Isolation, identification, and antimicrobial susceptibility of Clostridium perfringens isolates from acute necrotic enteritis of broiler chickens

Shojadoost, B.1*; Peighambari, S.M.1 and Nikpiran, H.2

¹Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. ²Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, IslamicAzad University, Tabriz branch, Tabriz, Iran.

Key Words:

Abstract

Clostridium perfringens; necrotic enteritis; antimicrobial susceptibility; broiler; Iran.

Correspondence

Shojadoost, B., Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, P.O. Box: 14155-6453Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98(21)61117150 Fax: +98(21)66933222 Email: bshojae@ut.ac.ir

Received 30 May 2009, Accepted 26 April 2010

The aim of this study was to isolate, identify and determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of Clostridium perfringens (CP) isolates from acute necrotic enteritis of broiler chickens. Mabiler carcasses diagnosed as necrotic enteritis (NE) were sampled, subjected to microbial tests and 40 isolates were identified according to standard procedures. The antimicrobial susceptibility of CP isolates to 20 antibacterial agents was then determined. The results show widespread resistance among CP isolates. The most frequent resistance was observed to neomycin sulfate (87.5%), and then to lincomycin and tetracycline (both 80%). No isolate was resistant to chloramphenicol and the least frequency of resistance was observed to vancomycin (10%), sulfamethoxazole+trimethoprim (17.5%), and penicillin (20%). All isolates were multiple drug resistant types. There were 39 resistant patterns among the CP isolates, 95% of which were distributed in 38 resistant patterns. These multiple and variable resistance patterns observed ather P isolates, even among different isolates from one farm, demonstrate a challenge for veterinarians in the field to choose the correct compound to combat the occurrence of NE

Introduction

challenge for countries in which the ban is in place to find an effective antibacterial agent to combat this

Clinical necrotic enteritis (NE) is one of the deadly disease. A number of studies have shown the role bacterial diseases, found primarily in young chickensof antibiotic-supplemented feeds on the development of produced by Clostridium perfringens (CP) type A and, resistant strains to antibacterial agents (Rebdal. to a lesser extent, type C (Prescotal ., 1978; Setane 1978; Summanetet al. , 1993). This resistance may al., 1985; Anetet al., 2002; Van Immersett al., 2004; develop because the use of antibiotics in feeds has led to Opengart, 2008). Both CP types are known to produce selection of resistant bacteria (Rebal .. 1978). toxins: type A, alpha toxin and type C, both alpha and In spite of having knowledge about many predisposing beta toxins (Shanet al., 1985; Van Immersealal., factors to NE (Willians, 2005), when facing the disease, 2004). Since in-feed antibiotics and ionophores are eterinarians have to administer an appropriate antibiotic to effective in the prevention and treatment of the diseaserids to reduce the mortality rate, as well as other after the ban to the use of growth promoter antibiotics detrimental effects of the disease. Therefore, determining and ionophore anticoccidials in the European union the antimicrobial susceptibility of CP isolates from NE (EU), NE has become one of the most important threats utbreaks is very important. In this study, 40 CP isolates to the broiler industry in the EU (Casewetlal., 2003; recovered from acute clinical NE cases were characterized Graveet al., 2004; Chalmeret al., 2007). In the US, for their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns. when broiler producers reduced the usage of growth promoter antibiotics, different Clostridial diseasesMaterials and Methods began to increase (Shane, 2004). However, in some countries, where growth promoter antibiotics and solation and identification of Clostridium ionophores are still utilized for poultry, the occurrenceperfringens (CP) of NE is not as common as in EU countries, which have The carcasses of all broiler chickens diagnosed as

banned the use of these drugs. It still remains aecrotic enteritis (NE) (the presence of typical

