Original Article

Seroprevalence of Hepatitis B Infection and Associated Risk Factors among Drug Users in Drop-in Centers of Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

Background: Scientists perceive drug users (DUs) as a high-risk population for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection. Effective strategies aiming at the reduction of HBV infection can be depicted when its epidemiological status is clearly defined. The present study provides new insight into associated risk factors of HBV infection and its seroepidemiological status among DUs attending drop-in centers (DICs). Methods: This was a cross-sectional study, which was implemented in 7 DICs of Isfahan province. The sample size included 539 participants. Demographic data and risk factors for HBV infection were obtained by a trained social worker using a self-made structured questionnaire. Venous blood sample was obtained and tested for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B surface antibody, and total hepatitis B core antibody (HBcAb) using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Results: Mean age of the participants was 31.76 ± 8.4 years. They were generally male, Iranian, urban, with an education level of high school or less. The prevalence of HBV infection (HBsAg and/or HBcAb) was 18% (88,490). Regression analysis showed that age, bloodletting, and drug injection, being the sexual partner of injecting DU (IDU), as well as frequency and duration of imprisonment positively correlated with HBV infection. Conclusions: Drug injection bloodletting, and being the sexual partner of IDU, as well as frequency and duration of imprisonment could be considered as contributing factors in HBV infection.

Keywords: Drug users, hepatitis B, hepatitis B surface antigens, prevalence

Introduction

Drug users (DUs) are considered as one of the paramount and complicated public health problems while United Nations Office on Drug and Crime estimates that about 200 million individuals globally use illicit drugs.^[1-3] In this context, developing countries are facing a rising tide of injecting DUs (IDUs), especially in Iran (260,000 DUs).^[4]

Although dissimilar risk factors exist among different groups of DUs, nevertheless, they share common high-risk behaviors which predispose these vulnerable part of society to viral infections such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), and hepatitis C virus (HCV).^[1,5] By comparison with other blood-borne infections, HBV and HCV infections are more common; therefore, World Health Organization highlights DUs in depicting strategies aiming HBV control and prevention.^[6-8]

Epidemiological studies show that about 2 billion individuals have been infected

with HBV worldwide while about 240 million of them are considered as chronic carriers of the virus.^[9] HBV infection is relatively low among Iranian general population (<2%); nevertheless, high-risk behaviors of DUs (such as unsafe injection) lead to further rates of the virus transmission.^[10,11] Therefore. public health policies considering harm reduction programs as well as methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone maintenance treatments have been developed in the recent years.^[12] In this context, hundreds of community-based drop-in centers (DICs) have been founded in Iran, which aim to provide DUs with harm reduction services such as needle syringe exchange program, methadone maintenance treatment, and condom provision.^[12]

Different studies have assessed the seroprevalence of HBV infection among DUs.^[11,13,14] A study undertaken in French people who use drugs showed that about 1.4% of them had HBV infection.^[13] However, the prevalence of HBV infection among IDUs living in Tehran is relatively

How to cite this article: Taleban R, Moafi M, Ataei B, Yaran M, Nokhodian Z, Kassaian N, *et al.* Seroprevalence of hepatitis B infection and associated risk factors among drug users in drop-in centers of Isfahan, Iran. Int J Prev Med 2018;9:46.

Roya Taleban, Mohammad Moafi, Behrooz Ataei, Majid Yaran¹, Zary Nokhodian, Nazila Kassaian, Peyman Adibi², Abbasali Javadi³

Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ¹Acquired Immunodeficiency Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ²Integrative Functional Gastroenterology Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ³Nosocomial Infection Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Address for correspondence: Dr. Abbasali Javadi, Nosocomial Infection Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Science, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: abasalijavadi@gmail. com



www.SID,ir

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

Archive of SID

high (28%).^[11] Hence, the serological status of individuals attending DICs dramatically ranges. The present study was implemented to determine the markers and risk factors of hepatitis B infection (HBV) in DICs of Isfahan, Iran.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted, in 2015, among DUs attended to seven Isfahan DICs (four of them were affiliated with Isfahan University of Medical Sciences [IUMS], and the remaining were under the supervision of welfare organization). Studied population was selected through convenience sampling method. We had to use the convenience sampling method since the managers of the DICs did not permit us to access to the lists of the DUs due to the ethical issues. Hence, the sampling methods based on probability, such as simple random sampling or systemic random sampling, could not be used.

