and Addiction Potential Scale. **Results:** Male students, students with low economic status and high family members were more prone to addiction. We identified variable; addiction in close friends, adverse family conditions (dispute with the family), poor economic condition of families, and family size by controlling the effect of other variables, as risk factors for addiction potential. **Conclusions:** Preventive and intervention actions appear necessary considering the mentioned factors.

**Keywords:** Addiction potential, Iran, students

### Introduction

Drug addiction or substance dependence is “a chronic, relapsing disorder in which compulsive drug-seeking and drug-taking behavior persist despite serious negative consequences.”<sup>[1]</sup> In addition to the physical and psychological complications, substance dependency can increase morbidity and mortality rate.<sup>[2,3]</sup> Addiction potential is defined as; “beliefs and attitudes toward drug use and perception of related consequences as either negative or positive.”<sup>[4]</sup> Adolescents and young people including college students are more vulnerable for mental health problems, especially drug addiction.<sup>[5,6]</sup> According to the latest estimates, high prevalence of addiction in young population has been reported.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Since the treatment of addiction is often useless and because the tendency toward consumption and context of addiction is provided before drug use begins,<sup>[9,10]</sup> therefore, we can identify the risk factors for this tendency and prevent one step earlier. The present study aimed to identify the risk factors for addiction potential in the students of Arak University of Medical Sciences.

### Methods

We conducted this cross-sectional study among 305 students from Arak University of

Medical Sciences, Markazi Province, Iran in 2016. Markazi Province is located in Western Iran, and its capital is Arak. We considered schools as strata and selected students by simple random sampling in each school. We collected the data by two questionnaires; (1) family and sociodemographic factors questionnaires and (2) Addiction Potential Scale (APS). The APS is a 39 items questionnaires with “Yes” or “No” responses to each question developed by Weed *et al.*<sup>[11]</sup> to evaluate addiction potential to alcohol and other drug problems. In this study, we used Persian version of this questionnaires which containing 36 questions, and each question is scored from zero (totally true) to three (completely false); therefore, the range of total score is zero to 108. The validity of the APS has been approved by two methods; criterion and construct validity and its reliability was estimated 0.90 by Cronbach’s alpha.<sup>[12]</sup> We carried out data analysis by the SPSS-20 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) software using Spearman and Pearson correlation, independent *t*-test, one-way ANOVA and multiple linear regression. For ethical considerations, we obtained oral informed consent from participants and also the research proposal was approved by Deputy of Research and Ethics Committee of Arak University of Medical Sciences white ethics number IR. ARAKMU. REC.1394.378.

Mehdi Ranjbaran<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Faeze  
Mohammadshahi<sup>3</sup>,  
Sorour Mani<sup>1</sup>,  
Mahmood Karimy<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health,  
School of Health, Arak  
University of Medical Sciences,  
Arak, Iran, <sup>2</sup>Department of  
Epidemiology and Biostatistics,  
School of Public Health and  
Health Research Institute,  
Tehran University of Medical  
Sciences, Tehran, Iran,  
<sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health,  
Saveh University of Medical  
Sciences, Saveh, Iran

**Address for correspondence:**  
Dr. Mehdi Ranjbaran,  
Department of Public Health,  
School of Health, Golestan  
Street, Daneshgah Avenue,  
Arak, Iran.  
E-mail: [ranjbaran@arakmu.ac.ir](mailto:ranjbaran@arakmu.ac.ir)

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: [reprints@medknow.com](mailto:reprints@medknow.com)

**How to cite this article:** Ranjbaran M, Mohammadshahi F, Mani S, Karimy M. Risk factors for addiction potential among college students. *Int J Prev Med* 2018;9:17.