fibrinonecrotic lesions in the mucosal membrane of the etracycline (both 80%; Table 1). No isolate was intestines) were sampled and subjected to microbia bistant to chloramphenicol and the least frequency of tests. The intestinal serosal surface was sterilized witresistance was observed to vancomycin (10%), a hot spatula. An incision was then made and a part of ulfamethoxazole+trimethoprim (17.5%) and the mucosal surface of the intestine was taken by penicillin (20%; Table 1). All isolates were resistant to sterile loop for a smear and gram stain. Identification of more than one antibacterial agent. More than 50% of the bacteria was performed according to procedure solates were resistant to more than five drugs and one described by Summanent al . (1993), Quinn (1994) isolate (2.5%) showed multiple resistances to more Miller (1998). A presumptive diagnosis of CP was than 14 drugs. There were 39 resistant patterns made for Gram-positive, spore-containing bacteria.observed to 20 tested antibacterials among CP These samps then were streaked onto blood agaisolates that were tested. Thirty-eight (95%) isolates (BA) plates and placed in anaerobic jars (Merck,each showed an individual resistance patterns. Only Germany) containing commercial gas packtwo isolates (5%) showed an identical pattern of (Anaerocult A, Merck). The jars were closed and resistance.

incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The indicator strips

(Anaero-test, Merck) were included in each jar toDiscussion

confirm the anaerobic conditions. After 48 h, the BA

plates were examined for colony morphology. Different antibacterials have been used for the Observation of large, smooth and round colonies withtreatment, or as in-feed growth promoters for the 2-4 mm in diameter having double hemolysisprevention, of NE outbreak in poultry (Prescettal ., (complete hemolysis in the innzeone and incomplete 1978; Hamdyet al ., 1983). The susceptibility of CP hemolysis in the outer zone) were considered as isolates to different sources of antibacterials has been presumptive diagnosis of CP. The colonies were thestudied by many and variable results have been checked by Gram-staining of the colonies wasobtained.

observed under the microscope. The suspected positive Junget al. (1983) valuated the sensitivity of 50 CP samples were screened for lecithinase, lipase, ureassolates from human feces to cephotaxim, fosfomycin, and indole production, motility, and reverse-CAMP penicillin-G and vancomycin. They observed no test. Finally, the suspected colonies were cultured ontresistance to pen-G or cephotaxim, but did observe Triptone Sulfite Neomycin (TSN; Merck) agar plates.variable resistance to other agents. Devriescell. TSN-inoculated plates were incubated anaerobically (1993) studied the minimum inhibitory concentration 37°C for 18 h. Dark-centered colonies were considered for seven growth promoter antibacterials against 95 CP as containing CP.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test

isolates from poultry, pigs and calves. These researchers found resistance to bambermycin and flavomycin (flavophosfolypol) and susceptibility to two pareirs avilance in and calino mycin among all 05

The susceptibility of 40 CP isolates to a panel of avoparcin, avilarycin, and salinomycin among all 95 antimicrobial agents was determined as previously solates. Resistance to tylosin and virginiamycin described (Quinnet al., 1994). The antimicrobial

agents that were tested, and their concentrations (€g)ble 1: Antimicrobial susceptibility test results of 40 Clostridium were as follows: difloxacin (10), ofloxacin (5), perfringens isolates from cases of necrotic enteritis.^a norfloxacin (10), enrofloxacin (5), nalidixic acid (30), flumequine (30), penicillin (10), ampicillin (10), ^{Antimicrobial drugs} ^{Antimicrobial drugs} ^a ^a ^a ^a ^b ^c ^c

amoxi-clav (30), periodicit (10), gentamicin (10), lincomycin (30), lincospectin (15/200), erythromycin (10), tylosin (30), chloramphenicol (30), tetracycline (30), colistin (10), vancomycin (30) and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75). In this study, the CF isolates with intermediate susceptibility classification were considered not to be resistant to that drug and the multi-resistance was defined as resistance to more the one drug.