The study design was approved by Ethics Committee of IUMS (project code: 291073). The study aims and protocols were described for the patients and written informed consent was acquired from them.

The information regarding demographic data (age, sex) and risk factors for HBV infection was collected through a self-made questionnaire. This questionnaire inquired about sexual behaviors as well as occupational, educational, and marital status, bloodletting, getting tattoos, piercing, going to prison, blood transfusion, history of surgical or dentistry operation, and abroad traveling of the infected individuals. Content validity and reliability of the questionnaire were approved by 10 experts and Cronbach's alpha (0.78), respectively. This questionnaire was filled by a trained social worker.

Venous blood samples (5 ml) of DUs were prepared and applied for serum isolation. Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B surface antibody (HBsAb), and total hepatitis B core antibody (HBcAb) of the sera were measured through enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a commercial kit (DIA. PRO Srl, Milan, Italy).

We recruited all of the cases, who had acute (HBsAg+, total HBcAb+, IgM HBcAb+, and HBsAb⁻) or chronic (HBsAg+, total HBcAb+, IgM HBcAb⁻, and HBsAb+) forms of HBV infection. In addition, those who had past infection and were recovered were recruited (HBsAg⁻, HBsAb+, total HBcAb+, and IgM HBcAb⁻). Those who were previously vaccinated (HBsAg⁻, HBsAb+, total HBcAb⁻, and IgM HBcAb⁻) or never infected (HBs-Ag⁻, HBs-Ab⁻, HBc-Ab⁻, and IgM HBcAb⁻) were excluded.

Statistical analysis

Missing data were corrected through multiple imputations, and sociodemographic variables were descriptively depicted. Categorical variables were presented by frequency and percentage and whereas continuous variables were demonstrated by mean and standard deviation. We applied univariate logistic regression model to describe potential risk factors and their unadjusted odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). The potential variables were assessed in univariate analysis. Afterward, the variables having a significant relationship (P < 0.05) with the HBV infection were entered in final multivariable logistic regression model. Goodness-of-fit of the final model was assessed through Hosmer–Lemeshow test.

Results

Univariate analysis

In the current study, 539 individuals meeting our inclusion criteria were recruited; however, 49 participants were excluded in our data analysis since they were previously immune against HBV infection. The mean age of the participants was 31.76 ± 8.48 years while their ages varied from 19 to 64. They were generally male (94.7%), Iranian (99.8%), unmarried (52.7%), and lived in urban areas (99.4%). Educational levels of the participants were for the most part senior high school or less (65.1%). The majority of them were employed (57.6%). HBV positive infection was found in 18% of the participants.

Sociodemographic factors

In general, the prevalence of HBV infection significantly increased by age (P < 0.001) [Table 1]. To elaborate, the probability of HBV infection rose by 7% for one year increase in the age of the participants. Compared with the first sextile, ORs of HBV infection in the third, fourth, and fifth sextiles were 0.28, 0.19, and 0.15 respectively, and all of these ORs were significant (P = 0.0.028, P = 0.043, and P = 0.002). However, in comparison with the first sextile, the ORs of second and sixth sextile of HBV infection were not significant. Association of marital and occupational status with HBV infection was not significant (P = 0.051, and 0.07 respectively). Neither gender nor place of living significantly correlated with HBV prevalence (P = 0.86 and P = 0.49 respectively).

Medical-related factors

Prevalence of HBV infection increased by 90% through bloodletting. Not only pulling teeth positively correlated with HBV infection (OR = 2.38, 95% CI: 1.52–4.955) but also endoscopic operation associated with HBV prevalence (OR = 2.16, 95% CI: 1.279–5.341). Association of dental treatment and blood transfusion with HBV infection was not significant (P = 0.055, 0.064 and 0.057 respectively). Other medical risk factors, including dental filling, gum surgery, and suture application, did not significantly associate with HBV infection [Table 2] ($P \ge 0.05$).

Factors related to risky behaviors

Number of DUs applied illicit drugs just through inhalation, oral, or injection were 11.9%, 7.1%, and 59.4%, respectively.