### Access this article online

**Website:**  
[www.ijpvmjournal.net/www.ijpvmjournal.net](http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/www.ijpvmjournal.net)

**DOI:**  
10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM\_403\_16

### Quick Response Code:



## Results

We studied 305 students with mean age of  $21.91 \pm 2.37$  years including 196 (64.5%) females and 108 (35.5%) males. The average number of family members was  $4.89 \pm 1.33$  persons. Table 1 displays participants' demographic and socioeconomic variables. We estimated the average addiction potential score  $22.54 \pm 16.17$  ranged between zero to 90. As shown in Table 1, based on the results of *t*-test and one-way ANOVA, mean score of addiction potential in male students significantly was higher than female ( $P < 0.001$ ). Furthermore, we found that students with low economic status are more prone to addiction ( $P < 0.001$ ). Also, at the present study, we identify the role of four environmental factors including addiction in close friends, addiction in family members, dispute with the family and divorce or solving problems in family courts in students' tendency toward addiction [Table 1]. The results of Spearman and Pearson correlation revealed positive correlation between number of household members and addiction potential score ( $r = 0.183$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ). We did not find significant correlation between age ( $r = 0.071$ ,  $P = 0.216$ ) and semester ( $r = -0.019$ ,  $P = 0.742$ ) with addiction potential score.

Finally, the variables that had a  $P \leq 0.2$  in univariate analysis were entered into the multiple linear regression model [Table 2]. Results revealed variables; addiction in close friends, dispute with the family, poor economic status of family and number of household members by controlling the effect of other variables as significant predictors of addiction potential in students ( $R^2 = 0.28$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.26$ ).

## Discussion

In this study, we identified variables; addiction in close friends, dispute with the family, poor economic status of family and number of household members as risk factors of addiction tendency in students. In our study, drug addiction in close friends was related to more addiction tendency in students. In the study at Urmia University of Medical Sciences, youth participants emphasized the role of peers in substance abuse.<sup>[7]</sup> This finding has also been confirmed in several other studies.<sup>[13-15]</sup> It seems that in addition to inducing role of addicted friends, availability of substance by friends increases the opportunity to facing with substance, tendency, and consumption as well as. In this study, we found that student's dispute with family increases their tendency toward addiction. Shahriari *et al.* found a significant negative association between family members' emotional relation and tendency to addiction.<sup>[16]</sup> Zeinali,<sup>[10]</sup> and Foroutani and Rezaeian<sup>[17]</sup> identified neglect and lack of parental control as one of the important factors in people tendency to drug abuse. Galea *et al.* in a review study introduced family adverse conditions as a risk factor for the onset of substance abuse.<sup>[18]</sup> Warm relations between

family members especially with children; increase the possibility of attracting them to the family and reduces the likelihood of escape and refuge to groups of friends or abnormal groups.<sup>[16]</sup> In the present study, we identified poor economic status and number of household members as other predictive factors of a tendency to addiction. Based on the existing literature, socioeconomic status (SES) is associated with different health outcomes.<sup>[19]</sup> In several

**Table 1: Relationship between demographic, socioeconomic characteristics, and some environmental factors with students' addiction potential**

Variable	n (%)	Mean±SD	P <sup>a</sup>
Sex			
Female	196 (64.5)	19.79±12.98	<0.001
Male	108 (35.5)	27.61±19.88	
Marital status			
Single	279 (91.7)	22.80±16.33	0.394
Married	25 (8.3)	19.92±14.48	
Working along with education			
Yes	22 (92.8)	24.05±16.51	0.614
No	282 (7.2)	22.26±15.96	
Student residency status			
Dormitory	201 (65.9)	21.59±15.43	0.227
With family	96 (31.5)	24.82±17.73	
Single life	8 (2.6)	19.13±13.24	
Family residency status			
Personal	273 (89.5)	22.15±16.24	0.214
rental	32 (10.5)	25.90±15.35	
Family economic status			
Low	12 (4.1)	51.58±23.01	<0.001
Low middle	66 (22.3)	26.06±16.76	
High middle	165 (55.7)	18.52±11.74	
High	53 (17.9)	22.33±17.13	
Grade			
Bachelor	277 (74.7)	21.96±16.23	0.092
Master or doctorate	77 (25.3)	25.28±15.72	
Father education			
Illiterate	19 (6.3)	30.05±25.95	0.145
Primary	47 (15.6)	20.04±13.43	
High school	48 (15.9)	24.60±14.06	
Diploma	82 (27.2)	20.97±16.30	
Academic	106 (35.1)	22.50±15.56	
Mother education			
Illiterate	30 (10.0)	25.00±21.04	0.114
Primary	59 (19.6)	21.89±13.71	
High school	47 (15.6)	23.47±15.06	
Diploma	96 (31.9)	19.13±14.97	
Academic	69 (22.9)	25.39±17.14	
Field of study			
Medicine	77 (25.7)	25.30±15.70	0.357
Nursing and midwifery	80 (26.7)	21.65±16.77	
Paramedical	50 (16.7)	20.34±16.06	
Rehabilitation	33 (11.0)	20.52±14.74	
Public health	60 (20.0)	24.05±16.87	