Results

In the present study, the resistance to antibacteria compounds was found to be widespread among the C isolates. The most frequent resistance was observed permycin sulfate (87.5%) and then to incomycin and

Antimicrobial drugs	S	I	R
Vancomycin (Vc)	90	0	10
Erythromycine (Er)	2.5	67.5	30
Tylosin (Ty)	25	47.5	27.5
Amoxi-Clav (Amx)	70	0	30
Ampicllin (Amp)	40	32.5	27.5
Penicillin (Pen)	80	0	20
Gentamicin (Gen)	47.5	0	52.5
Flumequine (Flu)	52.5	7.5	40
Colistin (Col)	12.5	47.5	40
Tetracycline (Tet)	7.5	12.5	80
Chloramphenicol (Chl)	82.5	17.5	0
Lincomicin (Lin)	20	0	80
Linco-spectin (LP)	57.5	10	32.5
Ofloxacin (Ofx)	50	10	40
Norfloxacin (Nor)	67.5	10	22.5
Enrofloxacin (Nfx)	37.5	30	32.5
Neomycin (Neo)	5	7.5	87.5
Nalidixicacid (NA)	35	12.5	52.5
Difloxacin (Dfx)	70	2.5	27.5
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (SXT)	82.5	0	17.5
	Antimicrobial drugs Vancomycin (Vc) Erythromycine (Er) Tylosin (Ty) Amoxi-Clav (Amx) Ampicilin (Amp) Penicilin (Pen) Gentamicin (Gen) Flumequine (Flu) Colistin (Col) Tetracycline (Tet) Chloramphenicol (Chl) Linco-spectin (LP) Ofloxacin (Ofx) Norfloxacin (Nfx) Neomycin (Neo) Nalidixic acid (NA) Difloxacin (Dfx)	Vancomycin (Vc) 90 Erythromycine (Er) 2.5 Tylosin (Ty) 25 Amoxi-Clav (Amx) 70 Ampicilin (Amp) 40 Penicillin (Pen) 80 Gentamicin (Gen) 47.5 Flumequine (Flu) 52.5 Colistin (Col) 12.5 Tetracycline (Tet) 7.5 Chloramphenicol (Chl) 82.5 Linconcin (Lin) 20 Linco-spectin (LP) 57.5 Ofloxacin (Nfx) 37.5 Neomycin (Neo) 5 Nalidixic acid (NA) 35 Difloxacin (Dfx) 70	Antimicrobial drugs S I Vancomycin (Vc) 90 0 Erythromycine (Er) 2.5 67.5 Tylosin (Ty) 25 47.5 Amoxi-Clav (Amx) 70 0 Ampicellin (Amp) 40 32.5 Penicillin (Pen) 80 0 Gentamicin (Gen) 47.5 0 Flumequine (Flu) 52.5 7.5 Colistin (Col) 12.5 47.5 Tetracycline (Tet) 7.5 12.5 Chloramphenicol (Chl) 82.5 17.5 Linco-spectin (LP) 57.5 10 Ofloxacin (Ofx) 50 10 Norfloxacin (Nry) 67.5 10 Enrofloxacin (Nry) 57.5 30 Neomycin (Neo) 5 7.5 Naidixic acid (NA) 35 12.5 Difloxacin (Dfx) 70 2.5

neomycin sulfate (87.5%), and then to lincomycin and S=Susceptible, I=Intermediate Susceptible, R=Resistant