Archive of SID

 Table 1: Univariate analysis showing the association of various sociodemographic factors with hepatitis B virus infection in drug users attended drop-in centers

| milection in drug users attended drop-in centers | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Characteristics | Number of participants with HBV infection (%) | OR | 95%CI | Р | |
| Age | N/A | 1.07 | 1.04-1.10 | < 0.001** | |
| Education level | | | - | - | |
| Illiterate* | 6 (6.8) | 1.0 | 0.179-1.802 | 0.337 | |
| Primary school | 26 (29.5) | 0.568 | 0.092-0.870 | 0.028** | |
| Junior high school | 34 (38.6) | 0.283 | 0.038-0.950 | 0.043 | |
| Senior high school | 3 (3.5) | 0.190 | 0.047-0.499 | 0.002** | |
| High school diploma | 16 (18.1) | 0.153 | 0.060-1.589 | 0.159 | |
| University degree | 3 (3.5) | 0.308 | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| Never married* | 38 (43.2) | 1.0 | - | 0.051 | |
| Ever married | 50 (56.8) | 1.59 | 0.999-2.533 | | |
| Employment status | | | - | - | |
| Employment* | 45 (51.1) | 1.0 | | 0.07 | |
| Unemployment | 43 (48.9) | 0.652 | 0.410-1.035 | | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female* | 5 (5.7) | 1.0 | - | 0.862 | |
| Male | 83 (94.3) | 0.915 | 0.335-2.497 | | |
| Residence | | | | | |
| Rural* | 1 (1.1) | 1.0 | - | 0.499 | |
| Urban | 87 (98.9) | 0.435 | 0.039-4.851 | | |

*Reference group. ***P* values indicating significant change. HBV=Hepatitis B virus, OR=Odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval, N/A=Not applicable

There were some DUs, who applied drugs through more than one method: inhalation and injection (7.1%), injection and oral (5.4%), oral and inhalation (2.6%), as well as oral, injection, inhalation (6.1%). Some levels of alcohol abuse were found in 81.6% of the participants.

In comparison with those who were not IDUs, OR of HBV infection in IDUs was three. However, the ORs for inhaled and oral DUs were not significant. Not only HBV infection was more prevalent in needle-sharing DUs (OR = 2.5, P < 0.001) but also this infection was more frequently found in individuals being the sexual partners of IDUs (OR = 2.73, P < 0.001). By comparison with those who never been kept in prison, individuals having the historical background of imprisonment were more infected with HBV (OR = 3.56, P < 0.001). The frequency of sex partners positively correlated with HBV infection. For example, ORs of HBV infection in those who had more than 9 sexual partners compared with participants having fewer (2-9) was 0.5(P = 0.02). The OR of HBV infection in those who had one sexual partner compared with participant having more than 9 sexual partners was 1/3(P = 0.02).

Frequency and duration of imprisonment significantly correlated with HBV prevalence (P < 0.001). For example, HBV prevalence in our participants, whose frequency of imprisonment was more than three times, was six times higher than individuals who was not being held in custody. On the other hand, the prevalence of HBV infection rose by 2% for every month of being kept in prison (OR = 1.019,

95% CI: 1.013–1.025). Comparing with non-IDUs, HBV infection increased 2.6 times in IDUs, whose frequency of drug injection (DI) was 30 times per month or further. Prevalence of HBV infection rose by 1.5% for every liter of hard drink use (OR = 1.015, 95% CI: 1.004–1.026, P = 0/007). HBV infection positively correlated with duration of drug abuse since HBV infection rose 8% for every year of drug abuse (P < 0.001). The relation between HBV infection and tattooing was not significant (P = 0.07). Neither body piercing nor different roots of drug abuse correlated with HBV infection [Table 3].

Multivariable analysis

Forward stepwise logistic regression was used to analyze the association of HBV infection with high-risk behaviors. as well as sociodemographic and medical-related factors (inclusion criteria: P < 0.05 on univariate analysis). Logistic regression analysis showed that age, drug injection, and being the sexual partner of IDU, as well as the duration of imprisonment positively correlated with HBV infection [Table 4]. According to the logistic regression, the prevalence of HBV infection rose by 7% for one year increase in the age of the participants. HBV infection in participant being sexual partners of IDUs rose by 2.35 times, compared with those who were not. In comparison with those who were not IDUs, OR of HBV infection in IDUs was 2.92. The prevalence of the HBV infection increased by 1.3% for one-month imprisonment. Drug injection was the strongest factor for HBV in the prediction of HBV infection.