Contd...

[www.SID.ir](http://www.SID.ir)

**Table 1: Contd...**

Variable	n (%)	Mean±SD	P <sup>a</sup>
Addiction in close friends			
Yes	49 (16.1)	38.24±21.04	<0.001
No	255 (83.9)	19.47±13.09	
Addiction in family members			
Yes	97 (31.8)	25.91±18.26	0.012
No	205 (67.9)	20.93±14.94	
Dispute with the family			
Yes	82 (27.0)	29.24±17.34	<0.001
No	222 (73.0)	20.00±15.01	
Divorce or solving problems in family courts			
Yes	37 (12.5)	27.62±21.04	0.040
No	226 (87.5)	21.80±13.09	

<sup>a</sup>Independent *t*-test or one-way ANOVA. SD=Standard deviation**Table 2: Multiple regression model for predictors of addiction potential in students**

Variables	B	Beta	t	P
Constant	18.54	-	2.66	0.008
Addiction in close friends	14.12	0.32	5.31	<0.001
Addiction in family members	0.41	0.01	0.21	0.835
Dispute with the family	7.46	0.21	3.85	<0.001
Divorce or solving problems in family courts	0.57	0.01	0.24	0.81
Sex (female vs. male)	-1.41	-0.04	-0.70	0.486
Family economic status <sup>a</sup>	-4.01	-0.19	-3.36	0.001
Grade <sup>a</sup>	0.38	0.01	0.18	0.856
Number of household members <sup>a</sup>	1.90	0.16	2.83	0.005
Father education <sup>a</sup>	-0.08	-0.01	-0.07	0.941
Mother education <sup>a</sup>	1.08	0.09	1.02	0.307

<sup>a</sup>Per unit increase. B=Unstandardized coefficients, Beta=Standardized coefficients

studies, substance abuse was reported more in people with weak socioeconomic classes.<sup>[20-22]</sup> Probably, the low economic status or big family size, take entertainment and other appropriate opportunities from people and put him at risk for drug use. In contrast, some studies found the relationship between SES and substance abuse varies according to the type of drug. In a study by Humensky, high SES was associated with a high intake of cocaine, marijuana, and alcohol while such association was not seen with crystal methamphetamine and other substances.<sup>[23]</sup> In Patrick *et al.* study,<sup>[24]</sup> high SES was associated with more consumption of alcohol and marijuana and low SES with higher consumption of cigarettes. The most important limitation of this study was that it only applicable to the college students and we can conduct this study with a wider scope in general population.

## Conclusions

Preventive and intervention actions appear necessary considering the mentioned risk factors of a tendency to addiction in students.

## Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Research Council of Arak University of Medical Sciences (Grant Number: 2537) for financial support and all students that participate in this study.

## Financial support and sponsorship

Arak University of Medical Sciences (Grant Number: 2537).