among isolates from different sources, and resistance the anaerobic conditions that are required for to bacitracin in some of poultry and calf isolates, waspacterial growth. Since culture and antimicrobial (1995) conducted **a**usceptibility tests for anaerobic CP are not routinely also observed. Cummingest al. farm survey and found resistance to lincomycin and used in diagnostic laboratories of this country, in case of NE outbreaks blind treatments are performed, which bacitracin and sensitivity to penicillin. Sasatti al. (2001) isolated some Clostridium species from may lead to the inappropriate and incorrect prescription diseased cattle and reported a 71% resistance to antibiotics and, therefore, the rise of resistance to CP. tetracycline in the CP isolates. Martet al. (2004) The widespread resistance patterns observed among studied the sensitivity of CP isolates, which had beethe CP isolates in this study indicates the diverse groups isolated from 31 different Belgian broiler farms, to 12of CP isolates circulating in broiler farms and the antibacterials and reported a high level of resistance tpossible variability of response in the vitro test lincomycin and tetracycline. Johanssenal . (2004) method used for these anaerobic bacteria. observed 76%, 29%, and 10% resistance to tetracycline Multiple drug resistant (MDR) types are among CP isolates from Sweden, Norway, and commonly found among CP isolates. Dutta and Denmark, respectively. The high level of resistance to evriese (1981) found different drug resistant patterns tetracycline in Sweden is interesting because thiagainst macrolide-lincosamide and streptogramin in antibiotic was rarely used in Swedish broiler farms CP isolates of animal origin. Tansuphaetral . (2005) Kather et al. (2006) studied the prevalence ofstudied antimicrobial resistance amogestridium tetracycline resistant genes in 124 CP isolates from the feces of humans and pigs, dogs in the United States and found a relative food and other environmental sourcese The ported prevalence of nvitro resistance to tetracycline. The hat among 62.7% of antimicrobial resistant strains, high level of resistance to lincomycin and tetracycline39.3% were resistant to a single drug and 23.4% were was also observed among the CP isolates in this studMDR strains; of 47 MDR strains, 63.8% were derived The high level of resistance to lincomvcin can befrom human feces and were resistant to between two attributed to resistant genes that had not been detected d six drugs. Traubt al . (1986) found that three of Transfer of tetracycline resistance has already been06 CP isolates had MDR against clindamycin, documented i clostridia (Tally and Malamy, 1982). erythromycin, josamycin, tetracycline and, in one case,

In a survey performed from 1986 to 2002 in against chloramphenicol. Rooet al. (1978) also northern Europe, 100% of CP isolates were found to bebserved CP isolates that were MDR strains. These sensitive to vancomycin (Johanssenal, 2004). In isolates were resistant to tetracine, erythromycin, this study, a 90% sensitivity was observed in the Celindamycin and lincomycin. However, none of the isolates to vancomycin. Tansuphasetti al . (2005) isolates were resistant to penicillin or chloramphenicol. examined the antimicrobial susceptibility among 201These resistant patterns are very similar to the results CP isolates from the feces of humans and pigs, food btained in this research. Roedal . (1978) also found and other environmental sources. These researchetisat resistance to erythromycin was always associated showed resistance to tetracycline (56.2%) followed by with resistance to lincomycin and clindamycin. In this imipenem (24.9%), metronidazole (9.5%), penicillin Gstudy, all the isolates were MDR strains, nine (22.5%) (9%), vancomycin (4.5%), chloramphenicole (3%) and solates were resistant to more than ten antibacterials, ceftriaxone (1%) among the isolates. Most of theand one (2.5%) isolate showed resistance140 isolates from pig feces (78%), the environment antimicrobial agents. It should be noted that resistance (72.7%), human feces (44.9%) and food (28%) showepatterns are local phenomenon and using antibacterials resistance to tetracycline. The low level of resistance to cording to patterns of other regions may be vancomycin and penicillin G observed in this studymisleading and inappropriate. was comparable to findings of Tansuphasitial The resistance mechanisms of anaerobic bacteria . (2005). In a study by Johanssenal . (2004), 100% to antibacterials have been studied by some researchers susceptibility to ampicillin was been reported among Finegold, 1989; Roodt al ., 1978). Roetal . (1978) CP isolates, while a much lower susceptibility washave shown that plasmids are the cause for resistance of observed to ampicillin. bacteria to many kinds of antibiotics. Since plasmids

The reason for sensitivity to some antibiotics carcan be transferred between bacteria of the same, be explained by the level of their usageonulory farms other, species, and they can carry with them the (Tansuphasinet al., 2005). In this study, a high level of resistance genes to many antibacterials, resistance may sensitivity to vancomycin and penicillin G was become widespread. Finegold (1989) specified other observed. These antibiotics are not used in Iraniatypes of resistance encountered in anaerobic bacteria poultry farms. Likewise, tetracycline, which is a including the following: the production of beta-commonly used antibiotic in Iranian poultry farms, waslactamase enzymes, inactivating enzymes such as the drug to which a very high resistance was observed hloramphenicol acetyltransferase, plasmid-mediated One major drawback in monitoring of resistance to CR ansferable MDR, changes in porin molecules in the

of drug by other mechanisms, changes of the target organs such as penicillin binding proteins and a reduction of the antibiotic to an active intermediate product.