Archive of SID

| Table 2: Univariate analysis showing the association of medical-related factors with hepatitis B virus infection in drug users attended drop-in centers | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------------|---------|
| Characteristics | Number of participants with HBV infection (%) | OR | 95% CI | Р |
| Bloodletting | | | | |
| No* | 49 (55.7) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 39 (44.3) | 1.916 | 1.195-3.072 | 0.007** |
| Surgery | | | | |
| No* | 45 (51.1) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 43 (48.9) | 0.919 | 0.579-1.459 | 0.721 |
| Suture | | | | |
| No* | 18 (20.4) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 70 (79.6) | 0.809 | 0.459-1.424 | 0.462 |
| Therapeutic injection | | | | |
| No* | 31 (35.2) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 57 (64.8) | 1.521 | 0.942-2.457 | 0.086 |
| Tooth pull | | | | |
| No* | 9 (10.2) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 79 (89.8) | 2.389 | 1.152-4.955 | 0.019** |
| Dental filling | | | | |
| No* | 59 (67.0) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 29 (33.0) | 0.644 | 0.396-1.047 | 0.076 |
| Gum surgery | | | | |
| No* | 87 (98.9) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 1 (1.1) | 0.374 | 0.048-2.911 | 0.347 |
| Endoscopy | | | | |
| No* | 75 (85.2) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 13 (14.8) | 2.614 | 1.279-5.341 | 0.008** |
| Blood transfusion | | | | |
| No* | 72 (81.8) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 16 (18.9) | 1.808 | 0.967-3.380 | 0.064 |

*Reference group. **P values indicating significant change. HBV=Hepatitis B virus, OR=Odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval

Discussion

In the current study, the seroprevalence of HBV infection among DUs attended Isfahan DICs was evaluated. According to our results and analysis, 18% of DUs were HBV infected, and it was noteworthy that age, and drug injection, being the sexual partner of IDU, as well as frequency and duration of imprisonment could be considered as contributing factors in HBV infection.

The prevalence of HBV infection in DUs widely differs between various communities. For example, a global systematic review, which was conducted by Nelson *et al.*, reported a various range of HBsAg in IDUs (from 5% to 10%).^[15] As such, HBV prevalence in different parts of Iran was enormously dissimilar. To elaborate, by comparison with IDUs living in Tehran (Iran), the prevalence of HBV infection in IDUs admitted to Razi Hospital (Ahvaz, Iran) was very low (50.7% vs. 3.6%).^[16,17] This discrepancy could be attributable to the age of the participants, HBV vaccination, route of drug administration, application of different methods resulting in various sensitivity and specificity, as well as, the various prevalence of HBV infection in general population, and attendance of IDUs at harm reduction programs implemented in DICs.^[9,18] The present study showed that age independently correlated with HBV infection. In other words, the likelihood of HBV infection rose by 7% with every year of age increasing [Table 4]. In a different study, which was implemented in three different areas of Brazil, age was assumed as an independent predictive factor for HBV infection. It seems plausible that aging and initiation of sexual activity enhance predisposition towards viral exposure.^[19] In addition, in comparison with adults, children and young participants have faced compulsory vaccination program decreasing the load of infection. That is why majority of epidemiological studies found association between increased age of participants and HBV infection.^[20]

Our research indicated that sexual relation with IDUs predisposed the participants to HBV infection. In fact, by comparison with other participants, the likelihood of HBV infection increased more than two times when the DUs had a history of previous sexual relation with IDUs [Table 4]. Consistently, Alavian *et al.* showed that high-risk sexual behavior put people at risk for HBV infection.^[21]

We found that in comparison to other DUs, the probability of HBV infection increased about three times (2.9) among IDUs [Table 4]. Consistently, Nelson *et al.* documented