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

**Received:** 29 Dec 16 **Accepted:** 08 May 17

**Published:** 08 Feb 18

## References

- Camí J, Farré M. Drug addiction. *N Engl J Med* 2003;349:975-86.
- Paquot N, De Flines J, Scheen AJ. Alcoholism, an addiction leading to multiple somatic complications. *Rev Med Liege* 2013;68:272-80.
- Kurta DG. Suicide risk in college students: The effects of internet addiction and drug use. *Educ Sci Theory Pract* 2015;4:841-8.
- Nikmanesh Z, Adrom M, Bakhshani NM. Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory score as a predictor of addiction potential in youth. *Int J High Risk Behav Addict* 2012;1:22-6.
- Muzammil K, Singh S, Singh JV, Davey S, Raghav S, Khalil S. A cross-sectional study of tobacco addiction among college students of Muzaffarnagar city. *Indian J Community Health* 2015;27:125-8.
- Cheverikina EA, Rakhimgarayeva RM, Sadovaya VV, Zakirova VG, Starodubets OD, Klemes VS. Socio-psychological characteristics of college students who are prone to addictions. *Am J Appl Sci* 2014;11:1412-7.
- Didarloo A, Pourali R. University students' views regarding reasons for drug abuse among youths. *Int J High Risk Behav Addict* 2016;5:e24778.
- Raghibi M. Examining high risk behaviors among students of Zahedan Universities. *Int J High Risk Behav Addict* 2012;1:39-43.
- Zeinali A, Vahdat R. Addiction susceptibility and adolescents: Evidence for psychosocial development of addiction. *Life Sci J* 2013;10:118-21.
- Zeinali A. Epidemiology of addiction susceptibility in the students of West Azerbaijan Islamic Azad Universities. *Life Sci J* 2013;10:172-7.
- Weed NC, Butcher JN, McKenna T, Ben-Porath YS. New measures for assessing alcohol and drug abuse with the MMPI-2: The APS and AAS. *J Pers Assess* 1992;58:389-404.
- Zargar Y, Najarian B, Naami A. The relationship of some personality variables, religious attitudes and marital satisfaction with addiction potential in personnel of an industrial factory in Ahvaz. *J Educ Psychol* 2008;15:99-120.
- Tompsett CJ, Domoff SE, Toro PA. Peer substance use and homelessness predicting substance abuse from adolescence through early adulthood. *Am J Community Psychol* 2013;51:520-9.
- Haller M, Handley E, Chassin L, Bountress K. Developmental cascades: Linking adolescent substance use, affiliation with substance use promoting peers, and academic achievement to adult substance use disorders. *Dev Psychopathol* 2010;22:899-916.

15. Tarter RE, Fishbein D, Kirisci L, Mezzich A, Ridenour T, Vanyukov M. Deviant socialization mediates transmissible and contextual risk on cannabis use disorder development: A prospective study. *Addiction* 2011;106:1301-8.
16. Shahriari S, Dastjerdi R, Hojat Zadeh N, Kikhani R, Ramezani A. Family function on tendency students towards addiction and substance abuse. *J Zabol Univ Med Sci Health Serv* 2013;5:1-9.
17. Foroutani M, Rezaeian M. Knowledge and drug abuse among university students in the town pf Larestan. *Iran J Nurs* 2005;18:21-9.
18. Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D. The social epidemiology of substance use. *Epidemiol Rev* 2004;26:36-52.
19. Keshtkar A, Ranjbaran M, Soori H, Etemad K, Khashayar P, Dini M, *et al.* Is the relationship between individual-and family-levels socioeconomic status with disease different? Analyzing third stage data of IMOS. *Koomesh*. 2015;17:27-36.
20. Goodman E, Huang B. Socioeconomic status, depressive symptoms, and adolescent substance use. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002;156:448-53.
21. Hanson MD, Chen E. Socioeconomic status and health behaviors in adolescence: A review of the literature. *J Behav Med* 2007;30:263-85.
22. Schoenborn CA, Adams PE. Health behaviors of adults: United States, 2005-2007. *Vital Health Stat* 10 2010;245:1-132.
23. Humensky JL. Are adolescents with high socioeconomic status more likely to engage in alcohol and illicit drug use in early adulthood? *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2010;5:19.
24. Patrick ME, Wightman P, Schoeni RF, Schulenberg JE. Socioeconomic status and substance use among young adults: A comparison across constructs and drugs. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2012;73:772-82.

Archive of SID