The multiple and variable resistance patterns1. observed in this study among the CP isolates, even among different isolates from the same farm, demonstrate the challenge faced by veterinarians in the field in choosing the correct compound to combat NE12. The use of automatic or semaintomatic systems to identify the CP isolates, performing antimicrobial susceptibility test and evaluating an appropriate number of field samples could all play a part in13. determining a more accurate resistance pattern of an affected flock.

References

- 1. Annett, C.B.; Viste, J.R.; Chirino Trejo, M.; Classen, H.L.; Middleton, D.M. and Simko, E. (2002) Necrotic enteritis; effect of barley, wheat and corn diets on proliferation of clostridium perfrigenstype A. Avian Pathol. 31: 598-601.
- 2. Casewell, M.O.; Friis, C.; Marco, E.; McMullin, P. and Phillips, I. (2003) The European ban on growth-16. promoting antibiotics and emerging consequences for human and animal health. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 52:159-161.
- Chalmers, C.; Bruce, H.L.; Toole, D.L.; Barnaum, D.A.17. Quinn, P.J.; Carter, M.E.; Markey, B. and Carter, G.R. 3. and Boerlin, P. (2007) Necrotic enteritis potential in a modeling system usir@lostridium perfringens isolated from field outbreaks. Avian Dis. 51: 834-839.
- 4. Cummings, T.S.; McMurray, B.L. and Saif, Y.M. (1995) Minimum inhibitory concentrations of Clostridium perfringensisolates from necrotic enteritis outbreaks to virginiamycin, penicillin, bactitracin, and lincomycin. In Proceedings 44th Western Poultry Disease Conference9. 92-93.
- 5. Devriese, L.A.; Daube, G.; Hommez, J. and Haesebrouck, F. (1993) In vitro susceptibility @lostridium perfringens isolated from farm animals to growth-enhancing antibiotics. J. Applied Bacteriol. 75: 55-57.
- 6. Dutta, G.N.; Devriese, L.A. (1981). Macrolide-Lincosamidestreptogramin resistance pattern Siostridium perfrindens from animals. Antimicrob. Agents. chemother 19: 274-8.
- 7. Finegold, S.M. (1989) Mechanisms of resistance in anaerobic bacteria and new developments in testino22. Diagn. Microbial. Infect. Dis. 12 (4suppl): 117s-120s.
- Grave, K.; Kaldhusdal, M.C.; Kruse, H.; Harris, L.M. and 8 Flatlandsmo, K. (2004) What hasppened in Norway after the ban of avoparcin? Consumption of 23. antimicrobials by poultry. Pre. Vet. Med. 62: 59-72.
- 9. Hamdy, A.H.; Thomas, R.W.; Yancey, R.I. and Davis, R.B. (1983) Therapeutic effect of optimal lincomycin concentration in drinking water on necrotic enteritis in broilers. Poult. Sci. 62: 589-591

outer membrane of the bacterial cell, decreased uptake. Johansson, A.; Greko, C.; Engstrom, B.E. and Karlsson, M. (2004) Antimicrobial susceptibility of Swedish, Norwegian and Danish isolates dclostridium perfringensfrom poultry, and distribution of tetracycline resistance genes. Vet. Microbiol. 99: 251-257.

> Jung, W.K. (1983) Susceptibility of 50 isolates of Clostridium perfringens to cefotaxime, fosfomycin, penicillin G and Vancomycin; variable tolerance for vancomycin. Chemotherapy. 29: 99-103.