Archive of SID

| | in ut ug user's attenueu ut op-in centers | 0.7 | 0.001 07 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------|--------------|----------|
| Characteristics | Number of participants with HBV infection (%) | OR | 95% CI | P |
| Getting tattoos | | | | |
| No* | 34 (38.6) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 54 (61.4) | 1.542 | 0.962-2.470 | 0.072 |
| Body piercing | | | | |
| No* | 73 (82.9) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 15 (17.1) | 1.153 | 0.627-2.119 | 0.647 |
| Alcohol consumption | | | | |
| No* | 19 (21.6) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 69 (78 4) | 0.806 | 0 457-1 421 | 0.456 |
| Casual sex | 0) (10.1) | 0.000 | 0.107 1.121 | 0.100 |
| No* | 39 (44 3) | 1.0 | _ | _ |
| Vas | 40 (55 7) | 0.088 | - | 0.961 |
| Provious sexual relationship with | чу (55.7) | 0.966 | 0.021-1.575 | 0.901 |
| IDUS | | | | |
| No* | (2(71)) | 1.0 | | |
| NO. | 05 (71.0) | 1.0 | - | -0.001** |
| res | 25 (28.4) | 2.731 | 1.3/8-4./28 | <0.001 |
| Number of sexual partners | 20 (11.2) | 0 (07 | 0.0((1.00 | 0.004 |
| 0 | 39 (44.3) | 0.627 | 0.366-1.08 | 0.094 |
| 1 | 5 (5.7) | 0.304 | 0.110-0.840 | 0.022** |
| 2-9 | 15 (17.1) | 0.457 | 0.229-0.910 | 0.026** |
| >9* | 29 (32.9) | 1.0 | - | - |
| History of imprisonment | | | | |
| No* | 15 (17.1) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 73 (82.9) | 3.566 | 1.977-6.432 | <0.001** |
| Times of imprisonment | | | | <0.001** |
| 0* | 15 (17.1) | 1.0 | - | - |
| 1 | 9 (10.2) | 1.386 | 0.581-3.310 | 0.462 |
| 2 | 6 (6 8) | 1 555 | 0 570-4 239 | 0 389 |
| | 58 (65 9) | 5 851 | 3 162-10 828 | <0.001** |
| Duration of imprisonment (months) | N/A | 1 010 | 1.013-1.025 | <0.001 |
| Inhaled drug user | 11/11 | 1.017 | 1.015-1.025 | -0.001 |
| Vos* | 70 (70 6) | 1.0 | | |
| Ies Nie | 18 (20.4) | 0.50 | - | - |
| | 18 (20.4) | 0.59 | 0.337-1.033 | 0.65 |
| Oral drug user | 74 (04.1) | 1.0 | | |
| No* | /4 (84.1) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 14 (15.9) | 0.629 | 0.339-1.164 | 0.14 |
| Injection drug user | | | | |
| No* | 9 (10.2) | 1.0 | - | - |
| Yes | 79 (89.8) | 3.024 | 1.465-6.243 | 0.003** |
| Frequency of drug injection per | | | | |
| months | | | | |
| 0* | 14 (15.9) | 1.0 | - | - |
| 30 or less | 14 (15.9) | 2.000 | 0.899-4.448 | 0.089 |
| 31-90 | 34 (38.6) | 2.612 | 1.336-5.108 | 0.005** |
| 91 or more | 26 (29.6) | 2.612 | 1.293-5.277 | 0.007** |
| Needle sharing | | | | |
| No* | 53 (60 2) | 1.0 | - | - |
| V | 25 (00.2) | 2 500 | 1 522 / 021 | <0.001** |

*Reference group. ***P* values indicating significant change HBV=Hepatitis B virus, OR=Odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval, N/A=Not applicable. IDUS=Injecting drug users

high prevalence IDUs infected by HBV infection. In other words, they showed that 1.2 million individuals, out of

16 million DUs had HBV infection.^[15] Likewise, Matos *et al.* reported a high prevalence of HBV infection in IDUs

Archive of SID

Table 4: The final multivariable logistic regression modelof risk factors associated with hepatitis B virus infectionin drug users attended drop-in centers

| Variable | OR | 95% CI* | Р |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------|----------|
| Age | 1.074 | 1.043-1.105 | < 0.001* |
| Previous sexual relationship | | | |
| with IDUS | | | |
| No | - | - | 0.006* |
| Yes | 2.358 | 1.275-4.161 | |
| Injection drug user | | | |
| No | - | - | 0.008* |
| Yes | 2.925 | 1.324-6.461 | |
| Duration of imprisonment | 1.013 | 1.007-1.020 | < 0.001* |

*P values indicating significant change. OR=Odds Ratio,

CI=Confidence interval, IDUS=Injecting drug users

living in Central-West Region of Brazil.^[22] To elaborate, they showed that, in comparison with non-IDUs, the viral infection was more prevalent in IDUs (2/7% vs. 12/7%).^[22] The higher prevalence of HBV infection could indicate urgent need for more appropriate strategies aiming at reducing HBV infection.^[23]

Our study authenticated that duration of imprisonment associated with HBV infection. Indeed, according to multivariable analysis, the probability of HBV infection rose by 1% for every month of imprisonment [Table 4]. Consistently, Mohamed et al. demonstrated that duration of imprisonment being more than 10 years could be considered as a predictive factor for the positive titer of HBV infection.^[24] Moreover, a systematic review article conducted by Dolan et al. showed a higher prevalence of HBV infection in prisoners than their surrounding communities living across 196 countries of the world. In Iran, not only duration of imprisonment associated with the prevalence of HBV infection but also the frequency of incarceration correlated with HBV infection. Higher prevalence of HBV infection among prisoners could be attributable to the criminalization of drug use by incarcerated individuals.[25,26]

Limitations

Cultural and religious beliefs regarding sexual relationship issues were considered as our study limitations. In fact, some of the DUs of DICs did not accept to participate in the study; therefore, the sample size did not thoroughly show the DIC population. The other limitation of this study derives from its cross-sectional nature, so we cannot exactly find the causal inference.

Conclusions

Altogether, drug injection as well as pervious sexual relationship with IDUs, duration of imprisonment, and bloodletting could potentially contribute to high prevalence of HBV infection. Therefore, further measures should be taken to raise the awareness of HBV transmission occurring

among IDUs or their family members. Moreover, the bloodletting centers warrant implementation of standard measures, which could efficiently prevent HBV transmission.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge vice chancellor for research at IUMS. We also would like to thank all the participants who so kindly agreed to contribute toward this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported by vice chancellor for research at Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (IUMS).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Received: 21 Nov 16 Accepted: 30 Oct 17 Published: 16 May 18

References

- 1. Degenhardt L, Hall W. Extent of illicit drug use and dependence, and their contribution to the global burden of disease. Lancet 2012;379:55-70.
- Jafari S, Mathias R, Joe RS, Baharlou S, Nasr A. Effect of law enforcement on drug abuse: A comparison of substance use in Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iran and Turkey. J Subst Use 2015;20:295-300.
- 3. Amin-Esmaeili M, Rahimi-Movaghar A, Sharifi V, Hajebi A, Radgoodarzi R, Mojtabai R, *et al.* Epidemiology of illicit drug use disorders in iran: Prevalence, correlates, comorbidity and service utilization results from the Iranian mental health survey. Addiction 2016;111:1836-47.
- 4. Rahimi-Movaghar A, Noroozi A, Page K, Mohraz M, McFarland W, Malekafzali H, *et al.* Transition to and Away from Injecting Drug Use among Young Drug Users in Tehran, Iran: A Qualitative Study; 2017.
- Chen F, Zhang J, Guo F, Wen B, Luo S, Yuan D, *et al.* Hepatitis B, C, and D virus infection showing distinct patterns between injection drug users and the general population. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;32:515-20.
- Lanini S, Easterbrook PJ, Zumla A, Ippolito G. Hepatitis C: Global epidemiology and strategies for control. Clin Microbiol Infect 2016;22:833-8.
- Mahmood MA, Khawar S, Anjum AH, Ahmed SM, Rafiq S, Nazir I, *et al.* Prevalence of Hepatitis B, C and HIV Infection in Blood Donors of Multan Region. Vol. 10. Annals of King Edward Medical University; 2016.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for the Prevention Care and Treatment of Persons with Chronic Hepatitis B Infection: March-15. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2015.
- Lavanchy D, Kane M. Global epidemiology of hepatitis B virus infection. Hepatitis B Virus in Human Diseases. Switzerland: Springer; 2016. p. 187-203.
- Salehi-Vaziri M, Sadeghi F, Almasi Hashiani A, Gholami Fesharaki M, Alavian SM. Hepatitis B virus infection in the general population of iran: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepat Mon 2016;16:e35577.
- 11. Kandelouei T, Vaezjalali M. Investigation of relative risk factors of hepatitis B virus core antibody positivity among intravenous drug users in Tehran, 2013. Novelty Biomed 2017;5:65-70.
- Alam-Mehrjerdi Z, Abdollahi M, Higgs P, Dolan K. Drug use
 International Journal of Preventive Medicine 2018, 9: 46