> Kather, E.J.; Marks, S.L. and Foley, J.E. (2006) Determination of the prevalence of tanicrobial resistance genes in canified ostridium perfringens isolates. Vet. Microbiol 113: 97-101.

Martel, A.; Devriese, L.A.; Cauwerts, K.; De Gussem, K.; Decostere A, and Haesebrouck, F. (2004) Susceptibility of Clostridium perfringensstrains from broiler chickens to antibiotics and anticoccidials. Avian Pathol 33: 3-7.

14. Miller, D.A. (1998) Clostridial diseases,. In Swayne, D.E., Glisson, J.R., Jackwood, M.M., Pearson, J.E., Reed, W.M. (4th edition). A laboratory manual for the isolation and identification of avian pathogensp.p61-68. American Association of Avian Pathologists, Pennsylvania, USA.

15. Opengart, K. (2008) Necrotic enteritis. In Saif Y.d. al. Diseases of Poultry, ¹/₂ edition. Blackwell Publishing Company, Iowa, USA. pp: 872-879.

Prescott, J.F.; Sivendra, R. and Barnum, D.A. (1978) The use of bacitracin in the prevention and treatment of experimentally induced necrotic enteritis in the chicken. Can. Vet. J. 19: 181-183.

(1994) Clinical Vet. Microbiol. Wolfe Publishing. London, UK.

18. Rood, J.I.; Maher, E.A.; Somers, E.B.; Campos, E. and Duncan, C. (1978) Isolation and identification of multiply antibiotic resistantClostridium perfringens strains from porcine feces. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 13: 871-880.

Sasaki, Y.; Yamamoto, K.; Tamura, Y. and Takahashi, T. (2001) Tetracycline-resistance genes Clostridium perfringens Clostridium septicumand Clostridium sordellii isolated from cattle affected with malignant edema. Vet. Microbiol 83: 61-69.

20. Shane, S.M., Gyimah, J.E., Harrington, K.S., and Snider, T.G. (1985) Etiology and pathogenesis of necrotic enteritis. Vet. Res. Commun 9: 269-287

21. Shane, S.M. (2004) Update on the poultry disease situation in the USA Poultry International. 43: 10-15.

Summanen, P.; Baron, E.; Citron, J.; Strong, D.M.; Wexle, H.M. and Finegold S.M. (1993) Wadsworth anaerobic bacteriology manual ^{*5} edition. Star Publishing Company, Belmont, California, USA.

Tansuphasiri, U.; Matra, W. and Sang Su, K.L. (2005) Antimicrobial Resistance amongClostridium perfringensisolated from arious sources in Thailand. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public. Health. 36: 954-961.

24. Tally, F.P.; Malamy, M.H. (1982) Mechanisms of

antimicrobial resistance and resistance transfer in anaerobic bacteria. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. Suppl. 35: 37-44.

- 25. Traub, W.H.; Karthein, J. and Spohr, M. (1986) Susceptibility ofClostridium perfringens type A to 23 antimicrobial drugs. Chemother. 32: 439-45.
- Truscott, R.B.; AI-Sheikhly, E. (1977) Reproduction and treatment of necrotic enteritis in broilers. Am. J. Vet.. Res 38: 857-861
- Van Immersal, F.; Debuck, J.; Pasmans, F.; Huyghebaert, G.; Haesebroock, F. and Ducattelle, R. (2004) Clostridium perfringensin poultry: an emeging threat for animal and public health. Avian Pathol. 33: 537-549.
- Watkins, K.L.; Shryock, T.R.; Dearth, R.N. and Saif, Y.M. (1997) In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of Clostridium perfringensfrom commercial turkey and broiler chickens origin. Vet. Microbiol 54: 195-200.
- 29. Williams, R.B. (2005) Intercurrent coccidiosis and necrotic entertitis of chickens: Integrated disease management by maintenance of gut integrity. Avian Pathol. 34: 159-180.