Archive of SID

- treatment and harm reduction programs in Iran: A unique model of health in the most populated persian gulf country. Asian J Psychiatr 2015;16:78-83.
- Brouard C, Pillonel J, Sogni P, Chollet A, Lazarus J, Pascal X, et al. Hepatitis B virus in drug users in France: Prevalence and vaccination history, ANRS-Coquelicot Survey 2011–2013. Epidemiol Infect 2017;145:1259-69.
- 14. Chen F, Zhang J, Guo F, Wen B, Luo S, Yuan D, et al. Hepatitis B, C, and D virus infection showing distinct patterns between injection drug users and the general population. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;32:515-20.
- Nelson PK, Mathers BM, Cowie B, Hagan H, Des Jarlais D, Horyniak D, *et al.* Global epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C in people who inject drugs: Results of systematic reviews. Lancet 2011;378:571-83.
- Rahimi-Movaghar A, Razaghi EM, Sahimi-Izadian E, Amin-Esmaeili M. HIV, hepatitis C virus, and hepatitis B virus co-infections among injecting drug users in Tehran, Iran. Int J Infect Dis 2010;14:e28-33.
- 17. Alavi SM, Behdad F. Seroprevalence study of hepatitis C and hepatitis B virus among hospitalized intravenous drug users in Ahvaz, Iran (2002-2006). Hepat Mon 2010;10:101-4.
- Batool SM, Sanei-Moghaddam E, Ghasem-Zadeh I, Khosravi S. Occult hepatitis B virus infection among anti-HBc only positive individuals in the Southeast of Iran in high prevalence of HBV infection region. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2016;2009:90-2.
- Pereira LM, Martelli CM, Merchán-Hamann E, Montarroyos UR, Braga MC, de Lima ML, *et al.* Population-based multicentric survey of hepatitis B infection and risk factor differences among three regions in Brazil. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2009;81:240-7.

- 20. Oliveira MP, Matos MA, Silva ÁM, Lopes CL, Teles SA, Matos MA, *et al.* Prevalence, risk behaviors, and virological characteristics of hepatitis B virus infection in a group of men who have sex with men in Brazil: Results from a respondent-driven sampling survey. PLoS One 2016;11:e0160916.
- 21. Alavian S, Mostajabi P, Malekzadeh R, Azimi K, Vosoogh H, Sarrafi M, *et al.* Evaluation of hepatitis B transmission risk factors in Tehran blood donors. Govaresh 2012;9:169-75.
- 22. Matos MA, Ferreira RC, Rodrigues FP, Marinho TA, Lopes CL, Novais AC, *et al.* Occult hepatitis B virus infection among injecting drug users in the central-west region of Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2013;108. pii: S0074-02762013000300386.
- 23. Zhou YH, Yao ZH, Liu FL, Li H, Jiang L, Zhu JW, *et al.* High prevalence of HIV, HCV, HBV and co-infection and associated risk factors among injecting drug users in Yunnan province, China. PLoS One 2012;7:e42937.
- 24. Mohamed HI, Saad ZM, Abd-Elreheem EM, Abd-ElGhany WM, Mohamed MS, Abd Elnaeem EA, *et al.* Hepatitis C, hepatitis B and HIV infection among Egyptian prisoners: Seroprevalence, risk factors and related chronic liver diseases. J Infect Public Health 2013;6:186-95.
- 25. Dolan K, Wirtz AL, Moazen B, Ndeffo-Mbah M, Galvani A, Kinner SA, *et al.* Global burden of HIV, viral hepatitis, and tuberculosis in prisoners and detainees. Lancet 2016;388:1089-102.
- 26. Dolan K, Wirtz AL, Moazen B, Ndeffo-Mbah M, Galvani A, Kinner SA, *et al.* Global burden of HIV, viral hepatitis, and tuberculosis in prisoners and detainees. The Lancet 2016;388:1089–102

